#### **CONTENTS**

SECTION 3: SPATIAL VISION AND OUTCOMES	5
Local Plan Vision (Objectives) Compatibility Matrix	5
SECTION 4: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	
SECTION 4. SOSTAINABLE BEVELSI MENTILIMININI	
Sustainable Development	8
SECTION 5: SPATIAL STRATEGY	12
The York Sub-Area	13
Drivers of Growth	19
FACTORS WHICH SHAPE GROWTH	19
Spatial Distribution	32
Strategic Site Development Principles	51
THE ROLE OF YORK'S GREEN BELT	57
Safeguarded Land	57
SECTION 6: YORK CITY CENTRE	72
CITY CENTRE	72
SECTION 7: YORK CENTRAL	76
York Central	76
SECTION 8: ECONOMY	87
EMPLOYMENT GROWTH	87
EMPLOYMENT SITES	93
ECONOMIC GROWTH IN THE HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE SECTORS	94
LOSS OF EMPLOYMENT LAND	99
Business and Industrial Uses within Residential Areas	
SECTION 9: RETAIL	108
Retail Hierarchy	108

RETAIL CENTRES – ISSUE 1: GUIDING DEVELOPMENT	113
RETAIL CENTRES – ISSUE 2: PROTECTION	117
RETAIL CENTRES — ISSUE 3: DEVELOPMENT OF OUT-OF-CENTRE RETAIL	
SECTION 10: HOUSING GROWTH AND DISTRIBUTION	126
Housing Growth	
HOUSING SUPPLY BUFFER	138
Phasing and Delivery	145
HOUSING DENSITY APPROACH	152
Housing Density Level and Location	
SECTION 11: AIDING CHOICE IN THE HOUSING MARKET	
Housing Mix	158
Housing Mix Targets	162
GYPSY, TRAVELLER AND SHOWPEOPLE ALLOCATIONS	167
SITES FOR GYPSY, TRAVELLER AND SHOWPEOPLE	
STUDENT ACCOMMODATION	176
Houses in Multiple Occupation	
SECTION 12: AFFORDABLE HOUSING	186
AFFORDABLE HOUSING TARGET	186
Varying Affordable Housing Targets	192
Affordable Housing Requirements	
SECTION 13: COMMUNITY FACILITIES	201
REQUIRED CONTRIBUTIONS	201
Provision and Accessibility	205
PROTECTION OF EXISTING COMMUNITY FACILITIES	210
SECTION 14: EDUCATION, SKILLS AND TRAINING	214
EDUCATION FACILITIES	214
EDUCATION ACCESSIBILITY	219
Provision of Skills and Training Opportunities	224
SECTION 15. LINIVERSITIES	າາເ

FORM AND LOCATION OF UNIVERSITY DEVELOPMENT	228
SECTION 16 DESIGN AND THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	232
DESIGN AND THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	232
SECTION 17: GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	240
Biodiversity	240
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	245
OPEN SPACE	249
New Open Space	254
SECTION 18:GREEN BELT	260
Approach To Development In the Green Belt	260
Approach to Exceptions Sites in the Green Belt	260
APPROACH TO MAJOR DEVELOPED SITES IN THE GREEN BELT	271
SECTION 19: FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT	277
APPROACH TO NEW DEVELOPMENT	277
FLOOD MITIGATION MEASURES	282
SECTION 20: CLIMATE CHANGE	287
RENEWABLE AND LOW CARBON ENERGY DEVELOPMENT	287
Code for Sustainable Homes	292
Building Regulations and Carbon Savings	297
SECTION 21: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	302
Environmental Quality	302
SECTION 22: WASTE AND MINERALS	307
WASTE AND MINERALS	307
SECTION 23: TRANSPORT	319
LOCATION, LAYOUT AND ACCESSIBILITY	319
SUSTAINABLE MODES OF TRANSPORT	324
PROVIDING TRANSPORT CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE GROWTH	329

# Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal | **Draft April**

# 2013

DETERMINING AREAS FOR DEVELOPMENT AND ASSOCIATED TRANSPORT NEEDS	336
DEMAND MANAGEMENT - CAR PARKING PROVISION	
DEMAND MANAGEMENT – TRAVEL PLANNING	345
TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE TO MITIGATE LOCAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT	348
SECTION 24: COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE	352
COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE	352
SECTION 25: INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS	357
INFRASTRUCTURE	357
Developer Contributions.	362

NB: Text in **BOLD** delineates the preferred approach taken by the Local Plan Preferred Options and Alternatives Report (April 2013)

KEY	_	++	+	0	?	-		T
	Œ)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

## **Section 3: Spatial Vision and Outcomes**

Lo	cal Plan Vision (	Objectives) Compatibility Matrix			LOCAL PLAN VIS	ION (OBJECTIV	ES)	
SA	DBJECTIVES		Create Jobs and Grow the Economy	Get York Moving	Build Strong Commun- ities	Built Environ- ment	Natural Environ- ment	Natural Resources and Environ- mental Protection
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Show people.</li> </ul>	0	0	++	I	I	l
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population.	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;</li> <li>Improve access to open space / multi-functional open space;</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)</li> <li>Improves access to healthcare;</li> <li>Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;</li> <li>Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.</li> </ul>	+	+	++	0	++	++
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce.	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>	++	0	++	0	0	0
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy.	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;</li> <li>Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;</li> <li>Support existing employment drivers;</li> <li>Promote a low carbon economy.</li> </ul>	++	++	+	I .	I	ı
5	Help deliver equality and access to all.	<ul> <li>Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city;</li> <li>Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population;</li> <li>Provide affordable housing to meet demand;</li> <li>Help reduce homelessness;</li> <li>Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.</li> </ul>	+	++	++	0	0	0
6	Reduce the need to	Deliver development where it is accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to	++	++	++	+	+	+

_	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Lo	cal Plan Vision (	Objectives) Compatibility Matrix			LOCAL PLAN VIS	ION (OBJECTIV	'ES)	
SA	DBJECTIVES		Create Jobs and Grow the Economy	Get York Moving	Build Strong Commun- ities	Built Environ- ment	Natural Environ- ment	Natural Resources and Environ- mental Protection
	travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network.	minimise the use of the car;  Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable travel options;  Promote sustainable forms of travel;  Improve congestion.						
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects.	<ul> <li>Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources;</li> <li>Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;</li> <li>Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;</li> <li>Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;</li> <li>Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.</li> </ul>	-	+	-	+	+	++
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geo-diversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment.	<ul> <li>Protect and enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs;</li> <li>Protect and enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs);</li> <li>Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value;</li> <li>Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment;</li> <li>Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.</li> </ul>	1	0	I	0	++	++
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality.	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Protect or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>	I	0	I	I	++	++
10	Improve water efficiency and quality.	<ul> <li>Conserve water resources and quality;</li> <li>Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.</li> </ul>	-	0	-	0	++	++
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling.	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;</li> <li>Promote and increase resource efficiency.</li> </ul>	-	0	-	0	0	++
12	Improve air quality.	<ul> <li>Reduce all emissions to air from current activities;</li> <li>Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);</li> <li>Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure;</li> </ul>	1	++	I	0	0	++

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Lo	cal Plan Vision (	Objectives) Compatibility Matrix			LOCAL PLAN VIS	SION (OBJECTI	VES)	
SA C	BJECTIVES		Create Jobs and Grow the Economy	Get York Moving	Build Strong Commun- ities	Built Environ- ment	Natural Environ- ment	Natural Resources and Environ- mental Protection
		<ul> <li>Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;</li> <li>Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality;</li> <li>Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users;</li> <li>Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.</li> </ul>						
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York.	<ul> <li>Reduce risk of flooding;</li> <li>Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk;</li> <li>Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).</li> </ul>	_	0	1	0	++	++
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting.	<ul> <li>Preserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;</li> <li>Promote or enhance local culture;</li> <li>Preserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;</li> <li>Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.</li> </ul>	I	0	++	++	0	0
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built landscape.	<ul> <li>Preserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value</li> <li>Protect or enhance geologically important sites;</li> <li>Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.</li> </ul>	I	0	1	++	++	++
Cont	licts	Where conflicts between the Local Plan objectives and the SA objectives have been identified resources on the other. For example, those Local Plan objectives that relate to job creation an new housing and economic development, which may be incompatible with SA objectives 7, 10.  In some cases compatibility will depend on the implementation of the Local Plan objectives wi with respect to the location and form of new economic and housing development and the pot associated with growth.	d building strop and 11. thin the policie	ng communitions and proposa	es are likely to le	ead to increase	ed resource use cularly reflects u	associated with
Those SA objectives that are particularly well supported by the Local Plan objectives include SP Plan objectives on improving health, reducing the need to travel and enhancing transport information Local Plan objectives relating to economic development, transport and building strong commobjectives (SA objectives 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6) in particular whilst those Plan objectives relating were considered to be compatible with those SA objectives covering environmental issues as			istructure. inities were ide the protection	ntified as havi	ng a strong posi	tive relationsh	ip with the socio	o-economic SA
Sum	mary	Broadly, the Local Plan objectives are supportive of the SA objectives. No very incompatible o considered to be very compatible with one or more of the Local Plan objectives.		oeen identified	d during the asse	essment and a	ll of the SA obje	ctives were

KEY	++	+	0	?	-		1
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Local Plan Vision (	Objectives) Compatibility Matrix		I	LOCAL PLAN VIS	SION (OBJECTIV	VES)	
SA OBJECTIVES		Create Jobs and Grow the Economy	Get York Moving	Build Strong Commun- ities	Built Environ- ment	Natural Environ- ment	Natural Resources and Environ- mental Protection
	This assessment has identified some areas where possible tensions exist. Where tensions had the other, the need to protect and enhance the natural and built environment of York. How if development takes place in accordance with all of the Local Plan objectives and as such a considered in the development and implementation of the policies that comprise the Plan.	ever, any advers	se effects may	be mitigated, a	nd tensions be	tween the obje	ectives resolved,

### **Section 4: Sustainable Development**

Sı	ıstainable	Develo	opment						
SA	SA Objective						Option 2: Provide local level policy to guide sustainable development.		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	pol Prc and Loc nee Del	liver homes to meet the needs of the pulation in terms of quantity, quality; omote improvements to the existing d future housing stock; cate sites in areas of known housing ed; liver community facilities for the eds of the population; liver pitches required for Gypsies and evellers and Showpeople.	+	Permanent long term positive impact since it is assumed that NPPF will help bring about the development of new housing, associated with the presumption in favour of sustainable development.	+	It is assumed that a local level policy on sustainable development would guide development to the most sustainable locations, which would help to meet housing need in a sustainable way. This would have permanent and positive long term effects on this objective.		
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	env neg • Imp fun	oid locating development where vironmental circumstances could gatively impact on people's health; prove access to openspace / multi- nctional openspace omotes a healthier lifestyle though	+	Positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective, since the NPPF requires development to be approved in accordance with the principles of sustainable development.	++	A local level policy to guide development would have a long term and permanent significant positive impact on this objective, as development would be guided to the most sustainable locations and avoid any adverse health impacts. This would be more effective than applying the general principles of the NPPF.		

	++		+	0			,	-			I
	Very positiv	ve impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant			Negative impact likely	Very negative impact		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likel	У	how it is implemented
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective	<ul> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>				promotes	ne NPPF there is support for s sustainable communities i eent opportunities.	•	+		uld provide opportunities to support n and promote employment opportunities opment.
4	workforce Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	Help of succe     Delive for th     Delive growt     Enhar oppor     Provide for ecc     Suppor	deliver conditions for busine ss and investment; er a flexible and relevant wo e future; er and promote stable econocit; nce the city centre and its runities for business and leide the appropriate infrastrucionomic growth; ort existing employment drivers	orkforce omic sure; cture	+	permaner to deliver	NPPF to guide sustainable nt positive impacts on this or employment opportunities nent, for example.	objective since it will help	+	development would sustainable location sustainable growth	local level policy on sustainable d guide development to the most ns and this would help to ensure that is created. This would have a permanent mpact on this objective.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city;     Provide accessible services and facilities		the city; cilities et	+	address is to promo affordable of sustain	e NPPF to guide sustainable susues of inequality across Youte sustainable economic grie housing and greater choice able modes of transport. Assitive and permanent long.	ork, since the NPPF seeks owth, to provide te of housing, and the use all of these measures will	+	account of local leve	on sustainable development would take el issues in relation to inequality. There e positive and permanent long term ective.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable	Delive access and cocar;	er development where it is sible by public transport, wa ycling to minimise the use of the transport infrastructure were were transport infrastructure were were were were were were trans	fthe	+	significant The NPPF developm	NPPF to guide sustainable t and long term positive im promotes the use of public nents should be accessible to lp in reducing the need to t	pacts on this objective. transport and that new by public transport which	++	address in detail the of public transport,	to guide sustainable development would e need to reduce travel and maximise use and thereby have a significant positive, nanent impact on this objective.

	++			Ŭ	? -						
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	0		effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		y negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		like	ly	how it is implemented
7	integrated transport network  To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects  Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, biodiversity, geodiversit	Promise Redu emiss Redu emiss Plan of for the Proving renew techner and commate and commat	<ul> <li>Improve congestion.</li> <li>Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources;</li> <li>Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;</li> <li>Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;</li> <li>Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;</li> <li>Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.</li> <li>Protect and enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs;</li> <li>Protect and enhance locally important</li> </ul>			have posi to mitigat emissions long term	n the NPPF to guide sustain tive impacts on this object e the adverse consequenc	es of greenhouse gas e positive and permanent bjective.  nable development will ive, since the NPFF	+	It is assumed that a development would greenhouse gas em positive long term in the same of	local level policy on sustainable defactor in the need to minimise issions and thereby have a permanent and impact on this objective.  local level policy on sustainable defactor and the account of local level characteristics intural environment, and afford significant actural environment as a consideration for oment. Together with other SA objectives invironment there would be positive and
9	y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt  Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their	/ geo Improvinfras envir Provi acces  Re-us Preve and r conta	nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.  Re-use previously developed land; Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination; Safeguard soil quality, including the best		+	the effect previously despoiled land, whe	requires that 'planning po ive use of land by re-using developed' and to 'remed degraded, derelict, conta re appropriate'. Therefore tive and permanent long t	land that has been liate and mitigate minated and unstable e reliance on the NPPF will	**	It is assumed that a development would sustainable location developed land and also take account o	local level policy on sustainable direct development to the most as which include re-use of previously safeguard other land. Such a policy could flocal characteristics in this regard. There significant positive and permanent long
10	quality  Improve water	<ul><li>Prote</li><li>Safeg encor</li><li>Conse</li></ul>	ect or enhance allotments; guard mineral resources and urage their efficient use. erve water resources and qu ove the quality of rivers and		0	objective.		· 	0	term impacts on thi	s objective.

KEY	Very positiv	e impact Positive impact lik	Positive impact likely No sign no clea		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very	y negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented		
	incry		TTO CICC			mountaient mondation		inter	7	now it is implemented		
	efficiency and quality	groundwaters.										
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use recycling of waste;</li> <li>Promote and increase reso efficiency.</li> </ul>	ource	0	Ū	cant effect or clear link.		0	No significant effect or clear link.			
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>Reduce all emissions to air activities;</li> <li>Minimise and mitigate emifrom new development (in reducing transport emission low emission technologies)</li> <li>Support the development low emission infrastructure.</li> <li>Improve air quality in AQN prevent new designations;</li> <li>Avoid locating development could negatively impact or</li> <li>Avoid locating development existing poor air quality where the medical result in negative impacts of future occupants/users;</li> <li>Promote sustainable and in transport network to minimate the car.</li> </ul>	essions to air cluding ons through and fuels); of city wide e; MAS and on t where it a air quality; of tin areas of here it could on the health	+	have posit mitigate tl	n the NPPF to guide sustaina tive impacts on this objectiv he adverse impacts of clima sustainable forms of transp	re. The NPPF seeks to tee change and promotes	+	development would travel, to ensure the transport and that of possible. A local lev characteristics in te	local level policy to guide sustainable of factor in the need to reduce the need to at maximum use is made of public developments are as sustainable as yel policy could also take account of local rms of air quality and thereby have nent long term impacts on this objective.		
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	Reduce risk of flooding;     Ensure development locati does not negatively impact     Deliver or incorporate throust sustainable urban drainage (SuDs).	t on flood risk; ough design	+	adopt proc change, to on the NP positive an	requires that 'local plannin active strategies to mitigate aking full account of flood ri PF to guide sustainable dev nd permanent long term im	te and adapt to climate risk'. Therefore, relying evelopment would have  direct development to th avoid locations at risk of characterisitcs. This wou					
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic	<ul> <li>Preserve or enhance the sycharacter and setting of the</li> <li>Promote or enhance local</li> <li>Preserve or enhance design</li> </ul>	e historic city; culture;	+	requires the conser	affords protection to the hi hat local plans should inclu- rvation and enjoyment of the rement will have positive a	de 'a positive strategy for e historic environment'.	++	direct development thereby avoid adve	to guide sustainable development would to the most sustainable locations and rse impacts on York's historic environment, naracter and setting. This would have		

Append	lix 1: Local Plan SA - I	Policy Alternatives Ap	opraisal	Draft April 2013
	_		1	

++ 0				0			?	1				
KEY	Very positive likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	_	significant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely insufficient information					y negative impact ly	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
	environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul><li>setting</li><li>Preser</li><li>which</li><li>Charac</li></ul>	esignated heritage assets ar ;; ve or enhance those element contribute to the 6 Principle eteristics of the City as ident ritage Topic Paper.	nts e			rds to protecting York's hist eritage and character.	oric environment,		positive, long term	and permanent impacts on this objective.	
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Preser includi</li> <li>Protec import</li> <li>Promo with it line wi</li> </ul>	we or enhance the landscap ng areas of landscape value t or enhance geologically cant sites; te high quality design in cor s urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Setti the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	+	The NPPF requires that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment and to seek to minimise pollution and other adverse impacts on the local environment. Reliance on the NPPF to guide sustainable development would therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.  **A local level policy to guide sustainable direct development to the most sustainable in itself would help contribute positively to the have long term and permanent impacts. A local level policy to guide sustainable development to the most sustainable development would help contribute positively to the have long term and permanent impacts. A local level policy to guide sustainable development to the most sustainable development would help contribute positively to the have long term and permanent impacts. A local level policy to guide sustainable development to the most sustainable development to the most sustainable local in itself would help contribute positively to the have long term and permanent impacts. A local level policy to guide sustainable direct development to the most sustainable in itself would help contribute positively to the have long term and permanent impacts. A local level policy to guide sustainable direct development to the most sustainable direct development direct development direct deve						
Gene	eral				susta have	inable ecor a positive s	nomic growth, provide affor	dable housing, encourage ction of the environment, I	the use	e of sustainable transp	nt. The NPPF seeks to bring about ort, and stipulates that local plans should ace on the NPPF will therefore impact	
Prefe	erred Approach	1			impa						would be a long term and permanent ond in detail and effectively to specific	
						inable locat				•	directing development to the most refore have positive impacts on the	
					A local level policy to guide sustainable development would have positive social impacts since it would help to ensure that future development in York had a long lasting and positive impact, and to help York grow sustainably. Strong communities would be fostered where local characteristics and issues specific to York can be taken account of.							
Reco	mmendations			There were no adverse impacts identified from having a local policy on sustainable development and therefore there is no need for the policy wording to take account of any negative impacts.								

#### **SECTION 5: SPATIAL STRATEGY**

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Tł	ne York Su	ıb-Area						
SA	Objective		Option policy o	1 Rely on NPPF to guide development in the York sub-area (no option)	Option 2: Provide local level policy to guide development in the York sub-area			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	diverse population in terms of quantity, quality;  Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;  Locate sites in areas of known housing need;  Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;  Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.		This option would be expected to support the delivery of housing which is likely to help meet identified need, in accordance with policy contained within the NPPF. However, reliance on the NPPF to guide the quantum and location of development within the Sub Area would result in a lack of strategic direction. In consequence, the volume and spatial distribution of housing may be strongly market-led which could lessen the potential benefits associated with new housing development (i.e. the right volume and type of housing may not be located in areas with greatest need). There may also be wider implications for areas beyond the York Sub Area including, for example, Leeds whose housing markets are strongly related to those of the Sub Area. Overall, the option has therefore been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	++	This option is expected to help ensure that housing delivery meets identified need within the City of York. It is also considered that a localised approach will ensure that proper account is taken of the housing requirements of the wider Sub Area and beyond which is particularly pertinent given the strong relationship between the housing market areas of, for example, Leeds and Harrogate with York. Indirectly, this will also help to ensure that, at the subregional scale, housing delivery supports economic growth. Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.		
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;</li> <li>Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)</li> <li>Improves access to healthcare;</li> <li>Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;</li> <li>Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.</li> </ul>	+	The NPPF provides strong policy on the promotion of healthy communities including, for example, in respect of the integration of housing and economic uses with community facilities and services, open space provision as well as on pollution control. Therefore, it is expected that these principles would underpin the location of new development under this option, generating a positive effect in relation to this objective. However, without local strategic direction opportunities may be lost to ensure that new development is located in close proximity to health facilities and to create a network of green-space. Further, an un-coordinated approach may generate long term pressure on existing health facilities and open space and prevent new facilities being located in those areas within the Sub Area in greatest need.	+	It is expected that this option would help to ensure that the provision of health facilities and growth within the York Sub Area are aligned. Further, a localised policy approach will help to ensure that important linkages both within the City of York and extending to the Sub Area and beyond are maintained and enhanced thereby helping to ensure that health facilities are accessible. This option may is expected to help ensure that new development promotes healthier lifestyles through the provision of open space and networks of green infrastructure and through locating development in areas which encourage walking and cycling.  Overall, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.		
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>	-	There is currently pressure on educational facilities in York due to rising demand. Reliance on the NPPF to guide development may undermine efforts to plan positively for increased demand given the resulting uncertainty with respect to where new development would be located across the Sub Area. Further, a reliance on the NPPF may mean that opportunities to support further and higher education in the City (for example, through properly planned student	+	It is expected that this option would help to ensure that the provision of educational facilities and growth within the York Sub Area are aligned. Localised, strategic planning of the York Sub Area would also help to enable the strategic priorities of sub regionally important higher a further education institutions including the University of York to be implemented and associated economic benefits to the City and region realised in the medium to long term. Overall,		

,			0			5	-			1			
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely		nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very ne	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented		
							nodation) are lost. This has	•		this option has been assessed as having positive effect o			
						minor negative effect on this objective.				this objective.			
4	Create jobs and deliver	•	leliver conditions for busine ss and investment;	!SS	+		k Sub Area is an important tion would not necessarily of		++	As noted in respect of the assessment of Option 1, the York Sub Area is an important part of the regional economy and			
	growth of a		r a flexible and relevant wo	rkforco		•	Area. However, in not prop				is the main economic driver in the Sub		
	sustainable		e future;	rkioice			the main economic driver	, 0		,	ed in the York Sub Area Study. Specific		
	, low		r and promote stable econo	omic			er Sub Area and beyond, its				which properly defines the role and		
	carbon and	growth;				be lesse	ened for example, by not pr	oviding appropriate		economic priori	ties for the City of York in this context is		
	inclusive	Enhance the city centre and its				premise	es in the correct locations.	The approach under this		expected to sup	port economic growth within the Sub Area		
	economy	opportunities for business and leisure;					may also act as a barrier to				: helping to ensure that suitable		
		Provide the appropriate infrastructure					ucture required to support				nd and premises are provided alongside the		
		for economic growth;					, an absence of policy cover Area could undermine co-				equired to accommodate development; evelopment within and outside the City are		
			rt existing employment driv	ers;			ouring authorities including	•		-	to each other and cumulative benefits		
		• Promo	ote a low carbon economy.			_	ond and the realisation of				creasing accessibility to employment		
							nentary role these areas ca	•		•	ithin the City through enhanced public		
						success	of the region. Overall, this	option has been assessed		transport provis	ion. Further, policy provision may also help		
						as havir	ng a positive effect on this c	bjective.			oss-boundary benefits, both to other local		
											in the Sub Area and beyond including, for		
											, acting as conduit to co-operation on		
											mic matters and ensuring that development nents/enhances and does not undermine		
										•	erformance of areas such as Leeds. Overall,		
										•	peen assessed as having a significant		
										•	on this objective.		
5	Help	<ul> <li>Addre</li> </ul>	ss existing imbalances of eq	uality,	+	The NP	PF places a strong emphasis	on accessibility and in	+	This option will	help ensure that York's economic role is		
	deliver	depriv	ration and exclusion across t	the		•	uence this option is expecte	•			n-turn is expected to help generate		
	equality	city;				-	ective. However, it is consi	• •			portunities and deliver key services and		
	and access to all		le accessible services and fa	cilities			potentially undermine the a	,			Il help address deprivation across York and		
	to an		e local population;	o.t			York's economic role which	Rent to which any benefits		-	r, a localised policy approach may ensure inkages both within the City of York and		
		demai	le affordable housing to me	et			ew development address de	•		•	Sub Area and beyond are maintained and		
			educe homelessness;				e Sub Area as a whole and b	•		•	by helping to ensure that York's role as a		
			ote the safety and security f	or		• •	could result in developmen	•			realised and accessibility to it increased.		
			e and/or property.			accessil	ole locations (i.e. within Yor	k City Centre) or may result		This may be ach	lieved, for example, through the provision		
			, , ,				of investment in transport	•		•	nsport infrastructure that not only improves		
							y mean that new services,			•	the City but unlocks development outside		
							essible and could inhibit the	•		•	ry. Overall, this option has been assessed		
							development potential outs nstanding, given existing po	•		as naving a post	tive effect on this objective.		
							his option has been assesse						
							n this objective.						
6	Reduce the	Delive	r development where it is		+ -		PF places strong emphasis of	n accessibility as well on	+ -	A policy approa	ch that defines the role of York within the		
			•										

	++			0	? -							
KEY	Very positive	impact	Positive impact likely	_	ignificant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely			Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	and cycling to minimise the use of the car;  Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable travel options; Promote sustainable forms of travel; Improve congestion.  Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas				assume delivere positive localise strategi provisio less holi employ increase recogni: Sub Are Leeds to underm	ed in accordance with these effect on this objective. Not policy provision may affect of a cally for (and attract investing of new transport infrastrict in the plannent, services and facilities at travel. In this respect, it is the strong relationship of a and the need for co-opero influence transport investined by this option. Overald as having a mixed positive	nder this option would be a principles, generating a lotwithstanding, a lack of ct the ability to plan ament towards) the ructure and could lead to a ing of housing, and by extension, a is also important to with areas outside the York ration with areas such as tment which may be all, this option has been		priorities are ide noted above, the both within Yor Sub-Area and be unlock economic City boundary to identification of facilitate co-operauthorities and delivery of strate improvements to links to HS2. How may also increate that congestion Overall, this opti	Area will help to ensure that transport entified and delivered alongside growth. As its is expected to help enhance accessibility, k as well as between the City and the wider eyond. Additionally, the option may help it development opportunities beyond the hereby reducing in-commuting. Through the wider strategic priorities, this policy may eration between the Council, other local service provides thereby supporting the egic transport infrastructure such as to the Leeds-York-Harrogate rail line and owever, supporting growth within the City se congestion and in this respect it is noted delay is predicted to double by 2026.	
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>emiss</li> <li>Plan of for th</li> <li>Proving renew techn</li> <li>Prom mate and communications</li> </ul>	cions from all sources; or implement adaptation me e likely effects of climate che de and develop energy from vable, low and zero carbon ologies; ote sustainable design and be rials that manage the future onsequences of climate chaire to the principles of the en	easures ange; ouilding risks nge;	+ -	planning assume delivered positive this opt attract infrastru planning	g principles of the NPPF and that new development used in accordance with these effect on this objective. It investment towards) the putture and could lead to a g of housing, employment,	lowever, as noted above, o plan strategically for (and	+	As noted above transport priori growth which is within York as w Area and beyon unlock economi City boundary the expected to hell However, suppoincrease congestion delathis option has in the control of	gative effect on this objective.  , this option will help to ensure that ties are identified and delivered alongside expected to help enhance accessibility both well as between the City and the wider Subd. Additionally, the option may help to ic development opportunities beyond the hereby reducing in-commuting. This is preduce greenhouse gas emissions. Orting growth within the City may also stion and in this respect it is noted that y is predicted to double by 2026. Overall, been assessed as having a mixed positive fect on this objective.	
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected	r enhance reen infrastructu e, bio- iversity, eodiversit f, flora and auna for ccessible igh quality nd  nationally significant priority species at habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Protect and enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversit / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to			+	which a contain policy a plannin importa bounda	re likely to be afforded pro ed within the NPPF under pproach may hinder a stra g of green infrastructure a ant assets that extend beyo	this option. However, a no tegic approach to the and the conservation of and the City of York as been assessed as having	++	As noted under Area contains a option will ensu Further, it is exp a strategic appr across York and ensure, for exar maintained and habitat creation This option is ex within the City of expected to hel	the assessment of Option 1, the York Sub number of environmental assets. This are that these assets are conserved. Dected that the option would help promote loach to planning for green infrastructure the wider Sub Area. This may help to mple, that linkages between assets are enhanced and new opportunities for	

_	++		+	Ü			£ .	-			
KEY	Very positive impact likely		. ,		lo significant effect / o clear link		Uncertain or Negative impact insufficient information		Very negative impact likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	natural environme nt									having a signific	ant positive effect on this objective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preversion land a conta</li> <li>Safeg and n</li> <li>Prote</li> <li>Safeg</li> </ul>	e previously developed land; nt pollution contaminating t and remediate any existing mination; uard soil quality, including th nost versatile agricultural lan ct or enhance allotments; uard mineral resources and urage their efficient use.	he ne best	0	using la may un particul increase option l objectiv		y developed, this option relop Brownfield sites, Centre and could result in ield land. Overall, the g a neutral effect on this	+	regional and sul development be in-turn could re Greenfield land positive effect o	, this option would help York fulfil its b-regional role. This is expected to result in eing focused within the City Centre which duce the volume of development on . Overall, this has been assessed as having an this objective.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Impro	erve water resources and qua ove the quality of rivers and dwaters.	ality;	0	This op	•	e a significant effect on this	0	This option is no this objective.	ot expected to have a significant effect on
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recycl	ote reduction, re-use, recove ing of waste; ote and increase resource ency.	ery and	0	This op objectiv	•	e a significant effect on this	0	This option is no this objective.	ot expected to have a significant effect on
12	Improve air quality	·		to air ugh els); vide		strategi provisic less hol employ increase attemp respect	istic approach to the plann ment, services and facilitie ed travel and emissions to ts to strategically address a	ement towards) the ructure and could lead to a ing of housing, s and by extension, air. It may also undermine air quality issues including in As (e.g. by achievement of a	+ -	identified and dexpected to hel well as between beyond. Additice economic develoundary there to help reduce of	help to ensure that transport priorities are elivered alongside growth which is p enhance accessibility both within York as a the City and the wider Sub-Area and onally, the option may help unlock opment opportunities beyond the City by reducing in-commuting. This is expected emissions to air. Further, the option would nated investment in strategic transport

consequence, the option has been assessed as having a

negative effect on this objective.

prevent new designations;

of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use

Avoid locating development where it

could negatively impact on air quality;

Avoid locating development in areas of

existing poor air quality where it could

result in negative impacts on the health

infrastructure and may help to address strategic air quality

issues. However, supporting growth within the City may

development both in the short term during construction

Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed

and in the longer term as a result of increased congestion.

also increase emissions to air arising from new

positive and negative effect on this objective.

$\top$	<b>++</b>		+ O	0			?	-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e imp	act	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	cant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely		Very n	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely				no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
		ı					1						
			of the			•							
13	Minimise	•		e risk of flooding;		0		the York Sub Area are subject to the York Sub Area Study ideast	•	+	•	spected to complement a catchment-wide	
	flood risk and reduce	•		development location and				e York Sub Area Study ident als may be impacted by the				nning for flood risk across the York sub Area assessed as having a minor positive effect	
	the impact			ot negatively impact on floo				d that in order to improve t			on this objective	3 .	
	of flooding	•		r or incorporate through de	_			flooding, it will be importar			On this objective		
	of flooding sustainable urban drainage systems to people (SuDs).							proach. Such a catchment	•				
	and (Subs).							ined should a "no policy" a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	property in							er, it is recognised that the N					
	York						develop	ment in areas at risk of floo	ding and in consequence				
							the opti	on has been assessed as ha	ving a neutral effect on				
							this obje						
14	Conserve	•		ve or enhance the special		+		k Sub Area contains a large		++		the assessment of Option 1, the York Sub	
	or enhance			ter and setting of the histor				Policy within the NPPF wou				large number of historic built assets.	
	York's historic	•		te or enhance local culture	•			their protection under this o				cted that the option would result in eing focused within the City of York which	
	environme	•		ve or enhance designated a			_	trategic approach, opportund to utilise them to promo				sk of assets being adversely affected by new	
	nt, cultural		setting	esignated heritage assets ar 	ia trieir			lost. Overall, the option ha				n balance it is considered that this option	
	heritage,		_	,, ve or enhance those eleme	nts			ng a positive effect on this o				these assets are conserved and, where	
	character			contribute to the 6 Principle					,		possible, enhan	ced. Further, it is also expected that the	
	and setting			teristics of the City as ident							option will help	ensure that wider economic benefits	
			the He	ritage Topic Paper.							_	o tourism are capitalised upon. This is likely	
											_	nomic benefits to the City of York, the Sub	
											•	d. Overall, this has been assessed as having	
45	6					0	Th		de contra de Colo Acono		<u> </u>	sitive effect on this objective.	
15	Conserve and	•		ve or enhance the landscap		0		in landscape designations re k Green Belt and the Howar		+		on is expected to result in a focus of ithin York which has the potential to	
	enhance			ng areas of landscape value t or enhance geologically	2			iding Natural Beauty (AONB			•	nportant character, it is envisaged that a	
	York's			ant sites;				ape and strategic green wed				ensure that landscape and townscape is	
	natural and			te high quality design in co	ntext			ince. Whilst the NPPF seeks	= =			enhanced. Further, in focusing	
	built			s urban and rural landscape			•	er, opportunities may be los	•			ithin the City boundary, the option may	
	landscape			th the "landscape and Setti			landsca	pes including the urban are	a with its rural fringe.		indirectly prote	ct the landscape character of rural areas. In	
				the Heritage Topic Paper.	J		Further,	, there is a risk that under th	nis option there may be		consequence, tl	ne option has been assessed as having a	
							increase	ed development beyond the	City of York which could		positive effect of	on this objective.	
								ine local landscape charact					
								the option has been assess	ed as having a neutral				
-	1					This co		n this objective.	dans torre authors for the We	al. Code A	an manahii Dali in	a the NIDDE to evide development is the	
Gen	General						This component of the spatial strategy considers two options for the York Sub Area namely: Rely on the NPPF to guide development in the						
						York Sub Area (Option 1); and Provide local level policy to guide development in the York Sub Area (Option 2).							
						Option 1							
						No significant positive effects have been identified in respect of this option. The NPPF includes a range of policy measures designed to, inter-							
												onment and address climate change. In	
						·							

KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
			1), health (Obje However, the Y driver) and its obenefits associate boundary, strate Region.  The option is not (Objective 3), doing quality (Objective 7) gives approach to incomplete to the properly given its role as approach as proservices require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are driver and services require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as approach as proservices require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as a services require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as a services require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as a services require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as a services require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as a services require and not hinder, education (Objective 7) are option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective 7) are desired as a service of the option was (Objective	ective 2), employment (Objectork Sub Area is an important connections with the wider Subted with development (e.g. segic planning which is particular to the potential for new dective 12), as a result of potential for new dective 12), as a result of potential segment on the one hand, the structure of the potential assessed as having mixed power, on the one hand, the structure assessed as having a sipplective 8) and cultural herital defines the role and economic the Area's main economic domoted under this option word to accommodate growth, I the priorities of other local accive 3), equality (Objective of expected to generate any seassessed as having mixed possessed as having mixed posses	tive 4), equality (Objective part of the regional econor ib Area and beyond, growth strategic transport infrastrularly pertinent given the standard pertinent given the NPPF gradient positive effect on ge (Objective 14). This prinic priorities for the City of Virver and important linkage and support the co-ordinate pelping to ensure that devent gradient	5), biodiversity (Objective 8) my and in not properly defin h in the Sub Area may be reacture provision) undermine trong linkages the Sub Area Minor negative effects wery planned, to result in increato air.  on objectives relating to traplaced on accessibility, and objectives relating to housing linkages the Leeds City Region. Sets to the Leeds City Region. Set of the Leeds City Region.	including in respect of housing (Objective 1) and cultural heritage (Objective 14).  In the role of York (as the main economic duced and opportunities to capitalise on id. Further, this option may hinder cross has with, for example, the Leeds City  The identified in respect of education assed pressure on educational facilities, and insport (Objective 6) and climate change on the other, the potential for a no policy on the other, the potential for a no policy in gassumption that specific policy coverage growth in the City, the Sub Area and beyond it is also expected that a more strategic (including green) infrastructure and sible locations. It would also complement, iffied in respect of health (Objective 2), and landscape (Objective 15).  Insport (Objective 6), climate change increase accessibility, and on the other, the
Prefe	rred Approach		Environme strategic a potential in the Sub Authat devel      Social Impakey infrasi	ental Impact: The preferred of approach to enhancing these for negative effects on climat Impact: This option would deab Area. Further, policy provers and beyond including, for lopment in York complement act: A more strategic approact	ption will help to conserve assets and capitalising upo te change and air quality during the role and economic ision may also help deliver example, Leeds, acting as and does not undermine that as promoted under the pd to accommodate growth	the City's important built are in the economic opportunitive to increased congestion was priorities for the City of You wider cross-boundary beneficonduit to co-operation on the economic performance preferred option would suppland would help to ensure the	rk, supporting economic growth in the City fits, both to other local authorities within strategic economic matters and ensuring of other areas.  port the co-ordinated provision of housing, hat development is located in accessible

	++	+	0		?		-				1
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant effect /	Uncertain o	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clea	r link	insufficient information	n		likely			how it is implemented
_		_						•			
Rec	ommendations			<ul> <li>Define the region</li> <li>Set out, brown include proto assets be</li> <li>Promote a set of the region</li> </ul>	adly, where and how how vision for the protection a eyond the City's boundari strategic approach to tran	usin and ies nspo	sub Area and the linkages on greeds are to be met enhancement of built and	natural rovision	environm	ental asse	Regions and York and North Yorkshire Sub ets within the City of York as well as linkages t the need to address deprivation

#### **Drivers of Growth**

Alternatives relating to future housing and employment growth are considered in Sections 8-10

SA Objective			on 1 Prioritise social and nomic spatial principles	•	2 Prioritise nmental spatial principles	appro	n 3 Take a balanced pach to the identified al principles	•	n 4 Prioritise viability and rability development
To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Show people.</li> </ul>	**	Under this option the delivery of housing to meet community needs would be prioritised which would have a positive effect on this objective. This option may support higher levels of housing supply relative to Options 2, 3 and 4 thereby helping to meet longer term affordable housing need. Further, in prioritising growth over environmental factors, opportunities may arise to accommodate additional housing demand from neighbouring authorities which is	-	An approach that prioritises the protection and enhancement of built and natural environmental assets could serve to restrict the quantum of new development and the ability of the Plan to meet housing needs across the local authority area (as a result of environmental constraints). In this context, it is noted that the North Yorkshire Strategic Housing	**	Evidence contained within the SHLAA indicates that under a balanced approach that applies equal weight to spatial principles, this option would enable the delivery of sufficient land to accommodate the housing requirements over the plan period within the City of York. However, this approach may limit the ability of the plan to deliver the higher levels of growth needed to address affordable	+	This option would effectively let the market dictate the location of development. Whilst this is likely to mean that sufficient sites come forward during the plan period to meet needs both within the City of York and, potentially, beyond and is likely to help to ensure that land identified for housing development does come forward during the plan period, in not providing clear strategic direction, there is a risk that need

<b>\</b>	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely			effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	,	egative impact		0	e impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	nplemei	nted
						the linkag housing n Overall, the	ly pertinent given ges between narket areas. his option has been as having a t positive effect on tive.		Market Assessment (NYSHMA) demonstrates that there is affordable housing need in all markets areas within the city – Central York, Suburban York and York Villages, with the largest need in Suburban York. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.		housing need. opportunities t accommodate a growth from neighbouring a would be likely limited due to environmental constraints.  Taking account scale of new ho development tl be supported b option, it has b assessed as has significant posi on this objectiv	o additional uthorities to be of the busing hat could y this een ving a tive effect		may not be met in specific areas. Overall, this option has therefore been assessed as having a positive effect only on this objective.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population.	enviro negati Improv functic Promo access cycling Improv Provid for res Ensure	ocating development wher nmental circumstances cou vely impact on people's hea ve access to open space / m anal open space; tes a healthier lifestyle tho to leisure opportunities (w c); ves access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and sidents; that land nination/pollution does not eptable risks to health.	uld alth; nulti- ugh valking /	+ -	social space option we to enhance well-bein (e.g. thronew deverserved by services in space and encourage cycling). tensions in approach the need and econthe one heto protect avoid devolucations adverse in health (su AQMAs) of the option option of the services in the services of the ser	sing economic and atial principles, this build be expected to the health and g of the population ugh ensuring that elopment is well a facilities and including open d is located so as to e walking and However, inherent may exist with this with for, example, to deliver housing omic growth on land and the need to open space and a velopment in that could have mpacts on human uch as within on the other.	+ -	The focus of this option on the protection and enhancement of environmental assets may indirectly help to promote healthier lifestyles, particularly through the protection (and provision of new) green infrastructure. Further, in seeking to improve accessibility and prevent unacceptable levels of congestion and pollution, this option could encourage walking and cycling, minimising adverse impacts on human health arising from, for example, air quality.  Nonetheless, there is also the potential for	+	A balanced approved would be expessed to deliver development to served and acceptance and acceptance and acceptance are degree of flexillocation choice enable, for examost important space to be retwilst delivering development to needs. In constitus option has assessed as hapositive effect objective.	oroach cted to new hat is well essible, ositive objective. also likely ater bility in e to umple, the it open ained, ng o meet equence, been ving a		This option would lead to development being located at the most viable sites. This could result in the increased development of Greenfield land (and open space) as well as development in relatively inaccessible locations sites. Further, in prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could hinder strategic planning and delivery of green infrastructure.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.

	++	+	0		;						I		
KEY	Very positive impac	t Positive impact likely	No significar	t effect /	Uncertain	or		Negative impact likely	,	egative impact		_	e impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient inform	ation	ㅗ		likely		how it is in	nplemer	nted
				mixed po	essed as having a sitive and negative this objective.			this option to hinder the delivery of new health services and the prioritisation of environmental protection could result in tensions between the need to avoid over development of the urban area (e.g. to minimise adverse impacts on air quality) and the priority to conserve environmenta assets.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.					
3	education, skills developme nt and training for	Provide good education and trainic poportunities for all; Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.	er	expected that new accessible facilities. would preconomicals obeing to meet restudent a Further, the likely topporture complem City's eduinstitution supportire Sector, for accessible to the supportion of the su	ent or support the cational ns, e.g. by g the Science City or example, by copportunities for	•		This option could serve to focus new development within the existing urban area and villages in order to avoid adverse environmental impacts. This may help to ensure that new sites are well located to existing educational facilities. By restricting growth, the option may also reduce pressure on primary school places. However, the option could also restrict the provision of new facilities both by limiting the availability of sites to accommodate facilities		As under Optio balanced approwould be expected help ensure that development is accessible to ecfacilities and development is accessible to ecfacilities and development factories and development accompany further, the opwould be expected provide opport complement or the City's institute.g. by support Science City Sectories of Sectories apositive effective.	ducational elivers illities to luding for modation. tion teed to unities to support utions ing the ctor.		In prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could deliver growth that is not accessible to educational facilities. Further, the option may hinder strategic planning and delivery of educational services and facilities which has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.

$\top$	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEV	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive o	r negativ	e impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
	Canta iska					assessed a positive e objective.			and restricting larger developments at the edge or beyond the urban area which could incorporate new educational provision.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.		Acuardos Ostion	-1 iki-		Under this action it is
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy.	succes Delive for the Delive growt Enhan oppor Provid for ecc Suppo	deliver conditions for business and investment; or a flexible and relevant wo e future; or and promote stable economic, ince the city centre and its tunities for business and leidle the appropriate infrastruction on mic growth; ort existing employment drivote a low carbon economy.	orkforce omic sure; cture		expected delivery o Economic would enawithin advantage of the Economic Committee of the Economic	uring, science and financial and hal services, and hal services, and hal leisure sectors ance with 2 detailed in York and Retailing halysis and Work). Prioritising growth ahead of ental protection to ensure that the employment sites available thereby g the expansion of s businesses and		An approach that prioritises the protection and enhancement of built and natural environmental assets could serve to restrict the quantum of new economic development and the delivery of a range of suitable sites which in turn may impede the ability of the plan to deliver the York Economic Strategy. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.  Notwithstanding, this option would serve to protect and enhance the built and natural environmental which is an important local economic driver.	**	As under Option expected that a approach would enable the delix the York Econor Strategy and su faster rates of grequired in advisual and professions services, and to and leisure sect Whilst site choic be more restrict relative to Option order to take accenvironmental constraints, evic contained within Employment La Review indicate there would still suitable range comeet needs.  Under this optic anticipated that balance could be between protecnatural and buil	balanced d also very of mic pport the rowth anced science nancial al urism ors. ce may ted on 1 in count of dence n the nd is that I be a of sites to on, it is t a e struck ction of	+	Under this option it is considered reasonable to assume that employment land would be brought forward to support economic growth. However, there is a risk that poor strategic direction could serve to undermine wider plan objectives, for example should development be directed away from the city centre. Further, without good strategic direction, this approach may undermine the planning and delivery of the infrastructure required to support growth.  An approach that focuses on viability could result in the loss of existing or future sites to housing.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this

	++		+	0			Ş		-				1		
KEY		e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain	or				negative impact		_	re impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient informa	ation			likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
5	Help deliver equality and access to all.	deprivicity; Provide for the Provide dema Help in Promi	ess existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across to de accessible services and fall elocal population; de affordable housing to meind; reduce homelessness; ote the safety and security for elocation and and according to the property.	cilities	++	there may tensions in need to p growth on the need enhance in natural er plays an in attracting investmen important the local oright) on the oright of the need enhance of the need enhanc	nt and is an a component of economy in its own the other.  In this option has been as having a trouble to tive.  For of this option to help deliver and accessibility the provision of employment and and facilities to an accessed as having ant positive effect.	+		This option could serve, indirectly, to focus new development within the existing urban area and within some of the City's most deprived wards. Further, the option would help to prioritise the promotion of accessibility and sustainable modes of transport. However, in constraining growth the option is considered likely to hinder the ability of the Plan to meet community needs for housing and employment in particular which could undermine any positive effects on this objective. Further, by limiting the availability	++	environmental and their prom attract econom growth.  Overall, this op been assessed a significant po effect on this of the seek to deliver development to served and acc Further, the op would be antichelp meet plan requirements thousing and ed development, appropriate group be directed to need.  Overall, this op been assessed a significant po effect on this of	otion to nic stion has as having sitive bjective.  oroach cted to new hat is well essible, otion ipated to a conomic enabling owth to areas in otion has as having estive	-	In prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could deliver growth that is not accessible to services and facilities. Further, in not providing clear strategic direction, there is a risk that needed investment could be drawn away from deprived areas. This option could also hinder strategic planning and delivery of services and facilities which has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.

_	++		+	0		?		-			Ι		
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign no clear	ficant effect /	Uncertain insufficient inform	or ation	Negative impact likely	Very n likely	egative impact	Positive o how it is i	_	ve impact depending on
	likely			110 clear	IIIK	msumcient imorni	ation		likely		110W It 15 I	преше	itted
								of sites to accommodate facilities and restricting larger developments at the edge or beyond the urban area, this option could also restrict the ability of new development to incorporate service provision.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.					
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network.	access and cy car; Delive suppo	r development where it is ible by public transport, wa reling to minimise the use of r transport infrastructure wrts sustainable travel option te sustainable forms of travel congestion.	lking the hich	social sp option w to delive transpor includes accessib investme transpor infrastru tensions the neec and the this grov significal congesti Further, needs ou urban ar could red develope unsustai as small		+	By constraining growth this option is likely to support the delivery of a more compact form of development within the existing urban area This is likely to promote accessibility and the use of sustainable modes of transport. However, the option could lead to increased development pressure within the urban area and increased congestion.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.		A balanced app would be expected to deliver development the served, accessiful supports the usustainable put transport. The has therefore be assessed as have positive effect to objective.	eted to new nat is well ple and e of plic option een ing a		This option is considered likely to lead to the delivery of a greater number of sites in locations that would increase the need to travel relative to the other options. Further, in prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could hinder strategic planning and delivery of transport infrastructure.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
_	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient inform	ation		likely		how it is i		
				1			•		•	<u> </u>		1	•	
						Overall, tl	nis option has been							
						assessed	as having a							
						positive e	ffect on this							
						objective.								
7	То	Redu	ce or mitigate greenhouse ga	as	-	As noted	above, in	+	The approach	+	A balanced app	roach	-	This option is considered
	minimise		sions from all sources;			prioritisin	g economic and		underpinning this		would be expe	ted to		likely to lead to the
	greenhouse	Plan	or implement adaptation me	asures		social spa	tial principles, this		option would be		seek to deliver	new		delivery of a greater
	gases that		ne likely effects of climate cha				ould be expected		expected to seek to		development t	nat is well		number of sites in
	cause	Provi	de and develop energy from			to deliver	a sustainable		minimise greenhouse		served, accessi	ble and		locations that would
	climate	renev	wable, low and zero carbon			transport	solution which		gas emissions. In this		supports the us	se of		increase the need to
	change and	techr	nologies;			includes o	levelopment in		respect, and by		sustainable pul	olic		travel, generating
	deliver a	Prom	ote sustainable design and b	uilding		accessible	e locations and		constraining growth,		transport.			additional greenhouse
	managed		rials that manage the future			investme	nt in		this option is likely to		Notwithstandi	-		gas emissions relative to
	response to	and c	onsequences of climate char	nge;		transport	ation		reduce emissions		meeting develo	pment		the other options.
	its effects.	<ul> <li>Adhe</li> </ul>	re to the principles of the en	ergy			ture and that		relative to the other		needs will resu			Further, in prioritising
		hiera	rchy.			•	imise greenhouse		options. The option		increased gree	nhouse		viability and
						U	ions. However,		may also support the		gas emissions.			deliverability, this option
							may exist between		delivery of a more					could hinder strategic
							to deliver growth		compact form of		Overall, this op			planning and delivery of
							eed to ensure that		development within the		been assessed	_		sustainable transport
						_	th does not		existing urban area.		a mixed positiv			infrastructure.
						-	tly increase		This is likely to promote		negative effect	on this		
						_	n within the City.		accessibility and the		objective.			Overall, this option has
						,	n order to meet		use of sustainable					been assessed as having
							tside the main a, this option		modes of transport. However, it should be					a negative effect on this objective.
							alt in increased		noted that the option					objective.
							ent in more		could lead to increased					
						•	able locations such		development pressure					
							ettlements that		within the urban area					
							propriate range of		and increased					
						-	An approach that		congestion and					
							by growth may also		associated emissions to					
							greenhouse gas		air.					
						-	arising from							
						additiona	l development		Overall, this option has					
						relative to	the other		been assessed as					
						options.			having a positive effect					
									on this objective.					
							nis option has been							
							as having a							
							effect on this							
						objective.								

	++		+	0			?		-				1		
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	n	egative impact	Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient inform	ation		likely	y		how it is i	mpleme	nted
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio-diversity, geo-diversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt.	natio habit and S Prote natur Creat / geo Impri infras envir	ect and enhance international prailly significant priority specitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS, SSSIs; ect and enhance locally impore conservation sites (SINCs); te new areas or site of bio-divo-diversity value; ove connectivity of green structure and the natural connent; ide opportunities for people to structural environment.	ies and ARs rtant versity		socio-eco above env In conseq anticipate to maxim meet com		++	This option would prioritise the protection and enhancement of environmental assets. In consequence, it has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.	+	-	A balanced app likely to suppor protection of er assets and avoit significant adversimpacts on hab species. However, seeking to mee community need eliver economy growth, the oppresult in more ladverse impact biodiversity.  Overall, this op been assessed a mixed positive negative effect	et the cological d erse itat or ver, in t eds and oic tion may ocalised es on tion has as having e and		In prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could result in growth and sites being brought forward that adversely affect ecological assets. Further, this option may hinder strategic planning and delivery of green infrastructure.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality.	<ul> <li>Preventant</li> <li>land</li> <li>contant</li> <li>Safeg</li> <li>Prote</li> <li>Safeg</li> </ul>	se previously developed land, ent pollution contaminating t and remediate any existing amination; guard soil quality, including the most versatile agricultural lanect or enhance allotments; guard mineral resources and urage their efficient use.	he ne best	-	social spa option wo to deliver accessible likely to h developm area and to of Brownf However, communi- maximise is likely to developm areas of G in conseq has been	seeking to meet ty needs and economic growth require the ent of substantial freenfield land and uence, this option assessed as having e effect on this	+	As noted above, this option would prioritise the protection and enhancement of environmental assets. Allied with the delivery of a more compact form of development within the existing urban area, this option is likely to encourage the reuse of previously developed land which has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	+	-	objective.  This option is li deliver develop both within the area, making us previously develand, and (in or meet needs) at Greenfield sites has been assess having a mixed and negative et this objective.	oment e urban se of eloped der to s. This sed as positive	-	In prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could result in increased development on Greenfield land relative to Options 1, 2 and 3. This has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality.	• Impr	erve water resources and qua ove the quality of rivers and ndwater.	ality;	?	Effects on considere and will d	this objective are d to be uncertain epend on the scale and type of	++	This option would prioritise the protection and enhancement of environmental assets.	?		Effects on this of are considered uncertain and with the depend on the	to be vill	Ş	Effects on this objective are considered to be uncertain and will depend on the location,

_	++		+	0			?			-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	r	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient inform	ation	1		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
															_
						new deve	elopment to be ward.			In consequence, it has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.		scale and type development to taken forward.			scale and type of new development to be taken forward.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling.	recycli • Promo efficie	Promote reduction, re-use, recovery an recycling of waste; Promote and increase resource efficiency.  Reduce all emissions to air from current activities; Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through			to have a effects or	on is not expected ny significant n this objective.	?		This option is not expected to have any significant effects on this objective.	?	This option is n expected to ha significant effec objective.	ve any cts on this	?	This option is not expected to have any significant effects on this objective.
12	Improve air quality.	activit Minim from r reduci low er Suppo low er Impro prever Avoid could Avoid existin result of futu Promo	ies; nise and mitigate emissions in the development (including transport emissions throm its the development of city which is the development of city which is the development of city which is the development of city where air quality in AQMAs and in the designations; locating development when negatively impact on air qualicating development in are good air quality where it come in negative impacts on the lare occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrationt network to minimise the	to air  sough els); wide  e it ality; eas of could health		prioritisin social spa option we to deliver transport includes of accessible investme transport infrastruct minimise. However, between growth at ensure the does not increase of the City. to meet r main urbicould residevelopm unsustain as small slack an agreevices. is driven lincrease of increase of increa		+		The approach underpinning this option would be expected to seek to enhance air quality. In this respect, by constraining growth this option is likely to reduce emissions relative to the other options. The option may also support the delivery of a more compact form of development within the existing urban area. This is likely to promote accessibility and the use of sustainable modes of transport. However, it should be noted that the option could lead to increased development pressure within the urban area including at locations with existing air quality issues, increased congestion and associated emissions to air.		A balanced app would be expedeliver new development to served, accession supports the usustainable pultransport. Notwithstanding meeting development in locations with air quality issue Overall, this op been assessed a mixed positive negative effect objective.	hat is well ble and se of blic ng, ppment lt ic and r and, n existing es. tion has as having e and		This option is considered likely to lead to the delivery of a greater number of sites in locations that would increase the need to travel, generating additional emissions to air relative to the other options. Further, in prioritising viability and deliverability, this option could hinder strategic planning and delivery of sustainable transport infrastructure.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.

	++		+	0			Ş		-				1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain	or		,	,	gative impact		_	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely	/		how it is i	mpleme	nted
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York.	<ul><li>Ensur does</li><li>Delive</li></ul>	ice risk of flooding; re development location and not negatively impact on flo er or incorporate through de inable urban drainage syster s).	od risk; esign		the pressionew deverages affer quality issues a feet quality issues and the seed objective. Parts of Y surroundi significant It is antici option will develop me land whice surface we conseque However, of new deknown efficonsidere Further, it expected develop me incorpora flood risk measures FRA. Ove has there	nis option has been as having a effect on this ork and its ng area are at trisk of flooding. pated that this II result in the tent of Greenfield h could increase ater runoff and, in nce, flood risk. until the location evelopment is fects are d to be uncertain. It would be that any tent would te appropriate prevention, informed by a rall, this option fore been assessed a neutral effect on	+	been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.  This option would seek to ensure that flood risk is managed as a priority. Further, the option is likely to constrain growth within the urban area, limiting the release of Greenfield sites. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	0		Parts of York an surrounding are significant risk of flooding. It is anticipated that option will resu development of Greenfield land could increase swater runoff an consequence, fl However, until focation of new development is effects are cons be uncertain. F would be expeciany development incorporate app flood risk preve measures, infor FRA. Overall, thas therefore be assessed as hav	a are at of this lt in the f which surface d, in ood risk. the known idered to urther, it ted that nt would propriate ntion med by a his option een	0	Parts of York and its surrounding area are at significant risk of flooding. It is anticipated that this option will result in the development of Greenfield land which could increase surface water runoff and, in consequence, flood risk. However, until the location of new development is known effects are considered to be uncertain. Further, it would be expected that any development would incorporate appropriate flood risk prevention measures, informed by a FRA. Overall, this option has therefore been assessed as having a
14	Conserve	Prese	erve or enhance the special		_	In prioritis	sing socio-	++	This option would	+		objective. A balanced app	roach is	_	objective. Under this option there
	or enhance	11030	acter and setting of the histor	ric city;		economic	principles, this		prioritise the protection			likely to suppor	t the		may be an increase in
	York's		note or enhance local culture	•		•	uld lead to an		and enhancement of			protection of he	•		development outside the
	historic environme		erve or enhance designated a designated heritage assets ar				risk of adverse cultural heritage		York's built environmental assets. In			assets and avoid significant adve			main urban area which could help to reduce
	nt, cultural	settir		iu tileli			example, as a		consequence, it has been			impacts on this			pressure on heritage
	heritage,		erve or enhance those eleme	nts		result of i			assessed as having a			objective. How	ever, in		assets. However, in

KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No signif	ficant effect / ink	ffect / Uncertain or insufficient information		Negative impact likely					e or negative impact depending on s implemented	
	inciy		<u> </u>						intely	1			picirie	
	character and setting.	Charac	contribute to the Six Princip cteristics of the City as ident critage Topic Paper.		within the due to the developm outside the area that setting are of York.  Under this also be in respect of promote on the on need to complays an information attracting investment important the local oright) on the one of the oright of the local oright or the local oright of the local oright or the local oright or the local oright of the local original ori	nt and is an t component of economy in its own the other. his option has been as having a effect on this		significant positive effect on this objective.			seeking to meet community needs and deliver economic growth, the option may potentially increase pressure on some heritage assets.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.			prioritising viability and deliverability, this option may also bring forward development that adversely affects assets as well as the setting and special character of York.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built landscape.	includi Protec import Promo with it	ve or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value tor enhance geologically sant sites; at high quality design in corsi urban and rural landscape the "landscape and Setting the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	economic option co increased effects or this optio be inhere respect or promote on the on need to co enhance in natural erior continuation in the continuation of the	sing socio- c principles, this uld lead to an l risk of adverse n landscape. Under n there may also int tensions in f the need to economic growth he hand and the onserve and the built and nvironment (which mportant role in t inward	++	This option would prioritise the protection and enhancement of York's built and natural environmental assets. In consequence, it has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.	+	-	A balanced app likely to suppor protection and enhancement of landscape and townscape and significant adve impacts on this objective. How seeking to mee community need deliver economing growth, the opi potentially incr pressure on lan	et the avoid erse ever, in t eds and ic tion may ease	-	In prioritising viability and deliverability, this option may bring forward development that adversely affects local landscape character, visual amenity and the setting and special character of York.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.

0

Τ.	++	+	0		?	-		1
KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significa no clear link		Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
Gene	ral		Thi	importan the local right) on Overall, t assessed negative objective		iders four options with res	character.  Overall, this o been assessed a mixed positi negative effect objective.	as having ve and
			ecc print Opr Ref (Ob rela No env cult inci (rel Thi wit avc Opr Thi ass (Ob use Thi ide bui nee Thi (Ob	conomic spatia nciples (Option 1 flecting the projective 1), en ating to educate significant new ronmental Stural heritage reased risk of lative to the control of the cont	Il principles (Option 1); Prio on 3); and Prioritise viability on 3); and Prioritise viability riority of this option on socian ployment (Objective 4) and ation (Objective 3) and transequive effects were identified Objectives including clime (Objective 14) and landscated adverse effects and development of the options).  Assessed as having mixed puch with for, example, the number in locations that could have a significant positive effects were also id and flood risk (Objective 1) and toonsidered likely to generate of housing (Objective 1) are reconomic growth, particular economic growth economic	ritise environmental spatial and deliverability developmental and deliverability developmental spatial and deliverability developmental spatial principled equality and accessibility sport (Objective 6).  ed although more minor neate change (Objective 7), bipe (Objective 15). This primpment pressure on York's loositive and negative effects end to deliver housing and have adverse impacts on humand enhancement of York's lect on biodiversity (Objective entified in respect of objections).  Interact any significant negat of and employment (Objective derive to restrict the quantilarly taking into account the	principles (Option 2); Takement (Option 4).  les, it has been assessed as (Objective 5). Positive effects have been as iodiversity (Objective 8), larncipally reflects the option's built and natural environments on health (Objective 2) where the option of the original property of the option of the original property of the option of the o	a balanced approach to the identified spatial having a significant positive effect on housing cts were also identified in respect of objectives assessed against the majority of the id use (Objective 9), air quality (Objective 12), a emphasis on growth which could lead to an ental assets and increased emissions to air eith reflects the inherent tensions that may exist the hand and the need to protect open space and ental assets. In consequence, it has been cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape Objective 6), climate change (Objective 7), land ejectives. However, minor negative effects were fortitises the protection and enhancement of and the ability of the Plan to meet housing

KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented					
	likely		110 Clear IIIIK	insumcient information		пксту	now it is implemented					
			equality and acc delivery of suffic identified in resp This option was balanced approa	essibility (Objective 5) as a becient land to accommodate to be detect of health (Objective 2), not assessed as having any each under this option however.	palanced approach that appl the City's housing and emplo education (Objective 3) and significant negative or minor er, mixed positive and nega	lies equal weight to spatial opment requirements over transport (Objective 6).  In negative effects on any of tive effects were identified	ective 1), employment (Objective 4) and principles would be expected to enable the the plan period. Further positive effects were the SA Objectives. Reflecting the more across several objectives including climate ultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape					
			development. V requirements w	Vhilst this is likely to mean t ithin the City of York, in not	hat sufficient sites come for providing clear strategic dire	ward during the plan period ection, there is a risk that n	ectively let the market dictate the location of d to meet overall housing and employment eed may not be met in specific areas. In itive only. No further positive effects have					
			several objective landscape (Obje assets. Negative (Objective 5), tra strategic direction	Reflecting the prioritisation of viability and deliverability under this option which would outweigh environmental considerations, effects acro several objectives were assessed as negative including biodiversity (Objective 8), land use (Objective 9), cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15) given the increased risk that development under this option could affect the City's built and natural environmental assets. Negative effects were also assessed against objectives relating to health (Objective 2), education (Objective 3), equality and access (Objective 5), transport (Objective 6), climate change (Objective 7) and air quality (Objective 12) which is primarily associated with the lack of strategic direction under this option would could result in development being located in relatively inaccessible locations and directed away for areas where investment is needed (e.g. the City's deprived wards).								
Prefe	rred Approach		enviro new o devel	onmental assets, avoiding si development may place som	gnificant negative effects. Fee pressure on these assets. accessible and supports the	lowever, in order to meet on A balanced approach would use of sustainable public tr	nance the City's built and natural community needs and deliver economic growth do be expected to seek to deliver new ransport. Notwithstanding, meeting and climate change.					
			York o drive	• Economic Impact: The preferred option is expected to meet the overall housing and employment land requirements for the C York over the plan period and will improve the viability and vitality of the City Centre, helping York fulfil its role as a key econdriver within both the Leeds City Region and the York and North Yorkshire Sub Region. The preferred option may also complete support the City's educational institutions e.g. by supporting the Science City Sector.								
			comn new (	nunity needs including withi development that is well ser	n the City's most deprived a	reas. A balanced approach	and economic development to meet would also be expected to seek to deliver ransport and walking and cycling.					
Reco	nmendations		None identified.									

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

9	patial Disti	ibution									
SA Objective			provision of a single new			n 2 Prioritise development n and/or as an extension to rban area and through sion in the villages subject	within	on 3 Prioritise development in and/or as an extension to orban area and through the sion of new settlements	Option 4 Prioritise development within and/or as an extension to the urban area along key sustainable transport corridors		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	populat Promot and futi Locate s need; Deliver needs o Deliver	homes to meet the needs of the tion in terms of quantity, quality; the improvements to the existing ure housing stock; sites in areas of known housing community facilities for the of the population; pitches required for Gypsies and ters and Showpeople.	++	This option is expected to meet the overall housing requirements for the City of York over the plan period. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements. In this context, the North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (NYSHMA) demonstrates that there is affordable housing need in all markets areas within the city – Central York, Suburban York and York Villages, with the largest need in Suburban York. Notwithstanding, an approach incorporating both urban extensions and a new settlement may enable a range of sites (and, therefore, a mix of housing in terms of type, tenure and size) to be brought forward. Further, it would be expected that the option would enable some more limited growth	***	The type and magnitude of effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1. This option would support the delivery of a larger quantum of housing development in villages which would help to meet needs within these settlements. However, a more dispersed approach could limit the range and type of sites available which could affect the delivery of affordable housing.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.	++	As under Options 1 and 2, this option would support the delivery of housing to meet the overall housing needs of the City of York. Under this option it would be expected that the proportion of housing delivered within or adjacent to the urban area would be substantially reduced to support housing provision in new settlements which could affect the ability of this option to meet needs in some urban areas and smaller settlements. However, an approach incorporating both urban extensions and new settlements is likely to enable a range of sites (and, therefore, a mix of housing in terms of type, tenure and size) to be brought forward.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.	***	This option would support the delivery of housing to meet the overall housing needs of the City of York. However, as with Option 1, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within and adjacent to the existing urban area could result in the needs of smaller settlements not being adequately met. Further, an approach which is predicated on locating development along key transport corridors may serve to restrict the range of sites that could be taken forward for development and, therefore, the mix of housing provided in terms of type, tenure and size.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.

$\Box$	++ 0		?			-								
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sigr	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive o	r negati	ve impact depending on
_	likely	•		_	clear link insufficient information			. ,	likely		how it is i	mpleme	ented	
	•			•			•							
						in smalle	settlements.							
						Overall, t	his option has							
						been asse	essed as having a							
						significan	t positive effect							
						on this ob	jective.							
2	Improve		locating development wher		+ -	_	development	+ -	Focusing development	+	- Relative to Opt		+ -	Focusing development
	the health		onmental circumstances cou				d adjacent to the		within and adjacent to		2, this option w			within and adjacent to
	and well-		ively impact on people's hea	-		_	ırban area may, in		the existing urban area		potentially dire			the existing urban area
	being of		ve access to openspace / m	ulti-			term, adversely		and in villages may, in the		development to			along key transport
	York's		onal openspace				health of existing		short term, adversely		urban areas an			corridors may, in the
	population		otes a healthier lifestyle tho	_			living in close		affect the health of		extensions. Th	•		short term, adversely
			to leisure opportunities (w	alking /			to development		existing residents living in		help to avoid sl			affect the health of
		cycling					along transport		close proximity to		adverse impact			existing residents living
		•	ves access to healthcare;				including, for		development sites and		health arising f			in close proximity to
			les or promotes safety and s	security			in areas where		along transport corridors		construction ac			development sites
			sidents;				xisting health on or where air		including, for example, in areas where there is		potentially, red congestion and			particularly as the City's road network is affected
			e that land				already an issue.		existing health		associated air of			by existing air quality
			mination/pollution does not	pose			ger term, new		deprivation or where air		impacts in the			issues. In the longer
		unacce	eptable risks to health.				nent may increase		quality is already an issue.		term. Howeve	•		term, new development
							ngestion which		In the longer term, new		considered mo	-		may further increase
							adversely affect		development may		that this option	•		traffic congestion which
							ealth due to air		increase traffic		increase in-con			could also adversely
						quality in	npacts.		congestion which could		toward the City	/ Centre		affect human health due
									also adversely affect		and congestion	and in		to air quality impacts.
						Subject to	o their location,		human health due to air		this respect, ca	re would		
						developn	nent including		quality impacts.		need to be take	en to		Subject to the location of
							tensions and a				ensure that ne	W		sites and urban
							ement may result		Subject to their location,		settlements are			extensions, development
							s of open space		development including		connected to the			may result in the loss of
							uld affect the		urban extensions and		urban area via	_		open space which could
						<u> </u>	n of healthy		provision at villages may		public transpor			affect the promotion of
						_	However, this		result in the loss of open		provision for w	alking		healthy lifestyles.
						•	expected to help		space which could affect		cycling.			However, in locating
						ensure th			the promotion of healthy		Cultinat to the			development along key
						•	nent is accessible facilities and an		lifestyles. Further, under		Subject to their	-		transport corridors and
							tension/new		this option it is anticipated that there		development in urban extensio	-		within/adjacent to the urban area, this option is
							nt approach may		would be additional		new settlemen			expected to help ensure
							the feasibility of		growth within the existing		result in the los	•		that new development is
							re and open space		urban area relative to		space which co	•		accessible to health
							as part of new		Option 1 which could in-		the promotion			facilities and an urban
						Provision	as part or new		Spacer I willer could life		the promotion	or ricultiny		racinges and all arbail

	++	+	0		j		-			1		
KEY	Very positive imp	pact Positive impact likely	No significa		Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		ve impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	:	insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	nplemei	nted
									T			
					nent. Further, by		turn increase pressure on		lifestyles. How	ever,		extension approach may
				_	development		urban open space.		there may be			increase the feasibility of
					he existing urban				opportunities to			health care and open
				-	option may		Whilst new development		incorporate nev			space provision as part
				-	ressure on urban		within/adjacent to urban		facilities and op			of new development.
				open spa	ce.		area is likely to be		within urban ex			It would be anticipated
							accessible to health		and at new sett			that this option would
					be anticipated that		facilities and open space		although it wou	ia be		deliver the majority of
					on would deliver		and/or could incorporate		expected that	wistin -		new housing and
					rity of new		new provision, new		accessibility to e facilities would	_		economic development
				_	and economic nent in relatively		development at villages may be less well served		reduced relative			in highly accessible locations which may
					e locations (i.e.		and the scale of		Option 1 given t			promote walking and
					adjacent to the		development in these		increased focus			cycling although an
					ea) which may		locations is likely to be		settlement prov			approach which
					walking and		such that additional		Jettiement prov	.5.511.		prioritises development
					Care will however		provision may not be		Whilst new sett	lements		along key transport
					e taken to ensure		viable and, further, could		are likely to pro			corridors could also
					new settlement is		increase pressure on		range of service			encourage transport by
				afforded	a high degree of		existing facilities.		facilities and job	)		other modes.
				accessibi	lity in this regard				opportunities, it			
				through i	ncorporating		Overall, this option has		anticipated that	there		
				measures	which promote		been assessed as having a		would be an inc	reased		
				walking a	and cycling.		mixed positive and		need to travel o	ompared		
							negative effect on this		to those option			
					his option has		objective.		focus more deve	•		
					essed as having a				within or adjace			
				•	sitive and				urban areas. Ca	_		
					effect on this				therefore need			
				objective	•				taken to ensure			
									settlements are a high degree of			
									a riigh degree of			
									through incorpo	_		
									measures which			
									walking and cyc	-		
										J.		
									Overall, this opt	ion has		
									been assessed a			
									a mixed positive	e and		
									negative effect	on this		
									objective.			
3	Improve •	Provide good education and tra	ining +	Developn	nent located	+ -	Effects associated with	+	Effects associate	ed with	+	Effects associated with

	++		+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No significar	nt effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		egative impact		_	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clear link		insufficient inform	ation		likely		how it is in	mpleme	nted
	education,	onnoi	rtunities for all;		within/a	djacent to the		the implementation of		the implementa	ation of		the implementation of
	skills		ort existing higher and furthe	er	-	an area is		Option 2 on this objective		this option are			this options are likely to
	developme		ational establishments for	-		to help ensure		are likely to be similar to		be similar to th	-		be similar to those
	nt and		nued success;		•	pective residents		those identified in		identified in rel			identified in relation to
	training for		de good quality employment		•	d access to		relation to Option 1.		Options 1 and 2			Option 1.
	an effective		rtunities available to all.		_	nal facilities.		However, in directing new		directing a grea			o positive di
	workforce	oppo.				, there is a risk		development towards		proportion of			
					that new	development in		villages as opposed to a		development to	new		
						h as Clifton could		new settlement, this		settlements, th			
					further ir	crease pressure		option could place further		may reduce pre	essure on		
					on existii	ng educational		pressure on existing		existing educat	ional		
						particularly		educational facilities.		facilities within	urban		
					primary s			Further, development at		areas although	care will		
						tanding, an		villages may not be of a		need to be take			
						incorporating		scale viable to support		ensure that dev	•		
						tensions and a		new educational		particularly ass			
						lement would be		provision. In		with new settle			
						enable the		consequence, this option		incorporates/is			
					provision	not new nal facilities as		has been assessed as		accessible to ed facilities.	ucationai		
						ew developments.		having a mixed positive and negative effect on		raciiities.			
						, care will need to		this objective.		Overall, this op	tion has		
						to ensure that		this objective.		been assessed			
						nent particularly				a positive effect	_		
						d with the new				objective.	c on this		
						nt is accessible to				02,000.70.			
						nal facilities.							
					As noted	under the							
						ent against							
						1, this option is							
					•	to meet the							
					overall h	_							
					•	ents for the City of							
						r the plan period.							
						include student and the option is							
						considered likely							
						rt the City's further							
						er educational							
						ns. New economic							
					developr								
					•	djacent to the City							
					,								

	++			0	?				-			I		
KFY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	Succe     Delive     work!     Delive     grow     Enhal     oppo     Provie     for ec     Suppo	deliver conditions for busine ess and investment; er a flexible and relevant force for the future; er and promote stable econoth; nee the city centre and its rtunities for business and leide the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; ort existing employment driviote a low carbon economy.	omic sure; cture	*	opportuncomplem City's inst supportin Sector.  Overall, t been asse positive e objective In focusin developm within/ac existing b option is improve t vitality of Further, a incorpora extensior settlemen help delive employm supportin of indiger and attra investme help York key econd both the and the Y Yorkshire  As noted economic within/ac Centre m opportun complem City's edu institution	ent or support the citutions e.g. by ag the Science City  this option has essed as having a effect on this essed to the ent essent essen	++	The type and magnitude of effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1. This option would direct a larger quantum of economic growth toward villages which could limit the range and type of sites available relative to Option 1. Nonetheless, its effects on the economy would still be expected to be significant given the potential for development within the existing urban area and at urban extensions to drive growth.	**	The type and ma of effects associathis option are libe similar to thosidentified in resp Options 1 and 2, the development the City Centre w providing a range employment site settlements.	atted with skely to se ect of enabling t within whilst e of	***	The type and magnitude of effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Options 1, 2 and 3. Under this option it would be expected that employment sites would be located in highly accessible locations which may increase the attractiveness of sites to inward investors. However, there is also a risk that in focusing development along key transport corridors this option could potentially limit the range of employment sites available.

Sector. This is likely to help deliver a flexible and highly skilled workforce for the future of the City.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.  This option will deliver a	or nation		Very r likely		e or negat	ive impact depending on ented
Sector. This is likely to help deliver a flexible and highly skilled workforce for the future of the City.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.  This option will deliver a			likely	how it	is implem	ented
deliver a flexible and highly skilled workforce for the future of the City.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.  This option will deliver a	+					
large proportion of new housing and economic development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements thereby reducing the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that development could		This option will deliver a large proportion of new housing and economic development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. This option would also direct a larger proportion of development toward smaller settlements which may help to address needs within these areas, although there is a risk that this approach could restrict the delivery of affordable housing given the range and type of sites available at these settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area and villages is expected to help enhance accessibility to, and the viability of, existing services and facilities. In this respect, due to an anticipated lack	+	close proximity to the City's most deprived areas. However, in directing potentially substantial growth away from these areas toward new settlements, positive effects on this aspect of the objective may be reduced relative to Options 1 and 2. Similarly, positive effect on the viability of existing services and facilities may be lessened under this option, although pressure on some facilities would be likely to be reduced.  Whilst this option would deliver growth within are adjacent to the existing urban area which is	s s e e s s g g	In focusing new development within and adjacent to the existing urban area and along key transport corridors, this option is expected to help ensure that new development is highly accessible. Like Options 1, 2 and 3, this option would also be expected to locate development within or in close proximity to the City's most deprived areas.  New development that is within or in close proximity and highly accessible to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that development could increase pressure on some services such as primary school provision. Notwithstanding, an approach incorporating urban extensions would be likely to enable the
	development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements thereby reducing the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that development could	development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements thereby reducing the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that	development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements thereby reducing the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that development could	development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements thereby reducing the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that development could	development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements thereby reducing the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to help enhance the viability of existing services and facilities although there is a risk that development could  development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas. City's most deprived areas. City's most deprived areas. However, in directing potentially areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. This option would also direct a larger proportion of development toward smaller settlements smaller settlements and development toward smaller settlements there is a risk that this approach could restrict approach could restri	development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. However, there is potential that focusing a large proportion of new development within a new settlement could draw housing away from the City's smaller settlements whousing away from the potential to address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the close proximity to the clity's most deprived areas including, for areas including, for example, Westfield, Guildhall, Hull Road and Clifton wards. This option would deliver a larger proportion of new development toward smaller settlements which may help to clity's smaller settlements address needs within these areas, although it would be expected the option would enable some more limited growth in smaller settlements.  New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is although there is a risk that development could development in or within close proximity to the city's most deprived areas including, for example, weatfield, directing potentially substantial growth away from the exact alarger proportion of the exact alarger proportion of development toward salles areas and salles substantial growth away from the clifton wards. This option was substantial prowth away from the exact alarger proportion of the exact alarger proportion of the exact alarger proportion of development in or within

_	++		)		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impact		No significant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		egative impact		_	ve impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is ir	npleme	nted
				school pro Notwithst approach urban ext new settle likely to e provision as part of developm  Being with proximity urban are new deve expected However, be taken to new settle a high deg accessibili urban are a suitable and facilit  On baland been asse	anding, an incorporating ensions and a ement would be nable the of new facilities new tents.  Thin or in close to the existing a, the majority of lopment is also to benefit from s of accessibility. care will need to to ensure that any ement is afforded gree of ity to the main a and incoproates range of services ies.  The entire that any ement is afforded gree of ity to the main a and incoproates range of services ies.		smaller settlements, this option is likely to lead to an increased concentration of growth within the existing urban area and at urban extensions relative to Option 1 which could increase accessibility further. New development may also increase service provision although there is a risk that development could increase pressure on some services such as primary school provision. Further, whilst economic development and service provision at villages may enhance accessibility to existing and prospective residents in these settlements, development may not be of a scale required to support new facilities and services.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.		a high degree of accessibility to thurban area and incorporate a suirange of services facilities.  Overall, this optic been assessed as a positive effect objective.	table and on has having		educational facilities as part of new developments.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.
6	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport  acce and car; Deliving supp	ver development where it is so sible by public transport, walki cycling to minimise the use of the ver transport infrastructure which the sustainable travel options; note sustainable forms of travel ove congestion.	ch	assessment Objective developm close provexisting u expected high level	ent within or in kimity to the rban area is to benefit from s of accessibility. here is likely to be	+ -	New development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to benefit from high levels of accessibility. In this respect, due to an anticipated lack of site availability at smaller settlements, this option is likely to lead to an	-	Whilst new deve within or in close proximity to the urban area is exp benefit from high of accessibility as settlements are lincorporate som services, facilities employment	existing pected to he levels he hew likely to e	++	As noted above under the assessment against Objective 5, in focusing new development within and adjacent to the existing urban area and along key transport corridors, this option is expected to help ensure that new development is

KEY	Vanuacitive issuest	Desitive increase likely	O Na sissifi		!		No poti ve imposet librali.	\/a===		Donition of		re increase along a pline a pro-
Ä	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	_	cant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		egative impact			ve impact depending on
	likely		no clear lin	1K	insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is ir	npieme	ntea
					ta tha mandalan		:					hiahli aasasihla
				•	ite the provision		increased concentration		opportunities, a option would re			highly accessible. Further, there is likely to
							of growth within the		•			· ·
					ew schemes		existing urban area and at		substantial prop			be opportunities to
					ninimising the		urban extensions relative		development be	eing		incorporate the
				need to t			to Option 1 which could		located at new			provision of services and
				developn	-		increase accessibility		settlements , av	•		facilities as part of new
					investment in		further. However, whilst		the main urban			schemes thereby
				•	nsport. However,		economic development		City Centre, it is			minimising the need to
					important to		and service provision at		anticipated that			travel and development
					at any new		villages could reduce the		generate a high			may stimulate
					nt is accessible,		need to travel,		number of trans	•		investment in, and the
					ed by public		development may not be		movements cor	•		use of, public transport.
				•	and incorporates		of a scale required to		Options 1 and 2			
				_	f services and		support new facilities and		consequence, C	ption 3		New development is
				facilities.			services which could		has been assess	sed as		likely to generate
							increase the need to		having a negative	ve effect		investment in transport
				New dev	elopment is likely		travel relative to Option1.		on this objective	e.		infrastructure within the
				to genera	te investment in							urban area which could
				transport	infrastructure		New development is likely					help address existing
				within th	e urban area		to generate investment in					issues of congestion.
				which co	uld help address		transport infrastructure					Further, balancing
				existing is	ssues of		within the urban area					housing and
				congestic	n. Further,		which could help address					employment provision
				balancing	housing and		existing issues of					may help to reduce
				employm	ent provision may		congestion. Further,					levels of in (and out)
				help to re	duce levels of in		balancing housing and					commuting
				(and out)	commuting.		employment provision					As noted above, this
				However	, in the short term		may help to reduce levels					option is likely to help
				(during c	onstruction) and		of in (and out)					encourage the use of
					elopment is		commuting. However, in					public transport which
				complete	, there is likely to		the short term (during					may help reduce
				be an inc	rease in		construction) and once					congestion although it
				congestic	n within the		development is complete,					should be noted that
				urban are	ea under this		there is likely to be an					there is the potential
				-	though this would		increase in congestion					that locating new
					spected under		within the urban area					development along key
					conditions and in		under this option,					transport corridors could
				this respe	ect it is noted that		particularly given the					also serve to encourage
				congestic	on delay is		anticipated intensification					travel by car.
				•	l to double by		of development in this					
				2026.			area relative to Option 1.					Overall, this option has
							Directing some					been assessed as having
				Overall, t	his option has		development toward					a significant positive

_	++		. ,			?		-		1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant effec	ct / Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact Positi	ve or negat	ive impact depending on
	likely			no clear	r link	insufficient inforr	nation		likely	how	t is implem	ented
					posit	n assessed as having a tive effect on this ctive.		villages under this option may also limit opportunities for transport infrastructure investment relative to options that incorporate new settlements.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.	,			effect on this objective.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emissi Plan o for the Provid renew techno Promo materi and co	e or mitigate greenhouse ga ons from all sources; r implement adaptation me e likely effects of climate cha e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon ologies; ote sustainable design and b ials that manage the future onsequences of climate char e to the principles of the en chy.	asures ange; uilding risks nge;	deversite experiments of the record of the r	elopment within or in a proximity to the cing urban area is exted to both reduce need to travel (by uring that new elopment is accessible by balancing job and sing provision) and burage alternatives to mise greenhouse gas issons. However, in the t term (during truction) and once elopment is complete, e is likely to be an ease in congestion in the urban area er this option which d have an adverse ct on this objective.  development of urban nsions and a new ement may provide or anticipated scale) to rporate renewable	+	As under Option 1, prioritising new development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area is expected to both reduce the need to travel (by ensuring that new development is accessible and by balancing job and housing provision) and encourage alternatives to car use thereby helping to minimise greenhouse gas emissions. However, in the short term (during construction) and once development is complete, there is likely to be an increase in congestion within the urban area under this option which could have an adverse effect on this objective. Further, whilst economic development and service provision at villages could reduce the need to travel, development may not be of a scale required to	+ -	As noted above, this option is expected to generate a higher number of transport movements compared Options 1 and 2 which turn could also increasing reenhouse gas emissions relative to these options, particularly if new settlements are not we served by public transport.  The development of urban extensions and new settlements may provide opportunities (view of their anticipate scale) to incorporate renewable energy provision and decentralised energy supply which would he lower greenhouse gas emissions.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having the provision and the control of	in- e	Prioritising new development within or in close proximity to the existing urban area along key transport corridors is expected to both reduce the need to travel (by ensuring that new development is accessible and by balancing job and housing provision) and encourage alternatives to car use thereby helping to alleviate greenhouse gas emissions. However, it should be noted that there is the potential that locating new development along key transport corridors could also serve to encourage travel by car.  The development of urban extensions and a new settlement may provide opportunities (in view of their anticipated

_	++	+ 0			?		-			1			
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely No	significant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Ver	y ne	gative impact Po	ositive or	negativ	re impact depending on
	likely	no	clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likel	ly	ho	ow it is im	ıplemei	nted
													<u> </u>
				decentrali supply wh lower gree emissions On balance been asse	ce, this option has ssed as having a ffect on this		support new facilities and services which could increase the need to travel relative to Option1.  The development of urban extensions may provide opportunities (in view of their anticipated scale) to incorporate renewable energy provision and decentralised energy supply which would help lower greenhouse gas emissions. However, it would be anticipated that such opportunities would be less under this option give the scale of development anticipated at smaller settlements.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.			a mixed positive and negative effect on to objective.			scale) to incorporate renewable energy provision and decentralised energy supply which would help lower greenhouse gas emissions.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.
8	or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and  nation habita and S. Prote natur / geod infras enviro endate  habita and S. Creati / geod infras enviro endate habita and S. Creati / geod infras enviro endate habita and S. Prote natur / geod endate / geod endate / provide enviro	ct and enhance international and nally significant priority species a ats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs SSIs; ct and enhance locally importante conservation sites (SINCs); e new areas or site of bio-diversidiversity value; ove connectivity of green tructure and the natural comment; de opportunities for people to s the natural environment.	nd :	existing ui help to en redevelop brownfiel adverse ir biodiversi loss, althor recognise sites can i biodiversi developmenvisaged extension settlemen	d land, avoiding npacts on ity and habitat ough it is d that brownfield nave high ity value. Further, nent of the scale		As under Option 1, this option is expected to help avoid adverse impacts on biodiversity and habitat loss through encouraging brownfield development and will provide opportunities to incorporate new green infrastructure and to enhance connectivity to existing assets.  Like Option 1, this option would result in the loss of substantial areas of			As under Options 1 2, this option is exp to help avoid advers impacts on biodiver and habitat loss thre encouraging brown development and w provide opportuniti incorporate new gre infrastructure and t enhance connectivi existing assets. However, this optio would involve the provision of urban extensions and the	ected rse rsity rough rifield vill ies to een to to	-	Development within the existing urban area may help to encourage the redevelopment of brownfield land, avoiding adverse impacts on biodiversity and habitat loss, although it is recognised that brownfield sites can have high biodiversity value. Further, development of the scale envisaged at urban extensions is likely to provide opportunities to

_	++	+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significar	t effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very i	negative impact	Positive or	negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient inform	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	nted
												-
				incorpora	ite new green		greenfield land which		creation of new			incorporate new green
				infrastruc	ture and to		could affect habitat and		settlements res	ulting in		infrastructure and to
				enhance	connectivity to		species in both the short		the loss of subst	antial		enhance connectivity to
				existing a	ssets.		term during construction		areas of greenfi	eld land		existing assets.
							and once development is		which could hav	e both a		S
				However	, this option would		complete. Development		direct (e.g. loss	of		However, this option
					he loss of		may also restrict		habitat) and ind			would result in the loss
				substanti	al areas of		opportunities for people		noise and emiss			of substantial areas of
					d land which could		to access the natural		air) impact on h			greenfield land which
					n a direct (e.g. loss		environment. However,		and species in b			could have both a direct
					t) and indirect (e.g.		the scale of development		short term durin			(e.g. loss of habitat) and
					l emissions to air)		at greenfield sites under		construction an	0		indirect (e.g. noise and
					n habitat and		this option may be less as		development is			emissions to air) impact
					both the short		a lack of site availability at		complete. Deve	lopment		on habitat and species in
				<u> </u>	ing construction		smaller settlements is		of these sites m	•		both the short term
					development is		likely to lead to an		restrict opportu	•		during construction and
					. Development of		increased concentration		people to access			once development is
				may also	•		of growth within the		natural environi			complete. Development
				1 -	ities for people to		existing urban area and at					of these sites may also
				access th	• •		urban extensions.		In view of the so	ale		restrict opportunities for
				environm	ent.		Equally, however, this		greenfield land	that may		people to access the
							could lead to increased		be developed u	•		natural environment.
				On balan	ce, this option has		development pressure at		option, it has be			
				been asse	essed as having a		sites around the edge of		assessed as hav	ing a		On balance, this option
				negative	effect on this		the urban area which		significant nega	tive effect		has been assessed as
				objective	. It should be		have high biodiversity		on this objective	e. It		having a negative effect
				noted tha	at potential		value. Further,		should be noted	l that		on this objective. It
				impacts o	n specific		development at smaller		potential impac	ts on		should be noted that
				European	, national and		settlements is likely to be		specific Europea	ın,		potential impacts on
				local desi	gnated sites		in closer proximity to		national and loc	-		specific European,
					regional, district		European and national		designated sites	including		national and local
				_	green corridors is		designated sites,		regional, district	-		designated sites
					at this stage and		increasing the potential		green corridors			including regional,
					pendent on the		for adverse effects on		uncertain at this			district and local green
					scale and type of		these assets (although		and will be depe	•		corridors is uncertain at
				-	lopment should		this is currently		the location, sca			this stage and will be
					n be taken		uncertain).		type of new dev			dependent on the
				forward.			•		should this option	•		location, scale and type
							On balance, this option		taken forward.			of new development
							has been assessed as					should this option be
							having a negative effect					taken forward.
							on this objective. It					
							should be noted that					
				•								

				0			:		-			1		
KEY	Very positive likely	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig		t effect /	Uncertain insufficient information	or ation	Negative impact likely	Very r likely	negative impact	Positive or how it is ir	_	tive impact depending on
	inciry			no cica	1 111110		madricient morni	2011	l l	пксту		11000 101511	прісп	rented
9	resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prever land an contar</li> <li>Safegu and m</li> <li>Protec</li> <li>Safegu</li> </ul>	previously developed land; it pollution contaminating the nd remediate any existing nination; ard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land t or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and rage their efficient use.	ne e best	+ -	anticipat proportic development of the course of the cours	is option it is ed that a large on of new nent would be within the existing ea which may ge the reuse of ly developed land. , it is also expected option would the loss of e areas of d land particularly modate urban ns and a new nt. In ence, the option assessed as mixed positive and effect on this e. It should be at potential on agricultural land quality will depend cation, scale and ew development his option be taken	+ -	potential impacts on specific European, national and local designated sites including regional, district and local green corridors is uncertain at this stage and will be dependent on the location, scale and type of new development should this option be taken forward.  As under Option 1, it is anticipated that a large proportion of new development would be focused within the existing urban area which may encourage the reuse of previously developed land. Under this option there may also be opportunities to develop brownfield sites within existing villages. Whilst this option is likely to result in the intensification of development within the existing urban area relative to Option 1, the option would still result in the loss of extensive areas of greenfield land particularly to accommodate urban extensions. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on		Whilst this optic seek to focus ne development wi existing urban a would be expect result in the loss substantial area greenfield land taccommodate u extensions and resettlements. The could also serve development aventhe existing urbafacilitate the pronew settlements undermining the of previously de land. In consequent this option has because assessed as having significant negation this objective	thin the rea it ted to sof a of so rban new e option to direct way from an area to ovision of so further e re-use veloped uence, peen ng a ctive effect	+	Under this option it is anticipated that a large proportion of new development would be focused within the existing urban area which may encourage the reuse of previously developed land. However, it is also expected that the option would result in the loss of extensive areas of greenfield land particularly to accommodate urban extensions. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective. It should be noted that potential impacts on agricultural land and soil quality will depend on the location, scale and type of new development should this option be taken forward.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nifican	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or	r negati	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	mpleme	ented
									impacts on agricultural					
									land and soil quality will					
									depend on the location,					
									scale and type of new					
									development should this					
									option be taken forward.					
10	Improve		erve water resources and qua	ality;	?		this objective are	,	Effects on this objective	3	Effects on this ob		3	Effects on this objective
	water	•	ove the quality of rivers and				d to be uncertain		are considered to be		are considered to			are considered to be
	efficiency	groun	ndwaters.				epend on the		uncertain and will depend		uncertain and wil			uncertain and will
	and quality					-	scale and type of		on the location, scale and		depend on the lo	-		depend on the location,
							lopment to be		type of new development		scale and type of			scale and type of new
						taken for	ward.		to be taken forward.		development to b	oe taken		development to be taken
	5 1				2			2	· · · ·	2	forward.		2	forward.
11	Reduce		ote reduction, re-use, recove	ery and	· P	•	n is not expected	?	This option is not	,	This option is not		?	This option is not
	waste	•	ling of waste;				ny significant		expected to have any		expected to have	•		expected to have any
	generation and		ote and increase resource			effects on	this objective.		significant effects on this		significant effects	on this		significant effects on this
		efficie	ency.						objective.		objective.			objective.
	increase level of													
	reuse and													
	recycling													
12	Improve air	Reduc	ce all emissions to air from cu	ırrent	+ -	Within Yo	ork there are	+ -	Similar to Option 1,	+ -	Like Options 1 ar	nd 2	+ -	As with Options 1, 2 and
	quality	activi		arrene			three AQMAs, all		prioritising growth within		focusing growth			3, focusing growth within
	q,		nise and mitigate emissions t	o air		-	are located within		the urban area and at		the urban area a			the urban area and at
			new development (including			the urbar	area. Prioritising		urban extensions may		urban extensions	s may		urban extensions may
			cing transport emissions thro			growth w	ithin the urban		exacerbate existing air		exacerbate existi	ing air		exacerbate existing air
			mission technologies and fue	_		area and	at urban		quality issues although		quality issues alt	hough		quality issues.
		Suppo	ort the development of city w	vide		extension	s may therefore		development within these		development wit	thin		Additionally, under this
			mission infrastructure;			exacerba	te these existing		locations may also reduce		these locations n	nay also		option development
		• Impro	ove air quality in AQMAs and				issues both in		the need to travel (by		reduce the need			would be focused along
		preve	ent new designations;				term during		ensuring that new		(by ensuring that	new		key transport corridors,
		<ul> <li>Avoid</li> </ul>	l locating development where	e it			ion (e.g. due to		development is accessible		development is			many of which suffer
		could	negatively impact on air qua	ılity;			to air from plant		and by balancing job and		accessible and by			from existing air quality
		<ul> <li>Avoid</li> </ul>	locating development in are	as of			movements) and		housing provision) and		balancing job and			issues which could be
			ng poor air quality where it c				elopment is		encourage alternatives to		housing provision	•		exacerbated in the short
		result	t in negative impacts on the h	nealth		-	(e.g. due to		car use thereby helping to		encourage altern			term during construction
			ure occupants/users;				congestion).		alleviate emissions to air.		car use thereby h			and once development is
			ote sustainable and integrate			-	development		Under this option it is		to alleviate emis	sions to		complete. However, as
			port network to minimise the	use			ese locations may ce the need to		likely that growth within the urban area and at		air.			noted above, prioritising new development within
		of the	e car.				ensuring that		urban extensions would		Notwithstanding	thic		or in close proximity to
							lopment is		be intensified relative to		option would res	-		the existing urban area
							and by balancing		Option 1 but that beyond		larger proportion			along key transport
						accessible	and by balancing		Option I but that beyond		l laigei proportioi	101		along key transport

_	++		+ 0			?		-		1		
KEY		impact	' '	significan	t effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely		no	clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likely	how it is i	mpleme	nted
	likely			Clear IIII	and enco to car use to allevia air. Howe importan any new accessible public tra incorpora services a order to a travel.  Overall, t been asso mixed po	ousing provision) urage alternatives thereby helping te emissions to ever, it will be t to ensure that settlement is e, well served by insport and ates a range of and facilities in reduce the need to his option has essed as having a sitive and effect on this		the urban area, development would be more dispersed. This could serve to reduce the need to travel as there would be a greater proportion of development delivered centrally and new development at villages could increase service provision in these locations. Equally, however, increasing development within the urban area could further exacerbate existing air quality issues whilst there		development being directed away from the urban area. This could help alleviate existing air quality issues during both construction and once development is complete however, it is considered likely that this option would generate a higher number of transport movements compared to Options 1 and 2generating higher emissions to air, particularly if new settlements are not well served by public		corridors is expected to both reduce the need to travel and on balance encourage alternatives to car use thereby helping to alleviate emissions to air, although it should be noted that there is the potential that locating new development along key transport corridors could also serve to encourage travel by car.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive
					negative objective			quality issues whilst there remains a risk that development at villages, if not adequately served, could result in increased travel.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.		cerved by public transport.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.		naving a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.
13	Minimise •	Reduce	e risk of flooding;	0	Parts of Y	ork and its	0	Parts of York and its	0	Parts of York and its	0	Parts of York and its
	flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does n</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	development location and design ot negatively impact on flood rist or incorporate through design hable urban drainage systems	ın	surroundi significan It is antici option wi developm land whic surface w in conseq However, of new de known ef considere	ing area are at t risk of flooding. pated that this Il result in the nent of greenfield th could increase rater run off and, uence, flood risk. until the location evelopment is	-	surrounding area are at significant risk of flooding. It is anticipated that this option will result in the development of greenfield land which could increase surface water run off and, in consequence, flood risk. However, until the location of new development is known effects are considered to		surrounding area are at significant risk of flooding. It is anticipated that this option will result in the development of greenfield land which could increase surface water run off and, in consequence, flood risk. However, until the location of new development is known	-	surrounding area are at significant risk of flooding. It is anticipated that this option will result in the development of greenfield land which could increase surface water run off and, in consequence, flood risk. However, until the location of new development is known

Τ,	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	/e impact	Positive impact likely	No sigr	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive o	r negativ	e impact depending on
	likely			no clear	· link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mplemei	nted
						expected	•		be uncertain. Further, it		effects are cons			effects are considered to
						developm	nent would		would be expected that		be uncertain. F	urther, it		be uncertain. Further, it
							te appropriate		any development would		would be expec	ted that		would be expected that
						flood risk	prevention		incorporate appropriate		any developme	nt would		any development would
							, informed by a		flood risk prevention		incorporate app	•		incorporate appropriate
							rall, this option		measures, informed by a		flood risk preve			flood risk prevention
						has there			FRA. Overall, this option		measures, infor	•		measures, informed by a
							as having a		has therefore been		FRA. Overall, th	•		FRA. Overall, this option
							ffect on this		assessed as having a		has therefore b			has therefore been
						objective	•		neutral effect on this		assessed as hav	•		assessed as having a
									objective.		neutral effect o	n this		neutral effect on this
											objective.			objective.
14	Conserve		rve or enhance the special		+ -		nent within the	-	Under this option, it is		Option 3 would	•	-	As under Options 1, 2
	or enhance	chara	cter and setting of the histor	ric city;		_	ırban area, urban		envisaged that a greater		compared to O			and 3, development
	York's	• Prom	ote or enhance local culture	;			ns and a new		proportion of		and 2, potentia	•		within the existing urban
	historic	<ul><li>Prese</li></ul>	rve or enhance designated a	and			nt have the		development would be		pressure on he	•		area and urban
	environme	non-d	lesignated heritage assets ar	nd their			to generate a		located within and		assets within th			extensions have the
	nt, cultural	settin	g;				al impact on		adjacent to the existing		area by directin			potential to generate a
	heritage,		rve or enhance those eleme				Itural heritage		urban area relative to		proportion of n			substantial impact on
	character	which	contribute to the 6 Principle	e			legative effects on		Option 1. Development		development to			York's cultural heritage
	and setting		cteristics of the City as ident	tified in			ets and the		pressure in these		new settlement			assets. Negative effects
		the H	eritage Topic Paper.				of York may be		locations could serve to		However, the n			on these assets and the
							rt term and		increase the risk of		focus developm			character of York may be
						_	y, as a result of		adverse impacts on		new settlement			both short term and
						-	y disturbance		heritage assets and the		(alongside urba			temporary, as a result of
							nstruction, and		historic character and		extensions) cou			temporary disturbance
							nt, as a result of		setting of the City. In		in the developn			during construction, and
							t loss of assets or		consequence, this option		land that curre	•		permanent as a result of
						-	on the setting of		has been assessed as		protects or con			the direct loss of assets
						the City a	nd its assets.		having a negative effect		the setting of th	ie City.		or impacts on the setting
						However	, in also directing		on this objective.		Overall, this op	tion has		of the City and its assets. Under this option it is
						<u> </u>	nent towards				been assessed a			anticipated that a far
							tensions and a				a significant ne			greater proportion of
							ement, this option				effect on this o	_		new development would
							to relieve some				Circle on tills of	ojective.		be located within or
							on these assets.							adjacent to the existing
							ally, through							urban area relative to
							nent within the							Options 1, 2 and 3 and in
						-	ea, there may be							consequence the risk of
							ities to enhance							adverse impacts on
							gs of heritage							heritage assets and the
							well as access to							historic character of the
						ussets as	wen as access to							matoric character of the

	++		+	0			j		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		nt effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		egative impact			ve impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient informa	tion		likely		how it is i	mplemer	nted
						them Fi	rther, it is noted							City may be increased.
							Approach to the							City may be increased.
							It Appraisal study							Overall, this option has
							ong emphasis on							been assessed as having
						<u> </u>	to preserve the							a negative effect on this
						setting a	nd special							objective.
						characte	of York and in							
						conseque	ence it can be							
							ly assumed that							
							opment of							
							sites would not							
						<u> </u>	gnificant adverse							
						effect in	this regard.							
						On halan	ce, this option has							
							essed as having a							
							sitive and							
						negative	effect on this							
						objective								
15	Protect and		ve or enhance the landscap		+ -		on is likely to	+ -	As under Option 1, this		Whilst this opti	on could	-	As under Options 1 and
	enhance		ng areas of landscape value	2			the substantial		option is likely to result in		serve to reduce			2, this option is likely to
	York's		t or enhance geologically			-	nent of greenfield		the substantial		pressure on tov	•		result in the substantial
	natural and	•	tant sites;				the removal of		development of		by directing dev	-		development of
	built		te high quality design in co				n York's Green s could affect		greenfield land and the removal of sites from		away from the			greenfield land and the removal of sites from
	landscape		s urban and rural landscape				e character		York's Green Belt which		area, relative to 1 and 2, this op	•		York's Green Belt which
			th the "landscape and Setti the Heritage Topic Paper.	ng			the openness of		could affect landscape		likely to result i			could affect landscape
		Within	the Heritage Topic Faper.			_	and importantly		character. Whilst this		greater proport			character. Whilst this
						may affe			option would not involve		development b			option would not involve
						from/tov	vard Minster		the development of a		accommodated	-		the development of a
						tower wh	nich is the key		new settlement, it may		greenfield land	and the		new settlement, it may
						_	feature of the		increase		removal of a lai	U		increase
						skyline o			landscape/townscape		number of sites			landscape/townscape
						<u> </u>	nent may also		impacts within and		York's Green Be			impacts within and
							wnscape and the		adjacent to the existing		support new se			adjacent to the existing
						visual am	•		urban area whilst		This could have			urban area and result in
							al and recreational sboth in the short		development at villages could affect local		substantial imp landscape chara			more linear forms of development which
							ing construction		landscape character.		consequence, t			follow key transport
							development is		iditascape character.		has been assess			corridors and which
						complete	•		Notwithstanding, like		having a signific			would be at odds with
									Option 1, development		negative effect			the existing compact
						However	, focusing		within the existing urban		objective.			urban form of York. In

	++		+	0		?		-		1	
7	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	No significant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative	ve impact depending on
_	likely			no clear link		insufficient informat	tion		likely	how it is impleme	nted
								·			·
NEA.	Very positive	e impact	+ Positive impact likely	No significant	developm adjacent is urban are help mini impacts of character developm important expected of the app City would where po Green We continued influence the urbant context, it Approach Appraisal identifies amongsto the histor setting of Developm existing upresent a improve to could have	insufficient information in the existing as is expected to mise adverse on landscape and ease nent pressure on the assets. It is also that the openness proaches to the disciplination of the existing and the edges would to strongly the character of edge. In this the is noted that the to Green Belt Study (2003) these factors, others, as defining the character and existing the exist		area may present an opportunity to improve townscape which could have a long term positive effect on this objective.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.		Positive or negative	
Ge	neral			develo develo Priorit	Overall, the been assessive of the conjective component of the component with the compone	his option has essed as having a sitive and effect on this of the spatial strategy thin and/or as an exter thin and/or as an exter	nsion nsion is an e	ders the future distribution of the urban area and throu to the urban area and throu extension to the urban area at the urban area along key	gh the provision of a single gh provision in the villages and through the provision	new settlement (Or subject to levels of of new settlements	otion 1); Prioritise services (Option 2);

	++	+	0	;	-		I
- E	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

### Option 1

This option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on housing (Objective 1) and employment (Objective 4). The option is expected to meet the overall housing and employment land requirements for the City of York over the plan period and will improve the viability and vitality of the City Centre, helping York fulfil its role as a key economic driver within both the Leeds City Region and the York and North Yorkshire Sub Region. As this option will deliver a large proportion of new housing and economic development in or within close proximity to the City's most deprived areas and is expected to enhance the viability of existing services and facilities and deliver new provision, it has also been assessed as having a significant positive effect on equality and accessibility (Objective 5). Further positive effects were identified in respect of education (Objective 3), transport (Objective 5) and climate change (Objective 7).

No significant negative effects were identified in respect of this option. As Option 1 would involve the development of urban extensions and a new settlement, which would result in the loss of substantial areas of greenfield land, it may have both a direct (e.g. loss of habitat) and indirect (e.g. noise and emissions to air) impact on habitat and species in both the short term during construction and once development is complete. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a negative effect on biodiversity (Objective 8).

Mixed positive and negative effects were identified in relation to health (Objective 2), land use (Objective 9), air quality (Objective 12), cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15). This principally reflects the benefits and potential adverse impacts associated with option's focus of new development both within and adjacent to the existing urban area and at a new settlement.

#### Option 2

As under Option 1, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on housing (Objective 1) and employment (Objective 4) in supporting the delivery of housing and economic development to meet the needs of the City of York. Effects against equality and accessibility (Objective 5) are, however, considered likely to be more minor as this option would direct a larger proportion of development toward smaller settlements which could restrict the delivery of affordable housing (given the range and type of sites available at these settlements). Further, there is a risk that development in these locations may not be of a scale required to support the provision of new facilities and services. Positive effects were also identified in respect of climate change (Objective 7) although those positive effects assessed a being positive against education(Objective 3) and transport (Objective 5) under Option 1 were considered to be more mixed as this option could place additional pressure on existing educational facilities and result in new development in locations that increase the need to travel (i.e. villages). Further mixed positive and negative effects were identified in relation to health (Objective 2), land use (Objective 9), air quality (Objective 12) and landscape (Objective 15).

No significant negative effects were identified in respect of this option. Like Option 1, this option would involve the development of urban extensions which would result in the loss of substantial areas of greenfield land and could therefore affect habitat and species in both the short term during construction and once development is complete. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on biodiversity (Objective 8). Under this option, it is envisaged that a greater proportion of development would be located within and adjacent to the existing urban area relative to Option 1. Development pressure in these locations could serve to increase the risk of adverse impacts on heritage assets and the historic character and setting of the City. In consequence, this option has also been assessed as having a negative effect on cultural heritage (Objective 14).

## Option 3

Like Options 1 and 2, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on housing (Objective 1) and employment (Objective 4) in supporting the delivery of housing and economic development to meet the needs of the City of York. Positive effects were also identified in respect of objectives relating to education (Objective 3) and equality and accessibility (Objective 5).

_	++	+	0	j		-		1				
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect	t / Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impa	act Positive or negative impact depending on				
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient inf	ormation		likely	how it is implemented				
	,	•	•	•			,	<u> </u>				
			(Objective 1 the Green B This option at new settl transport m Further mix  Option 4 Option 4 wadelivery of hexisting urb consequence Further pos  This option distribution associated in and landsca additional gresult in mo	The potential for significant negative effects have been identified in respect of biodiversity (Objective 8), land use (Objective 9 (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15). This primarily reflects the scale of development that would be required on green the Green Belt under this option to accommodate new settlements and the potential for adverse impacts on ecology and land this option was also assessed as having a negative effect on transport as it would result in a substantial proportion of develop at new settlements, away from the main urban area and City Centre which may increase the need to travel. In view of the pot transport movements associated with this option, effects on climate change were considered to be more mixed relative to Op Further mixed positive and negative effect were identified in respect of objectives relating to health (Objective 2) and air qual  Option 4  Option 4 was also assessed as having a significant positive effect on housing (Objective 1) and employment (Objective 4) in su delivery of housing and economic development to meet the needs of the City of York. In focusing new development within ar existing urban area and along key transport corridors, this option is expected to help ensure that new development is highly a consequence it has also been assessed as having a significant positive effect on equality and access (Objective 5) and transpor Further positive effects were identified in respect of education (Objective 3) and climate change (Objective 5) and transpor further positive effects were identified in relation to biodiversity due to the potential for adverse impacts associated in particular with development on greenfield land. Further negative effects were also assessed against cultural her and landscape (Objective 15) principally due to the potential for adverse impacts on the setting and special character of York additional growth would need to be accommodated within the urban area whilst focusing development along key transport or result in more linear forms of deve								
Prefe	red Approach		• E rr e ti a a p a a p d d s	nvironmental Impact esult in the loss of sub missions to air) impact the provision of a new and landscape charact ressure on important and that the Green We roximity to the existing conomic Impact: The ork over the plan periver within both the upport the City's eduction of the city's eduction of the city's roximity to t	t: The prefect on habital are to n habital settlement er, focusing assets. It is edges would preferred of and will Leeds City I cational instance of the preferred option of the print located of the preferred option opti	rred option would involve teas of greenfield land, gene it and species in both the shead of the shead of greenfield land, gene it and species in both the shead of the shead o	he development of urbarating both a direct (e.g. port term during construents) extensions and within a diacent to the existing uenness of the approachements of the approachement of the company of the City Centre of the City Sector.	an extensions and a new settlement which would loss of habitat) and indirect (e.g. noise and action and once development is complete. Whilst the urban area may affect cultural heritage assets urban area is expected to help ease development es to the City would be retained where possible e urban edge. New development within or in close ibility, minimising emissions to air.  employment land requirements for the City of exployed the complete in the complete in the preferred option may also complement or				
Recon	nmendations		The preferre	ave good access to he ed option should inclu elp ensure that the n	ıde measur							

	++	+	0	?	-		1							
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on							
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented							
		_			_	_								
			Protection	ct important open space and	l encourage new provision a	as part of new developmen	t.							
			• Ensur	<ul> <li>Ensure that any new settlement is afforded a high degree of accessibility including measures that promote walking and cycling and</li> </ul>										
			encou	rage public transport use.										
			• Encou	rage renewable energy prov	vision, particularly at larger	sites and within the new se	ttlement.							
			Seek t	Seek to avoid development in locations affected by poor air quality.										
			Avoid	nat have high biodiversity ar	nd landscape value.									

SA (	Objective			on 1 Rely on the National Planning Policy Framework to guide legic Site development.	Option 2 Provide local level policy to guide Strategic Site development.			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	+	This option, alongside other Local Plan policy on housing, would be expected to support the delivery of housing at strategic sites to meet identified needs. This would be in accordance with the NPPF which seeks to deliver sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities. However, in not providing specific guidance on housing provision at strategic sites, opportunities may be lost to realise the full benefits to housing provision that strategic sites can deliver (e.g. by seeking to maximise the delivery of affordable housing.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	+	This option is expected to help ensure that housing delivery on strategic sites meets identified need. This approach may also offer additional flexibility relative to Option 1 to provide specific guidance on the mix of housing to be provided at strategic sites in terms of size, type and tenure which could, for example, maximise the delivery of affordable housing at such sites.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective. There is the potential for effects or this objective to be significant but this is subject to specific policy wording which is currently uncertain.		
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population.	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to open-space / multifunctional open space;     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling);     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose	+ -	The NPPF provides strong policy on the promotion of healthy communities including, for example, in respect of the integration of housing and economic uses with community facilities and services, open space provision as well as on pollution control. Therefore, it is expected that these principles would underpin development at strategic sites. However, without strong local direction opportunities may be lost to ensure that new development is integrated with existing facilities and green infrastructure. Further, an approach that relies on NPPF policy may generate long term pressure on existing health facilities and open space and could disincentivise the delivery of new facilities as part of strategic site development.	+	It is expected that this option would help to ensure that development at strategic sites incorporates appropriate open space and health facility provision and that such provision is phased alongside development and integrated with existing facilities. Further, a localised policy approach will help to ensure that proposals incorporate measures to encourage walking and cycling and ensure that health facilities are accessible. Overall, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.		

_	++	++ + 0					,	-			1			
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely			effect /	Uncertain c	r Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on			
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information	n l	likely		how it is implemented			
3	Improve	Providence	eptable risks to health. de good education and train	ing	+ -	positive a		s objective.  educational facilities to meet	+	-	nt this option would help to ensure that the			
	education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce.	<ul><li>Supposeducation</li><li>Providence</li></ul>	rtunities for all; ort existing higher and furtho itional establishments for nued success; de good quality employment rtunities available to all.			pressure rising der strategic increased account of reliance of further ar ensuring accommod	mand. Reliance on the NI sites may undermine effor demand i.e. by ensuring of impacts on educationa on the NPPF may mean the higher education in th that, where appropriate,	in some areas of York due to PF to guide development at orts to plan positively for that new development takes facility provision. Further, a at opportunities to support e City (for example, through sites delivery student been assessed as having a		provision of educational facilities and development at strategic sites are aligned (e.g. by ensuring that strategic sites contribute to educational provision). Local policy guidin development at strategic sites may also help realise the strategic priorities of sub regionally important higher a further education institutions including the University or York.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having positive effect on this objective. There is the potential for effect this objective to be significant but this is subject to spec policy wording which is currently uncertain.				
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy.	succe Delive for th Delive growt Enhar oppor Provid for ec	deliver conditions for business and investment; er a flexible and relevant wo e future; er and promote stable econoch; noce the city centre and its runities for business and leide the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; ort existing employment drivote a low carbon economy.	orkforce omic sure; cture	+	economic Reliance of criteria m potential and gener opportuni the range realisation faster gro- financial a sectors) a Notwithst NPPF is to developm reliance o	growth objectives for the new NPPF as opposed to ay create more uncertain for identified employment opities could be lost to ensurand type of premises that of the York Economic Stand professional services, and are supported by approactively drive and supported by approactively drive and support to guide development of the NPPF to guide development and in consequence, on the NPPF to guide development and in consequence, on the NPPF to guide development and in consequence, on the NPPF to guide development and in consequence, on the NPPF to guide development and in consequence.	o more locally specific ty with respect to the at sites to meet local needs portunities. Further, re that strategic sites deliver t will help support the rategy (e.g. by facilitating cturing, science and research, and tourism and leisure ropriate infrastructure.  Ilanning principles of the oport sustainable economic it would be expected that		This option prese provision of local strategic employ: Plan economic ob City fulfil its role: Leeds City Region. Region. This may guidance on the t development at s and explicit word wider York Econo deliver developm carbon economy renewable energionerall, this optic effect on this objettive to literal.	Ints an opportunity to ensure, through the ly specific criteria, that development at ment sites supports the delivery of wider opectives and spatial strategy, helping the as a key economic driver within both the land the York and North Yorkshire Sub land the York and			
5	Help deliver equality and access to all.	deprivional depriv	ess existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across of the accessible services and fall local population; the affordable housing to mend;	the cilities	+	developm strong em approach policy) to have a po	•	mmunities and places a n consequence, a policy as well as other Local Plan rategic sites is expected to tive. Notwithstanding,	+	This approach is I economic develoneds, helping to deprivation acroslocal level policy there may be an officer of the second second level policy.	ikely to help ensure that housing and pment at strategic sites meets specific local address imbalances of equality and its York. Further, through the adoption of to guide development at strategic sites opportunity to maximise community com such schemes (e.g. by requiring the on-			

	++	+	0		,	-				1				
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant effect	/ Uncertain insufficient infor		Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on				
	likely		no clea	r IINK	insufficient infor	rmation		likely		how it is implemented				
	• Pron	reduce homelessness; note the safety and security f lle and/or property.	or	and admaxin develo	ice on, for example, a cessibility that reflect lises the benefits that pment at strategic sit I, this option has beer on this objective.	s local circur could be de es.	rived from		site provision of services and infrastructures, en development is well connected to, and does to a affect, existing provision, and requiring contribu strategic community infrastructure and services  Overall, this option has been assessed as having effect on this objective. There is the potential for this objective to be significant but this is subject policy wording which is currently uncertain.					
6	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport  acce and car; Deliv supp	ver development where it is ssible by public transport, was cycling to minimise the use of ver transport infrastructure wherts sustainable travel option note sustainable forms of travel congestion.	f the hich ns;	sustai that d delive positiv localis ensur conne to exis develd	evelopment at strateg red in accordance with re effect on this object red policy provision ma re with certainty that st	n therefore b gic sites unde h these princ tive. Notwitl ay affect the trategic deve transport, d d promotes i	pe reasonably assumed er this option would be ciples, generating a hstanding, a lack of ability of the Plan to elopment is well delivers improvements mixed use	+	development at s public transport a improvements to development whi encourages walki sites may also en parking standards and contributions infrastructure.  Overall, this optic effect on this obje this objective to b	rovide an opportunity to ensure that trategic sites is well connected to existing and, where appropriate, delivers necessary infrastructure, promotes mixed use ich reduces the need to travel and ng and cycling. Specific policy on strategic able guidance to be provided covering car is (which can help manage travel demand) is to off-site strategic transport.				
7	minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects  e mis emis emis emis emis entity entity rene tech e pron mate and adhe	for the likely effects of climate change; Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies; Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;		planni assum option gener on the specif provis localis to ens conne to exis develo	Addressing and adapting to climate change is one of the core planning principles of the NPPF and in consequence it can be assumed that new development at strategic sites under this option would be delivered in accordance with these principles, generating a positive effect on this objective. However, reliance on the NPPF could mean that opportunities to encourage specific standards of sustainable design and on site renewable provision are missed. Further, as noted above, a lack of localised policy provision may also affect the ability of the Plan to ensure with certainty that strategic development is well connected to existing public transport, delivers improvements to existing infrastructure and promotes mixed use development, which could reduce emissions.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive				Under this option and renewable er provided includin for district heatin The Renewable Er CHP and district h York's key develo above, this option that development ravel and promowill in-turn reduction.  Overall, this optice effect on this objective to be	detailed guidance on sustainable design nergy provision at strategic sites could be g, for example, in respect of the potential g systems. In this context it is noted that nergy Strategic Viability Study advises that leating should be explored on several of pment opportunities. Further, as noted in will provide an opportunity to ensure t at strategic sites reduces the need to tes sustainable modes of transport which				
8	or enhance natio	enhance nationally significant priority species and		where	PPF includes strong po appropriate deliver n ed that new developn	et gains in, b	piodiversity. It can be	+	that nature conse	1, it is expected that this option will ensure ervation assets are conserved and ver, this approach is expected to help				

	++		+	0			?	-			1
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sigi	nificant	t effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely	•	. ,	no clea	r link		insufficient information	, ,	likely		how it is implemented
	infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geo- diversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme	bio- ersity, o- ersity					ould be delivered in accorda g a positive effect on this ob			strategic approac across York. This linkages between new opportunitie Overall, the optio effect on this obje this objective to b	opment at strategic sites complements a h to planning for green infrastructure may help to ensure, for example, that assets are maintained and enhanced and s for habitat creation realised.  In has been assessed as having a positive ective. There is the potential for effects on be significant but this is subject to specific hich is currently uncertain.
9	nt Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality						n does not identify or allocance, effects on this objectiv	•	0		not identify or allocate strategic sites and effects on this objective are not expected to
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.      Conserve water resources and quality;     Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.			+	as well as developm delivered	supports the efficient use of ensuring their quality. It can ent at strategic sites under in accordance with these proffect on this objective.	n be assumed that new this option would be	+	strategic sites is e does not adversel 1, guidance could surface water run approach may als specific guidance (e.g. by requiring measures).	detailed local guidance on development at expected to help ensure that development ly affect water quality. Relative to Option be more locally specific (e.g. in respect of off rates and requirements for SuDS). This oprovide an opportunity to include which seeks to reduce water consumption the incorporation of water efficiency
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling.	recycling of waste; egeneration and efficiency.  • Promote and increase resource efficiency.  efficiency.		ery and	+	minimisat	encourages the efficient us ion of waste which, alongsi e expected to have a positive	de other Local Plan	+	This approach ma site standards (e., which promote th resource use (e.g. the incorporation	y enable the setting of specific, strategic g. under the Code for Sustainable Homes) he sustainable management of waste and through the use of sustainable materials, of facilities which enable the sustainable waste and the on-site provision of waste

_	++		+	0			?	-			1
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
										Overall, this option	on has been assessed as having a positive ective.
12	Improve air quality.	activities;  • Minimise and mitigate emissions to ai from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);  • Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure;  • Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;  • Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality.  • Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the heal of future occupants/users;  • Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.				compliance with and contribute towards EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants and that planning decisions should ensure that any new development in AQMAs is consistent with the local air quality action plan. However, as noted above, a lack of localised policy provision may affect the ability of the Plan to ensure with certainty that strategic development is well connected to existing public transport and, where appropriate delivers improvements to infrastructure and promotes mixed use development which reduces the need to travel (and associated emissions).  Taking into account the NPPF and the expectation that other Local Plan policy will seek to reduce air quality impacts and promote sustainable transport, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.				strategic sites wo impacts which ma above, this option that development travel and promo will in-turn reduce assessed as havin	ocal policy guidance on development at uld be expected to cover environmental by include air quality. Further, as noted in will provide an opportunity to ensure that strategic sites reduces the need to tes sustainable modes of transport which be emissions. Overall, this option has been graphs a positive effect on this objective.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York.	<ul><li>Ensur does</li><li>Delive</li></ul>	ce risk of flooding; e development location and not negatively impact on flo er or incorporate through de nable urban drainage syster ).	od risk; esign	+	conseque significant mitigation	nce, effects on this object t. Notwithstanding, the N	ive are not expected to be PPF supports flood risk option has been assessed	+	guidance on flood strategic site guid	evelopment at strategic sites could include I risk. The requirement for specific ance would be dependent on the extent of ed in other parts of the Plan and the nature taken forward.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting.	chara Prom Prese non-c settin Prese which Chara	rve or enhance the special cter and setting of the histo ote or enhance local culture rve or enhance designated a lesignated heritage assets ang; rve or enhance those element contribute to the Six Principate is of the City as identeristics of the City as identeritage Topic Paper.	; and nd their nts ple	+	expected protects h protection on national Local Plan opportuni in the conthat devel strong stra	al planning policy to guide policy) at strategic sites r ities to ensure that heritag sideration of developmen lopment reflects the local ategic approach, opportur t to utilise them to promo	opment at strategic sites despite the strong ets within the NPPF, reliance development (and other	+	heritage assets ar development at s opportunity to en local context (e.g. may help to enco Overall, this optic effect on this obje this objective to b	uld be expected to help ensure that York's e a key consideration in taking forward trategic sites. It would provide an sure that proposals take full account of the by protecting views of York Minster) and urage the enhancement of assets.  In has been assessed as having a positive ective. There is the potential for effects on be significant but this is subject to specific nich is currently uncertain.

KEY			+	0		?	-			1
	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link	insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
a e Y r	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape.	Prese include     Prote impo     Prom with include	Positive impact likely  rive or enhance the landscalding areas of landscape valuct or enhance geologically reant sites; ote high quality design in content to the following of the following of the following of the Heritage Topic Paper.	No sign no clear pe e; ontext e and in ing"	Hink  Overall, teffect on teffect on the NPPF and also be assum option we generating the strong the NPPF developing ensure the considerary developing landscap.  This component Policy Framewo Option 1  No significant period alia, support ho consequence, the specific policy to development (e.g. in respect of developmen	insufficient information  his option has been assessed this objective.  E seeks to protect and enhard places strong emphasis on hed that new development a could be delivered in accordang a positive effect on this old grotection afforded to land, reliance on national planning the protection afforded to land, reliance on national planning the protection afforded to land, reliance on national planning the protection of development proportion of development proportion of development proportions and where poster character.  E of the spatial strategy consistive effects have been idequising and economic developments at strategy and economic developments and built environg. In respect of the provision guide development at strategy consistive effects on health (Obj. NPPF may generate long termew facilities as part of strategeative or negative effects here been assessed as having a public province of the provision of the natural and built environgent provisions are provided to the provision of the natural and built environgent provisions are provided to the provision of the natural and built environgent provisions are provided to the provision of the natural and built environgent provisions are provided to the provisions are provi	d as having a positive  lice landscape character ligh quality design. It can t strategic sites under this nce with these principles, objective. However, despite discape and design within ng policy to guide indermine opportunities to cent weight in the sals and, moreover, that dissible enhances, local  liders two options covering of velopment (Option 1); and P  Intified in respect of this optiment, protect and enhance las having a positive effect a degic sites may affect the ab comment) and, further, oppo no f affordable housing, local elective 2) and education (Obj m pressure on existing healt degic site development.  ave been identified during to cositive effect across the maj	levelop rovide  ion. The build across to a service sective section sec	This approach wo landscape/towns forward developi opportunity to en local context (e.g may help to enco character and tow with the wider gr  Overall, this optic effect on this objective to policy wording woment at strategic sit local level policy to get the Plan to ensure the majority of the Sche Plan to ensure the set of maximise the personal facilities etc. B) were identified we give, open space and essement.	Positive or negative impact depending on

	++	+	0		?	-		1						
KE	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on						
	likely		no clear	link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented						
				has the potential to reduce resource use and emissions to air.										
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	at strategic employment sites supports the						
				delivery of	wider Plan economic object	tives and spatial strategy, he	elping the City fulfil its role a	as a key economic driver within both the						
				Leeds City	Region and the York and No	rth Yorkshire Sub Region.								
				<ul> <li>Social Impa</li> </ul>	ct: The preferred option is e	expected to help ensure that	t housing delivery on strate	gic sites meets identified need and is						
				aligned wit	th the provision of commun	ty facilities and services.								
Recor	nmendations			In drafting detai										
				<ul> <li>Identifying</li> </ul>	specific affordable housing	requirements on strategic s	ites, if appropriate.							
				<ul> <li>Ensuring th</li> </ul>	at development is well conr	nected to existing communi	ty services and facilities incl	uding green infrastructure.						
				<ul> <li>Requiring t</li> </ul>	he provision of community	facilities, services and infras	tructure to meet local comr	nunity as well as strategic needs.						
				<ul> <li>Aligning po</li> </ul>	licy wording with the vision,	objectives of the York Econ	omic Strategy.							
				Requiring of	levelopment to promote sus	stainable modes of transpor	t including walking and cycl	ing.						
				<ul> <li>Including g</li> </ul>	uidance relating to car parki	ng standards, if appropriate	ı.							
				Requiring containing contain	Requiring contributions to strategic transport infrastructure, if required.									
				<ul> <li>Requiring s</li> </ul>	pecific standards of design i	ncluding in respect of the p	rovision of renewable energ	gy infrastructure, if appropriate.						
				Including locally specific principles which reflect the characteristics of York's natural and built environment										

				The Role of York's Green Belt					Safeguarded Land				
SA Objective	SA Objective  1 To meet • Deliver homes to meet the needs of the		settii York	Option 1 Identify 'preserve the setting and special character of York' as the primary purpose of York's Green Belt.		Option 2: Give equal weight to all Green Belt Purposes identified in NPPF.		on 3: Identify sufficient elopment sites for the tion of the Green Belt.	Option 4: Identify sufficient development sites for the duration of the plan, safeguarding land to provide options for future consideration during the life time of the Green Belt.				
1 To mee the div housing needs of the popula in a sustain way.	erse of cion	population Promote ir and future Locate site need; Deliver cor needs of th	mes to meet the needs of the in terms of quantity, quality; nprovements to the existing housing stock; s in areas of known housing munity facilities for the pe population; thes required for Gypsies and and Showpeople.	+	In identifying a primary purpose of the York Green Belt (to preserve the setting and special character of York), this option may enable a greater degree of flexibility with respect to land that could be excluded from the Green Belt. This may in-turn increase the extent of land that could be taken forwarded for housing development to	-	This option would give equal weight to all Green Belt purposes identified within the NPPF. This could potentially limit the volume of land excluded from the Green Belt and made available for housing (relative to Option 1) as there could be reduced flexibility, meaning that identified needs are not met. In	+	Under this option, sites would be excluded from the Green Belt to meet longer term development needs (i.e. beyond the plan period) including for housing. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.  Notwithstanding, in allocating sites at this	+	This option would safeguard a range of sites in the Green Belt that may be required to meet longer term development needs (i.e. beyond the plan period) including for housing. Relative to Option 3, this has the potential to provide a greater degree of flexibility in respect of site choice in the future and,		

	++		+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	0	ificant effe	ct / Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or	negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link	insufficient inforr	nation		likely		how it is im	pleme	nted
					mee cons has havi this	et identified needs. In sequence, this option been assessed as ing a positive effect on objective.		consequence, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.	likely	stage, this optic restrict future fl respond to char circumstances s updated housin requirement for could result in s would not be do or deliverable in being allocated undermine the future plans to a housing needs. been assessed a negative effect objective.	on may exibility to nges in uch as g recasts and ites that evelopable in the future which may ability of meet This has as having a on this	pieme	by extension, the ability of Plan reviews to respond to changes to the socio-economic and environmental baseline. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	enviro negati Impro functio Promo access cycling Impro Provid for res Ensure contar	locating development when immental circumstances couvely impact on people's her we access to openspace / monal openspace otes a healthier lifestyle tho is to leisure opportunities (w. ig) wes access to healthcare; les or promotes safety and is idents; ie that land mination/pollution does no eptable risks to health.	ald alth; oulti- ough valking /	to rewith according to the control of the control o	coption would continue estrict development in the Green Belt, in ordance with policy tained within the NPPF. will encourage elopment within the an area which in-turn is ly to help ensure that we development is essible to health lities. Further, the ion may help to protect if from development to tuitis an open space cition (for example, the 's Green Wedges), reby supporting healthy styles. Notwithstanding, dentifying the primary pose of the Green Belt, option may result in the lusion of a larger area of a from the Green Belt ative to Option 2) which we depending on its use) form an open space		Under Option 2, it is assumed that the volume of land excluded from the Green Belt would be less (relative to Option 1). This would help to ensure that Green Belt which performs an open space function would be retained. This approach may also result in an increase concentration of development within the existing urban area. However, whilst this could protect open space within the Green Belt from development, increased development pressure may lead to a loss of urban open space which could have an adverse effect on this objective. Overall, the option has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	+	- Excluding sites of Green Belt to me term growth recompany help to ensithe Green Belt of beyond the plan. This could serve important areas space, facilitating lifestyles. Howe allocation could increase pressund development or sites, resulting if of open space en although this is uncertain. Given inherent uncert forecasting future requirements, the could result in life unnecessary exithe Green Belt of potentially affect of future policy to changes in circumstances is	eet long quirements sure that endures in period. It to protect is open ing healthy ever, site in potentially reforment these in the loss arlier, currently in the ainties in reland his option and being cluded from and could it the ability to respond	+	Safeguarding land within the Green Belt may help to protect important areas of open space, facilitating healthy lifestyles. However, in the longer term (i.e. beyond the plan period) there is potential for safeguarded Green Belt land which serves an open space function to be lost which, without adequate replacement provision, could have a negative effect on health. Notwithstanding, this option would afford a greater degree of flexibility relative to Option 3 and overall it has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.

	++		+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	No signif	ficant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative imp	ct Positive or	negati	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clear l	ink	insufficient inform	ation		likely	/	how it is in	pleme	ented
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	opport Suppo educat contin Provid opport	e good education and train tunities for all; rt existing higher and furthe tional establishments for ued success; e good quality employment tunities available to all.	ing (	function (not a spe purpose) term. Ov has been having a this object	although this is cific Green Belt in the longer erall, this option assessed as neutral effect on tive.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	+ / -	need for ac space, under ability of fur promote he Further, she sites no lon appropriate changes in may be necessary the risk of I open space option has as having a and negative objective.  This option allocation of long term of needs whice educational However, the future accouncil to rechanges in beyond the which could example, the additional of facilities. Continued the sample, the additional of facilities. Continued the sample, and negative objective.	ditional open rmining the ure plans to althy lifestyles. uld allocated ger be (due to he baseline), it essary to n Belt which presents asso of additional Overall, this een assessed mixed positive effect on this will enable the sites to meet evelopment a may include facilities. e allocation of uld undermine bility of the espond to irrcumstances plan period include, for e need for ducational everall, this een assessed mixed positive e effect on this	+	Under this option, land could be safeguarded to meet longer term educational needs. Relative to Option 3, this option also has the potential to provide a greater degree of flexibility in respect of site choice in the future and, by extension, the ability of Plan reviews to respond to changes in the socio-economic and environmental baseline. This has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive	<ul> <li>succes</li> <li>Delive</li> <li>workfo</li> <li>Delive</li> <li>growth</li> </ul>	eliver conditions for busine is and investment; r a flexible and relevant orce for the future; r and promote stable econon; ce the city centre and its		purpose of Belt (to p and speci York), thi enable a	ying a primary of the York Green reserve the setting al character of s option could greater degree of with respect to	-	This option would give equal weight to all Green Belt purposes identified within the NPPF. This could limit the volume of land made available for economic development in	+	the Green I longer term	cluded from elt to meet development eyond the plan iding for	+	Under this option, land could be safeguarded to meet longer term employment needs. Relative to Option 3, the has the potential to provide a greater degree

	++		+	0			?		-			T		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impac	Positive or	negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is im	pleme	nted
	economy		tunities for business and leis				could be excluded		the longer term (relative		consequence,			of flexibility in respect of site choice in the future
			de the appropriate infrastruc	cture			Green Belt. This rn increase the		to Option 1) meaning that objectives for economic					and, by extension, the
			onomic growth;			•	land that could be		growth are not met. In		having a posit this objective.	ve effect off		and, by extension, the
			ort existing employment driv	ers;			warded for		consequence, this option		Notwithstand	ng in		respond to changes in the
		Promi	ote a low carbon economy.				development to		has been assessed as		allocating site	·		socio-economic and
							ds in the longer		having a negative effect		stage, this opt			environmental baseline.
							tive to Option 2).		on this objective.		restrict future	-		In consequence, the
						•	uence, this option		•		respond to ch	•		option has been assessed
							assessed as				circumstances	such as		as having a positive effect
						having a	ositive effect on				updated emp	oyment land		on this objective.
						this objec	tive.				requirements	forecasts and		
											other econom			
											and could res			
											that would no			
											developable o			
											in the future b	•		
											allocated which			
											future plans to	•		
											accommodate			
											growth. This			
											assessed as ha	ving a		
											negative effec	t on this		
											objective.			
5	Help		ess existing imbalances of eq	• •	+		t policy will help	+ -	As under Option 1, Green	+	- This option m	•	+	Under this option, land
	deliver	•	ration and exclusion across t	the			development		Belt policy will help focus		allocation of s			could be safeguarded to
	equality	city;					e urban area		new development within		long term dev	•		meet longer term needs
	and access to all		de accessible services and fa	cilities			curn is expected		the urban area which in- turn is expected increase		needs including of community	• .		for services and facilities as well as housing
	to all		e local population; de affordable housing to med	ot			that this option		accessibility. Under this		services. Hov			(including affordable
		dema		et			tentially facilitate		option it is expected that		allocation of s	-		provision). This has been
			reduce homelessness;			•	sion of a larger		less land would be		could underm			assessed as having a
			ote the safety and security for	or			nd from the Green		excluded from the Green		future ability			positive effect on this
			e and/or property.			Belt to me	eet the		Belt (relative to Option 1).		to respond to	changes in		objective.
		реорі	,			developm	ent needs of York		Whilst this could in theory	, [	circumstance	and		
						•	o Option 2). This		increase the		community n	•		
							may therefore		concentration of		the plan perio			
							reater scope		development within the		this option ha			
						•	o Option 2) to		existing urban area		assessed as h	-		
							rdable housing		(thereby enhancing the			egative effect		
						needs and			accessibility of		on this object	ve.		
						communi	ty facilities and		development), it is					

$\top$	++	+ 0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impact likely		significant effect / clear link	Uncertain insufficient informat	or tion	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or n	_	e impact depending on ited
			The dev exclude Belt cou location relative come fo draw de from the reducing Overall, been as positive objectiv			considered more likely that this approach would restrict development coming forward in the longer term to meet needs. Overall, the option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on this objective.					
6	need to acc travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport eacc	liver development where it is essible by public transport, walking d cycling to minimise the use of the cycling transport infrastructure which oports sustainable travel options; omote sustainable forms of travel; prove congestion.	+ Green B focus ne within t which ir promote walking transpo focusing develop City of Y increase As note develop exclude Belt cou location relativel come de from th reducing Howeve any sucl would b	elt policy will help ew development he urban area a-turn is expected to e the use of cycling and public rt. However, geconomic ment within the fork could also e in-commuting. d above, the ment of land d from the Green ld (subject to its ), mean that ly inaccessible sites rward and may velopment away e urban area, g accessibility. rr, it is assumed that n development e accessible. this option has sessed as having a effect on this	+	As under Option 1, Green Belt policy will help focus new development within the urban area which inturn is expected to promote the use of walking, cycling and public transport.  However, focusing economic development within the City of York could also increase incommuting. Applying equal weight to all Green Belt purposes under this option could result in a greater focus of development within the existing urban area (relative to Option 1).  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	+	Excluding sites from term growth required may help to ensure the Green Belt end beyond the plan which could in-tous accordance with contained within help focus new development with urban area there reducing the need and promoting in sustainable form transport. In the term (i.e. once sife forward) develop allocated sites end increase the need by car (as sites in benefit from publicated). However, sites are identified detailed proposal	eet long uirements ure that ndures period urn (in policy the NPPF)  thin the eby ed to travel nore s of e longer tes come oment on ecluded Belt may d to travel nay not olic on and ntrally er, until	+ ?	This policy will protect Green Belt land from development during the plan period (and possibly beyond). In accordance with policy contained within the NPPF, this is expected to help focus new development within the urban area thereby reducing the need to travel and promoting more sustainable forms of transport. In the longer term, should safeguarded sites come forward, these would be expected to be outside the urban area and development may therefore increase the need to travel by car (as sites may not benefit from public transport provision and would not be centrally located). However, until safeguarded sites are identified and detailed

	++	+	0		,		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impac	ct Positive impact likely	No signific	cant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or r	egativ	re impact depending on
	likely		no clear lir	k	insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is im	lemer	nted
				objective	•				effects in this reconsidered to be Notwithstanding option could poraffect the ability policy to responshaming circums such as public transcription and in consequence, the could have an affect on this obthe longer terms the option has be assessed as have positive and negon this objective.	e uncertain. g, this tentially of future d to astances cansport his option dverse ojective in Overall, been ing a mixed gative effect		proposals known effects in this regard are considered to be uncertain. Overall this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective for the duration of the plan but an uncertain effect in the longer term.
7	minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gaemissions from all sources; Plan or implement adaptation metor the likely effects of climate chaprovide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies; Promote sustainable design and bounderials that manage the future and consequences of climate charadhere to the principles of the enhierarchy.	uilding risks	policy will develope urban are expected use of wa public tra reduce gr emissions focusing develope City of Yo increase i The deve excluded Belt could location) inaccessil forward a develope the urbar accessibil emissions transport assumed	above, Green Belt I help focus new ment within the ea which in-turn is to promote the alking, cycling and insport and in-turn eenhouse gas s. However, economic ment within the ark could also in-commuting. Iopment of land from the Green d (subject to its mean that relative ble sites come and may draw ment away from n area, reducing ity and increasing s associated with s. However, it is that any such ment would be	+	As under Option 1, Green Belt policy will help focus new development within the urban area which in- turn is expected to promote the use of walking, cycling and public transport and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. However, focusing economic development within the City of York could also increase in-commuting. Applying equal weight to all Green Belt purposes under this option may result in a greater focus of development within the existing urban area (relative to Option 1). However, it is assumed that any such development would be accessible.	+	As noted above, sites from the G to meet long ter requirements m ensure that the endures beyond period which co (in accordance w contained within help focus new development will urban area there reducing the net travel, promotir sustainable forn transport and reassociated green emissions. In the term (i.e. once so forward) development will be forward in the Green increase the nee by car and associated green emissions (as sit benefit from pu	excluding reen Belt rm growth ray help to Green Belt I the plan uld in-turn with policy in the NPPF)  within the reby red to reg more res of reducing reduci	+ ?	As noted above, this policy will protect Green Belt land from development during the plan period (and possibly beyond). In accordance with policy contained within the NPPF, this is expected to help focus new development within the urban area thereby reducing the need to travel, promoting more sustainable forms of transport and reducing associated greenhouse gas emissions. In the longer term, should safeguarded sites come forward, these would be expected to be outside the urban area and development may therefore increase the need to travel by car and associated emissions (as

	++	+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very i	negative impact	Positive or r	negativ	e impact depending on
	likely		no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is im	pleme	nted
					been asse positive e objective	nis option has essed as having a ffect on this		Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	likely	transport provis would not be ce located). However sites are identificated propose effects in this reconsidered to be Notwithstanding option could popaffect the ability policy to respon changing circum such as public transcription and in consequence, the could have an acceptance of the longer term the option has be assessed as having positive and negon this objective.	ion and intrally ver, until ed and als known gard are e uncertain. g, this tentially of future d to istances ransport in coverall, been ing a mixed gative effect e.	pleme	sites may not benefit from public transport provision and would not be centrally located). However, until safeguarded sites are identified and detailed proposals known effects in this regard are considered to be uncertain. Overall this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective for the duration of the plan but an uncertain effect in the longer term.
8	or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and  nati habi and Prot natu ( geo	ect and enhance international conally significant priority spectats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS SSSIs; ect and enhance locally imposite conservation sites (SINCs); ite new areas or site of bio-diodiversity value; rove connectivity of green istructure and the natural ronment; ride opportunities for people is sthe natural environment.	cies and SARs ortant ; versity	+	to restrict within the accordant contained This will developed urban are likely to high green infinition to biodivers recognised can have value. Notidentifyin purpose of the option of land from the contained the	on would continue t development e Green Belt, in ce with policy d within the NPPF. encourage nent within the ea which in-turn is telp conserve rastructure and ity, although it is d that urban sites high biodiversity otwithstanding, in ig the primary of the Green Belt, in may result in the of a larger extent comption 2 and d habitat	+	Under Option 2, it is assumed that less land would be excluded from the Green Belt (relative to Option 1). This would help to conserve Green Belt habitat. Overall, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	+ -	Excluding sites for Green Belt to may help to ensist the Green Belt to beyond the plan This could serve habitat and specific However, site a could potentiall pressure for devon these sites, or the loss of habital though this is uncertain. Furth the inherent unin forecasting for requirements, to could result in leunnecessary extended.	rom the eet long quirements sure that endures in period. It to protect cies. Illocation y increase evelopment resulting in cat earlier, currently her, given certainties atture land his option and being cluded from	+	Safeguarding land will ensure the protection of the Green Belt for the duration of plan and possibly beyond. This will encourage development within the urban area which in-turn is likely to help conserve green infrastructure and biodiversity, although it is recognised that urban sites can have high biodiversity value. In the longer term, safeguarded land may come forward for development which could result in the loss of habitat and disturbance to biodiversity. Notwithstanding, this

T	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or r	negativ	re impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is im	plemei	nted
						specific G purpose) term. Ov has been having a	this is not a reen Belt in the longer erall, this option assessed as mixed positive and effect on this				potentially affe of future policy to changes to the ecological base Additionally, shallocated sites in appropriate (du changes in the may be necessareview Green B boundaries whithe risk of furthe effects on biodi	to respond ne line. ould no longer be ne to baseline), it ary to elt ch presents ner adverse		option would afford a greater degree of flexibility (relative to Option 1) to respond to changing circumstances including in respect of ecology and overall it has therefore been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preve land a contal</li> <li>Safegor and m</li> <li>Proted</li> <li>Safegor</li> </ul>	e previously developed land nt pollution contaminating to nd remediate any existing mination; uard soil quality, including thost versatile agricultural lanct or enhance allotments; uard mineral resources and trage their efficient use.	the he best	++	encourag derelict la urban lan option ide primary p Green Be preserve special ch would be spatial str continue developm generatin positive e objective draw dev from the although sites excle Green Be come for appropria	e specific of Green Belt is to e the recycling of and and other d. Whilst this entifies the urpose of the It as being to the setting and aracter of York, it expected that the rategy would to support the ment of urban land, g a significant ffect on this This option may elopment away urban area it is assumed that uded from the It would only ward once ware existing urban been realised.	++	As with Option 1, this option would be expected to generate a significant positive effect on this objective by encouraging the recycling of derelict land and other urban land.	*	Excluding sites of Green Belt will lensure that the endures beyond period which melp to encourar recycling of derother urban landuration of the possiblly beyon accordance with purposes of the set out in the Newever, in the term (i.e. beyon period), the devof allocated site result in the lost greenfield land. given the inhere uncertainties in future land requires and requires of the Green Belt. allocation could potentially incredevelopment puthis land earlier	from the help to Green Belt of the plan ay in-turn ige the elict and of for the plan and d, in in the Green Belt in the plan and in the present of the plan in the plan in the plan in the plan in the present in the plan in	+	The safeguarding of Green Belt land will help to encourage the recycling of derelict and other urban land for the duration of the plan and possibly beyond, in accordance with the purposes of the Green Belt set out in the NPPF. However, in the longer term (i.e. beyond the plan period), development of sites within the Green Belt may result in the loss of greenfield land. Development of greenfield sites could affect soil quality and agricultural land, although this would be dependent on the specific characteristics of the sites ultimately taken forward for development. Notwithstanding, this option would afford a greater degree of flexibility relative to Option 1 and overall it has

	++		+	0		?		-				
KEY		impact	Positive impact likely	_	icant effect /	Uncertain	or			- '	_	ative impact depending on
	likely			no clear li	nk	insufficient informa	ation		likely	how it	imple	mented
10	Improve	• Consei	rve water resources and qua	ility;	) This optic	on is not expected	0	This option is not	0	lifetime of the Local Plan Development of greenfies sites could affect soil quality and agricultural land, although this would be dependent on the specific characteristics of the sites ultimately taker forward for development Further, should allocated sites no longer be appropriate (due to changes in the baseline), may be necessary to review Green Belt boundaries which presenthe risk of loss of addition open space. Overall, this option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on the objective.	t es al	been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.  This option is not
	water efficiency and quality	•	ve the quality of rivers and dwaters.		to have a on this ol	significant effect bjective.		expected to have a significant effect on this objective.		to have a significant effect on this objective.		expected to have a significant effect on this objective.
11	Reduce waste	recycli	ote reduction, re-use, recove ing of waste; ote and increase resource ncy.	ry and C		on is not expected I significant effect bjective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>activiti</li> <li>Minim         from n         reduci         low en</li> <li>Suppo         low en</li> </ul>	e all emissions to air from cuies; ise and mitigate emissions to all the development (including and transport emissions throus the development of city was air quality in AQMAs and	o air ugh ds);	policy wil developn urban are expected use of wa public tra improve	above, Green Belt II help focus new nent within the ea which in-turn is I to promote the alking, cycling and ansport and in-turn air quality. , focusing	+	As under Option 1, Green Belt policy will help focus new development within the urban area which in- turn is expected to promote the use of walking, cycling and public transport and reduce greenhouse gas	+	As noted above, excludin sites from the Green Belt to meet long term growt requirements may help t ensure that the Green Be endures beyond the plan period which could in-tur (in accordance with polic contained within the NPI	t t	As noted above, this policy will protect Green Belt land from development during the plan period (and possibly beyond). In accordance with policy contained within the NPPF, this expected to help focus

_	++	+	0		3		-			1		
KEY		t Positive impact likely	No significa		Uncertain	or		-	negative impact		0	ve impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	pleme	nted
	P A CC • A e e rr O • P tu	prevent new designations; Avoid locating development when could negatively impact on air qual avoid locating development in are existing poor air quality where it consult in negative impacts on the hof future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrate transport network to minimise the off the car.	e it ality; eas of ould nealth	economic within the could also commuting developing excluded Belt could location), relatively come for draw developing increasing associated However any such would be overall, the been associated the council of the c	c development e City of York o increase in- ng. The nent of land from the Green d (subject to its , mean that r inaccessible sites ward and may relopment away urban area, accessibility and g emissions to air d with transport. , it is assumed that development e accessible.  chis option has essed as having a effect on this		emissions. However, focusing economic development within the City of York could also increase in-commuting. Applying equal weight to all Green Belt purposes under this option may result in a greater focus of development within the existing urban area (relative to Option 1). However, it is assumed that any such development would be accessible.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.		help focus new development wi urban area there reducing the new travel, promotin sustainable form transport and re associated emis. In the longer ter once sites come development or sites excluded fr Green Belt may the need to trava and associated e (as sites may no from public tran provision and w centrally located However, until sidentified and diproposals know this regard are controlled to be uncertain. Notwithstanding option could por affect the ability policy to respon changing circum such as public triprovision and in consequence, the could have an acceptance of the option has be assessed as having positive and negon this objective	thin the eby ed to grow more as of educing sions to air. If the educince as educince as educince as educince as educince are educince as educince are		new development within the urban area thereby reducing the need to travel, promoting more sustainable forms of transport and reducing associated emissions to air. In the longer term, should safeguarded sites come forward, these would be expected to be outside the urban area and development may therefore increase the need to travel by car and associated emissions (as sites may not benefit from public transport provision and would not be centrally located). However, until safeguarded sites are identified and detailed proposals known effects in this regard are considered to be uncertain. Overall this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective for the duration of the plan but an uncertain effect in the longer term.
13		Reduce risk of flooding;	?		ng development	,	As under Option 1, Green	-	Over the lifetime	l l		By focusing development
		Ensure development location and			e urban area,		Belt policy is expected to		plan and beyond,			within urban areas, this
		does not negatively impact on floo			It policy is		reduce the amount of		option will help to			option is expected to
	the impact • D	Deliver or incorporate through de	sign	expected	to reduce the		greenfield land taken		that the Green Be	it		reduce the amount of

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant ef	ffect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or	negati	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	ented
			nable urban drainage system.		an ta de had the the way is The of Grand of de an Fue ex de inception of the	aken forvevelopmave a ponis objective volumater run current the long of sites expressed for the long of the long o	f greenfield land ward for lent. This could sitive effect on tive by reducing le of surface off, although this ly uncertain. Lerm development coulded from the tray result in surface water runever, this is let on their location fic characteristics. It would be that any lent would te appropriate prevention	ation	forward for development. This could have a positive effect on this objective by reducing the volume of surface water run off, although this is currently uncertain.	likely	endures. This couthe amount of greland taken forwardevelopment, gerpositive effect on objective by redusurface water run However, in the leterm greenfield silikely to be taken forwarded for development whi increase run-off. in allocating sites option may restriability of the Courespond to chang extent of flood risthe plan area whi serve to constrair growth. Overall, option has been a	uld reduce enfield of for nerating a this cing -off. onger ites are ch could Further, now, this ct the noil to es in the ck over ch could a future this assessed		greenfield land taken forward for development. This could have a positive effect on this objective by reducing the volume of surface water run off, although this is currently uncertain. The long term development of safeguarded land may result in increased surface water run off. However, this is dependent on their location and specific characteristics. Further, it would be expected that any development would incorporate appropriate flood risk prevention measures.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charace</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Preser non-de setting</li> <li>Preser which Charace</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ster and setting of the historicte or enhance local culture ve or enhance designated a sesignated heritage assets arg; ve or enhance those eleme contribute to the 6 Principle steristics of the City as identifications.	ents le	pr Yc pr sp Th to lai de ur wi ha	rimary pork Gree reserve to pecial chais approper of help er and taken evelopmendermin chich has aving a s	n identifies the urpose of the n Belt as being to the setting and aracter of York. Dach is expected is used that any n forward for ent does not e this purpose been assessed as ignificant positive this objective.	+	In accordance with the purposes of the Green Belt set out in the NPPF, this option would help to preserve the setting and special character of York. However, equal weight would be given to this purpose and in consequence, the option could lead to the development which affects the setting and character of York in the longer term to meet development needs. Overall, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this	+	as having a negat on this objective.  As noted above, will help to ensu. Green Belt endu accordance with purposes of the set out in the NI option would the help to preserve and special char York for the dur plan period and beyond. In the term, the develosites excluded find Green Belt could adverse impact character and set the City. Howe noted that the Association.	this option are that the ares. In the Green Belt PPF, this erefore the setting factor of the possibly longer opment of from the dhave an on the etting of ver, it is	+	In accordance with the purposes of the Green Belt set out in the NPPF, this option would help to preserve the setting and special character of York for the duration of the plan period and possibly beyond. In the longer term, safeguarded land within the Green Belt may come forward for development which could have an adverse impact on the character and setting of the City. However, it is noted that the Approach to the Green Belt Appraisal

T	++	+	0		?		-			I		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Ver	y negative impact	Positive or	negati	ve impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likel	У	how it is im	pleme	ented
							objective.		the Green Belt			study places strong
									study places s	rong		emphasis on the need to
									emphasis on t	ne need to		preserve the setting and
									preserve the s	etting and		special character of York
									special charac	er of York		and in consequence it can
									and in conseq	uence it can		be reasonably assumed
									be reasonably	assumed		that the development of
									that the devel	opment of		sites or land safeguarded
									allocated sites	would not		would not have a
									have a signific	ant adverse		significant adverse effect
									effect in this r			in this regard. Further.
									Notwithstandi			this option would afford a
									inherent unce			greater degree of
									forecasting fur			flexibility relative to
									requirements,	•		Option 1. Overall, the
									could result in	•		option has therefore been
									unnecessary e			assessed as having a
									the Green Belt			positive effect on this
									this option co			objective.
									potentially aff	-		
									of future polic	•		
									to changes in			
									heritage basel			
									should allocat			
									longer be app			
									to changes in	,.		
									it may be nece	•		
									review Green			
									boundaries wh	•		
									the risk of furt			
									effects on cult	•		
									Overall, the op			
									been assessed mixed positive			
									negative effect			
									objective.	L OH UHS		
15	Protect and • Preser	ve or enhance the landscape	++	The ever	rching aim of	++	As noted under the		- As noted abov	o this option		Safeguarded land ensures
13		ing areas of landscape value	77		t is to keep land		assessment of Option 1,		will help to en	•		the protection of Green
		t or enhance geologically			consequence,		the overarching aim of		Green Belt en			Belt within the longer
		tant sites;		Green Bel			Green Belt is to keep land		overarching ai			time-scale by reserving
		tant sites; ote high quality design in conto	ovt		to have a positive		open. In consequence,		Belt policy as			land which may be
	1.0	is urban and rural landscape a		•	this objective.		this option has been		NPPF is to mai			required to meet longer-
					it is assumed that		assessed as having a		openness and			term development needs
	line w	ith the "landscape and Setting		HOWEVEI,	it is assumed tridt		assessed as Haville a		openiness and			term development needs

>	++	+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		negative impact		_	ve impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient inform	ation		likely		how it is im	pleme	nted
	,	n the Heritage Topic Paper.		greater d with resp could be Green Be turn affee land in th However that sites forward o opportur existing t been rea would be openness approach would be possible: Wedges o strongly i character edge. In noted tha Green Be (2003) id factors, a defining o character York. Ov has there assessed significan on this ol	y may enable a legree of flexibility sect to land that excluded from the left. This may inct the openness of the longer term.  It is also assumed a would only come once appropriate sities within the urban area have lised. Further, it is expected that the sof the less to the City is retained where and that the Green would continue to influence the rof the urban this context, it is at the Approach to left Appraisal Study entifies these imongst others, as the historic rand setting of erall, the option as having a at positive effect bjective.		significant positive effect on this objective.		consequence, the has been assess having a positive this objective.  In the longer tedevelopment of excluded from the Belt could have impact on lands character although thorough identified the most appropriate of the longer term expected to be Notwithstanding inherent uncertified for easily for the Green Belt. The Green Belt of future policy to changes in the landscape based should allocated longer be appropriate of future policy to changes in the landscape based should allocated longer be appropriated for the second potentially affects of future policy to changes in the landscape based should allocated longer be appropriated for the second potentially affects on lands character. Over option has been as having a mixed and negative effective.	nis option eed as e effect on rm, the isites he Green an adverse ugh fication of priate sites idverse bejective in are not significant. g, given the ainties in re land his option and being cluded from Further, d ct the ability to respond ie ine and d sites no opriate (due he baseline), sary to elt ch presents er adverse cape rall, this assessed ed positive fect on this		without the need to alter Green Belt boundaries. The overarching aim of Green Belt policy as set out in the NPPF is to maintain openness and in consequence, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective. In the longer term (beyond the plan period), development of safeguarded land may affect the openness of the Green Belt although thorough identification of the most appropriate sites to be released form the Green Belt adverse effects on this objective in the longer term are not expected to be significant. Further, this option would afford a greater degree of flexibility relative to Option 1. Overall, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.
Gene	eral						ders a total of four options to secial character of York' as to					
			name	ıy: Identify	preserve the setting	, and sp	ieciai character of York' as t	ne prim	ary purpose of York	k s Green Belt	(Optio	n 1); and Give equal

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

weight to all Green Belt Purposes identified in NPPF (Option 2). A further two options relate to the approach to safeguarded land, namely: Identify sufficient development sites for the duration of the Green Belt (Option 3); and identify sufficient development sites for the duration of the plan, safeguarding land to provide options for future consideration during the life time of the Green Belt (Option 4).

#### Option 1

This option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on the use of land (Objective 9), cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15). This reflects the purposes of the Green Belt as set out in the NPPF including to encourage the recycling of derelict and other urban land and, further, the specific emphasis of this option on the primary purpose of the York Green Belt as being to preserve the setting and special character of York. Further positive effects were identified across the majority of SA objectives including those in respect of housing (Objective 1), employment (Objective 4), equality (Objective 5), transport (Objective 6), climate change (Objective 7) and air quality (Objective 12).

The option is not expected to generate any significant negative or minor negative effects. However, the option was assessed as having mixed positive and negative effects on biodiversity (Objective 8) which reflects the potential for this option to encourage development within the urban which is expected to, on balance, conserve green infrastructure but the likely long term loss of greenfield land and associated habitat.

#### Option 2

As with Option 1, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on the use of land (Objective 9), by encouraging the recycling of derelict land and other urban land (in accordance with the purposes of the Green Belt set out in the NPPF) and landscape, given the overarching aim of Green Belt policy which is to keep land permanently open. However, as this option does not place specific emphasis on preserving the setting and special character of York, positive effects on cultural heritage (Objective 14) were assessed as minor. Further positive effects were identified in respect of transport (Objective 6), climate change (Objective 7), biodiversity (Objective 8) and air quality (Objective 12).

This option is not expected to have any significant negative effects on the SA objectives. Minor negative effects were identified in relation to housing (Objective 1) and employment (Objective 4) as this option could potentially limit the extent of land available to accommodate the City of York's needs for a range of uses over the plan period and beyond.

Mixed positive and negative effects were identified in relation to equality (Objective 5). This reflects the potential for Green Belt policy to help focus new development within the urban area, which in-turn is expected to increase accessibility, but the expectation that fewer long term opportunities would be available (relative to Option 1) which may restrict development coming forward in the longer term to meet needs.

#### Option 3

No significant positive or minor positive effects were identified in relation to this option. Mixed positive and negative effects were identified across the majority of the SA objectives. This principally reflects the fact that, whilst sites would be excluded from the Green Belt to meet longer term development needs under this option, in allocating sites at this stage the option may restrict future flexibility to respond to changes in circumstances such as revised forecast housing and employment land requirements and could result in sites that would not be developable or deliverable in the future being allocated which may undermine the ability of future plans to meet needs. Further, given the inherent uncertainties in forecasting future land requirements, this option could result in land being unnecessary excluded from the Green Belt.

This option was not assessed as having a significant negative effect on any of the SA objectives. A minor negative effect was identified in relation to food risk as it is considered that in allocating sites now, this option may restrict the ability of the Council to respond to changes in the extent of flood risk over the plan area which could serve to constrain future growth.

	++ +		0	?	-		1
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	Option 4  No significant positive effects were identified in relation to Option 4. This option would safeguard a range of sites in the Green Belt that may be required to meet longer term development needs (i.e. beyond the plan period) including for housing and employment. Relative to Option 3, this option has the potential to provide a greater degree of flexibility in respect of site choice in the future and, by extension, the ability of Plan reviews to respond to changes in the socio-economic and environmental baseline whilst helping to ensure that the Green Belt endures beyond the plan period. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having positive effects across the majority of SA objectives.
	This option is not expected to have any significant negative or minor negative effects on the SA objectives.
Preferred Approach	Environmental Impact: The preferred options will help to conserve important built and environmental assets. This reflects, and is consistent with, the five purposes of Green Belt as set out in the NPPF and the particular emphasis within the approach placed on preserving the setting and special character of the City of York and the inherent flexibility which will help to ensure that the Green Belt endures beyond the plan period. Notwithstanding, the preferred approach may result in the loss of greenfield land and associated habitat and could adversely affect landscape in the longer term.
	• <b>Economic Impact</b> : The preferred approach may enable a greater degree of flexibility with respect to land that could be excluded from the Green Belt, increasing the extent of land available to meet needs for housing, employment and community facilities. This approach will also safeguard a range of sites in the Green Belt that may be required to meet longer term development needs (i.e. beyond the plan period), providing flexibility to respond to changes in the socio-economic and environmental baseline.
	Social Impact: As noted above, the preferred approach is expected to help meet community needs now and in the future by affording flexibility with respect to land that could be excluded from the Green Belt and providing a range of safeguarded sites.
Recommendations	The following recommendations are made to enhance the performance of the preferred approach:
	<ul> <li>Care should be taken to ensure that sites excluded from the Green Belt do not have high biodiversity value or form important open space.     Where sites do have high value in this regard, replacement provision should be planned for.</li> <li>Clear policy direction should be provided where appropriate to ensure that those sites excluded from the Green Belt or safeguarded are</li> </ul>
	developed ahead of sites within the urban area.
	• Sites excluded from the Green Belt and safeguarded land should, where possible, be located so as to reduce the need to travel and/or be in close proximity to public transport.

	++ +		0	?	-		1
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

# **SECTION 6: YORK CITY CENTRE**

Cit	City Centre						
SA C	SA Objective			Option 1 Rely on the NPPF to guide development in the City Centre		Option 2 Provide detailed local criteria and site allocations to guide development in York City Centre	
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	•	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population; Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.	+	The NPPF seeks to deliver a wide choice of high quality housing and that local planning authorities should 'plan for a mix of housing based on the current and future demographic trends, market trends and the needs of different groups in the community' and to 'identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required in particular locations.' This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	++	Detailed local criteria and site allocations would take account of the specifics of York's housing market and seek to locate the most appropriate types of new housing within the city centre, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	•	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health; Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling) Improves access to healthcare; Provides or promotes safety and security for residents; Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	+	The NPPF seeks to promote healthy communities and that local planning policies should 'plan positively for the provision and use of shared space, community facilities and other services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments' and to 'guard against the unnecessary loss of valued services and facilities'. The NPPF also requires good design which helps to create 'safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion'.  Together with other efforts in the NPPF to improve air quality, combat the adverse consequences of climate change and reduce harmful emissions and reduce reliance upon the car, there would be significant positive and long term impacts on improving the health and well-being of York's population.	+	Detailed local criteria and site allocations would take account of the specific characteristics of York's city centre to ensure that development is not located where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health.  Detailed local criteria and site allocations could also help to promote sustainable modes of transport for access to new development, which would help to reduce vehicle emissions and also to help ensure better access to leisure opportunities. These factors would have positive and permanent long-term impacts upon this objective.
3	Improve education, skills development	•	Provide good education and training opportunities for all;	+	The NPPF seeks to build a strong and competitive economy, which will help to build growth and develop new training and education	++	Detailed local criteria and site allocations would take account of the specific characteristics of York's city centre to ensure that development helps to improve education, skills

_	++		+	0	?		-			1			
KEY	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect	/ U	Incertain o	n Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on			
	likely			no clear link	in	nsufficient information		likely		how it is implemented			
4	and training for an effective workforce  Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	•	Support existing higher and f establishments for continued Provide good quality employ available to all.  Help deliver conditions for be investment; Deliver a flexible and relevant future; Deliver and promote stable establishments and leisure; Provide the appropriate infragrowth;	urther educational I success; ment opportunities usiness success and at workforce for the conomic growth; ts opportunities for	+	opportunities. This permanent long ten objective.  The NPPF seeks to be competitive econom planning authorities and strategy for the proactively encourar. Together with the orequires local authorities local authorities and strategy encourars.	would have positive and n impacts upon this	+	would have position this objective.  Detailed local criticaccount of the splocate new econolocations. This wo	I training for an effective workforce. This ive and permanent long term impacts on eria and site allocations would take ecifics of York's economy and help to mic development in the most suitable ould help to create jobs and deliver growth ve and permanent long term impact on this			
		•	Support existing employmen Promote a low carbon econo	my.		term impacts upon	this objective.		Detailed local criteria and site allocations to guide				
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	•	Address existing imbalances and exclusion across the city. Provide accessible services al local population; Provide affordable housing to Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and secu property.	nd facilities for the	+	centres and to alloc for community de for retail, leisure, of uses are met in full a The NPPF also seeks unnecessary loss of facilities'. These rec	quirements will help to ccess and have positive and	development in York City Centre would take account of locally specific circumstances in relation to inequality and help to deliver better and equality of access. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.  The property of					
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where transport, walking and cyclin of the car; Deliver transport infrastructus ustainable travel options; Promote sustainable forms of Improve congestion.	g to minimise the use	+	promotes sustainab	educe the need to travel and le forms of travel. This will permanent long term impact	++	development in Y locally specific cir development will	eria and site allocations to guide fork City Centre would take account of cumstances in helping to ensure that new help to reduce the need to travel, which ive and permanent long term impacts on			
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	•	Reduce or mitigate greenhou all sources; Plan or implement adaptatio likely effects of climate chang Provide and develop energy and zero carbon technologie. Promote sustainable design a that manage the future risks climate change;	n measures for the ge; from renewable, low s; and building materials	+	promotes sustainab help to reduce vehic seeks to meet the cl requiring local plant proactive strategies climate change'. Th	educe the need to travel and le forms of travel. This will cle emissions. The NPPF also nallenge of climate change, ning authorities to 'adopt to mitigate and adapt to is will have a positive and m impact on this objective.	+	Detailed local criteria and site allocations to guide development in York City Centre would take account of locally specific circumstances in helping to ensure that new development will help to reduce the need to travel and reduce reliance upon the car. Such an approach would hel to have positive and permanent long term impacts upon the objective.				

	++		+	0	?		-			1
KEY	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect	/ U	ncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear link	in	sufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
_	_	•	Adhere to the principles of the				at local planning authorities	+		
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural		Protect and enhance internal significant priority species and SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Protect and enhance locally conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of begeodiversity value; Improve connectivity of greet the natural environment; Provide opportunities for pe	nd habitats within SACs, mportant nature io-diversity / n infrastructure and	+	should 'set out a strategic approach in their Local Plans, planning positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure'. This requirement will help conserve and enhance the natural environment in York City Centre and therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.			development in Y locally specific circ development doe environment, and	eria and site allocations to guide ork City Centre would take account of cumstances in helping to ensure that new s not adversely impact upon the natural I where possible enhances it. Such an have positive and permanent long term ojective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	•	natural environment.  Re-use previously developed Prevent pollution contamina remediate any existing contasting contasting and soil quality, includiversatile agricultural land; Protect or enhance allotmen Safeguard mineral resources efficient use.	ting the land and imination; ing the best and most ts;	+	The NPPF requires that 'planning policies should encourage the effective use of land by re-using land that has been previously developed (brownfield land) provided that it is not of high environmental value' and that 'local planning authorities should take into account the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land'.  The above requirements will help to use land resources in York City Centre efficiently and safeguard their quality, which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.			development in Y locally specific circ resources are use and valuable land	eria and site allocations to guide ork City Centre would take account of cumstances to help ensure that land d efficiently and to safeguard important where necessary. Such an approach ve and permanent long term impacts upon
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	•	Conserve water resources and quality; Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.			The NPPF requires the should seek to protect environment through including 'preventing development from containing trisk from or being a water pollution'.  This will help to prote River Ouse, which will	s that the planning system otect and enhance the natural ough as variety of measures ting both new and existing in contributing to or being puting adversely affected by rotect the water quality of the a will have positive and erm impacts upon this		development in Y locally specific circ development imp improve water qu	eria and site allocations to guide ork City Centre would take account of cumstances to help ensure that new roves water efficiency and helps to sality where possible. This would have sanent long germ impacts upon this
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;</li> <li>Promote and increase resource efficiency.</li> </ul>				The NPPF promotes so and seeks to reduce w will help to reduce wa	ustainable development vaste generation, which iste in the City Centre of e and permanent long term ective.	+	development in Y locally specific circ development redu recycling as part c	eria and site allocations to guide ork City Centre would take account of cumstances to help ensure that new uces waste generation and to promote of new development. This would have nanent long term impacts upon this

	++		+	0	?		-			T			
KEY	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect		Incertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on			
	likely			no clear link	in	nsufficient information		likely		how it is implemented			
									objective.				
12	Improve air	•	Reduce all emissions to air fro	•	+	The NPPF seeks to mir		+		eria and site allocations to guide			
	quality	•	Minimise and mitigate emissi				ariety of means including energy, reducing reliance		•	ork City Centre would take account of cumstances to help ensure that new			
			development (including redu- emissions through low emissi	•			noting low carbon energy			s not adversely impact upon air quality			
			fuels);	on technologies and			lese measures will help to		<u>-</u>	le improves air quality, for example			
		Support the development of city wide low emission				improve air quality and	•		•	the need to travel or using low emissions			
			infrastructure;	orey made for emission		permanent long term				nis would have positive and permanent			
		•	Improve air quality in AQMAs	and prevent new		objective.			long term impacts upon this objective.				
			designations;	,									
		•	Avoid locating development v	where it could		Improving air quality v							
		negatively impact on air quality;					ork has problems with air						
		<ul> <li>Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative</li> </ul>				quality in existing AQN	ЛAS.						
				_									
			impacts on the health of futu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
		Promote sustainable and integrated transport  network to minimise the use of the car.											
13	Minimise flood	network to minimise the use of the car.				The NPPF requires tha	t now dovalonment is	+	Datailad lagal suit	orio and site allocations to suide			
13	risk and reduce	•	Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development location	and design does not	+	directed away from ar	•	+		eria and site allocations to guide ork City Centre would take account of			
	the impact of		negatively impact on flood ris	•			evelopment is necessary,		<u>-</u>	cumstances to ensure that new			
	flooding to		Deliver or incorporate through			making it safe without			• •	irected away from areas at risk of flooding			
	people and		urban drainage systems (SuD	•		elsewhere. This requi	•		-	ent and positive long-term impacts on this			
	property in York			-,		minimise and reduce f	lood risk in the City Centre		objective.				
						of York and have posit	ive and permanent long						
						term impacts upon thi							
14	Conserve or	•	Preserve or enhance the spec	ial character and	+		t local planning authorities	++		eria and site allocations to guide			
	enhance York's		setting of the historic city;			should 'set out in their	·			ork City Centre would take account of the			
	historic	•	Promote or enhance local cul	•		0,,,	rvation and enjoyment of		•	istics that make York's historic			
	environment, cultural	•	Preserve or enhance designate			the historic environme	ough neglect, decay or			ue and special and help to conserve and hance the historic environment. Such an			
	heritage,	•	designated heritage assets ar Preserve or enhance those el				so, they should recognise		-	e positive and permanent long term			
	character and	Ŭ	contribute to the 6 Principle (			that heritage assets a	. ,		impacts upon this				
	setting		·			resource and conserve	•			,			
		City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.				appropriate to their si	gnificance'.						
						The above requiremer	nts will help to conserve						
							ment, which would be						
						particularly important	_						
							and the fact that there are						
					a number of listed bui which require sensitive								
					protection. The NPPF								
						e and permanent long-							
						and charte have positiv	e and permanent long						

Τ	++		+	0	?		-			I	
KEY	Very positive im likely	pact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	_	ncertain or sufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likel	/ negative impa y	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
						term impacts upon th	is objective.				
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	•	Preserve or enhance the land of landscape value Conserve or enhance geolog Promote high quality design i urban and rural landscape an "landscape and Setting" with Paper.	ically important sites; in context with its d in line with the	+	environmental value a harm to geological co	otect land with the highest and to 'aim to prevent inservation interests'. This ositive and permanent long is objective.	++	development is specific character and ensure the impacts. Ther	criteria and site allocations to guide n York City Centre would take account of the teristics of York's natural and built landscape at new development does not have adverse e would therefore be positive and permanent acts on this objective.	
Gen	eral				guide centi of th	e development in York ( res promotes sustainabl	City Centre in a sustainable le modes of transport, seek r efforts in the NPPF will ha	way. T s to pr	he NPPF seeks to otect the natural e	e ranging aims, many of which would help deliver growth, to ensure the vitality of town nvironment and the historic environment. All I permanent impacts upon this objective and	
Pref	erred Approach				The preferred approach has positive and very positive impacts on a range of the SA objectives. Detailed local criteria and allocations to guide development in York City Centre can take account of the specific characteristics of the city centre to ensure that new development meets housing need, helps to contribute to growth of the economy, protects the environment and heritage of the City Centre and helps the population of York to have good access to housing, jobs, goods and services recreational facilities. All of this will have positive and permanent long term impacts.						
Rec	ommendations				As the preferred approach will have positive impacts for all for all of the SA objectives, no mitigation is therefore considered necessary.						

## **SECTION 7: YORK CENTRAL**

Yo	ork Central									
SA	Objective		Op	tion	1: Rely on the NPPF to guide	Option	2: Provide generic local criteria/site	0	ption	3 : Provide detailed local criteria/site
					oment within York Central	allocat	ions to guide development, details	al	llocati	ions to guide development in York Central
						to be deferred to lower tier of planning				
						policy	or planning application			
1	To meet the	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the</li> </ul>	+	-	It is likely that an NPPF approach	+	The use of a generic approach	+	1	The size of the site and its potential
	diverse population in terms of quantity, quality;				would have a long-term positive		initially followed by further policy			uses would require a detailed
	housing • Promote improvements to the existing				impact on delivering housing		of greater detail in an SPD would			understanding to be translated in the
	needs of the and future housing stock;				need with respect to York		allow a broad understanding of			plan. This would have a positive

,	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative i	mpact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	population in a sustainable way.	need; • Deliver of the properties of the propert	sites in areas of known hou community facilities for the copulation; pitches required for Gypsie ers and Showpeople.	e needs		overall: within it stating support healthy providin required present and by a built en accessil reflect t and sup cultural  The NPI provide underst differen site, wh location conside reflect t spatial s amount therefo and cou other pi Given it cause u develop	The NPPF sets out support for development ts sustainability agenda that the social role is to "strong, vibrant and communities, by go the supply of housing of to meet the needs of and future generations; creating a high quality vironment, with be local services that the community's needs prort its health, social and well-being".  PF however does not specific criteria for randing how to strate the types of use on ich given its size and and, would be an important ration. It may also not the council's ambition or strategy for the site. The sof housing on site would re be open to negotiation ald be in competition with roposed used or ideas. It is size, this could also neertainty for both the per and Council in ang the site overall.		allocation would determine the bincluding how the amount of hous housing number site has the pote to the overall la housing growth a new communi within a city cer.  The size and entron site, togethe infrastructure reimpact on delive the potential to impact in the meterm, the deliverance of the communication of the meterm, the deliverance of the communication of th	followed by a ailed approach. ric indicators to ent and the site d be useful to help lest uses on site, ne maximise the ling and indicative rs. The size of the ential to contribute and allocated for and would provide ty meeting need attre location.  Informental issues r with equirements, could ery. The site has have a positive edium to long-ry and detail of affirmed through		approach in determining overall detail on the site and specifying the potential for housing.  However, given there are other issues on site to consider in bringing forward the site, the delivery is likely to be in the medium to long term. During this time the economic circumstances with regards to mitigate, funding and/or requirements for the site may correspondingly change. A detailed approach would not allow a rapid response to this changing situation which may cause viability issues.  This option is likely to cause uncertainty for the in the medium to long term.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	enviror negativ  Improv functio  Promo access cycling  Improv	ocating development where nmental circumstances coul- yely impact on people's heal re access to openspace / mu anal openspace; tes a healthier lifestyle thou to leisure opportunities (wa ); res access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and se	d lth; ilti- igh ilking /	+ -	of healt recogni in this. S out the includin safe and environ services	PF supports the creation hy communities and ses planning needs to pay Section 8 of the NPPF sets main principles for this 19 the development of d accessible ments, provision of 19 and guarding against 19 ses as well as the provision	+	well-being for p working on the	l allow an of York's be considered d, including the sure the health and eople living and site. Following this ld also refine this	Ş	Pursuing a detailed approach would require the specific facilities and services to be included in the Plan based upon an understanding of quantum's of growth for employment and housing as well as their specific requirements through masterplanning.  However, given the size of the site and the need to mitigate certain issues prior

	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive imp	pact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	Improve education,  Provide good education an opportunities for all;		•	ollution	of oper approa scheme include scheme the heat popular  The app account standal Council spatial approa be tens develop terms of site. The impact		insufficient information  f open space. In following this pproach it is likely that a cheme for York Central would include social infrastructure and chemes which would promote he health and well-being of the opulation.  The approach would not take count for any locally distinctive candards or requirements, ouncil ambitions or city-wide obtail strategy. In following this pproach therefore, there may e tension caused between evelopers and the Council in terms of appropriate uses on the This is likely to have direct inpact on the short to long-term ealth and well-being benefits of		element s, which health such as m and openspace i should be picked	e included to and well-being. the site to include a contribute to nedical facilities s significant and d in more detail to maximise long-		to development, the impacts in pursuing this approach and implementing schemes for healthy lifestyle and well-being is uncertain.
3	education, skills development and training	opportu Supportu educati success Provide	unities for all; t existing higher and further onal establishments for con	r ntinued	1	the site. The NPI of educe the nee Whilst t contribut tension and skil need to likely to outside		+	assessment of o requirements ar and wider area t of facilities that considered, follo detailed guidand other factors. Th positive for educ as it should enal provided based	ising from the site to inform the types should be owed by more the based upon	?	The impacts are uncertain regarding detailed guidance as this would require detailed knowledge of educational requirements which may change in the medium term due to changing circumstances in the wider city area and in line with the delivery schedule.
4	and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhanc	and promote stable econor	rkforce mic ure;	+ -	econom develop suitable availabl that the would b	PF supports the need for nic growth through ment and ensuring that a land for development is e and viable. It is likely e location of the site of supported through and that it would be and to accommodate a mix	+	The use of a gen initially followed of greater detail allow a broad ur the site first to be by a much more approach. This	I by further policy in an SPD would inderstanding of the supplemented detailed would be a flexible generic indicators	+ -	The site is likely to perform well for the provision of employment uses, subject to the definition of detailed criteria, given its city centre location and existing sustainable transport routes as well as potential connectivity with existing businesses in the city centre.  A detailed criteria/allocation would

	++		+	0			?	-				1
KFY	Very positive in	npact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ar link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	likely	• Suppor	nomic growth; It existing employment drive The a low carbon economy.		ir link	growth.  The NPI provide underst differensite, who location conside reflect to spatial samount therefo and coupled to the picture of the picture of the picture of the picture of the significant of the si	to support economic		to help determine site, including he benefits to the expension of the site has the contribute to the allocated for emand its city centermajor transport ensure it was an accessible for the size and envorsite, together infrastructure reimpact on deliver However, the site potential to have in the medium to delivery and debe affirmed throaccompanying S.  This approach allocation in the developers of the understand the requirements ar	e development/ t would be useful ne the best uses on the two maximise toonomy. The size ne potential to the overall land ployment growth the location next to links, would attractive and the wider region.  The size the potential issues the the series of the size the potential issues the the the positive impact to long-term, the tail of which could the spD and the s		enable a quantum of land to be specified for business use. This would be positive. However, providing a detailed approach at this stage would require the provision of a significant amount of infrastructure on site as well as site remediation. A detailed local approach would reduce flexibility in response to changing requirements, economic circumstances and funding streams over time. It is likely to have a positive influence should the site be developed in the short term should these be identified. However given the size of the site, the strategy would need to allow for the medium to long-term. Changing economic circumstances as well as funding streams could impact negatively in the delivery therefore.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Provide for the</li> <li>Provide demand</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promot</li> </ul>	s existing imbalances of equition and exclusion across the accessible services and factorial population; affordable housing to meed; duce homelessness; the the safety and security for and/or property.	ne city; ilities t	1	use of ti way to so commu influence inclusio overall so within it stating so support healthy	PF should encourage the he site to be planned in a support the needs of the nities to have a positive ce on equality and n. The NPPF sets out support for development ts sustainability agenda that the social role is to communities, by ag the supply of housing	+ 1	of greater detail allow a broad ur the site initially more detailed a of generic indica development an allocation would determine the b including how th	I by further policy in an SPD would derstanding of followed by a pproach. The use tors to guide d the site I be useful to help est uses on site,	?	The outcome of this approach is uncertain given that the site would need to be planned in detail to assess how the likely impacts of the detailed criteria have positively impacted on equality and social inclusivity.

	++	+	0		Ş	-				1
KEY	Very positive impa likely	act Positive impact likely	No signific no clear lin	cant effect / ik	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely	-	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
6	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	Deliver development where it is accessible by public transport, wa and cycling to minimise the use of car; Deliver transport infrastructure w supports sustainable travel option Promote sustainable forms of trav Improve congestion.	the hich s;	reserved.  and by built exaccess reflect and surculture.  This is success site an upon long-te and surculture.  The Nideveloc transp sites and develoc signific [to bej Transp Assess apply to site size overal details requires site with the prosustair.  The Nideveloc transp and person the use transp the rail and person the rail and person the rail and person the use transp the rail and person transp the rail and person transp the rail and person transp tr	and to meet the needs of the and future generations; creating a high quality environment, with the ible local services that the community's needs apport its health, social and all well-being".  Allikely to be as a result of stul regeneration of the dist therefore dependent environment of a sustainable entry of a sustainable entry of the environments that generate and amounts of movement the supported by a cort Statement or Transport enert". This is likely to or York Central given its entry of the transport enerts and modes for the development to supply of the transport enerts and modes for the end is positive in ensuring pomotion and delivery of enable transport scheme.  The supports "a pattern a endopment which, where able to do so, facilitates are of sustainable modes of ort". York Central is in an intimize to extend citions of existing orts modes as access to law station, bus routes destrian/cycle routes into y centre/ wider area are all	+	The approach w most significant outcome in the term as it can be respond to char locally derived g can set out wha proximity to the potential gaps a requirements of development. T able to specify t	ould have the ly positive for y and social rements.  ould have the ly positive medium to longe felexible to lige in the future. A generic assessment to so or in close is site as well as any in the site for the SPD would be likely in the site for the see in greater inderstanding of lices overall lite and any		A detailed local approach would reduce flexibility in response to changing requirements, economic circumstances and funding streams over time. It is likely to have a positive influence should the site be developed in the short term should these be identified. However given the size of the site, the strategy would need to allow for the medium to long-term.  In delivering infrastructure requirements, it would be necessary to define the requirements as well as detailed accessibility features. As the site delivery time extends this may cause uncertainty for developers as the wider situation may change and accessibility requirements change.

	++	++ + Very positive impact Positive impact likely					?	-				ı
KEY	Very positive in	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi no clear		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emissic Plan or for the Provide renewa techno Promo materia and con	te sustainable design and bu als that manage the future ri nsequences of climate chang to the principles of the ener	sures nge; ilding sks	+ -	The leve infrastru maximu is signifit the tran would s sites po this wou is developed in the transport of improvement of impro	el of transport acture required to gain am potential from the site cant. The deliverability of sport Infrastructure ignificantly influence the tential in the long-term as ald influence how the site oped. The costs involved rastructure however, are d although the NPPF ch states this should not velopment coming a, more detail is required ang what current modes ess can support, the level ovements and the aisms for bringing these before frecognises the ance of meeting the age of climate change and influence in achieving able development as well anchanisms that can help ove this.  Per, the NPPF specifies how thorities "should adopt are strategies to mitigate apt to climate change, auli account of flood risk, change and water supply and considerations". kely to be positive in ining the development of	*	term as it can be respond to chan Locally derived a determine the corequirements as site. The SPD we specify these in an understandir influences the o	ly positive medium to long- e flexible to ge in the future. generic criteria can verall nd potential for the build be able to greater detail with ng of how this verall climate and delivery of the	?	Specific criteria would be positive in identifying the sites potential for contributing towards minimising the sites impact on climate change. It would ensure that the site would be able directly contribute in the long-term.  However, in order to determine this it would rely on a full masterplan to understand the layout to plan in detail the mitigation methods and an idea of costs for their implementation. The impacts of this approach at the this stage would therefore be unknown

	++		+	O ?  No significant effect / Uncertain or				-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigr	nifica	nt effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
						which	complement the city-wide					
						climate	e change agenda and					
						action	plan. This would need to					
						be con	sidered in order to					
						maxim	ise the site's potential					
							Is minimising its effects on					
							e change.					
8	Conserve or	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance international	l and	+	<ul> <li>The Nf</li> </ul>	PFF supports the natural	+	Option 2 would	be able to build	3	The approach would also build upon the
	enhance	nationa	ally significant priority speci-	ies and			nment and states the		upon the NPPF	• •		NPPF and allow a detailed
	green	habitat	s within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA	ARs and			ng system should recognise		•	orehensive Green		understanding of the site to be
	infrastructure	SSSIs;					ider benefits of ecosystem			cheme is built into		understood and built upon.
	, bio-	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance locally impor	rtant			s" and minimise "impacts		•	gn and layout. The		
	diversity,	nature	conservation sites (SINCs);				diversity and providing net		approach would			However, this approach would rely on a
	geodiversity,		new areas or site of bio-div	ersity /		_	n biodiversity where			ive outcome in the		specific scheme to be set out for the
	flora and	geodive	ersity value;				e, contributing to the		_	-term as it can be		site up front. This would require the
	fauna for	<ul><li>Improv</li></ul>	e connectivity of green				nment's commitment to		flexible to respo			detailed masterplanning of the site to
	accessible	infrastr	ucture and the natural				e overall decline in			and layout in the		be presented in order to present how
	high quality	enviror	•				ersity, including by		future. Locally	•		the detailed criteria would enable the
	and .	<ul> <li>Provide</li> </ul>	e opportunities for people to	0			shing coherent ecological			ermine the overall		site to connect to the city's existing
	connected	access	the natural environment.				rks that are more resilient		•	nd potential for the		Green infrastructure and increase
	natural						ent and future pressures".		site. The SPD wo			biodiversity on the site.
	environment						likely to have a long-term		follow with a sp			-1.
						direct	positive impact on the site.		maximising the	_		This approach is inflexible and given the
						Harrie				nd biodiversity on		anticipated delivery of this site, it is
							er, this may not take eration of York's city-wide		parameters that	vith the strategic		likely that the scheme would need to respond to changing circumstances in
							nfrastructure network		parameters tha	i nave been set.		which a more generic strategic
						_	nt to the site which could					
						,						approach would be beneficial.
						-	actively connected and to a GI/public realm					
							e on site. The influence of					
							nfrastructure on transport					
						_	ctivity may also not be					
							to coordinate with local					
						level p						
9	Use land	Re-use	previously developed land;		+		PPF supports: "Planning	++	Following this a	pproach would	+ -	Following this approach would
	resources		t pollution contaminating th				s and decisions should		maximise the us	•		maximise the use of this brownfield site
	efficiently		nediate any existing	iic iuiiu			age the effective use of			through ensuring		through ensuring that the council's
	and		nination;				re-using land that has		that the generic	_		aspirations and justification were set
	safeguard		ard soil quality, including th	e hest			reviously developed		strategic param			out in more detail.
	their quality	_	ost versatile agricultural land				field land), provided that it		considered and			
	1		ve or enhance allotments;	<b>ω</b> ,			of high environmental			lst the SPD set the		This approach would be able to reflect
			ard mineral resources and				This site would fulfil this		council's aspirat			local knowledge and put forward
		- Salegua	aru minerar resources and									The same of the parties was

	++		+	0			?	-				1	
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative i	mpact	Positive	or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is	implemented
	likely	encourage their efficient use.		no clea	rlink	The former uses on the York Central site would mean that contamination may be a risk and the as the NPPF requires "remediating and mitigating despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land, where appropriate", this would have a direct, long-term positive impact.  Following an NPPF approach would also ensure that the wider benefits of the site are considered in terms of ecosystem services which refers to the benefits people obtain from ecosystems. This would also link			reflect local knot forward standa implemented. Set out strategy remediation of present on the to ensure the hilling and work development.  Option 2 would flexibility should during later stappreparation have	nore detail.  yould be able to owledge and put rds to be imilarly, it would to ensure that any contamination site is undertaken ealth of the people ing in any new also allow dissues arise ges of site		standa it wou remed presen ensure and wo Howev flexible the pro based time o outcor	implemented  ards to be implemented. Similarly, Id set out strategy to ensure that liation of any contamination of the the site is undertaken to be the health of the people living orking in any new development.  I wer, this approach would be inceed in the should issues arise later during ocess as it would have to be upon knowledge known at the off policy formulation. The likely me of this may be delay in the simple strategy or masterplanning.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	ve water resources and quage the quality of rivers and lawaters.	ality;	+	well to i Infrastru The NPI use of ru well as of site wou measure	ems. This would also link mplementing a Green acture strategy.  For supports the efficient esources such as water as ensuring their quality. The all be required to set out es as part of its managed e to climate change.	**	strategic aims of out including re as part of a com package of sust climate change measures. The	ainability and mitigation	+	detaile and ite what v would	cific approach would require a ed knowledge of the masterplan ems on the site in order to specify water efficiency mechanisms be best to use.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;     Promote and increase resource efficiency.		?	use of re manage are curr would d	PF supports the efficient esources and waste ement. The impacts of this ently unknown as it lepend on the type and of construction	?	Generic criteria strategic aims o out including re efficiency as par comprehensive mitigation meas of this would the SPD. However this are current would depend of	package of sures. The specifics en be delegated to rer, the impacts of ly unknown as it	?	efficier constri packag Howev curren on the	ic criteria should set out waste ncy, particularly as part of the uction, in comprehensive ge of mitigation measures. ver, the impacts of this are atly unknown as it would depend type and duration of uction primarily.	

	++		+	0			?	_				1
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
12	Improve air	<ul> <li>Reduce</li> </ul>	all emissions to air from cu	ırrent	+		PF states support that	+	Option 2 would	•	1	Detailed criteria would respond and
	quality	activitie	es;				thorities <i>should sustain</i>		overall approach			implement strategies to combat known
			se and mitigate emissions to	o air			nce with and contribute			out a broad set of		air quality issues at the time of policy
			ew development (including				EU limit values or		•	es for a low carbon		development but may lack mechanism
			g transport emissions throu	_			l objectives for pollutants,		development in			to adjust this once the policy is
			ission technologies and fue	**		_	nto account the presence		known air qualit	•		adopted. This approach would reply on
			t the development of city w	/ide		-	uality Management Areas cumulative impacts on		would be able to	llowing in the SPD		the masterplanning of the site.
			ission infrastructure;				ity from individual sites in		comprehensivel			It is anticipated that this would need to
			e air quality in AQMAs and			-	eas. Planning decisions		•	s to minimise any		be accompanied by appropriate design
			t new designations;	,			ensure that any new		negative impact	•		principles to ensure that air quality is
			ocating development where				ment in Air Quality		Butirepue	on an quanty.		not negatively effected through
			egatively impact on air qua ocating development in are				ement Areas is consistent		It is anticipated	that mitigation for		development and that appropriate
			g poor air quality where it co			_	local air quality action		-	pacts would also		mitigation techniques are included to
		_	n negative impacts on the h			plan".			be included to e	nsure minimal		minimise the effect on peoples health
			re occupants/users;			Followin	ng this approach would be		impacts from the	e development.		
			e sustainable and integrate	ed		positive	for York Central given its					
			ort network to minimise the			location	next to AQMAs and		This approach w	ould also be able		
		the car.					ith known air quality		•	anging air quality		
							ssues identified should			tion change post		
							be mitigated through		strategic policy a	adoption.		
						_	and promotion of a low					
							sustainable transport					
13	Minimise	a Dadwaa	wiel, of flooding.			networl	R. PF supports development	+	The approach w		-	Option 3 would required a detailed
13	flood risk and		risk of flooding;	docien	+		of lower flood risk	+		e of flood risk in	1	knowledge of existing flood risk and the
	reduce the		development location and on the negatively impact on floo				dictating that		the first instance			mitigation of what is required for the
	impact of		or incorporate through des			_	arpiate would not be			nowledge in the		site to go forward. This would set out
	flooding to		able urban drainage system	_			high flood risk area and			generic approach		principles for minimising flood risk and
	people and	(SuDs).	able arban aramage system	13			juential tests must be			e main principles		mitigation principles.
	property in	(3023).					as a method for		to ensure flood	risk is not		
	York					determi	ning suitability. The		negatively impa	cted by		However, this approach relies on a
						NPPF al	so supports mitigation as		development, m	ore detail would		greater understanding of the
						par of tl	ne wider climate change		allow specific m			development on the site initially and
						agenda				are specific to the		would be inflexible if the site was
									site. In planning			subject to change or over the longer
									long-term this w			term, flood risk in the area changed.
									•	rect and indirect		
1.4	Commence	- 6				The NID	OF accordance land		impacts to the c	•		A detailed evitoria angues de fella estica
14	Conserve or		ve or enhance the special		+   1		PF supports local	++		ould allow York's	+	A detailed criteria approach/allocation
	enhance York's historic		er and setting of the histori				ies in delivering a strategy for the			d heritage assets ideration within		would be able to delineate heritage assets on site and specify the key
	environment,		te or enhance local culture;			•	ation and enjoyment of		•	the site taking full		features to plan in. This is unlikely to
	environment,	<ul> <li>Conservation</li> </ul>	ve or enhance designated a	na		conserv	ation and enjoyment of		tile planning for	the site taking full		reacutes to plan in. This is utilikely to

	++ + 0			_	-							1
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
											•	
	cultural	non-de	signated heritage assets an	d their			oric environment, which		advantage of a r			change over the plan period and is
	heritage,	setting				should I	have long-term benefits.		approach for fut	ture delivery		therefore likely to have a positive
	character and	<ul> <li>Conservation</li> </ul>	ve or enhance those eleme	nts		With re	gards to determining		within in the SP	D to guide suitable		impact overall.
	setting	which o	contribute to the 6 Principle	2			tions "local planning		development to	conserve and		
		Charact	teristics of the City as identi	ified in		authori	ties should require an		enhance the his	toric environment.		
		the Her	itage Topic Paper.			applica	nt to describe the					
							ance of any heritage					
							iffected, including any					
							ıtion made by their					
						_	The level of detail should					
							ortionate to the assets'					
						,	ince and no more than is					
							nt to understand the					
							al impact of the proposal					
							significance". It also					
							hat" Local planning					
							ties should identify and					
							he particular significance peritage asset that may be					
						, ,	d by a proposal (including					
							lopment affecting the					
							of a heritage asset) taking					
							t of the available evidence					
							necessary expertise.					
							ould take this assessment					
						1 '	ount when considering					
							act of a proposal on a					
							e asset, to avoid or					
						_	e conflict between the					
						heritage	e asset's conservation and					
							ect of the proposal".					
15	Conserve or	• Conser	ve or enhance the landscap	e	+ 1	The dev	elopment of York Central	++	This approach w	ould able the	++	This approach would be able to build
	enhance	includir	ng areas of landscape value				oe a significant site for		•	es for development		upon the NPPF and add a York specific
	York's natural	<ul> <li>Protect</li> </ul>	or enhance geologically				en its location and size.		of the site to be			focus to ensure that this site would
	and built	•	ant sites;				PF would support this		-	ore specific within		developed in a distinctively 'York' way.
	landscape		e high quality design in con				development and		the SPD.			
			urban and rural landscape				y to objective 14, would					Key to this will be through the
			h the "landscape and Settir	ng"			the identification of		This approach w			establishment of design codes which
		within 1	the Heritage Topic Paper.				s, which conserves areas		build upon the f			would be able to mitigate any issues
							scape value to minimise		•	cus to ensure that		which arise on site or specify what has
						conflict.	•		this site would o			to be ahered to.
						The NIDI	DE attaches great		•	rk' way using the teristics set out by		
						THE NP	PF attaches great		principie charac	tensuics set out by		

Т.	++	+	0	?	-		1	
KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect no clear link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
			of I des inc priv are Wh ger cor app spe	ortance to the achievement ligh quality and inclusive ign for all development, uding building, public and rate spaces as well as wider a developments.  Ilst the NPPF can offer a eric guide to what to sider, it lacks a local level roach to implement York cific principles to ensure that site would positively.	the heritage importance importanc	be through the of design codes able to mitigate		
Gene	ral		sustainabilit baseline and requiremen implementa.  Option 2 wo through an approach catimescale.  A detailed a timescale.	y issues. However some of this parameters the scheme shoul is and city-wide characteristics tion.  uld provide the overarching properties is likely to maximise to a remain flexible but comprehensessessment would be beneficial owever, in order to maximise tong-term this approach would	is approach is reliant on imply dwork towards. It is likely that need to be incorporate inciples for the site whilst along-term positive impacts or ensive and respond to change for developing the site in the positive contribution of the site in the site in the positive contribution of the site in the site in the positive contribution of the site in th	plementation by the local at that this approach would also d in to the scheme which co so allowing detail to be deli- in the social, environmental ging circumstances on this s e short-term using known b this site to the city as a whole	that considers a breadth of criteria and uthority causing uncertainty about the o lack a focus on any local level ould have a detrimental impact subject to its evered in response to local circumstances and economic objectives given that this site over its medium to long-term delivery leand minimising its effects over the dissues which may arise or influence its	
Prefe	erred Approach		Option 2: Prapplication  The site contribution of the site contribution	e has the potential to positively that the potential to positively that to overall housing growth approach will help the develon frastructure to respond to the rategic site is in a good location intre. This approach is likely to repment which can respond to clay of the site. A tension may be at forward. However, this approach is edevelopment of the site price is situated close the city cent neric criteria followed by an SP	y contribute to the overall so and would provide a new co pment respond to changing e design and need within the to support the overall amb maximise the site's economi nanging economic circumsta caused through the costs are bach would enable masterpl or to this being in place. re and existing travel routes D would be able to ensure t	ocial objectives of the city. To ommunity, meeting their ner- economic circumstances to e site. itions of the city to become c potential by allowing a fle- ences in line with the deliver and delivery of significant infranning and design of the sit which have long-term posit that the local characteristics	ed to lower tier of planning policy or planning This is a large strategic site which will eds within a city centre location. Following a be able to maximise the incorporation of an attractive site which compliments the exible yet comprehensive approach to ry timescales. This will allow maximum rastructure to allow the whole site to be the to work around these challenges to tive gains for the environmental objectives. If of York can be included within the design to pproach would allow development to design	

Very positive impact   Positive impact likely   No significant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely   Very negative impact   Positive or negative impact depending insufficient information   Negative impact likely   Very negative impact   Positive or negative impact depending insufficient information   Negative impact likely   No significant effect   Uncertain   Or Negative impact likely   Negative impact likel		++	+	0	?	-		1
likely no clear link insufficient information likely how it is implemented	(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	in schemes and features to maximise the sites potential in terms of green infrastructure and design for example as well as responding to
	climate change mitigation requirements.
Recommendations	There were no adverse impacts identified from having a generic policy followed by an SPD and therefore there is no need for the policy
	wording to take account of any negative impacts.

## **SECTION 8: ECONOMY**

SA C	Objective		Option	1: The baseline scenario (14,471 additional jobs)	Option 2: Scenario 2 (16,169 additional jobs)			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Show-people.</li> </ul>	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.		
22	Improve the health and well-being of York's population.	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to open-space / multifunctional open-space     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	?	Employment growth may generate minor, temporary adverse effects on health in the short term during construction (e.g. as a result of emissions to air from HGV movements and plant). In the longer term, economic development could also adversely affect health due to, for example, emissions from operational uses or increased traffic. However, effects on this objective are considered to be uncertain at this stage and will be dependent on the nature and scale of economic activity and its location in relation to sensitive receptors.	?	As with Option 1, employment growth may generate minor, adverse effects on health in the short term during construction (e.g. as a result of emissions to air from HGV movements and plant) and once development is complete. As the scale of development would be greater under this option the potential risk of adverse effect may be increased and, further, there may be additional pressure on open-space to accommodate new development which could undermine the promotion of healthy lifestyles. Notwithstanding, until the location and nature of development is known, effects on this objective are considered to be uncertain.		

	++		+	0			?	-			I
VEV	Very positive	impact	Positive impact likely	No sigr	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce.	opp Sup edu con Pro opp	vide good education and train portunities for all; proport existing higher and furturational establishments for itinued success; vide good quality employme portunities available to all.	ining her nt	+	opportu through This opt educati in science ducati Option : Overall, effect o	vision under this option will unities for employees and, por apprenticeship schemes). It ion may support the develoonal institutions, although it ce and research sectors (i.e. onal institutions) would be left.  this option has been assess on this objective.	pment of the City's is envisaged that growth those linked to the City's ess ambitious relative to ed as having a positive	++	training opport residents (e.g. t number of jobs greater it can b be enhanced.  The enhanced a to maximise op City's education Science City Sec and highly skille Overall, this op significant posit This option woo	ob provision under this option will create unities for employees and, potentially, hrough apprenticeship schemes). As the to be provided under this option would be expected that these opportunities would imbition of this option would be expected portunities to complement or support the hal institutions e.g. by supporting the ctor. This is likely to help deliver a flexible and workforce for the future of the City.
	and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy.	Del woor     Del gro     Enh opp     Pro     for     Sup	cess and investment; iver a flexible and relevant rkforce for the future; iver and promote stable econ wth; nance the city centre and its portunities for business and le vide the appropriate infrastr economic growth; oport existing employment di mote a low carbon economy	eisure; ucture rivers;		short te complete growth employ more co importa Econom and ent of its siz growth the opti	riod. This will promote ecorerm during construction and te), attracting inward invest of indigenous businesses th ment land supply. However onstrained growth relative to antly, would not deliver the viic Strategy for the City to be exprising city, and in time, tize, not only in the UK but glo in the overall economy and ion has been assessed as har objective.	once development is ment and enabling the rough associated , the option represents o Option 2 and, vision of the York ecome "an international he most competitive city obally, leading to increased jobs". In consequence,		manufacturing, professional set through deliver expected to sup Strategy, helpir driver within be North Yorkshire also stimulate a sector relative to finew /expans  This option is al complement or e.g. by supportihelp deliver a fluture of the Ciroverall, this optional set of the circular the control of the circular the circular the circular the circular through through the circular through the circular through the circular through the circular through through the circular through the cir	A facilitating faster growth in advanced science and research, financial and revices, and tourism and leisure sectors y of a range of employment sites. This is poort the realisation of the York Economic up the City fulfil its role as a key economic with the Leeds City Region and the York and Evolution Increased job provision may additional growth in the construction to Option 1 associated with the provision ion of existing employment premises.  So expected to maximise opportunities to support the City's educational institutions ing the Science City Sector. This is likely to exible and highly skilled workforce for the ty.  Ition has been assessed as having a cive effect on this objective.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all.	equ acro • Pro faci • Pro	dress existing imbalances of lality, deprivation and exclus loss the city; vide accessible services and lities for the local populatior vide affordable housing to mand;	1;	+	benefit jobs cre as well a	vision will help to address deer, the extent to which new these areas will depend to a seated and the skills present it as the recruitment policies on the growth may also support	employment opportunities in extent on the type of in the local labour market of firms.	+	In maximising jo option is expect addressing emp However, the e opportunities b on the type of j	bb provision over the plan period, this ted to offer the greatest prospect of sloyment deprivation across the city. Extent to which new employment enefit these areas will depend to an extent obs created and the skills present in the rket as well as the recruitment policies of

	++		+	0			?	-	Variable and the insect of Destrict of the control		1
KEY		mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign		effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	rlink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network.	Proper      Del account car     Del sup     Pro	p reduce homelessness; mote the safety and security ople and/or property. iver development where it it essible by public transport, of d cycling to minimise the use; iver transport infrastructure oports sustainable travel opt mote sustainable forms of to prove congestion.	s walking of the which ions;	+ -	Econom York. T objective employ However has been of the contincreases help bather to encourage develop Overall,	s and facilities, although asson under Option 2.  this option has been assess in this objective.  In this objective.  In this option has been assess in this objective.  In this objective.  In this objective is dependent on the locate ment development, which is ear, given existing and project in assessed as having a negal objective.  In this objective in transport in transport in the locate in assessed as having a negal objective.  In this objective in transport in the lance housing and employment in transport in the lance housing and employment in the lance housing and projective.  In this option has been assessed as having a negal objective.	sed as having a positive se traffic within the City of its on this aspect of the ion and type of s currently uncertain. ted congestion this option itive effect on this aspect at opportunities to frastructure and could lent provision, reducing y be opportunities to ansport alongside new ently uncertain).	+ -	support existing further, could so overall, this operated on the opera	bowth under this option may serve to g community services and facilities and, timulate additional investment.  tion has been assessed as having a positive bjective.  In 1, economic growth is likely to increase the City of York. The exact magnitude of aspect of the objective is dependent on the pe of employment development which is tain. However, given existing and projected taking into account the fact that this option to higher levels of growth, Option 2 has been wing a negative effect on this aspect of the doment in transport infrastructure and could busing and employment provision, reducing and employment provision, reducing and the provision of transport alongside new although this is currently uncertain).
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects.	em Pla me clin Pro ren tec Pro bui futi clin Adl	duce or mitigate greenhouse issions from all sources; nor implement adaptation asures for the likely effects on the change; ovide and develop energy from the complex of th	of om n d the of	-	greenheemissio develop generat magnitt and loc- level wh been as Notwith opportu- infrastr employ associat encoura	nic development will result in buse gases both during cons ns from HGV movements are ment is complete (e.g. due tion and emissions from site- ude of effects will be depend ation of economic developm nich is currently uncertain, of assessed as having a negative instanding, economic developm inities to increase investmen ucture and could help balan- ment provision, reducing ne ted emissions. Further, there age sustainable modes of tra- ment (although this is curre	truction (e.g. due to nd plant) and once to increased traffic s). Whilst the exact dent on the type, design nent at the individual site on balance this option has effect on this objective. pment may present int in transport ce housing and et commuting and re may be opportunities to ansport alongside new	-	positive and ne As under Optio increased emis: construction (e and plant) and increased traffi although in vie- under this optio greater (althou Whilst the exact on the type, de development a uncertain, on b having a negati Notwithstandir opportunities t	gative effect on this objective.  n 1, economic development will result in sions of greenhouse gases both during .g. due to emissions from HGV movements once development is complete (e.g. due to c generation and emissions from sites) w of the higher levels of growth envisaged on, emissions are also expected to be gh not at a level deemed to be significant). It magnitude of effects will be dependent sign and location of economic the individual site level which is currently alance this option has been assessed as ve effect on this objective.  ng, economic development may present o increase investment in transport and could help balance housing and

	++		+	0			?	-			
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	•										<u> </u>
8	Conserve or	• Pro	tect and enhance internation	nal and	?	Econom	iic growth could have an ad	lverse effect on bio-	?	associated emis opportunities to transport along currently uncer	ovision, reducing net commuting and sions. Further, there may be concourage sustainable modes of side new development (although this is tain).
	enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity, geo-diversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment.	and RAM Proi natt Crea dive Imp infra	onally significant priority spinabitats within SACs, SPAs, ASARs and SSSIs; tect and enhance locally impure conservation sites (SINCs ate new areas or site of bioristy / geo-diversity value; rove connectivity of green astructure and the natural ironment; vide opportunities for peopless the natural environment	e to		construi result oi this is di which a	y as a result of land take an ction and once developmer f emissions to air from oper ependent on the type and I t this stage is uncertain.	nt is complete (e.g. as a rational uses). However, ocation of development		Brownfield site accommodate e activities may a of emissions to are known and this objective a	sure on Greenfield land as well as swith high bio-diversity value to employment uses. Further, operational lso affect ecological assets (e.g. as a result air). However, until the locations of sites operational uses determined, effects on re considered to be uncertain.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality.	<ul> <li>Previous land control</li> <li>Safe best land</li> <li>Prot</li> <li>Safe</li> </ul>	use previously developed lar vent pollution contaminating d and remediate any existing tamination; eguard soil quality, including t and most versatile agricult d; tect or enhance allotments; eguard mineral resources an ourage their efficient use.	g the the ural	?	Greenfie objectiv	iic growth could result in the eld sites, generating a nega e. However, until the locat are considered to be uncert	tive effect on this tions of sites are known,	?	additional press employment us	omic growth under this option could place sure on Greenfield land to accommodate es. However, until the locations of sites ects are considered to be uncertain.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality.	• Imp	serve water resources and c rove the quality of rivers and undwater.	-	-	during b develop negative	e effect on this objective.	e longer term once is been assessed as having a	-	consumption to consumption un greater than un enhanced scale effects on this of significant.	his option would result in increased water support economic growth. Water neer this option would be expected to be der Option 1, commensurate with the of economic growth although adverse objective would not be expected to be
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse	• Pro	mote reduction, re-use, reco recycling of waste; mote and increase resource ciency.	•	-	during t the ope	ic growth would result in re he construction of new pre ration of premises would a this option has been asses	emises. Construction and lso generate waste.	-	generate waste would be increa	his option would increase resource use and Resource use and waste generation sed under this option, commensurate with wth although adverse effects on this

KEY	Very positive i	mpact Pc	sitive impact likely	_	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	rlink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	and recycling.					effect o	n this objective.			objective would	not be expected to be significant.
12	Improve air quality.	Minimiss from new reducing low emis     Support low emis     Improve prevent     Avoid low could new Avoid low of existing could result how emis	all emissions to air from activities; e and mitigate emission w development (including transport emissions the sision technologies and for the development of citys in air quality in AQMAs are new designations; cating development who agatively impact on air quality where so in negative impacts of future occupants/user e sustainable and integrations.	s to air ng rough fuels); y wide and ere it quality; areas re it on the rs; ated		air both movem (e.g. dur sites). V dependi develop uncerta a negati econom increase help bal net com be opportranspo	ic development will result in during construction (e.g. dients and plant) and once deep to increased traffic general Whilst the exact magnitude ent on the type, design and iment at the individual site lin, on balance this option have effect on this objective. In diedevelopment may preser in increase in transport in ance housing and employmenting and associated emit ortunities to encourage sust alongside new developmenty uncertain).	ue to emissions from HGV evelopment is complete ation and emissions from of effects will be location of economic evel which is currently as been assessed as having Notwithstanding, at opportunities to frastructure and could ent provision, reducing ssions. Further, there may ainable modes of	-	increased emiss emissions from development is generation and the higher level emissions are e level deemed to magnitude of eidesign and loca individual site lebalance this opinegative effect economic devel increase investri help balance honet commuting may be opportutransport along currently uncer	
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York.	<ul><li>Ensure d design d flood ris</li><li>Deliver d</li></ul>	risk of flooding; levelopment location ar oes not negatively impa k; or incorporate through o ble urban drainage systo	act on design	0	flooding known e would b appropr FRA. Ov	York and its surrounding arg. However, until the location of t	on of new development is uncertain. Further, it pment would incorporate leasures, informed by a fore been assessed as	0	risk of flooding. development is uncertain. Furt development w prevention mea	Id its surrounding area are at significant However, until the location of new known effects are considered to be her, it would be expected that any rould incorporate appropriate flood risk asures, informed by a FRA. Overall, this efore been assessed as having a neutral
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting.	characte city; Promote Preserve non-desi their set Preserve which co Characte in the He	e or enhance those elem ontribute to the Six Princ eristics of the City as ide eritage Topic Paper.	re; d and and nents ciple entified	?	heritage construc construc this is do develop	ic growth could have an ad- e assets as a result of the dir ction or due to impacts on t ction and once developmen ependent on the type, desig ment which at this stage is	ect loss of assets during heir settings during both t is complete. However, gn and location of uncertain.	?	As under Option adverse effect of direct loss of as on their setting development is the type, design this stage is under this option within the tourisectors). This in cultural heritag also considered	n 1, economic growth could have an on cultural heritage assets as a result of the sets during construction or due to impacts a during both construction and once complete. However, this is dependent on a and location of development which at certain.  on, faster growth would be prompted ism and leisure sector (amongst other nay present opportunities to enhance e assets and their settings although this is to be uncertain at this stage.
15	Conserve	<ul> <li>Preserve</li> </ul>	or enhance the landsca	ape	?	Econom	ic growth could have an ad	verse effect on landscape	?	As under Option	n 1, economic growth could have an

	++		+	0		· -				I			
KEY	Very positive likely	impact	Positive impact likely	No signifi no clear li	icant effect / nk	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very ne likely	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented			
	and enhance York's natural and built landscape.	PI  in  PI  w  in  Se	icluding areas of landscape varotect or enhance geological inportant sites; romote high quality design in it it is urban and rural landscal line with the "landscape ancetting" within the Heritage Toaper.	context ape and	and townscape during both construction and once development is complete. However, this is dependent on the type, design and location of development which at this stage is uncertain.  additional pressure on landscape and townscape accommodate employment uses. However, unt location, type and design of sites are known, eff this objective are considered to be uncertain.								
Ger	eral			S C N P a a n n (// C C h h c C N N iii h C N N N iii h C N N N iii h C N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	cenario 2 (16,1  Option 1  Io significant preserved. This will associated emplot deliver the volume of the complete of the com	ositive effects have been ideal promote economic growth loyment land supply. Howevision of the York Economic urther positive effects were not been assessed as having tives relating to climate chant in the relation of economic derectives relating to climate chant in the relation of economic derectives a uncertain at this stage. Economic the equation of economic derectives a uncertain at this stage. Economic derectives a uncertain at this stage. Economic derectives and negative effects were id within the City of York but the using and employment proving the City fulfil its role as a key assessed as having a signification of the complement or support the original in relation to educate the complement of support the original services of the complement of the com	entified in respect of this op, attracting inward investmer, the option represents of the strategy. In consequence, identified in relation to edicate a significant negative effectinge (Objective 7), water (Ources including energy (and velopment. No further negally (only only only only only only only only	otion. The open and enter and enamore construction (Objective 10) I associated sative effect cultural herian adverse cion of developort (Objection of developort), and it is both the Lee in in the consoloyment (Objections e.g. by ther positive ct on any of	option would delivabling the growth rained growth rel assessed as havir jective 3) and equal the SA Objective 1, waste and reso emissions to air) is were identified itage (Objective 1 effect on the Citylopment which are tive 6). This reflection increase investrial i	ver an estimated 14,471 jobs over the plan of indigenous businesses through lative to Option 2 and, importantly, would ng a positive effect only on employment uality and accessibility (Objective 5).  es. Negative effects have been identified in urce use (Objective 11) and air quality and generation of waste during both the although it should be noted that effects on 14) and landscape (Objective 15) were y's natural and built environmental assets as this stage is unknown.  ects the likelihood that economic growth will ment in transport infrastructure and could in advanced manufacturing, science and upport the realisation of the York Economic and the York and North Yorkshire Sub elative to Option 1. In consequence, this his option is also expected to maximise in the central positive entified on equality and accessibility ess. Negative effects have been identified in urce use (Objective 11) and air quality			

CObjective 12). This reflects the use of resources including energy (and associated emissions to air) and generation of waste during both the construction and operation of economic development which, under this option, would be expected to be greater relative to Option 1 (commensurate with the increased scale of economic growth). No further negative effects were identified. Like Option 1, effects on health (Objective 2), biodiversity (Objective 8), land use (Objective 9), cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15) were considered to be uncertain at this stage. Economic growth could have an adverse effect on the City's natural and built environmental assets as well as health although this is dependent on the type, design and location of development which at this stage is unknown. Notwithstanding, it is considered that this option presents a greater of adverse effects on these objectives compared to Option 1, commensurate with the scale of development envisaged.  Preferred Approach  Preferred Approach  **Environmental Impact: Effects on the City's natural and built environmental assets are considered to be uncertain at this stage. Economic growth could have an adverse effect on these assets but this would be dependent on the type, design and location of development which at this stage is unknown. Economic growth will increase the use of resources including energy (and associated emissions to air) and generation of waste during both construction and operation.  **Economic Impact: The preferred option would deliver an estimated 16,169 jobs over the plan period, facilitating faster growth in advanced manufacturing, science and research, financial and professional services, and tourism and leisure sectors. This is expected to support the realisation of the York Economic Strategy, helping the City fulfil strole as a key economic driver within both the Leeds City Region and the York and North Yorkshire Sub Region. This option is also expected to maximise opportunities to complement or support the C	_	††	Ť	U			-		I
(Objective 12). This reflects the use of resources including energy (and associated emissions to air) and generation of waste during both the construction and operation of economic development which, under this option, would be expected to be greater relative to Option 1 (commensurate with the increased scale of economic growth. No further negative effects were identified. Like Option 1, effects on health (Objective 2), biodiversity (Objective 8), and use (Objective 19), out further hertage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15) were considered to be uncertain at this stage. Economic growth could have an adverse effect on the City's natural and built environmental assets as well as health although this is dependent on the type, design and location of development which at this stage is unknown. Notwithstanding, it is considered that this option presents a greater risk of adverse effects on these objectives compared to Option 1, commensurate with the scale of development envisaged.  Preferred Approach  **Environmental impact: Effects on the City's natural and built environmental assets are considered to be uncertain at this stage. Economic growth could have an adverse effect on these assets but this would be dependent on the type, design and location of development which at this stage is unknown. The conomic growth will increase the use of resources including energy (and associated emissions to air) and generation of waste during both construction and operation.  **Economic Impact: The preferred option would deliver an estimated 16, 169 jobs over the plan period, facilitating faster growth in advanced manufacturing, science and research, financial and professional services, and tourism and leisure sectors. This is expected to support the City's educational institutions e.g. by propring the Science City's Ector.  **Social Impact: The preferred option is likely to help address employment deprivation across the city. However, the extent to which new employment opportunities benefit these areas will depend to	KEY		Positive impact likely	_			Negative impact likely	, ,	
construction and operation of economic development which, under this option, would be expected to be greater relative to Option 1 (commensurate with the increased scale economic growth). No further negative effects were identified. Like Option 1, effects on health (Objective 2), biodiversity (Objective 8), and use (Objective 9), cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15) were considered to be uncertain at this stage. Economic growth could have an adverse effect on the City's natural and built environmental assets as well as health although this is dependent on the yee, design and location of development which at this stage is unknown. Notwithstanding, it is considered that this option presents a greater risk of adverse effects on these objectives compared to Option 1, commensurate with the scale of development envisaged.  **Preferred Approach**  **Environmental impact: Effects on the City's natural and built environmental assets are considered to be uncertain at this stage. Economic growth could have an adverse effect on these assets but this would be dependent on the type, design and location of development which at this stage is unknown. Economic growth will increase the use of resources including energy (and associated emissions to air) and generation of waste during both construction and operation.  **Economic Impact: The preferred option would deliver an estimated 16,169 jobs over the plan period, facilitating faster growth in advanced manufacturing, science and research, financial and professional services, and tourism and leisure sectors. This is expected to support the realisation of the York Economic Strategy, helping the City fulfil its role as a key economic driver within both the Leeds City Region and the York and North Yorkshire Sub Region. This option is also expected to maximise opportunities to complement or support the City's educational institutions e.g., by supporting the Science City Sector.  **Social Impact: The preferred option is likely to help address employment depriv		likely		110 Clear III	IK	msumcient information		likely	now it is implemented
community services and facilities and, further, could stimulate additional investment. However, employment growth may generate minor, adverse effects on health in the short term during construction and once development is complete. However, until the location and nature of development is known, effects on health are considered to be uncertain.    Economic development should be directed to locations that:   Reduce the need to travel and/or encourage the use of sustainable modes of transport.   Avoid adverse impacts on the City's built and natural environmental assets.   Are appropriate for specific uses, avoiding adverse impacts on health.   Make best use of previously developed land.	Prefe	rred Approach		cci (ci (ci (ci (ci (ci (ci (ci (ci (ci	construction and commensurate objective 2), bid of the uncertain a continuous objective 2), bid of the uncertain a continuous objective 2), bid of the uncertain a continuous objective and the City's exported in the continuous objective and the City's exported in the continuous objective and the continuous	I operation of economic development of the increased scale of economic growth this stage. Economic growth is is dependent on the typithis option presents a greatenvisaged.  Intal Impact: Effects on the Cogrowth could have an adversent which at this stage is unkate on air) and generation of was madered to the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation of the York and North Yorkshiducational institutions e.g. Interpretation e.g. Inte	relopment which, under this economic growth). No furth I use (Objective 9), cultural I with could have an adverse else, design and location of deer risk of adverse effects on City's natural and built enviruse effect on these assets but known. Economic growth wiste during both construction a would deliver an estimated research, financial and profession of Strategy, helping the re Sub Region. This option by supporting the Science Cickely to help address employse areas will depend to an estimated search.	soption, would be expected the regative effects were identifiage (Objective 14) and effect on the City's natural assets are considerable these objectives compared commental assets are considerable this would be dependent of ill increase the use of resound and operation.  If 16,169 jobs over the plantessional services, and tourist City fulfil its role as a key entity also expected to maximisity Sector.	It to be greater relative to Option 1 entified. Like Option 1, effects on health landscape (Objective 15) were considered nd built environmental assets as well as age is unknown. Notwithstanding, it is to Option 1, commensurate with the scale ered to be uncertain at this stage. on the type, design and location of rces including energy (and associated  period, facilitating faster growth in m and leisure sectors. This is expected to conomic driver within both the Leeds City se opportunities to complement or support the city. However, the extent to which new reated and the skills present in the local
<ul> <li>Reduce the need to travel and/or encourage the use of sustainable modes of transport.</li> <li>Avoid adverse impacts on the City's built and natural environmental assets.</li> <li>Are appropriate for specific uses, avoiding adverse impacts on health.</li> <li>Make best use of previously developed land.</li> </ul>					community minor, adv and nature	y services and facilities and, erse effects on health in the of development is known, e	further, could stimulate add short term during construc effects on health are conside	litional investment. Howev tion and once development	er, employment growth may generate
<ul> <li>Avoid adverse impacts on the City's built and natural environmental assets.</li> <li>Are appropriate for specific uses, avoiding adverse impacts on health.</li> <li>Make best use of previously developed land.</li> </ul>	Recor	nmendations		E		•			
<ul> <li>Are appropriate for specific uses, avoiding adverse impacts on health.</li> <li>Make best use of previously developed land.</li> </ul>				•	<ul> <li>Reduce the</li> </ul>	need to travel and/or enco	urage the use of sustainable	modes of transport.	
Make best use of previously developed land.					Avoid adve	rse impacts on the City's bui	It and natural environmenta	al assets.	
					Are approp	riate for specific uses, avoid	ing adverse impacts on hea	lth.	
					Make best	use of previously developed	land.		
Are accessible to areas of employment deprivation.				•	Are accessi	ble to areas of employment	deprivation.		

## **Employment Sites**

Alternative sites could be selected for the provision of employment land based on the sites that were submitted though the 'Call for Sites' or alternative options put forward through this consultation process.

	++	+	0	?	-		T
ΈV	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Ec	Economic Growth in the Health and Social Care Sectors												
SAC	Objective		Fram	on 1: Rely on the National Planning Policy nework (NPPF) to guide health and social related employment growth	Option 2 Provide generic local criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors			on 3: Provide detailed allocations for nomic growth in health and social care ors					
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	verse housing of the population in terms of quantity, quality;  • Promote improvements to the		Reliance upon the NPPF to guide health and social care related employment growth will not directly deliver new homes, however, it will help to deliver community facilities for the needs of York's population and have indirect positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	Whilst providing generic criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will not directly deliver new homes, it will help to deliver community facilities for the needs of York's population and have indirect positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	Whilst providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will not directly deliver new homes, it will help to deliver community facilities for the needs of York's population and have indirect positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.					
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	+	Reliance upon the NPPF to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services. Also the NPPF seeks to promote healthy communities. This would help to improve the health and well-being of York's population and have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	Providing generic criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services. This would help to improve the health and wellbeing of York's population and have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services. This would help to improve the health and well-being of York's population and have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.					
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>	+	Reliance upon the NPPF to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services. This would help to provide training and education opportunities in these sectors, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon	+	Providing generic criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services. This would help to provide training and education opportunities in these sectors,	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services. This would help to provide training and education opportunities in these sectors, which would have positive and permanent					

KEY	Very positive impa	ict	· · ·	No sign		t effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negat	tive im	pact likely	Very negative imp		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	,					this objec	ctive.			permanent	d have positive and long term impacts		long term impacts upon this objective.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	•	Help deliver conditions for business success and investm Deliver a flexible and relevan workforce for the future; Deliver and promote stable economic growth; Enhance the city centre and it opportunities for business and leisure; Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth; Support existing employment drivers; Promote a low carbon economic	t s d	+	Reliance upon the NPPF to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to provide more health and social care facilities and services as part of efforts to build a strong and competitive economy and to ensure the vitality of town centres. This will help to create jobs in these sectors and contribute to the overall growth of the economy, which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.			+	guide econo health and s will help to sectors and overall grow which will h permanent upon this ob	eneric criteria to omic growth in the social care sectors create jobs in these contribute to the wth of the economy, ave positive and long term impacts ojective.	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to create jobs in these sectors and contribute to the overall growth of the economy, which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all		Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city; Provide accessible services an facilities for the local populati Provide affordable housing to meet demand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and secur for people and/or property.	id ion;	+	economic care secto health and services. T and acces and social	upon the NPPF to guide common the NPPF to guide common the health and so ors will help to provide mored social care facilities and This will help to deliver equest to all in respect of health of the and have positive and not long term impacts upon the long term im	e ality care	۰۰.	criteria to go growth in th care would i detail to inc deliver equa access to se	in whether generic uide economic he health and social include enough lude measures to ality in terms of rvices and facilities. this objective are neertain.	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct these services to the best locations, taking account of local characteristics in York, such as, existing inequality and poor access to services. There would therefore be permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where it accessible by public transport walking and cycling to minimi the use of the car; Deliver transport infrastructu which supports sustainable troptions; Promote sustainable forms of travel; Improve congestion.	se re avel	+	Reliance upon the NPPF to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to reduce the need to travel since the NPPF promotes sustainable forms of transport and seeks to reduce reliance upon the car, which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.			?	criteria to go growth in th care would i detail to inc reduce the r	in whether generic uide economic he health and social include enough lude measures to need to travel. this objective are neertain.	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to ensure that such growth is directed to areas which will reduce the need to travel. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and	•	Reduce or mitigate greenhous gas emissions from all sources Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects	s; 1	+	Reliance upon the NPPF to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to minimise greenhouse gas emissions since the NPPF			Ş	criteria to go growth in th	in whether generic uide economic ne health and social include enough	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to ensure that such growth takes account of the

	++		+	0								I
KEY	Very positive impac	t	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	effect /	Uncertain or Ne	gative in	npact likely	Very negative imp	act	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ar link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
8	deliver a managed response to its effects  Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	•	climate change; Provide and develop energy renewable, low and zero catechnologies; Promote sustainable design building materials that marthe future risks and consequence of climate change; Adhere to the principles of energy hierarchy. Protect and enhance internand nationally significant propersion of the principles of energy hierarchy. Protect and enhance internand nationally significant propersion and habitats within SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Protect and enhance locally important nature conservatives (SINCs); Create new areas or site of diversity / geodiversity valual Improve connectivity of greinfrastructure and the nature environment; Provide opportunities for p	y from urbon and nage uences the national riority SACs, / tion bio-ie; een rral		Reliance to economic care sector conserve/environm the natura therefore	insufficient information sustainable development and educe harmful emissions and ne adverse consequences of	?	detail to include measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Impacts on this objective are therefore uncertain.  It is uncertain whether generic criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care would include enough detail to include measures to protect the natural environment. Impacts on this objective are therefore uncertain.			
9	resources efficiently and safeguard their quality  Improve water efficiency and	•	environment.  Re-use previously develope Prevent pollution contamin the land and remediate any existing contamination; Safeguard soil quality, incluthe best and most versatile agricultural land; Protect or enhance allotme Safeguard mineral resource encourage their efficient us.  Conserve water resources a quality; Improve the quality of river groundwaters.	nating / dding ents; es and se.	+	economic care sector resources promotes land and senvironm positive a impacts un resources sector resources	upon the NPPF to guide growth in the health and social ors will help to use land efficiently since the NPPF the use of previously developed seeks to protect land of high ental value. This will have nd permanent long term pon this objective.  upon the NPPF to guide growth in the health and social ors will help to protect water and quality since the NPPF rong protection to the natural	?	criteria to g growth in the care would detail to incuse land res and safegua Impacts on therefore u  It is uncerta criteria to g growth in the care would	nin whether generic uide economic he health and social include enough clude measures to sources efficiently and their quality. this objective are ncertain.	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct these services to the best locations, taking account of local characteristics in York to ensure that previously developed land is used where possible to support such growth, and to protect other valuable land such as agricultural land and allotments. This would have permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.  Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct these services to the best locations, taking account of local characteristics in

	++		+	0			?	-	-					I		
KEY	Very positive impa	act	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or I	Negati	ive im	pact likely	Very negative imp	oact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient inform	mation				likely		how it is implemented		
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	•	Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of w Promote and increase resort efficiency.  Reduce all emissions to air current activities; Minimise and mitigate emisto air from new developmed (including reducing transpoemissions through low emistechnologies and fuels); Support the development of wide low emission infrastrum prove air quality in AQM prevent new designations; Avoid locating development where it could negatively ir on air quality; Avoid locating development areas of existing poor air quality; Avoid locating development areas of existing poor air quality; Avoid locating development it could result in negimpacts on the health of fu	from ssions int irt ssion of city icture; As and t inpact t in uality ative	+ +	Reliance u economic care secto permaner objective. sustainable reduce wa positively Reliance u economic care secto quality sir harmful e	insufficient information insufficient information in the permanent long pon this objective.  Inpon the NPPF to grant in the heal or swill help to have at long term impact the development and aste, both of which on this objective.  Inpon the NPPF to grant in the heal or swill help to improve the NPPF seeks missions and to prove modes of transport	herefore betterm  uide th and soci e positive al es upon this es d seeks to will impact uide th and soci eve air to reduce pmote	al nd 5	?	quality. Impobjective are uncertain.  It is uncertaic criteria to go growth in the care would in detail to include and uncertain.  It is uncertain.  It is uncertain criteria to go growth in the care would in detail to include and incl	er resources and pacts on this e therefore in whether generic uide economic he health and social include enough lude measures to be. Impacts on this	+	York to ensure that water resources and quality are not adversely impacted by such growth. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.  Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to take account of the need to reduce waste as part of such of growth, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.  Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct these services to the best locations, taking account of local characteristics in York to ensure that such growth does not adversely impact on air quality and helps to improve air quality. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.		
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	•	occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport netwominimise the use of the car Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development locatidesign does not negatively on flood risk; Deliver or incorporate thro design sustainable urban disystems (SuDs).	on and impact	+	economic care sectorisk and re people an NPPF seek	pon the NPPF to gr growth in the heal ors will help to mini educe the impact o d property in York as to direct develop est risk of flooding.	th and soci mise flood f flooding t since the ment away	al o	?	criteria to gu growth in th care would i detail to inco minimise flo	in whether generic uide economic ne health and social include enough lude measures to nod risk and reduce of flooding to people	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to take account of the need to ensure that such growth is not in areas which are at risk of flooding. This will help to minimise flood risk and reduce the impacts of		

++		+	0			3		-				I
Very positive impa	ct	Positive impact likely			effect /	Uncertain		Negative	impact likely	Very negative imp		Positive or negative impact depending on
likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	rmation			likely		how it is implemented
					have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.			n		•		flooding to people and property, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting		character and setting of the historic city; Promote or enhance local of Preserve or enhance design and non-designated heritag assets and their setting; Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute 6 Principle Characteristics of City as identified in the Her Topic Paper.	eulture; nated ge to the	+	economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to conserve/enhance York's historic environment since the NPPF affords significant protection to the historic environment and seeks to enhance it where possible. There would be positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.					+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct these services to the best locations, taking account of local characteristics in York to ensure that the historic environment is protected and enhanced where possible. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	
Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape		landscape value Conserve or enhance geole important sites; Promote high quality desig context with its urban and landscape and in line with t "landscape and Setting" wi	ogically n in rural :he	+	economic care sector conserve/ landscape the most There will	growth in the he ors will help to denhance York's n since the NPPF s important environ be positive and p	alth and soc atural and beeks to prot nmental landermanent le	ouilt tect	growth in to growth in to care would detail to in conserve/e and built la	uide economic he health and social include enough clude measures to nhance York's natural ndscape. Impacts on	+	Providing detailed criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct these services to the best locations, taking account of local characteristics in York to ensure that the natural and built landscape is conserved and enhanced where possible. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
				Option 1 has positive impacts on almost all of the SA objectives. Reliance on the NPPF to guide health and social care related employment growth will have positive economic impacts through the NPPF's goal to build strong competitive economy and to ensure the health and vit. of town centres. There will be positive environmental impacts since the NPPF affords significant protection to the environment, seeks to reduce harmful emissions, to combat the adverse consequences of climate change and to promote sustainable forms of transport. Option 3 has positive impacts on all of the SA objectives since the provision of detailed local criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will help to direct growth in these services to the best locations, taking account of locally specific characteristics to ensure that there are no adverse environmental impacts, to help the economy grow and have positive impacts upon the health and well be of York's population.  The preferred approach has a mixture of positive and uncertain impacts. Generic local criteria to guide economic growth in the health and social care sectors will have positive impacts upon the health and well-being of York's population, since there will be greater choice in resp								n and social care related employment nomy and to ensure the health and vitality tection to the environment, seeks to ustainable forms of transport. To guide economic growth in the health not of locally specific characteristics to be impacts upon the health and well being de economic growth in the health and to the three will be greater choice in respect.
ecommendations					of health and social care. There will also be positive economic impacts, since this option will help to facilitate growth in the economy of from job opportunities in these sectors, all of which will also have positive social impacts. There are uncertain environmental impacts uncertain whether generic local criteria would contain sufficient detail to ensure that economic growth in the health and social care set will not adversely impact upon the environment.  It is recommended that the preferred approach includes adequate environmental safeguards to protect the environment from any additional impacts associated with growth in the healthcare and social care sectors. This would help to reduce the number of uncertainties which						uncertain environmental impacts as it is rth in the health and social care sectors ect the environment from any adverse	
	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape  erred Approach	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Preserve or enhance local or preserve or enhance design and non-designated heritage assets and their setting; Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute 6 Principle Characteristics or City as identified in the Heritage and setting  Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape  Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas or landscape value Conserve or enhance geold important sites; Promote high quality design context with its urban and landscape and Setting" withe Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance the landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" withe Heritage Topic Paper.	Conserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;  Preserve or enhance local culture;  Preserve or enhance local culture;  Preserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;  Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape  Conserve or enhance geologically important sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Preserve or enhance local culture; environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Preserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;  Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape  Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value  Conserve or enhance geologically important sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance pologically important sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value  Conserve or enhance geologically important sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value  Conserve or enhance pologically important sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance topic and the Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance topic and the Heritage Topic Paper.  Preserve or enhance topic and the Heritage Topic Paper.	Positive impact likely   No significant effect / no clear link	Positive impact likely   No significant effect / no clear link   Incertain insufficient info   Incertain insufficient info	Positive impact likely   No significant effect / no clear link   Incertain or insufficient information	Positive impact   Positive impact likely   No significant effect / Incretain or insufficient information   Negative impact likely   No significant effect / Incretain or insufficient information   Negative impacts upon this objective.	Very positive impact   Positive impact likely   No significant effect / Insufficient information   Negative impact likely   Insufficient insufficient information   Negative impact likely   Insufficient information   Negative impacts incomplet   Negative impacts upon this objective.   Negative impacts upon this objective.   Negative impacts on almost all of the SA objectives   Negative impacts on almost all of the SA objectives   Negative impacts incompleted   Negative impacts on almost all of the SA objectives   Negative impacts on almost all of the SA objectives   Negative impacts incompleted   Negative impacts   Negative impacts incompleted   Negative impacts   Negative impacts   Negative impacts   Negative impacts   Negative   Nega	Very negative impact likely   No significant effect /   Uncertain or   Negative impact likely   New positive impact likely   New positive impact   New positive impact   New positive   New positive	Very negative impact   No significant effect   Incertain or Insufficient information   Negative impact likely   Very negative impact   Ilikely   Very negative impact   Very negative   Very neg

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Loss of Employment Land  SA Objective				ion 1 Rely on NPPF to protect ting employment sites	Option 2 Do not protect existing employment sites			Option 3 Provide local level criteria to protect existing employment sites		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	sing needs of population in terms of quantity, quality; population in a Promote improvements to the existing and		No significant effects/no clear link.	?	Impacts on this objective are uncertain. Not protecting existing employment sites could mean that these sites are developed for housing. This would help increase the amount of new housing available in York. On the other hand, loss of employment land could mean loss of jobs and reduce people's chances of being able to afford to buy a home/rent accommodation.	+	Providing local level criteria to protect existing employment sites will help to protect and create jobs. This will have indirect positive impacts on this objective, since it will help to increase wealth through safeguarding jobs and give the population of York a better chance to buy a house or rent accommodation.		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;</li> <li>Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)</li> <li>Improves access to healthcare;</li> <li>Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;</li> <li>Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	?	Impacts on this objective are uncertain. It would depend what other uses were developed upon the existing employment sites and whether they resulted in any adverse impacts upon or contributed to improving the health and well-being of York's population.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment</li> </ul>	+	Reliance on the NPPF to protect existing employment sites will have some positive impacts upon this objective since the NPPF seeks to build a strong and competitive	-	Not protecting existing employment sites would prevent any training or education opportunities which may have arisen from development of these. This would have negative and	+	Providing local level criteria to protect existing employment sites would help to ensure that any training or education opportunities which would come from the development of these sites are		

	++	+		0		? -					I
KEY		Pos	sitive impact likely	No significant ef	fect /		egative	impact likely	Very negative imp		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
						which has an existing long					
						term employment use on it.					
						Overall, reliance upon the					
						NPPF to protect employment					
						land will have positive and					
						permanent long term					
5	Help deliver equality	• Ad	ddress existing imbaland	os of oquality		impacts upon this objective.  Reliance on the NPPF to		Not protecting	, ovicting	+	Providing local level criteria to
3	and access to all		eprivation and exclusion	•	т .	protect existing employment	_		sites would prevent	т.	protect existing employment sites
	and access to an		ovide accessible service	• •		sites will have positive			the number of jobs		would help to ensure that there is a
			e local population;	o and racincies io.		impacts upon this objective			ch would exacerbate		good range of sites for economic
			ovide affordable housin	g to meet		since the NPPF will help to		existing imbala	ances of equality and		development.
		de	emand;			protect employment land,		exclusion with	respect to the jobs		
		• He	elp reduce homelessnes	s;		which will in turn ensure			would also not help		
		• Pro	omote the safety and se	ecurity for people		good access to goods and			rd to buy/rent		
		an	id/or property.			services and help to deliver			this would have		
						equality and access to all.  This would result in positive		impacts upon	permanent long term		
						and permanent long term		impacts upon	tilis objective.		
						impacts on this objective.					
6	Reduce the need to	• De	eliver development whe	re it is accessible	0	No significant effects/no	?	Impacts on thi	is objective are	0	No significant impacts/clear link
	travel and deliver a	by	public transport, walki	ng and cycling to		clear link.		uncertain. It v	vould depend what		
	sustainable	mi	inimise the use of the ca	ar;				other uses we	re developed upon		
	integrated transport		eliver transport infrastru						syment sites if they		
	network		pports sustainable trave	•				were not prot			
			omote sustainable form	is of travel;					uses and whether that ecreased the need to		
		• Im	prove congestion.					travel.	ecreased the need to		
7	To minimise	• Re	educe or mitigate green	house gas	0	No significant effects/no	?		is objective are	0	No significant impacts/clear link
	greenhouse gases		nissions from all source	•		clear link.		-	vould depend what		
	that cause climate	• Pla	an or implement adapta	ition measures					re developed upon		
	change and deliver a		r the likely effects of cli	•					oyment sites and		
	managed response		ovide and develop ener	~ <i>.</i>				-	contributed to		
	to its effects		newable, low and zero	carbon				minimising green	eennouse gas		
			chnologies;	an and huilding				ennssions.			
			omote sustainable designaterials that manage the								
			insequences of climate								
			there to the principles of								
			erarchy.								
8	Conserve or		otect and enhance inte	rnational and	0	No significant effects/no	?	Impacts on thi	is objective are	0	No significant impacts/clear link
	enhance green	na	tionally significant prior	rity species and		clear link.		uncertain. It v	vould depend what		

$\Box$	++ 0										I
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive	impact likely	No significant ef	fect /	Uncertain or Ne	gative	impact likely	Very negative impa	act	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	infrastructure, bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	sssss; sity, flora a for e high nd ed natural ssssls; Protect and enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green						these existing	re developed upon employment sites hey contributed to natural		
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use prevent and rem</li> <li>Safegua and mos</li> <li>Protect</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously develo pollution contar	ped land; hinating the land ng contamination; cluding the best ltural land; nents; rees and	+	Reliance on the NPPF to protect existing employment sites would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective since the NPPF seeks to promote the use of PDL and seeks to safeguard the highest value environmental land from development.	ĵ.	other uses were existing emplo	vould depend what re developed upon yment sites and involved using land	+	Providing local level criteria to protect existing employment sites would help to use land resources efficiently since it will help to reduce the amount of land which would be required for economic development. There would therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality		re water resource e the quality of riv waters.		0	No significant effects/no clear link.	Ş	other uses were existing emplowhether they or	vould depend what re developed upon ryment sites and	0	No significant impacts/clear link
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recycling	e reduction, re-us g of waste; e and increase re:		0	No significant effects/no clear link.	Ş	other uses wer existing emplo whether they of reducing waste increasing reus	vould depend what re developed upon lyment sites and contributed to e generation and se and recycling.	0	No significant impacts/clear link
12	Improve air quality	activitie     Minimis     from ne     transport	se and mitigate er	nissions to air including reducing ugh low emission	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	<u>ن.</u>	other uses we	vould depend what re developed upon yment sites and contributed to	0	No significant impacts/clear link

	+ + 0					?	-				I
KEY	Very positive impact likely	t	Positive impact likely	No significant ef no clear link	fect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative	e impact likely	Very negative imp likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
		•	emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AC new designations; Avoid locating developm negatively impact on air Avoid locating developm existing poor air quality v result in negative impact future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and transport network to min the car.	ent where it could quality; ent in areas of where it could s on the health of							
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	•	Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development loca does not negatively impa Deliver or incorporate th sustainable urban draina	act on flood risk; rough design	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	?	uncertain. It wother uses we existing emplowhether they minimising flo	is objective are vould depend what re developed upon byment sites and contributed to od risk and reducing ding to people and ork.	0	No significant impacts/clear link
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	•	Preserve or enhance the and setting of the histori Promote or enhance local Preserve or enhance designated heritage assesetting; Preserve or enhance tho contribute to the 6 Princof the City as identified in Topic Paper.	c city; al culture; ignated and non- ts and their se elements which iple Characteristics	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	Š	uncertain. It wother uses we existing employ whether they	is objective are vould depend what re developed upon byment sites and contributed to enhancing York's anment.	0	No significant impacts/clear link
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	•	Preserve or enhance the including areas of landsc Conserve or enhance ge important sites; Promote high quality deswith its urban and rural l line with the "landscape within the Heritage Topio	ape value ologically sign in context andscape and in and Setting"	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	?	uncertain. It vother uses we existing emplowhether they conserving or natural and bu	•	0	No significant impacts/clear link
Gen	eneral					ive impacts from reliance up petitive economy and to ensu	on the NP ire the vit	PF to protect exi ality of town cen	sting employment sites tres.	since t	on to the economy there will be largely the NPPF seeks to build a strong could lead to a loss of jobs, training and

	++	+	0	?	-		1
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	education opportunities, which not help the economy to grow. Impacts on the rest of the SA objectives are uncertain, as the impacts that would occur would depend upon what other uses were developed on the lost employment sites.
Preferred Approach	The preferred approach will have positive economic and social impacts since it will help to protect jobs and grow the economy by avoiding the loss of employment land. This in turn will help to ensure that there is a good range of employment sites available for economic development. There will also be positive social impacts through the protection of existing employment sites which will help to protect jobs, increase wealth and ensure good access to jobs and services.  Local level criteria can take account of local specific circumstances in relation to the environment to ensure that existing employment sites do not adversely impact upon the environment.
Recommendations	It is recommended that the local level criteria include sufficient environmental safeguards to ensure that the development of existing employment sites does not adversely impact upon the environment or the health and well-being of York's population.  This would then help to remove uncertainties in relation to some of the SA objectives.

SA Objective			Option 1 Rely on NPPF to control business and industrial uses within residential areas.			Option 2 Provide local level criteria to control business and industrial uses within residential areas.		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	+	Providing local level criteria to control business and industrial uses within residential areas will help to take account of locally specific circumstances and help ensure that residential areas are not adversely affected by business an industrial developments. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	+	The NPPF seeks to improve people's health and well being through a variety of measures including promoting sustainable modes of transport, including walking and cycling and that planning policies should ensure that 'a site is suitable for its new use taking account of ground conditions and land instability, including from natural hazards or former activities such as mining, pollution arising from previous uses and any proposals for mitigation including land remediation'. Such measures will help to	+	Providing local level criteria to control business and industrial uses within residential areas will help to avoid locating such development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health. This would help to have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.		

KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain	or it information	Negative impact likely	Very nega	ntive impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	пксту		no cicar mix	mountee	it iiiioiiiiatioii		пксту		now it is implemented
					upon the NPI uses within re	an health and therefore re F to control business and i esidential areas will have po nt long term impacts upon	ndustrial ositive		
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education all;</li> <li>Support existing higher a establishments for conti</li> <li>Provide good quality em available to all.</li> </ul>	nued success;	or 0		gnificant effects/clear link.		There is	no significant effects/clear link.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Deliver and promote sta</li> <li>Enhance the city centre business and leisure;</li> </ul>	levant workforce for the futi ble economic growth; and its opportunities for infrastructure for economic ment drivers;		There is no si	gnificant effects/clear link.		providing and indu	ay be negative effects on this objective as g local level criteria to control businesses istrial users within residential areas may estrict business from developing where it o locate.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>exclusion across the city</li> <li>Provide accessible service population;</li> <li>Provide affordable hous</li> <li>Help reduce homelessne</li> </ul>	ces and facilities for the localing to meet demand;		industrial use positive and this objective sustainable, i	n the NPPF to control busing within residential areas we permanent long term impassince the NPPF seeks to crucilisive and mixed communew development to the mocations.	vill have cts upon eate unities	and indu help to a could ad for peop	g local level criteria to control business istrial uses within residential areas will avoid locating such development where it liversely impact upon safety and security ale and property. This will have positive manent long term impacts upon this e.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	Deliver development wh	ns;		modes of tra the car and to transport net control busin residential ar permanent lo	motes the use of sustainal nsport and to reduce relian o seek to deliver an integra work. Reliance upon the N ess and industrial uses with eas will therefore have pos ing term impacts on this ob	ce upon ted IPPF to nin sitive and ojective.	and indu help to t circumst from res developi positive this obje	
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response	sources; • Plan or implement adap effects of climate change	nhouse gas emissions from a tation measures for the likel e; ergy from renewable, low an	у	emissions thr also seeks to of climate ch	ks to reduce greenhouse g ough a variety of measures combat the adverse conse ange. Reliance upon the N ess and industrial uses with	and quences PPF to	and indu help to t circumst	g local level criteria to control business astrial uses within residential areas will ake account of locally specific ances to help minimise greenhouse gas as from these developments and avoid

KEY				No significant effect / no clear link	Uncert		or information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented		
<u> </u>	IIICIY			no cicar mix	mount	CICIIC	IIIIOIIIIacioii		пксту			now it is implemented		
	to its effects	•		gn and building materials that consequences of climate			residential areas would therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.				adverse impacts upon the population of residential areas in York. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts uthis objective.			
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	•	Protect and enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Protect and enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.				The NPPF seeks to conserve and enhance the natural environment through a variety of measures including 'protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, geological conservation interests and soils; minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity where possible'. Reliance on the NPPF to control business and industrial uses within residential areas would therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.				and indu help to t circumst environn developi			
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	•	Re-use previously developed land; Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination; Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land; Protect or enhance allotments; Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.				The NPPF promotes the use of previously developed and seeks to protect the most valuable land from development. Reliance upon the NPPF to control business and industrial uses would therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.			+	and indu help to e appropri high valu	g local level criteria to control business istrial uses within residential areas will ensure that such development is built in ate locations and avoids development on it land. This will help to use land is efficiently and safeguard their quality.		
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	•	Conserve water resources and quality; Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.				-	effect/no clear link.		+	and indu help to to circumst where po and pern objective			
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	•	Promote reduction, re-us waste; Promote and increase res	ise, recovery and recycling of esource efficiency.			The NPPF promotes sustainable development and seeks to reduce waste. Reliance upon the NPPF to control business and industrial uses would therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.		+	and indu help to e appropri generation have pos upon this	g local level criteria to control business istrial uses within residential areas will ensure that such development is built in ate locations which reduces waste on and encourages recycling. This would sitive and permanent long term impacts s objective.			
12	Improve air quality	•	Reduce all emissions to a Minimise and mitigate en development (including r through low emission tec	nissions to air from new educing transport emissions	5		sustain compl EU limit value	uires that 'planning poli iance with and contribu s or national objectives king into account the pr	te towards for	+	and indu	g local level criteria to control business estrial uses within residential areas will ensure that such development is built in ate locations which does not adversely		

,	++		+	0	?			-				1
KEY	Very positive impact		Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncer	rtain	or	Negative impact likely	Very ne	gative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear link	insuff	ficient	information		likely			how it is implemented
		•	infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQ designations; Avoid locating developm impact on air quality; Avoid locating developm quality where it could reshealth of future occupan	ent where it could negativel ent in areas of existing poor sult in negative impacts on t ts/users; I integrated transport netwo	air he		quality from it Together with promote sust greenhouse g NPPF to contr within resider	he cumulative impacts on a ndividual sites in local area n other efforts in the NPPF ainable forms of travel and as emissions, reliance upor rol business and industrial u ntial areas will have positive ing term impacts upon this	s.' to reduce n the uses e and		quality. have pos	pon air quality and helps to improve air This will help to improve air quality and itive and permanent long term impacts s objective.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	•	negatively impact on floo	rough design sustainable ur	ban	+	from areas at ensure that b development people and pr	ks to direct development a risk of flooding. This will h usiness and industrial s do increase the risk of floroperty in York's residentia positive and permanent lo is objective.	elp to oding to I areas.	+	and indu help to e in areas a minimise flooding residenti permane objective	
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	•	the historic city; Promote or enhance loca Preserve or enhance des heritage assets and their Preserve or enhance tho	ignated and non-designated setting; se elements which contribut teristics of the City as identif	l te	+	environment possible. This and industrial impact upon which will hav	ks to protect the historic and also to enhance it whe swill help to ensure that but development does not adthe historic environment of the positive and permanent on this objective.	usiness versely f York,	+	and indu help to to circumsto historic e	g local level criteria to control business strial uses within residential areas will ake account of locally specific ances to help conserve/enhance York's environment. This will have positive and ent long term impacts upon this
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	•	landscape value Conserve or enhance ge Promote high quality des	landscape including areas o ologically important sites; sign in context with its urban in line with the "landscape a age Topic Paper.	n	+	natural environmeasures incl valued landso control busing residential are	ks to conserve and enhance onment through a variety of luding 'protecting and enhapes'. Reliance upon the Ness and industrial uses with eas would therefore have put long term impacts on the	f ancing IPPF to nin positive	+	and indu help to to circumsto natural a	g local level criteria to control business strial uses within residential areas will ake account of locally specific ances to help conserve/enhance York's and built environment. This will have and permanent long term impacts upon ctive.
Gen	General					Option 1 has a number of positive impacts since the NPPF seeks to direct new development to the most suitable locations, supports sustainable development, supports the development of a strong and prosperous economy and affords significant protection to the environment.					f a strong and prosperous economy and	
Pref	Preferred Approach					The preferred approach will have positive impacts on the majority of the SA objectives. Providing local level criteria to control business and industrial uses within residential areas can take account of locally specific circumstances to direct development to the most suitable locations and help to avoid any adverse impacts. This will have positive						

>	++	+	0	?	-		1		
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented		
impacts on the environment. It will also have positive impacts on the social objectives, as the preferred approximately will help to avoid adverse impacts upon residents of York. There may be a negative effect on the economy as local criteria may restrict business from developing where it wishes to locate.									
Rec	mmendations		tive by overly restricting business from iven to the balance between protecting mic development.						

## **SECTION 9: RETAIL**

Re	etail Hierard	chy						
SA	SA Objective			1: Retain existing retail centre hierarchy (City Centre, and local centres)	Option 2: Review Retail hierarchy to include Monks Cross and Clifton Moor			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		

	++ +		+	0			3	-			1
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	, ,				Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	does not pose unacceptable risks to health.										
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce  Provide good education and training opportunities for all; Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.				0	There is objective	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> </ul>				retail faregional wider resuppor vitality location they co-comple.  This ap well as imports that the competing signific.  This ap NPPF pelanning town co-edge of	y as a whole has an extension acilities and is regionally signal centre. The current retail role and potential of different the local population as we and viability of the city cerns would allow for enhance ontain to enable this to reinsementing the roles of other approach would support the the overall economy given ance of the city centre to the City Centre is protected for titive uses on other centres ant impact of its vitality and proach would supports the particularly given that it stands applications as follows: entre uses to be located in a feentre locations and only to be should out-of-centre sites.	nificant as a sub- hierarchy reflects the nt retail locations to ell as ensuring the tre. Sites within these ment of the facilities force their role whilst centres.  overall retail sector as the strategic is. It would help ensure om additional , which would have a d viability.  implementation of es a sequential test to "applications for main own centres, then in f suitable sites are not		centre and reflect out-of-centre site contain a concent as well as busines.  However, includin acknowledge supply this would be postensions would be centres and the vithat it could reinform.  The city centre is a Further development is development. The development to the would need to have	uld support the role of York as a regional the extensive contribution made by the given that Monks Cross and Clifton Moor rated proportion of convenience shopping is and commercial business.  In these sites in the hierarchy would cort for their growth in the future. Whilst in the for supporting this out-of-centre offer, is revealed between the viability of these tality and viability of the City Centre given corce their competing roles.  In already planned expansion at Monks Cross. It is already planned expansion at Monks Cross. It is already planned expansion at Monks Cross. It is could cause harm to the vitality and y centre.  In already planned expansion at Monks Cross. It is including community facilities as part of the including community facilities as part of New employment and residential the north as well as north of Clifton Moor we additional facilities for the population. It is including to the including could offer a potential solution to the

Following the current retail hierarchy would show support

for the existing centres that perform a day-to-day

convenience shopping as well as a general comparison

role for the resident and worker population . In addition

this would complement York's regional role, which would

draw people in from other places. This will have an overall

Help deliver

equality and

access to all

Address existing imbalances of equality,

Provide accessible services and facilities

Provide affordable housing to meet

for the local population;

demand;

deprivation and exclusion across the city;

need required. However, until this site and the Clifton Gate urban Expansion are planned, the level of facilities is not known and may better be catered for through a new local centre to enable better accessibility with the site.

The impacts of following this would not be dissimilar to

option one. Including Monks Cross and Clifton Moor as part

of the hierarchy would enable the offer at these location to

expand to accommodate the wider needs of the population

rather than just a predominantly convenience shopping role.

	++		+	0			?	-			1
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant eff	fect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	<ul> <li>Help reduce homelessness;</li> <li>Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.</li> </ul>			or		positive the futu	e benefit for the resident po	pulation now and in		Monks cross will be the development to the would need to have Expanding these so need required. Hourban Expansion a known and may be centre to enable be	on/community stadium development of be including community facilities as part of New employment and residential ne north as well as north of Clifton Moor we additional facilities for the population. ites could offer a potential solution to the inwever, until this site and the Clifton Gate are planned, the level of facilities is not etter be catered for through a new local petter accessibility with the site.
										competitive with t impact on facilities centre in the long-	
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimise the use of the		the nich s;		There is objectiv	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emissi Plan o for the Provid renew techno Promo materi and co	e or mitigate greenhouse ga ons from all sources; r implement adaptation mea e likely effects of climate cha e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon ologies; ete sustainable design and be ials that manage the future of ensequences of climate chan e to the principles of the ene- chy.	asures inge; uilding risks ge;	-	There is objectiv	no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity,	nation habita SSSIs; • Conser nature	rve or enhance internationa ally significant priority specits within SACs, SPAs, RAMS/rve or enhance locally impore conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-div	es and ARs and tant	-	There is objectiv	no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the

	++ + 0		0			Ş	-			T.	
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signoclea	nificant r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment:     Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.									
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	Re-use previously developed land;     Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;     Safeguard Safeguard soil quality, including the best			0	There is	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	ve water resources and qua- re the quality of rivers and waters.	ility;	0	There is objective	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resource tey.	ry and	0	There is objective	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
12	Improve air quality	activitie  Minimi from no reducir low em  Suppor low em  Improv preven  Avoid lo could no existing result in	e all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ng transport emissions throus hission technologies and fue t the development of city was hission infrastructure; re air quality in AQMAs and t new designations; ocating development where hegatively impact on air qua ocating development in are g poor air quality where it co n negative impacts on the h re occupants/users;	o air ugh ls); vide lity; as of	0	There is	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the

>	++		+	0			ŗ	-				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	-	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
					1	1			1	I		
			te sustainable and integrate									
	transport network to minimise the use of											
12	A 41 - 1 1	the car.				<b>-1</b>		and the second state of	0	The section of section 1	Call the at a consequent to the second state of	
13	Minimise flood risk and	risk of flooding;	al a a tau a	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective						ink between this alternative and the		
	reduce the		development location and			objectiv	ve			objective		
	impact of		ot negatively impact on floc or incorporate through des									
	flooding to		able urban drainage system	_								
	people and	(SuDs).	able urban uraniage system	15								
	property in	(Subs).										
	York											
14	Conserve or	Conserv	ve or enhance the special		0	There is	s no clear link between thi	s alternative and the	0	There is no clear li	ink between this alternative and the	
	enhance		er and setting of the histor	ic city;		objectiv	<i>v</i> e			objective		
	York's historic	<ul><li>Promot</li></ul>	e or enhance local culture;	• •		-				-		
	environment,	<ul> <li>Conserv</li> </ul>	ve or enhance designated a	nd								
	cultural	non-de	signated heritage assets an	d their								
	heritage,	setting;										
	character and		ve or enhance those eleme									
	setting		contribute to the 6 Principle									
			teristics of the City as ident	ified in								
4.5	6		itage Topic Paper.			<b>-1</b>		and the second state of	0	The section of section 1	California and the college of the condition	
15	Conserve or enhance		ve or enhance the landscap ng areas of landscape value		0	objectiv	s no clear link between thi	s alternative and the	0		ink between this alternative and the	
	York's natural		ig areas of landscape value or enhance geologically			objectiv	ve			objective		
	and built		ant sites;									
	landscape	•	e high quality design in cor	tevt								
			urban and rural landscape									
			h the "landscape and Settir									
			the Heritage Topic Paper.									
Gene	eral										s and showing support for their overall	
					_		uld prioritise the city centr	e as the main location for re	etail ensu	iring complimentary	rather competing convenience is offered in	
					the oth	er tiers.						
					la alu di	Navl	Cusas and Clifton Marris		المالمالمالما		ffen Henrens it is libely to lead to	
						_				• •	ffer. However, it is likely to lead to	
											entre is under pressure from these centres the city centre's vitality and viability. This in	
						•	•	• • • •		•		
					turn may also impact on other aspects of the economy located within the city centre. It is acknowledged that the permission at Monks Cross would be including community facilities as well which could be a solution to some need required from the allocations for employment and							
					housing to the north. Given that this is yet unknown and that the function of a new local centre may also be a practical solution too, the							
					overall impacts of this approach are likely to be negative.							
Prefe	erred Approach				Option 1: Retain the existing retail centre hierarchy (city centre, district and local centres)							
					This approach is likely to have positive impacts for the economy in the long-term. Ensuring the city centre is the focus for retail will							
							•	•				

	++	+	0	?	-		1
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	support its sub-regional role as well as reinforcing local centres/district centres to support the needs of the local population. This approach will cause the least harm to York by limiting growth of out-of-town shopping areas.
Recommendations	There were no adverse impacts identified from having a local policy for retaining the current retail hierarchy and therefore there is no need for the policy wording to take account of any negative impacts.

SA Objective		Optio	n 1: Rely on the NPPF policies to guide retail development		n 2: Provide Local level policy to guide retail development in centres / out of town centres
1 To meet t diverse housing needs of t populatio a sustaina way.	<ul> <li>population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
2 Improve t health and well-being York's populatio	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multi-	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
3 Improve education skills developm and train for an effective	Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely		gnificant			Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clea	ar link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
	workforce	onnorti	unities available to all.									
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy  • Help deliver conditions for business success and investment; • Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future; • Deliver and promote stable economic growth; • Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure; • Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth; • Support existing employment drivers; • Promote a low carbon economy.				+	is recog sequent could by a hierar The NPI retail ne may cau Council assessm York cet sectors therefo	PF approach is clear that the nised and positively planne tial approach to designating applied to ensure this hap chy locations.  PF would put the onus on deeds to be designated withingse tensions for developme would not consider suitable nent and hierarchy. This approach is rewould be open to challer no developer and council reconsiders.	d for. It dictates that a grand consenting sites upens under the remit of evelopers to define why in different areas and int in locations that the ebased upon a local proach lacks a local and locts on specific areas and would be general and lage causing tensions	+	allow the hierarch designated locally defined using kno as well as an undo economy.  This approach is li- from the retail se	uld be build upon the NPPF approach to ny and retail needs/impacts to be p. This would allow the retail needs to be swn locations and development proposals erstanding of the overall impact on the likely to maximise the economic potential ctor for the overall economy as well as for on and workforce.	
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>depriva</li><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide demand</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promot</li></ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factorial population; affordable housing to meeting to meeti	he city; cilities	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	<ul> <li>Deliver accessil and cyccar;</li> <li>Deliver support</li> <li>Promot</li> </ul>	development where it is ble by public transport, wal ling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure what sustainable travel option are sustainable forms of travel congestion.	the nich s;	0	There is objective	s no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a	<ul><li>emissio</li><li>Plan or for the</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	or mitigate greenhouse ga ins from all sources; implement adaptation med likely effects of climate cha and develop energy from ble, low and zero carbon	asures	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the	

	+ 0				?	-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely		nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>Promote material and corrections</li> </ul>	chnologies; omote sustainable design and building sterials that manage the future risks d consequences of climate change; here to the principles of the energy strarchy.								
8	Conserve or enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs;  , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment  • Conserve or enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs);  • Create new areas or site of bio-diversity geodiversity value;  • Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment;  • Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.			es and ARs and tant ersity /	0	There is objective	no clear link between this are	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	ink between this alternative and the
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevention</li> <li>and rerection</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Consertion</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Safeguate</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing mination; and soil quality, including the est versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best	0	There is objective	s no clear link between this a	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	ink between this alternative and the
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Conser	ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and	lity;	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this are	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclin	te reduction, re-use, recovering of waste; te and increase resource cy.	ry and	0	objectiv			0	objective	link between this alternative and the
12	Improve air quality	activitie	all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to		0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this are	alternative and the	0	There is no clear lobjective	ink between this alternative and the

	++		+	0			Ş	-			T. Control of the con
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signoclea	nificant r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
		from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);  • Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure;  • Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;  • Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality;  • Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users;  • Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.									
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does n</li><li>Delive</li></ul>	edevelopment location and co ot negatively impact on flood r or incorporate through desinable urban drainage system:	d risk; ign	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this are	alternative and the	0	There is no clear I objective	ink between this alternative and the
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Promo</li> <li>Consernon-desetting</li> <li>Conserwhich</li> <li>Charact</li> </ul>	rve or enhance the special ter and setting of the historicate or enhance local culture; rve or enhance designated are signated heritage assets and the contribute to the 6 Principle eteristics of the City as identification.	nd d their nts	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this are	alternative and the	0	There is no clear I objective	ink between this alternative and the
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>includi</li><li>Protectimport</li><li>Promote with it line with</li></ul>	rve or enhance the landscape ng areas of landscape value t or enhance geologically cant sites; the high quality design in cont s urban and rural landscape a th the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this are	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the

	++	+	0	?	-		T
Ē	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

General	Option 1 is likely to have negative impacts in the long-term given that the NPPF sets out a general approach and this would be left open to interpretation by developers. It is likely that this would cause tensions between the Council and developers due to a lack of a locally designated hierarchy and policy.  The approach of option 2 is likely to have a positive impact for York's overall economy. This approach would be build upon the NPPF approach allow the hierarchy to be designated locally. This would allow the retail needs to be defined using known locations and development as well as what is known regarding the impact on the economy.
	This approach is likely to maximise the economic potential from the retail sector for the overall economy as well as for the local population and workforce.
Preferred Approach	Option 2: Provide Local Level policy to guide retail development in retail centres/out of town centres.  • This approach would be NPPF compliant but allow the retail impact and hierarchy to be designated locally. This would allow the retail needs and impacts to be defined using known locations and development proposals as well as permitting an understanding on the overall the impact on the economy. This approach is likely to maximise the economic potential from the retail sector for the overall economy as well as for the local population and workforce in the long-term.
Recommendations	There were no adverse impacts identified from having a local policy on having a locally focussed retail approach and therefore there is no need for the policy wording to take account of any negative impacts.

Re	Retail Centres – Issue 2: Protection										
SA	Objective		Option 1: Rely on the NPPF policies to protect the vitality and viability of retail centres			Option 2: Provide Local level policy that protects retail centres' function, vitality and viability					
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective					
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective					

$\top$	++		+	0			?	-			I
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi no clear l		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)  Improves access to healthcare; Provides or promotes safety and security for residents; Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.			curity							
3	Improve education, skills opportunities for all; sorting for an effective workforce  • Provide good education and training opportunities for all; • Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; • Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.				0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	link between this alternative and the
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> </ul>			+ -	is recog sequen could be a hierar The NPI retail ne may cau Council assessm York ce sectors therefo	PF approach is clear that the gnised and positively planned tial approach to designating the applied to ensure this happened to ensure this happened to ensure this happened to be designated withing the second to be designated withing use tensions for developmed would not consider suitable ment and hierarchy. This appened within York. The approach one would be open to challed the second to be open to challed the second to be second to council record to the second to the seco	ed for. It dictates that a g and consenting sites opens under the remit of evelopers to define why in different areas and ent in locations that the e based upon a local proach lacks a local and ects on specific areas and would be general and inge causing tensions	+	allow the hierarci designated locally defined using kno as well as an und economy.  This approach is I from the retail se	ould be build upon the NPPF approach to hy and retail needs/impacts to be y. This would allow the retail needs to be own locations and development proposals erstanding of the overall impact on the likely to maximise the economic potential actor for the overall economy as well as for ion and workforce.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all  Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city; Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population; Provide affordable housing to meet demand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and security for		e city; lities	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	link between this alternative and the	

	++	+	0	?	-		T
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	,					
		people and/or property.				
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	<ul> <li>Deliver development where it is accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimise the use of the car;</li> <li>Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable travel options;</li> <li>Promote sustainable forms of travel;</li> <li>Improve congestion.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources; Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change; Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies; Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change; Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , biodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Conserve or enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Conserve or enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	Re-use previously developed land;     Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;     Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

_	++		+	0		3		-			
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	•			•				•			<u> </u>
		<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance allotments;								
			ard mineral resources and								
		_	age their efficient use.								
10	Improve		ve water resources and qua	alityr	0 There is no clear link between this alternative and the					There is no clear	link between this alternative and the
10	water		ve the quality of rivers and	ality,	objective			"	objective	and between this diterriative and the	
	efficiency and		lwaters.			Objectiv	C			Objective	
	quality	ground	iwaters.								
11	Reduce waste   Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and			n, and	0	Thoro is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	Thoro is no cloar	link between this alternative and the
11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			ary ariu	0	objectiv		alternative and the	"	objective	mink between this alternative and the
	generation recycling of waste; and increase  • Promote and increase resource					Objectiv	e			objective	
	level of reuse		te and increase resource								
		efficier	icy.								
12	and recycling	• D : -1	all aminaina ta ata far		0	There's	no close link between this	altarnativa ar d th t	0	Thought and also also	link between this alternative and the
12	Improve air		e all emissions to air from cu	urrent	0		no clear link between this	aiternative and the	0		link between this alternative and the
	quality	activiti	•			objectiv	е			objective	
			ise and mitigate emissions t								
			ew development (including								
		reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);									
	Support the development of city wide			vide							
			nission infrastructure;								
		•	e air quality in AQMAs and								
		-	t new designations;								
			ocating development where								
			negatively impact on air qua								
			ocating development in are								
			g poor air quality where it c								
			n negative impacts on the h	nealth							
		of futu	re occupants/users;								
		<ul><li>Promo</li></ul>	te sustainable and integrate	ed							
		transp	ort network to minimise the	use of							
		the car									
13	Minimise	Reduce	e risk of flooding;		0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the
	flood risk and	• Ensure	development location and	design		objectiv	e			objective	
	reduce the		ot negatively impact on floo			-					
	impact of		or incorporate through des	•							
	flooding to		able urban drainage system	_							
	people and	(SuDs)									
	property in	(5025)									
	York										
14	Conserve or	Conser	ve or enhance the special		0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the
	enhance		ter and setting of the histor	ic city:		objectiv			-	objective	
	York's historic			• •						.,	
	York's historic • Promote or enhance local culture;				l				l	I	

## Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

	++		+	0			?	-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely		nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented		
		- 6		1					ı	1			
	environment, cultural		ve or enhance designated a										
	heritage,		signated heritage assets and	a their									
	character and	setting	; ve or enhance those elemer	at c									
	setting which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in												
	the Heritage Topic Paper.												
15	Conserve or		ve or enhance the landscape	e	0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the		
	enhance including areas of landscape value					objectiv	e			objective			
	York's natural	<ul> <li>Protect</li> </ul>	or enhance geologically										
	and built	importa	ant sites;										
	Promote high quality design in context     with its urban and rural landscape and in												
			th the "landscape and Settin	g"									
_	•	within	the Heritage Topic Paper.			4 : 1:1 1					1 111: 111 16		
Gen	erai				Option 1 is likely to have negative impacts in the long-term given that the NPPF sets out a general approach and this would be left open to interpretation by developers. It is likely that this would cause tensions between the Council and developers due to a lack of a locally								
					designated hierarchy and policy.								
					designated meral city and poncy.								
					The approach of option 2 is likely to have a positive impact for York's overall economy. This approach would be build upon the NPPF approach								
					allow t	ne hierard	hy to be designated locally	This would allow the reta	il needs t	to be defined using I	known locations and development as well as		
					what is	known re	egarding the impact on the	economy.					
					This approach is likely to maximise the economic potential from the retail sector for the overall economy as well as for the local population								
Ducf	arred America sh					rkforce.	e Local Level policy to guide	votail davalanment :	ail cont	s /out of tour of tour	100		
Prei	erred Approach						, , ,	'		•			
					This approach would be NPPF compliant but allow the retail impact and hierarchy to be designated locally. This would allow the retail needs and impacts to be defined using known locations and development proposals as well as permitting an understanding on the								
					overall the impact on the economy. This approach is likely to maximise the economic potential from the retail sector for the overall								
					economy as well as for the local population and workforce in the long-term.								
Reco	Recommendations					There were no adverse impacts identified from having a local policy on a locally derived approach to retail and therefore there is no need for							
					the policy wording to take account of any negative impacts.								

,	++	+	0	;	-		T
Œ)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Re	etail Centres	s – Issue 3: Development of o	ut-of-c	centre retail			
SA	Objective		of-town existing retail h in whol busines floorsp benefit	1: Provide local level policy that permits development of out- n centre retail if the development would not undermine the g, committed or planned investment into centres within the lierarchy, the development cannot be accommodated (either le or disaggregated) to more sequentially preferable sites, a ss case can be demonstrated for the provision of additional lace or the development would provide additional economic ts to the City as a whole without undermining the role and on of the City Centre.	Option 2: Provide Local level policy that restricts development of out-of-town centre retail to small scale expansion (less than 200sq m)		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	0	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	Provide good education and training opportunities for all; Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;</li> </ul>	-	Whilst this approach might help the conditions for business and economic growth overall, it does not reflect the spatial importance of other areas where investment is critical to ensure the wider objectives of the plan are met. This is particularly true of the city centre which is important for the overall delivery of York's economic vision.	+	This approach allows the targeting of development in a more spatially specific way recognising the importance of investment in key locations to deliver York economic vision.  This would provide the most positive approach for the long-term.	

	<b>++</b>		+	0			?	-			1	
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
	•							•				
		for eco • Suppor	e the appropriate infrastruct nomic growth; t existing employment drive te a low carbon economy.									
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	deprivation and exclusion across the city;  Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population;  Provide affordable housing to meet demand;  Help reduce homelessness;  Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.				There is objectiv	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear lobjective	link between this alternative and the	
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessi and cyc car; • Deliver suppor • Promo	development where it is ble by public transport, wall cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure whats sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of travel econgestion.	the nich s;	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Plan or for the     Provide renewatechno     Promo materia and co	te sustainable design and bu als that manage the future r nsequences of climate chan to the principles of the ene	asures nge; uilding risks ge;	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	ink between this alternative and the	
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for	national habitat SSSIs; Conser nature Create geodive	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA we or enhance locally impor conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; e connectivity of green	es and ARs and tant	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear lobjective	link between this alternative and the	

	++		+	0			?	-			I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
					1					T	
	accessible		ructure and the natural								
	high quality and	enviror	·	_							
	connected		e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	U							
		natural									
	environment										
9	Use land	Use land • Re-use previously developed land;			0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the
	resources					objectiv	/e			objective	
	efficiently	and rer	nediate any existing								
	and	contam	nination;								
	safeguard		ard soil quality, including th								
	their quality	their quality and most versatile agricultural land;									
			ve or enhance allotments;								
			ard mineral resources and								
			age their efficient use.								
10	Improve		ve water resources and qua	ility;	0		s no clear link between this	alternative and the	0		link between this alternative and the
	water efficiency and	•	e the quality of rivers and			objectiv	/e			objective	
	quality	ground	waters.								
11	Reduce waste	Promot	te reduction, re-use, recove	ry and	0	There is	s no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the
	generation		ng of waste;	ry aria		objectiv		alternative and the	•	objective	and between this discribative and the
	and increase	•	te and increase resource			,				•	
	level of reuse	efficien	ıcy.								
	and recycling										
12	Improve air		all emissions to air from cu	ırrent	0		s no clear link between this	alternative and the	0		link between this alternative and the
	quality	activitie	•			objectiv	/e			objective	
			se and mitigate emissions to	o air							
			ew development (including	t.							
			ng transport emissions throu iission technologies and fue								
			t the development of city w								
			it the development of city will ission infrastructure;	nue							
			e air quality in AQMAs and								
			t new designations;								
		•	ocating development where	eit							
			egatively impact on air qua								
			ocating development in are								
		existing	g poor air quality where it co	ould							
			n negative impacts on the h	ealth							
			re occupants/users;								
			te sustainable and integrate								
		transpo	ort network to minimise the	use of					<u> </u>		

### Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

Very positive impact  Positive impact likely  No significant effect / Uncertain or insufficient information  Negative impact likely  Very negative implication  Insufficient information  Negative impact likely  Very negative implication  Insufficient information  Negative impact likely  Insufficient information  Negative impact likely  Insufficient information  Negative impact likely  Insufficient information  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient  Insufficient	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented  ear link between this alternative and the						
the car.  13 Minimise • Reduce risk of flooding; 0 There is no clear link between this alternative and the 0 There is no clear link between the 10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the 10 There is no clear link between the							
13 Minimise • Reduce risk of flooding; 0 There is no clear link between this alternative and the 0 There is no	ear link between this alternative and the						
13 Minimise • Reduce risk of flooding; 0 There is no clear link between this alternative and the 0 There is no	ear link between this alternative and the						
	ear link between this alternative and the						
flood risk and Fragure development location and design objective							
reduce the does not negatively impact on flood risk;							
impact of   Deliver or incorporate through design							
flooding to sustainable urban drainage systems							
people and (SuDs).							
property in (SGES).							
York							
14 Conserve or • Conserve or enhance the special 0 There is no clear link between this alternative and the 0 There is no	ear link between this alternative and the						
enhance character and setting of the historic city; objective							
York's historic Promote or enhance local culture;							
environment,  Conserve or enhance designated and							
cultural non-designated heritage assets and their							
heritage, setting;							
character and Conserve or enhance those elements							
setting which contribute to the 6 Principle							
Characteristics of the City as identified in							
the Heritage Topic Paper.							
	ear link between this alternative and the						
	ear link between this alternative and the						
1 Total of Chinanae Besisphani							
and built important sites;							
landscape • Promote high quality design in context							
with its urban and rural landscape and in							
line with the "landscape and Setting"							
within the Heritage Topic Paper.							
General Whilst Option 1 might help the conditions for business and economic growth overall, it does not be a seconomic growth overall growth growth overall growth							
where investment is critical to ensure the wider objectives of the plan are met. This is particular to ensure the wider objectives of the plan are met.	•						
the overall delivery of York's economic vision. In the long-term it is anticipated that this would	have negative impacts.						
Option 2 allows the targeting of development in a more spatially specific way recognising the	• •						
deliver York economic vision. This would provide the most positive approach for the long-term							
Preferred Approach Option 2: Provide Local level policy that restricts development of out-of-town centre retail to							
	This approach is likely to deliver the most positive economic approach in the long-term. This would allow the targeting of development in a						
	more spatially specific way recognising the importance of investment in key locations to deliver York economic vision.						
	There were no adverse impacts identified from having a a local policy to restrict out-of-centre development and therefore there is no need for						
the policy wording to take account of any negative impacts.							

	++	+	0	?	-		I
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

# **SECTION 10: HOUSING GROWTH AND DISTRIBUTION**

Н	ousing Gro	owth								
SA	Objective		Optio	on 1: 750 dwellings per annum	Optio annu	on 2: 1090 dwellings per m	Optio annur	n 3: 1500 dwellings per m	Option annum	n 4: 2060 dwellings per n
	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Show people.</li> </ul>	+	This option would deliver 750 dwellings per annum. This would be in-line with CLG household projections and will help to meet housing needs in the City of York. However, the option would not fully address affordable need identified in the North Yorkshire SHMA for 790 affordable dwellings per annum. This option would also not be aligned with forecast economic growth and in consequence would not provide the choice of housing for the working population to live in York.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	#	This option would deliver a total of 1090 dwellings per annum. This represents a substantial increase in delivery relative to Option 1 of approximately 5,100 dwellings over a 15 year period. This scale of delivery will support forecasted employment growth and would provide the choice for those who may take up new jobs to reside in York.  The evidence base indicates that this option would generate a moderate boost to affordable housing supply over the plan period although like Option 1, it would not meet the 790 target for affordable dwellings per annum set in the North Yorkshire SHMA.	***	This option would deliver a total of 1500 dwellings per annum. Unlike Options 1 and 2, this option would meet the newly arising affordable housing need over the plan period. However, the option would not meet the affordable housing backlog over the Plan period.  This option could present opportunities to accommodate additional housing demand from neighbouring authorities which is particularly pertinent given the linkages between housing market areas.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.	+	This option would deliver 2060 dwellings per annum. This significantly exceeds CLG household projections, increasing delivery by an additional 19,650 dwellings over a 15 year period. This level of growth is also substantially greater than that under Options 2 and 3.  Reflecting the scale of housing growth envisaged under this option, the affordable housing target of 790 affordable dwellings per annum over the plan period would be met including both the backlog and the newly arising affordable housing need as set out within the North Yorkshire SHMA. This option could also present opportunities to

$\top$	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	,	egative impact		_	re impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	nted
									In view of the scale of housing growth under this option (which exceeds CLG household projections), and the boost to affordable housing supply, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.					accommodate additional housing demand from neighbouring authorities which is particularly pertinent given the linkages between housing market areas.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population.	enviro negati Impro functio Promo access cycling Impro Provid for res Ensure	locating development when nmental circumstances coul vely impact on people's heave access to open space / monal open space; otes a healthier lifestyle thou to leisure opportunities (was); ves access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and sidents; e that land mination/pollution does not eptable risks to health.	d Ith; ulti- ugh alking / ecurity		generate adverse ethe short construct of emission of evelopm proximity receptors assumed would be and in cloo existing of the longer housing of affect heat example, increased Whilst the delivery of the emission of emission	nent and its to sensitive , it can be that new housing delivered within se proximity to esidential areas. In r term, new ould also adversely alth due to, for emissions from		The range and type of effects on health associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1. This option would deliver higher housing growth and in consequence there is the potential for the severity of effects to be increased (e.g. as a result of increased traffic or pressure on open space) relative to Option 1, although not to a level considered to be significant.  As with Option 1, new housing development may provide opportunities to incorporate health facilities, open space and measures that facilitate walking and cycling.		The range and the effects on health associated with option are likely similar to those in respect of Op This option wou substantially highousing growth consequence the potential for the of effects to be relative to Option 2) (e.g. a of increased trait pressure on operalthough not to considered to be significant.  As with Option 2 housing developmay provide opportunities to incorporate heaf acilities, open significant opens	this to be identified tion 1. Id deliver ther and in ere is the e severity increased on 1 (and is a result effic or en space) a level e  1, new oment  1 th epace and acilitate		This option would deliver significant housing growth and in consequence there is the potential for the severity of adverse effects to be substantially increased relative to Options 1, 2 and 3. Further, in view of the anticipated pressure for housing land there is expected to be an increased risk that new development would be located in inappropriate areas (e.g. within AQMAs) and in locations that are relatively inaccessible to both healthcare facilities as well as other services which could discourage walking and cycling.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a significant negative effect on this objective.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY		impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain	or		,	egative impact		_	re impact depending on
	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is ir	nplemer	nted
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce.	<ul><li>opport</li><li>Suppo education</li><li>contin</li><li>Provid</li></ul>	e good education and train tunities for all; rt existing higher and furthe tional establishments for ued success; e good quality employment tunities available to all.	er	+	the loss of Overall, the assessed an egative of objective it should be housing of provide of incorporation open space that facility cycling. This option help delive accommon a positive objective developmencourage education is a risk the Clifton the increase period of the pexisting efacilities, primary so overall, the assessed and objectives.	e additional hal provision, there hat in areas such as is could further bressure on ducational particularly chools. his option has been as having a ffect on this	+	The range and type of effects on education associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1.	+	The range and ty effects on educat associated with t option are likely similar to those i in respect of Opt	tion his to be dentified ion 1.	+	The range and type of effects on education associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1.
4	and deliver	succes Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhan opport Provid	leliver conditions for busine is and investment; r a flexible and relevant wo e future; r and promote stable economic, ce the city centre and its tunities for business and leise the appropriate infrastructionomic growth;	orkforce omic sure;	+	generate associated construct example, creation, benefits a spend in t by contra	ion including, for direct job supply chain ind increased the local economy	+	Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1 although their magnitude is likely to be increased; commensurate with the scale of growth under this option (although not	+	Effects associated this option are like similar to those identified in resp Option 1 althoug magnitude is like increased; commensurate we scale of growth uthis option (although the social to the social to the social to the social the social to the social the social to	kely to se ect of h their ly to be with the under	++	Taking into account the substantial level of housing development under this option, positive effects identified in relation to Options 1, 2 and 3 have been assessed as being significant under this option.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impa	act	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or	negativ	ve impact depending on
_	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	nted
				•				•	•				-	
	•		rt existing employment driv ote a low carbon economy.	rers;		housing a population turn help viability of City of Yo of the City other cen additional sectors su leisure an employm Overall, the	ger term, new and associated on growth will inenhance the of businesses in the rik and the vitality of the control of the cont		to a level considered to be significant).  Additionally, this option will provide the scale of housing growth to support the employment growth forecast in the York Economic and Retailing Growth and Analysis and Visioning Work. This would provide the choice for those who may take up new jobs to reside in York rather than commute into the district and may help encourage business retention and inward investment.		to a level considered be significant).	ered to		
5	Help deliver equality and access to all.  •	deprivicity; Provid for the Provid demanded Help re	ess existing imbalances of eq ation and exclusion across t e accessible services and far e local population; e affordable housing to mend; educe homelessness; the the safety and security for e and/or property.	cilities	+	housing in household is likely to across the However, the option address a identified Yorkshire affordable annum.  New housis likely to viability of the control of the con	on will deliver new n-line with CLG d projections. This o help meet needs e City of York. It as noted above n would not fully ffordable need lin the North SHMA for 790 e dwellings per sing development o increase the of existing services ties and stimulate int in new	+	Overall, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.  Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1 although their magnitude is likely to be increased, commensurate with the scale of growth under this option. As noted above, this option would generate a moderate boost to affordable housing supply across the plan period although like Option 1 it would not meet the 790 target for affordable dwellings per	+	Effects associated this option are I be similar to the identified in rest Options 1 and 2 their magnitude to be increased, commensurate scale of growth this option. In the respect, this option would provide a significant boos meet the newly affordable hous element of the starget. However option would not associated in the starget.	ikely to ose pect of although is likely with the under his cion t to help arising ing need SHMA r, this	**	As noted above, Option 3 would meet the affordable housing target of 790 affordable dwellings including both the backlog and the newly arising affordable housing need as set out in the North Yorkshire SHMA.  The scale of growth under this option has the potential to significantly boost the viability of existing services and facilities and stimulate new investment

_	++	+	0		3		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or	negativ	ve impact depending on
-	likely		no clea	r link	insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	nted
	1	•	1		1		- 1					
				assessed	this option has been as having a effect on this		annum set in the North Yorkshire SHMA. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect only on this objective.		the affordable hacklog over the period. In consthis option has assessed as have positive effect of this objective.	e Plan equence, been ing a		including within the main urban area, City Centre and at smaller settlements. However, this option could also result in increased pressure on existing services and facilities such as primary schools if adequate provision to meet newly arising need is not made. Further, this option is likely to result in a high quantum of development being located outside the existing urban area which could serve to reduce the accessibility of prospective residents to services and facilities.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on this objective.
6	need to acce travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport acceptance and and car; supplied transport acceptance and acceptance and acceptance and acceptance	ver development where it is essible by public transport, wa cycling to minimise the use of ver transport infrastructure we corts sustainable travel option mote sustainable forms of transver congestion.	f the hich	be largel location developr access to facilities transpor short ter construct developr there is lincrease moveme congestic would al	n this objective will y dependent on the of new ment in relation to e services and and public t. However, in the m (during tion) and once ment is complete, ikely to be an in transport nts and associated on, although this so be expected iseline conditions	+ -	As under Option 1, this option will generate increased transport movements and congestion. Further, it is likely that this option would require increased development outside the urban area which could increase the need to travel. However, as noted above Option 2 would provide the scale of housing growth to support the employment growth forecast in the		As under Optio 2, this option w generate increatransport move and congestion Increased grow also drive a high quantum of device unsustainable (e.g. beyond an connected to the urban area) whincrease the netravel.	rill ased ements . th may her velopment ocations d not ne existing ich		The types of effects associated with this option are expected to be similar to those under Options 1, 2 and 3. Under this option, housing growth would be substantial which could serve to significantly exacerbate congestion. This option is also likely to result in a high quantum of development being located outside and not connected to the

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact			ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
							s respect it is		York Economic and		Whilst this opti			existing urban area
							t congestion delay		Retailing Growth and		provide housin	_		which could serve to
						•	ed to double by		Analysis and Visioning		support econor			increase car use.
						2026.			Work, which may help to		growth, in not	-		
									reduce in-commuting		aligned with th			Whilst this option could
						-	nis option has been		and stem the rise in		forecasts it cou			provide housing to
							as having a		commuting trips to the		in increased ou	it-		support economic
						U	effect on this		City.		commuting.			growth, in not being
						objective.			Overall this oution has		Overall this on	tion has		aligned with the forecasts it could result
									Overall, this option has been assessed as having		Overall, this op been assessed			in increased out-
									a mixed positive and		a negative effe	_		commuting.
									negative effect on this		objective.	Ct OII tills		communing.
									objective.		objective.			
														Overall, this option has
														been assessed as having
														a significant negative
														effect option this
														objective.
7	То	<ul> <li>Reduce</li> </ul>	e or mitigate greenhouse ga	as	-	Housing g	rowth will result in	-	Effects associated with	-	Effects associat	ed with		The types of effects
	minimise	emissi	ons from all sources;			increased	emissions of		this option are likely to		this option are	•		associated with this
	greenhouse	<ul> <li>Plan o</li> </ul>	r implement adaptation me	asures		-	se gases both		be similar to those		be similar to th			option are expected to
	gases that		likely effects of climate cha	•		_	nstruction (e.g.		identified in respect of		identified in res	•		be similar to those under
	cause		e and develop energy from				nissions from HGV		Option 1 although their		Options 1 and 2	_		Options 1, 2 and 3.
	climate		able, low and zero carbon				nts and plant) and		magnitude could be		their magnitud	•		However, reflecting the
	change and		ologies;				elopment is		increased,		to be increased	•		scale of development
	deliver a managed		te sustainable design and b			•	(e.g. due to traffic generation		commensurate with the higher scale of growth		commensurate higher scale of			under this option, the likelihood that new
	response to		als that manage the future				tranic generation ty use in new		under this option.		under this option	~		development may be
	its effects.		insequences of climate char	•		-	ents). Whilst the		ander this option.		unuer uns opui	J11.		located in unsustainable
	.to circus.	,	e to the principles of the en	ergy		•	gnitude of effects		As noted above, this		Whilst this opti	on could		locations which increase
		hierard	Jily.				pendent on the		option would provide the		provide housing			the need to travel, and
							d location of		scale of housing growth		support econor	_		the potential for
						•	ent at the		to support the		growth, in not l			increased out-
						individual	site level which is		employment growth		aligned with the	_		commuting, it is
						currently	uncertain, on		forecast in the York		forecasts it cou	ld result		considered that negative
						balance tl	nis option has		<b>Economic and Retailing</b>		in increased ou	t-		effects on this objective
						been asse	essed as having a		<b>Growth and Analysis and</b>		commuting and	d		would be significant.
						_	effect on this		Visioning Work which		associated emis	ssions.		
						•	However, in view		may help to reduce in-					
							le of growth under		commuting, stemming		Overall, this op			
						•	n, adverse effects		the rise in commuting		been assessed			
						are not ex	rpected to be		trips to the City and		a negative effe	ct on this		

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive o	r negati	ve impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
														_
						significant	. Further, housing		associated emissions.		objective.			
						growth m	ay present							
						opportuni	ties to increase		On balance, this option					
						investmer	nt in transport		has been assessed as					
						infrastruc			having a negative effect					
						renewable	٠,		on this objective.					
							lly, there may be							
							ties to encourage							
							e modes of							
						•	alongside new							
						•	ent (although this							
							y uncertain).				-cc ·	1 11		
8	Conserve		ect and enhance internationa		-		rowth could have	-	The range and type of	-	Effects associate			This option would
	or enhance		nally significant priority spec				e effect on		effects on health		this option are l	•		deliver significant
	green		ats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS	ARs			ty for example, as		associated with this		be similar to the			housing growth which is
	infrastructu		SSSIs ;				land take/habitat		option are likely to be		identified in res	•		likely to require
	re, bio- diversity,		ect and enhance locally impo				isturbance during on and increased		similar to those identified in respect of		Options 1 and 2 their magnitude	_		substantial Greenfield land and may increase
	geo-		e conservation sites (SINCs);				nal pressure once		Option 1. This option		to be increased	-		pressure on the
	diversity,		e new areas or site of bio-di	versity			ent is complete.		would deliver higher		commensurate			development of
	flora and		-diversity value;			-	s is largely		housing growth and in		higher scale of			Brownfield sites with
	fauna for	•	ove connectivity of green				t on the location		consequence there is the		under this option	•		high biodiversity value as
	accessible		structure and the natural onment;			•	oment which at		potential for the severity		under tills optic	/II.		well as sites in close
	high quality		•	t-a			is uncertain, it is		of effects to be increased					proximity to designated
	and		de opportunities for people streets the natural environment.	ιο		•	that there is likely		(e.g. as a result of					conservation assets and
	connected	acces	s the natural environment.			to be a ne	•		pressure on Greenfield					within green corridors.
	natural					accommo	date some		land and Brownfield sites					In consequence, this
	environme					developm	ent at Greenfield		with high biodiversity					option has been
	nt.					sites whic	h has been		value for housing)					assessed as having a
						assessed a	as having a		although not to a level					potentially significant
						negative e	effect on this		considered to be					negative effect on this
						objective.	However, in view		significant.					objective.
						of the sca	le of growth under							
						this option	n, adverse effects							
							pected to be							
						•	. Further, it							
							noted that new							
						_	evelopment may							
							oportunities to							
						incorpora	•							
							ture provision							
							ld help to offset							
						adverse e	rtects.							

	++		+	0		5		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link	insufficient inform	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	nted
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality.	<ul> <li>Prevented land a contain safeguard residues</li> <li>Protes</li> <li>Safeguard residues</li> <li>Safeguard residues</li> </ul>	se previously developed land ent pollution contaminating t and remediate any existing amination; guard soil quality, including th most versatile agricultural lan ect or enhance allotments; guard mineral resources and urage their efficient use.	the ne best	objective depends of devel this stag expected to be a raccomm develop sites whassessed negative objective of the so	ffects on this a are largely ant on the location opment which at e is uncertain, it is d that there is likely need to odate some ment at Greenfield ich has been I as having a effect on this e. However, in view ale of growth under on, adverse effects	-	The range and type of effects on health associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1. This option would deliver higher housing growth and in consequence there is the potential for the severity of effects to be increased (as a result of pressure on Greenfield sites) although not to a level	-	Effects associat this option are be similar to the identified in res Options 1 and 2 their magnitude to be increased commensurate higher scale of a under this option	likely to ose spect of although e is likely , with the growth	-	This option would deliver significant housing growth which is likely to require substantial Greenfield land and may increase pressure on high quality agricultural land beyond the urban area. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a potentially significant negative effect on this objective.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality.	● Improgroun	erve water resources and quove the quality of rivers and ndwater.		are not of signification and s	growth will result in d water otion during both tion and in the erm once ment is complete. been assessed as negative effect on ctive.	-	considered to be significant.  Like Option 1, this option would result in increased water consumption to support housing growth. Water consumption under this option would be expected to be greater than under Option 1, commensurate with the enhanced scale of growth although adverse effects on this objective would not be expected to be significant.	-	Effects associations option are be similar to the identified in resoptions 1 and 2 their magnitude to be increased commensurate higher scale of gunder this option	likely to ose pect of although e is likely , with the growth on.		Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Options 1 and 2 although their magnitude is likely to be increased, commensurate with the scale of growth under this option.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling.	recyc	ote reduction, re-use, recove ling of waste; lote and increase resource ency.	ery and	result in particula construct dwelling  Construct occupat would a	growth would resource use, orly during the tion of new s. ction and the on of new dwellings so generate waste. this option has been	-	Like Option 1, this option would increase resource use and generate waste. Resource use and waste generation would be increased under this option, commensurate with the higher scale of growth although adverse effects on this objective	-	Effects associations option are libe similar to the identified in resulting options 1 and 2 their magnitude to be increased commensurate higher scale of gunder this option	likely to ose spect of although e is likely , with the growth	<del></del>	Resource use and waste generation under this option would be substantial, reflecting the scale of housing growth. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a significant negative effect on this objective.

KEY	Very positiv likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No significa no clear link	-	Uncertain insufficient inform	or ation	Negative impact likely	Very n likely	egative impact	Positive o	_	ve impact depending on nted
					negative objective			would not be expected to be significant.					
12	Improve air quality.	activi Minir from reduc low e Supp low e Impre preve Avoic could Avoic existi result of fut	mise and mitigate emissions to new development (including cing transport emissions through the development of city was emission infrastructure; over air quality in AQMAs and ent new designations; docating development where it docating development in are ing poor air quality where it could be in the development of the house occupants/users; note sustainable and integrate port network to minimise the	o air  ligh ls);  ride  it lity; as of ould ealth d	increased both duri (e.g. due HGV mov and once complete increased generatic exact maj will be de location of the individual been assengative objective of the scathis option	on). Whilst the gnitude of effects pendent on the of development at dual site level currently uncertain, the this option has essed as having a effect on this. However, in view le of growth under n, adverse effects effects to be		Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1 although their magnitude could be increased, commensurate with the higher scale of growth under this option.  As noted above, this option would provide the scale of housing growth to support the employment growth forecast in the York Economic and Retailing Growth and Analysis and Visioning Work which may help to reduce incommuting and minimise associated emissions.  On balance, this option has been assessed as having a negative effect on this objective.		Effects associate this option are I be similar to the identified in res Options 1 and 2 their magnitude to be increased, commensurate higher scale of gunder this option Increased grown also drive a high quantum of devitoward more unsustainable lewhich increase to travel (e.g. be not connected the existing urban at to areas with exquality issues.  Whilst this option provide housing support economic growth, in not be aligned with the forecasts in cour in increased out commuting and associated emissions.	ikely to ose pect of although a is likely , with the growth on. the may ner velopment ocations the need eyond and to the area) and disting air on could g to nic oeing a lid result to sicons.		The types of effects associated with this option are expected to be similar to those under Options 1, 2 and 3. However, negative effects have been assessed as significant. This reflects the scale of development under this option and the likely emissions to air during both construction and once development is complete. Further, there is considered to be an increased likelihood that new development may be located in unsustainable locations which increase the need to travel and that the potential for outcommuting would be increased.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact	<ul> <li>Ensur does</li> </ul>	ce risk of flooding; re development location and not negatively impact on floc er or incorporate through des	d risk;	surround significan	ork and its ing area are at t risk of flooding. until the location	0	Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of	0	Effects associate this option are I be similar to the identified in res	ikely to ose	0	Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of

,	++		+	0		,		-			1		
KEY		e impact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant effect /	Uncertain	or		,	egative impact		_	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link	insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
	of flooding to people and property in York.	susta (SuD:	inable urban drainage syster s).	ns	known ei considere Further, expected developr incorpora flood risk measure FRA. Ove has there as having this object			Option 1.		Option 1.			Option 1.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting.	chara Prom Prese non-c settir Prese which	erve or enhance the special acter and setting of the historiote or enhance local culture, erve or enhance designated a designated heritage assets areg; erve or enhance those elemen contribute to the Six Principacteristics of the City as identification.	; ind nd their nts ole	O Housing an advertheritage the direct during continuous during be and once complete large extraction with the design development option with the design of the continuous development of the continuous designs assessed should all housing and opportunithe setting and advertised assessed should all housing and all the continuous designs and all the continuous during and advertised assessed should all housing and and all the continuous during and and all the continuous during and advertised assessed should all housing and advertised assessed and all the continuous during and advertised an	growth could have se effect on cultural assets as a result of t loss of assets enstruction or due ts on their settings of the construction of development is e. Whilst this is to a ent dependent on an and location of ment which at this incertain, as this ould promote of low levels of ffects on this have been as neutral. It so be noted that growth may present inities to enhance ags of heritage well as access to		The type of effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1 although adverse impacts are considered to be more likely, commensurate with the higher scale of growth under this option. In particular there may be increased pressure on both sites within the urban area and on Greenfield land such that the risk of adverse effects on assets and on the character and setting of York is elevated although this will be to a large extent dependent on the location and design of new development.		Effects associat this option are I be similar to the identified in res Option 2 althou magnitude is lik increased, commensurate higher scale of gunder this option	ikely to ose pect of gh their ely to be with the growth		This option would deliver significant housing growth which is likely to require substantial development within both the urban area and on Greenfield land within Green Belt, placing considerable pressure on York's historic environment. This has been assessed as having a significant negative effect on this objective.
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built	<ul><li>include</li><li>Prote</li><li>impo</li></ul>	erve or enhance the landscap ding areas of landscape value ect or enhance geologically rtant sites; ote high quality design in co	2;	an adver- landscap this is to	growth could have se effect on e character. Whilst a large extent nt on the design	-	Effects associated with this option are likely to be similar to those identified in respect of Option 1 although their	-	Effects associated this option are beginned to the identified in result of the control of the co	ikely to ose pect of	1	This option would deliver significant housing growth which is likely to require substantial development

<u>~</u>	Very positive ikely	e impact	Positive impact likely		icant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or	negativ	ve impact depending on
	ikely												
				no clear l	nk	insufficient inform	ation		likely		how it is in	npleme	nted
	•		•			-I						'	
General	ndscape.	line w	ts urban and rural landscap ith the "landscape and Set" in the Heritage Topic Paper.	ee and in ting"	and locat developm stage is u expected to be a maccommod developm sites and from the this optic affect the setting of view of the under this effects are be significated the view of the signification and the view of the view of the signification and the view of the view	ion of ment which at this incertain, it is that there is likely eed to odate some ment at Greenfield to remove land Green Belt under on which could a character and f City. However, in me scale of growth is option, adverse is not expected to cant. Development affect townscape risual amenity of all and recreational is both in the short ing construction development is expected to cant. Section of the short ing construction development is expected to cant. It is not in the short ing construction development is expected to cant. It is not in the short ing construction development is expected to improve the which could ing term positive this objective.  It is option has essed as having a effect on this oper annum (Option 3) oper annum (Option 3)	s have ; 2060 d	magnitude could be increased, commensurate with the higher scale of growth under this option. In particular there may be increased pressure on Greenfield sites and on the removal of land from the Green Belt such that the risk of adverse effects on the character and setting of York is elevated, although this will be to a large extent dependent on the location and design of new development.	n 4). on. The	option would deli	e is likely, with the under  tion 1); 1090	) dwellii	within both the urban area and on Greenfield land including sites within the Green Belt and at smaller villages. This is likely to place considerable pressure on landscape character and visual amenity. It may also present a risk of significant adverse effects on views from/toward Minster tower. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a significant negative effect on this objective.

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

York. In consequence, the option has been assessed as having a positive effect only on housing (Objective 1). Further positive effects were identified in relation to education (Objective 3), employment (Objective 4) and equality and accessibility (Objective 5).

This option has not been assessed as having a significant negative effect on any of the SA Objectives, reflecting the relatively low level of housing growth. Potential minor negative effects have been identified in respect of objectives relating to health (Objective 2), transport (Objective 6), climate change (Objective 7), water (Objective 10), waste and resource use (Objective 11) and air quality (Objective 12). This reflects the use of resources required to support housing growth and the generation of waste both during construction and once dwellings are occupied as well as the potential for increased traffic and congestion. Further negative effects were identified in respect of biodiversity (Objective 8), land use (Objective 9) and landscape (Objective 15) due to the potential pressure placed on the City's environmental assets by housing growth.

#### Option 2

This option would deliver a total of 1090 dwellings per annum. This represents a substantial increase in delivery relative to Option 1 of approximately 5,100 dwellings over a 15 year period. The evidence base indicates that this option would generate a moderate boost to affordable housing supply over the plan period although like Option 1, it would not meet the 790 target for affordable dwellings per annum set in the North Yorkshire SHMA. Overall, in view of the scale of housing growth under this option (which exceeds CLG household projections), and the boost to affordable housing supply, this option has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on housing (Objective 1). Further positive effects were identified in relation to education (Objective 3), employment (Objective 4) and equality and accessibility (Objective 5).

Potential negative effects have been identified in respect of objectives relating to health (Objective 2), climate change (Objective 7), water (Objective 10), waste and resource use (Objective 11) and air quality (Objective 12). This reflects the use of resources required to support housing growth and generation of waste both during construction and once dwellings are occupied as well as the potential for increased traffic and congestion. Further negative effects were identified in respect of biodiversity (Objective 8), land use (Objective 9) and landscape (Objective 15) due to the potential pressure placed on the City's environmental assets by housing growth. Whilst the magnitude of effects on these objectives would be likely to be increased relative to Option 1, commensurate with the higher scale of growth under this option, effects would not be expected to be significant. Additionally, this option is considered more likely (relative to Option 1), to generate adverse effects on cultural heritage due to increased pressure on both sites within the urban area and on Greenfield land, although this will be to a large extent dependent on the location and design of new development.

This option has been assessed as having a mixed positive and negative effect on transport (Objective 6). Whilst housing growth will generate increased transport movements and congestion, this option would provide the scale of housing growth to support the employment growth forecast in the York Economic and Retailing Growth and Analysis and Visioning Work, which may help to reduce in-commuting and stem the rise in commuting trips to the City.

This option would deliver a total of 1500 dwellings per annum. Unlike Options 1 and 2, this option would meet the newly arising affordable housing need over the plan period although the option would not meet the affordable housing backlog. Overall, Option 3 has been assessed as having a significant positive effect on housing (Objective 1). Further positive effects were identified in relation to education (Objective 3), employment (Objective 4) and equality and accessibility (Objective 5).

Potential negative effects have been identified in respect of objectives relating to health (Objective 2), transport (Objective 6), climate change (Objective 7), water (Objective 10), waste and resource use (Objective 11) and air quality (Objective 12). This reflects the use of resources required to support housing growth and generation of waste both during construction and once dwellings are occupied as well as the potential for increased traffic and congestion. Further negative effects were identified in respect of biodiversity (Objective 8), land use (Objective 9),

	++	+	0	?	-		1
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	cultural heritage (Objective 14) and landscape (Objective 15) due to the potential pressure placed on the City's environmental assets by housing growth. Whilst the magnitude of effects on these objectives would be likely to be increased relative to Options 1 and 2, commensurate with the higher scale of growth under this option, effects would not be expected to be significant.
	Option 4  This option would deliver 2060 dwellings per annum. This significantly exceeds CLG household projections, increasing delivery by an additional 19,650 dwellings over a 15 year period. This level of growth is also substantially greater than that under Options 2 and 3 and under this option the affordable housing target of 790 affordable dwellings per annum over the plan period would be met including both the backlog and the newly arising affordable housing need as set out in the North Yorkshire SHMA. Reflecting the scale of housing growth under this option, significant positive effects were also identified in relation to employment (Objective 4) and equality and access (Objective 5). Further positive effects were identified in relation to education (Objective 3).
	This option has been assessed as having a significant negative effect on the majority of the environmental SA Objectives as well as on health (Objective 3) and transport (Objective 6). This reflects the anticipated substantial use of resources required to support housing growth under this option, the potential for increased traffic and congestion and the significant pressure that is likely to be placed on the City's built and natural environmental assets by housing growth.
Preferred Approach	<ul> <li>Environmental Impact: Housing growth will result in an increase in the use of resources including energy (and associated emissions to air) and generation of waste during both construction and operation. New housing development may also place pressure on the City's built and natural environmental assets, although (subject to site location), adverse effect would not be expected to be significant.</li> </ul>
	• Economic Impact: Housing growth will help stimulate the local economy both during construction and once development is complete. Further, the preferred option would provide the scale of housing growth to support the employment growth forecast in the York Economic and Retailing Growth and Analysis and Visioning Work, which may help to reduce in-commuting and stem the rise in commuting trips to the City.
	<ul> <li>Social Impact: The preferred option would deliver a total of 1090 dwellings per annum, helping to meet the needs of existing and prospective residents. The evidence base indicates that this option would generate a moderate boost to affordable housing supply over the plan period although it would not meet the 790 target for affordable dwellings per annum set in the North Yorkshire SHMA. Whilst new housing development is likely to stimulate investment in community facilities and services, growth could have adverse minor effects on human health in the short term during construction and once development is complete.</li> </ul>
Recommendations	New housing development should be directed to locations that:
	Reduce the need to travel and/or encourage the use of sustainable modes of transport.
	Avoid adverse impacts on the City's built and natural environmental assets.
	<ul> <li>Avoid locations that could exacerbate existing health issues (e.g. AQMAs).</li> </ul>
	Make best use of previously developed land.
	Incorporate service provision where possible.

Housing Supply Buffer					
SA Objective	Option 1: Housing target to	Option 2: Housing target to	Option 3: Housing target to	Option 4: Housing target to	

		++	+	0	?	-		I
l É	\E1	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
		likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

incorporate 5% huffer incorporate 10% huffer incorporate 15% huffer incorporate 20%										
			incor	porate 5% buffer	incor	porate 10% buffer	incor	porate 15% buffer	incorp	oorate 20% buffer
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Show people.</li> </ul>	0	This option would make provision for a housing land supply buffer of 5% (approximately 55 dwellings per annum based on a planned annual supply of 1090 dwellings). It is assumed that this would not result in the development of additional housing but instead would identify additional land to help ensure choice and competition in the market for land, in accordance with the NPPF.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	This option would make provision for a housing land supply buffer of 10% (109 dwellings per annum based on a planned annual supply of 1090 dwellings). As under Option 1, it is assumed that this would not result in the development of additional housing but instead would identify additional land to help ensure choice and competition in the market for land, in accordance with the NPPF.  Overall, this option has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	+	This option would make provision for a housing land supply buffer of 15% (approximately 164 dwellings per annum based on a planned annual supply of 1090 dwellings). A larger buffer of 15% (relative to Options 1 and 2) may help to ensure that planned supply is achieved. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.	+	This option would make provision for a housing land supply buffer of 20% (approximately 218 dwellings per annum based on a planned annual supply of 1090 dwellings). A larger buffer of 20% may help to ensure that planned supply is achieved and would be in accordance with guidance contained within the NPPF. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population.	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to open space / multifunctional open space;     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling);     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on open space, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply housing land could in theory place increased pressure on open space, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply housing land could in theory place increased pressure on open space, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply housing land could in theory place increased pressure on open space, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.
3	Improve education, skills developme	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for</li> </ul>	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.

	++		+	0			3		-		I					
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig		effect /	Uncertain insufficient information	or	, ,	Very no	egative impact	Positive of how it is in		re impact depending on		
	likely			110 Clea	I IIIIK		insumcient imorni	ation	L	likely		110 W It 15 11	прієппеі	iteu		
	nt and training for an effective workforce.	• Provi	nued success; de good quality employment rtunities available to all.	t												
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy.	succe Delivifor the Delivifor the Enhance Provifor ec Supple Prom	nce the city centre and its rtunities for business and leid de the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; ort existing employment driviote a low carbon economy.	orkforce omic sure; cture vers;	0	to have a on this ob	,	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is no expected to have significant effect objective.	e a t on this	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.		
5	Help deliver equality and access to all.	depri city; • Provi for th • Provi dema • Help • Prom	ess existing imbalances of eq vation and exclusion across to de accessible services and fa- ale local population; de affordable housing to me- and; reduce homelessness; note the safety and security for le and/or property.	the cilities	0	•	in is not expected significant effect jective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	+	As noted above buffer of 15% (r Options 1 and 2 help to ensure t planned supply therefore, affor housing provisic achieved. In consequence, thas been assess having a positiv on this objective.	elative to ) may that (and, dable on) is nis option ed as e effect	+	As noted above, a larger buffer of 20% (relative to Options 1 and 2) may help to ensure that planned supply (and, therefore, affordable housing provision) is achieved. In consequence, this option has been assessed as having a positive effect on this objective.		
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network.	acces and c car; Delive suppo	er development where it is sible by public transport, was cycling to minimise the use of er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel option to esustainable forms of travove congestion.	f the hich ns;	0	an addition housing later result in second forward in locations Further, a would not additional developments assessed in the second forward fo		0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory result in sites coming forward in less accessible locations this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need identify an addi supply of housin could in theory sites coming for less accessible I this is uncertain Further, as this would not resul additional hous development, it been assessed a neutral effect objective.	tional ng land result in ward in ocations . option t in ing : has s having	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory result in sites coming forward in less accessible locations this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.		

	++		+	0			?		-			- 1		
71	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive o	r negativ	ve impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it is i	mpleme	nted
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects.	emi Plar for t Prov rene tech Prov mat and	uce or mitigate greenhouse gassions from all sources; in or implement adaptation methe likely effects of climate chavide and develop energy from ewable, low and zero carbon anologies; mote sustainable design and betails that manage the future consequences of climate charters to the principles of the energy.	asures ange; uilding risks age;	0	need to ic additional land could sites comi accessible (thereby i emissions with trans uncertain option wo additional developmassessed a	I supply of housing d in theory result in ing forward in less e locations increasing to air associated sport) this is. Further, as this buld not result in	0	As noted above, whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory result in sites coming forward in less accessible locations (thereby increasing emissions to air associated with transport) this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a poutral offect on	0	As noted above the need to ide additional supphousing land continuous forward accessible local (thereby increaemissions to ail associated with transport) this uncertain. Furthis option woresult in addition house been associated by the second accessible local accessible local accessible local (the local accessible local accessib	ntify an oly of suld in sites of in less cions sing cher, as ald not onal pment, it	0	As noted above, whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory result in sites coming forward in less accessible locations (thereby increasing emissions to air associated with transport) this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been associated as
									having a neutral effect on this objective.		has been assess having a neutra on this objective	l effect		has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, biodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt.	nati hab and Proi nati Crea / ge Imp infra envi Prov acce	tect and enhance international onally significant priority speci itats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS, SSSIs; tect and enhance locally imporure conservation sites (SINCs); ate new areas or site of bio-dividual ordiversity value; rove connectivity of green astructure and the natural ironment; vide opportunities for people tess the natural environment.	es and ARs tant rersity	0	an addition housing later place increte biodiversing later biodiversing uncertain option would additional developments assessed a effect on a service of the control of the	d land with high ty value), this is . Further, as this ould not result in I housing tent, it has been as having a neutral this objective.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on biodiversity assets (e.g. Greenfield sites or Brownfield land with high biodiversity value), this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need identify an add supply of housing could in theory increased press biodiversity assembled in the summer of the su	d to itional ng land place ure on sets (e.g. s or d with cy value), n. option lt in sing t has as having on this	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on biodiversity assets (e.g. Greenfield sites or Brownfield land with high biodiversity value), this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality.	<ul> <li>Previous</li> <li>Safe</li> <li>and</li> <li>Prot</li> </ul>	use previously developed land; vent pollution contaminating to d and remediate any existing tamination; eguard soil quality, including the most versatile agricultural lan tect or enhance allotments; eguard mineral resources and	he ne best	0	an addition housing land place incr Greenfield uncertain	e need to identify anal supply of and could in theory eased pressure on d land this is . Further, as this buld not result in I housing	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on Greenfield land this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not	0	Whilst the need identify an add supply of housi could in theory increased press Greenfield land uncertain. Furthis option wood	itional ng land place ure on this is her, as	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on Greenfield land this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not

KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig		effect /	Uncertain insufficient informa	or	Negative impact likely	Very n likelv	egative impact	Positive o	_	ve impact depending on
10	Improve water efficiency	• Conse	urage their efficient use.  erve water resources and quadrove the quality of rivers and and and and and are the quality of rivers and and and are the quality of rivers and are the quality of rivers and and are the quality of rivers and are the quality of rivers and qual	ality;	0	assessed a effect on t	ent, it has been as having a neutral this objective.  In is not expected significant effect	0	result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.  This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this	0	result in addition housing develonable has been assess having a neutra on this objective. This option is nexpected to have significant effections.	pment, it sed as all effect e. ot ve a	0	result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.  This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this
11	and quality.  Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling.	recyc	ote reduction, re-use, recover ling of waste; ote and increase resource ency.	ery and	0		n is not expected significant effect jective.	0	objective.  This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	objective.  This option is nexpected to have significant effective.	ot ve a	0	objective.  This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.
12	Improve air quality.	activi Minir from reduc low e Supp low e Impro preve Avoic could Avoic existi result of fut Prom trans	mise and mitigate emissions in new development (including cing transport emissions through the development of city was an entry of the development of city was an entry of the development of city of the development of city of the development of city of the development when a locating development when a locating development in a read poor air quality where it of the development in a read poor air quality where it of the locating development in a read poor air quality where it of the locating development in a read poor air quality where it of the locating development in a read poor air quality where it of the locating development in a read poor air quality where it of the locating development in the locating development on the location of the	e it ality; eas of could nealth	0	an additio housing la result in si forward ir locations ( increasing associated this is und this option in addition developm assessed a effect on t	emissions to air I with transport) ertain. Further, as n would not result nal housing ent, it has been as having a neutral this objective.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory result in sites coming forward in less accessible locations (thereby increasing emissions to air associated with transport) this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need identify an addi supply of housi could in theory sites coming for less accessible I (thereby increa emissions to air associated with transport) this i uncertain. Furt this option wou result in addition housing develophas been assess having a neutra on this objective	itional ng land result in rward in locations sing ther, as ald not lonal pment, it loed as al effect e.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory result in sites coming forward in less accessible locations (thereby increasing emissions to air associated with transport) this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people	<ul><li>Ensur does</li><li>Delive</li></ul>	ce risk of flooding; re development location and not negatively impact on floo er or incorporate through de inable urban drainage systen s).	od risk; sign	0		n is not expected significant effect jective.	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.	0	This option is no expected to have significant effect objective.	ve a	0	This option is not expected to have a significant effect on this objective.

	++		+	0			?		-					
KEY	Very positive likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig		t effect /	Uncertain insufficient information	or ation	Negative impact likely	Very r likely	negative impact	Positive o how it is i	_	ve impact depending on nted
	and property in York.													
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting.	chara Prom Prese non-c settin Prese which Chara the H	erve or enhance those eleme n contribute to the Six Princi acteristics of the City as iden eritage Topic Paper.	g and nd their ents ple tified in	0	an addition housing laptace incomplete york? and the consecting the result of development of the sites with this is unto the sites with the s	tion of additional in the Green Belt), certain. Further, as n would not result nal housing nent, it has been as having a neutral this objective.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on the York's historic assets and the character and setting the city (e.g. as a result of more intensive development within the urban area or the identification of additional sites within the Green Belt), this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.	0	Whilst the need identify an add supply of housi could in theory increased press the York's histor and the charact setting the city result of more idevelopment wourban area or tidentification of additional sites the Green Belt) uncertain. Furthis option wouresult in additional sites the step of the option wouresult in additional sites the step of the option wouresult in additional sites the step of the option wouresult in additional sites the step of the option wouresult in additional sites the option wouresult in additional sites of the option would be optionally sites of the optional sites of the optio	itional ng land place ure on vic assets ter and (e.g. as a ntensive vithin the he f within , this is her, as ald not onal pment, it sed as al effect e.	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on the York's historic assets and the character and setting the city (e.g. as a result of more intensive development within the urban area or the identification of additional sites within the Green Belt), this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on this objective.
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built landscape.	<ul><li>Include</li><li>Prote</li><li>import</li><li>Prom</li><li>with it</li></ul>	erve or enhance the landscap ding areas of landscape value ict or enhance geologically rtant sites; ote high quality design in co its urban and rural landscape vith the "landscape and Setti n the Heritage Topic Paper.	e intext e and in	0	an additic housing li- place incr the York's character more inte developn urban are identifica sites with this is und this option in addition developn assessed	nent within the	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on the York's landscape character (e.g. as a result of more intensive development within the urban area or the identification of additional sites within the Green Belt), this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect on	0	Whilst the need identify an add supply of housi could in theory increased press the York's land character (e.g. of more intensi development wurban area or tidentification o additional sites the Green Belt) uncertain. Furt this option wouresult in additionals development would be supplied that the supplied that in additionals development would be supplied the supplied that the supplied th	itional ng land place ure on scape as a result ve vithin the he f within , this is cher, as ald not onal pment, it sed as	0	Whilst the need to identify an additional supply of housing land could in theory place increased pressure on the York's landscape character (e.g. as a result of more intensive development within the urban area or the identification of additional sites within the Green Belt), this is uncertain. Further, as this option would not result in additional housing development, it has been assessed as having a neutral effect

KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect no clear link	/ Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	intery	1	no cicar mik	modificate morniación		intery	now it is implemented
					this objective.	on this objectiv	
Genera			incorporate 2  Option 1  This option was supply of 105 land to help of Objectives have option 1  Like Option 2  Like Option 1  housing land assumed that competition neutral.  Option 3  This option was supply of 105 consequence	could make provision for a house of dwellings). It is assumed that ensure choice and competition the been assessed as neutral.  This option has been assessed supply buffer of 10% (109 dwe at this would not result in the definithe market for land, in accordance of the could make provision for a house of dwellings). A larger buffer of this option has been assessed	s have been identified, name target to incorporate 15% be sing land supply buffer of 5% to this would not result in the in the market for land, in acceptable as having a neutral effect allings per annum based on a evelopment of additional household ance with the NPPF. In constitution of 15 f 15% (relative to Options 1 las having a positive effect of 15 f 15% (relative to Options 1 las having a positive effect of 15 f 15% (relative to Options 1 las having a positive effect of 15 f 15% (relative to Options 1 las having a positive effect of 15% (relative to Options 1	ely: Housing target to incorpulate (Option 3); Housing target (Option 4); Housing target (Option 4); Housing target (Option 4); Housing target (Option 4); Housing (Option 4); Hou	porate 5% buffer (Option 1); Housing target to arget to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  In a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).  It is a page to incorporate 20% buffer (Option 4).
			supply of 109 contained wi accessibility	O dwellings). A larger buffer of thin the NPPF. In consequence	f 20% may help to ensure th ,, this option has been asses	at planned supply is achieve sed as having a positive effe	ellings per annum based on a planned annual ed and would be in accordance with guidance ect on housing (Objective 1) and equality and g it has been assessed as having a neutral
Preferr	ed Approach		Environ natural Further     Econom     Social Ir	mental Impact: Whilst the ident environmental assets and, furth the option would not result in ic Impact: No significant effects	ner, could result in the ident additional housing develop s on the economy are expec ould make provision for a ho	tification of sites in more un ment. ted under the preferred op using land supply buffer of	15% (approximately 164 dwellings per annum
Recom	mendations		<ul><li>Reduce</li><li>Avoid a</li><li>Avoid lo</li><li>Make b</li></ul>	rusing land supply should be dir the need to travel and/or enco dverse impacts on the City's bui cations that could exacerbate e est use of previously developed trate service provision where po	urage the use of sustainable ilt and natural environment existing health issues (e.g. A I land.	al assets.	

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Pl	nasing and	Delivery								
SA	Objective		Plannir	1: Rely on the National ng Policy Framework to guide housing related pment	policy to	2: Provide local level to guide phasing of pment, market led ach to be adopted	policy to developed developed (enabli to be re	3: Provide local level to guide phasing of pment, hierarchy of pment sites to be adopted ng least sustainable sites eleased later if required review process)	policy t develop once St Supple Docum (enabli to be re	4: Provide local level o guide phasing of oment, development only trategic Site mentary Planning ent (SPD) adopted ing least sustainable sites eleased later if required review process)
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;     Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;     Locate sites in areas of known housing need;     Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;     Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.	+	Phasing development by relying on the NPPF requires strategic sites to be allocated into current, 6-10 year and 11-15 year brackets. In York's case sites have also been allocated for development in 15 years plus. This will enable housing development to meet local needs to be delivered.	#	By adopting a market led approach sites can be released if the market requires them, even if allocated for development in 15 years plus. By enabling the market to respond to local housing need there will be a very positive effect.	?	Phasing development so that the least sustainable strategic sites are released only if required will have an uncertain effect. In the long term it will enable housing development to meet local needs to be delivered, but it may restrict development in the short term.	**	Phasing development such that the least sustainable strategic sites can only be released only once an SPD is in place will have a very positive effect on allowing housing development to meet local needs to be delivered, since sites that are allocated for development in 15 years plus can still come forward in the short term.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;	I	Phasing development by relying on the NPPF requires strategic sites to be allocated into current, 6-10 year and 11-15 year brackets. In York's case sites have also been allocated for development in 15	-	Phasing development by allowing strategic sites to be released if the market requires it may mean that sites that Greenfield sites or those impacting on open space provision come forward for development in the	+	Phasing development so that the least sustainable strategic sites (including those on Greenfield sites or those impacting on open space provision) are released only if required should have a positive effect in the short to medium	I	Phasing development such that the least sustainable strategic sites can only be released only once a SPD is in place should help to mitigate for any negative effect. However, effects depend on the timing of

_	++	+ O					?			-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain		or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	orma	tion		likel	/	how it i	is implem	ented
	•			•			•			•			•	-	
		for res • Ensure	that land contamination/poot pose unacceptable risks t	ollution		depend release sites or impacti space p phased				short to medium term, even if allocated for development in 15 years plus.		term.			the SPD since less sustainable sites that are allocated for development in 15 years plus could still come forward in the short to medium term if an SPD is adopted.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	success;  Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;			0	no clea		0		No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effe clear link		0	No significant effect / no clear link
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;     Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce.		rkforce mic sure; ture	0	No sign no clear	ificant effect /	0		No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effe clear link	ect / no	0	No significant effect / no clear link
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	Address existing imbalances of equalit ality and deprivation and exclusion across the city.		he city; cilities et		by relyii require: to be all current, 11-15 y In York' have als allocate develop years pl enable: housing		-		By adopting a market led approach sites can be released if the market requires them, even if allocated for development in 15 years plus. By enabling the market to respond to local housing need there will be a very positive effect on affordable housing provision. However, sites that have greater	? +	Phasing developm that the least sustainable strate sites are released required will have uncertain effect. long term it will en housing developm meet local needs delivered, but it more restrict affordable housing developm the short term.	gic only if e an In the nable nent to to be nay e nent in	-	Phasing development such that the least sustainable strategic sites can only be released only once an SPD is in place will have a very positive effect on allowing affordable housing development to be delivered, since sites that are allocated for development in 15 years plus can still come forward in the short

	++	+ 0		?		-				
KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely No signoclea	gnificant ef ar link	ffect / Uncertain insufficient info	or ormation		Very likely		sitive or neg w it is imple	ative impact depending on mented
				However, effects also depend on how sites that have greater accessibility to public transport or services/facilities are phased.		accessibility to public transport or services/facilities may not be prioritised under a market led approach.		would help to prioriti sites that have greate accessibility to public transport and services/facilities resulting in a positive effect.		term. However, effects depend on the timing of the SPD since less sustainable sites that are allocated for development in 15 years plus could still come forward in the short to medium term if an SPD is adopted.
6	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network  acci and car; belia sup	iver development where it is essible by public transport, walking d cycling to minimise the use of the ; iver transport infrastructure which eports sustainable travel options; mote sustainable forms of travel; prove congestion.		Phasing development by relying on the NPPF requires strategic sites to be allocated into current, 6-10 year and 11-15 year brackets. In York's case sites have also been allocated for development in 15 years plus. Effects depend on how sites that have greater accessibility to public transport or require new infrastructure to support them are phased.		Sites that have greater accessibility to public transport may not be prioritised under a market led approach and sites that require new infrastructure to support them could be developed ahead of this infrastructure being insitu.	+	Phasing development that the least sustainable strategic sites (considering accessibility issues) a released only if requishould have a positiv effect in the short to medium term. Hierarchy approach would help to prioriti sites that have greate accessibility to public transport.	e ed :	Phasing development such that the least sustainable strategic sites (considering accessibility issues) can only be released only once a SPD is in place should help to mitigate for any negative effect, particularly in relation to sites that require new infrastructure. However, effects depend on the timing of the SPD since less sustainable sites that are allocated for development in 15 years plus could still come forward in the short to medium term if an SPD is adopted.
7	greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects  emi or Plar for ren ren tecl Pro mat and	duce or mitigate greenhouse gas issions from all sources; nor implement adaptation measures the likely effects of climate change; vide and develop energy from ewable, low and zero carbon hnologies; mote sustainable design and building terials that manage the future risks deconsequences of climate change; nere to the principles of the energy		No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect , clear link	no 0	No significant effect / no clear link

_	++		+	0			?		-					
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient info	ormation		likely		how it	s implem	nented
									•					
		hierard	thv.											
8	Conserve or		ve or enhance internationa	Land	1	Phasing	development	_	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ent so		Phasing development
	enhance		ally significant priority speci			_	ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic		that the least			such that the least
	green		ts within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA				strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	Tic		sustainable strategic
	infrastructure		is within SACS, SPAS, RAIVISA	Ans allu			ocated into		the market requires it		sites (including the	_		sites can only be
		SSSIs;							•		, ,	JSE		•
	, bio-		ve or enhance locally impor				6-10 year and		may mean that sites		that could have a			released only once a SPD
	diversity,		conservation sites (SINCs);				ear brackets.		that could have a		negative effect on			is in place should help to
	geodiversity,	<ul> <li>Create</li> </ul>	new areas or site of bio-div	ersity /			s case sites		negative effect on the		natural environme			mitigate for any
	flora and	geodiv	ersity value;			have als			natural environment		are released only i			negative effect.
	fauna for	• Improv	ve connectivity of green			allocate			come forward for		required should ha			However, effects
	accessible	infrasti	ructure and the natural				ment in 15		development in the		positive effect in t			depend on the timing of
	high quality	enviro	nment;			years pl	us. Effects		short to medium term,		short to medium t	erm.		the SPD since less
	and	Provide	e opportunities for people t	0		depend	on when sites		even if allocated for					sustainable sites that are
	connected		the natural environment.			that cou	ıld have a		development in 15					allocated for
	natural					negative	e effect on the		years plus.					development in 15 years
	environment					natural	environment							plus could still come
						are pha	sed.							forward in the short to
														medium term if an SPD
														is adopted.
9	Use land	Re-use	previously developed land;		Ι	Phasing	development	-	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ent so	1	Phasing development
	resources		t pollution contaminating th				ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic		that the least			such that the least
	efficiently		mediate any existing				strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	gic		sustainable strategic
	and		nination;				ocated into		the market requires it		sites (including the	_		sites can only be
	safeguard		ard soil quality, including th	a hast			6-10 year and		may mean that sites		Greenfield sites or			released only once a SPD
	their quality		ost versatile agricultural land				ear brackets.		that Greenfield sites or		impacting on oper			is in place should help to
	and quanty		ve or enhance allotments;	u,			s case sites		those impacting on		provision) are rele			mitigate for any
			•			have als			open space provision		only if required sh			negative effect.
			ard mineral resources and			allocate			come forward for		have a positive eff			However, effects
		encour	age their efficient use.				ment in 15		development in the		the short to medic			depend on the timing of
							us. Effects		short to medium term,		term. Hierarchy	J111		the SPD since less
						depend			even if allocated for		approach would h	olo to		sustainable sites that are
							eld sites are		development in 15		prioritise brownfie	•		allocated for
							in relation to		years plus. Brownfield		development,	iu site		development in 15 years
							of Greenfield				•	ouco of		
									sites, especially those		encouraging the re			plus could still come
						sites or			that are contaminated,		previously develop	Jea		forward in the short to
							ng on open		may not be prioritised		land.			medium term if an SPD
						space p	rovision.		under a market led					is adopted.
									approach.					
10	Improve		ve water resources and qua	ality;	ı		development	-	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ent so	1	Phasing development
	water	Improv	ve the quality of rivers and				ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic		that the least			such that the least
	efficiency and	ground	lwaters.				strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	_		sustainable strategic
	quality						ocated into		the market requires it		sites (including the	ose		sites can only be
						current,	6-10 year and		may mean that sites		that could have a			released only once a SPD
			•			•								

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormation		likely		how it	is implem	nented
							ear brackets.		that could have a		negative effect on			is in place should help to
							s case sites		negative effect the		water environmer	-		mitigate for any
						have als			water environment		released only if re			negative effect.
						allocate			come forward for		should have a pos			However, effects
							ment in 15		development in the		effect in the short	to		depend on the timing of
							us. Effects		short to medium term,		medium term.			the SPD since less
							on when sites		even if allocated for					sustainable sites that are
							ıld have a		development in 15					allocated for
						_	e effect on the		years plus.					development in 15 years
							nvironment							plus could still come
						are pha	sea.							forward in the short to
														medium term if an SPD
11	Reduce waste	a Drores	to raduation ratio	mr and	0	No sian	ificant effect /	0	No significant effect /	0	No significant effe	ct / nc	0	is adopted.  No significant effect / no
11	generation		te reduction, re-use, recove	ery and	U	no clear		U	no clear link	U	clear link	ct / no	U	clear link
	and increase	•	ng of waste; te and increase resource			no cieai	IIIIK		no clear mik		Clear IIIIK			Clear IIIIK
	level of reuse													
	and recycling	efficien	icy.											
12	Improve air	Poduce	e all emissions to air from cu	ırront	1	Dhacing	development	_	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ant so	1	Phasing development
12	quality	activitie		arrent		_	ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic	·	that the least	CIIC 30	•	such that the least
	quanty		se and mitigate emissions t	n air			s strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	oic.		sustainable strategic
			ew development (including			•	located into		the market requires it		sites (including the	_		sites can only be
			ng transport emissions thro				, 6-10 year and		may mean that sites		that could have a			released only once a SPD
			ission technologies and fue	_		11-15 y	ear brackets.		that could have a		negative effect on	air		is in place should help to
			t the development of city w	, .		In York'	s case sites		negative effect on air		quality or are loca	ted in		mitigate for any
		low em	ission infrastructure;			have als	so been		quality or are located in		areas of poorer ai	r		negative effect,
		<ul> <li>Improv</li> </ul>	e air quality in AQMAs and			allocate	d for		areas of poorer air		quality) are releas	ed		particularly in relation to
		preven	t new designations;				ment in 15		quality come forward		only if required sh			sites that require new
		<ul> <li>Avoid le</li> </ul>	ocating development where	e it			us. Effects		for development in the		have a positive eff			infrastructure.
		could n	egatively impact on air qua	lity;		•	on when sites		short to medium term,		the short to medi	ım		However, effects
		<ul> <li>Avoid le</li> </ul>	ocating development in are	as of			ıld have a		even if allocated for		term. Hierarchy			depend on the timing of
		existing	g poor air quality where it c	ould		_	e effect on air		development in 15		approach would h	•		the SPD since less
			n negative impacts on the h	nealth			or are located		years plus. Sites that		prioritise sites tha			sustainable sites that are
			re occupants/users;				of poorer air		have greater		greater accessibili	ty to		allocated for
		<ul> <li>Promot</li> </ul>	te sustainable and integrate	ed		. ,	are phased.		accessibility to public		public transport.			development in 15 years
		transpo	ort network to minimise the	use of		-	how sites that		transport may not be					plus could still come
		the car				have gr			prioritised under a					forward in the short to medium term if an SPD
							oility to public rt or require		market led approach and sites that require					is adopted.
							rt or require rastructure to		new infrastructure to					is auopteu.
							them are		support them could be					
						phased.			developed ahead of this					
						pridacu			infrastructure being in-					
									minastructure being III-					

	++	+ (					?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormation		likely		how it	is implem	nented
														_
									situ.					
13	Minimise	Reduce	e risk of flooding;		1	Phasing	development	_	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ent so	1	Phasing development
	flood risk and		development location and	design		_	ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic		that the least			such that the least
	reduce the		ot negatively impact on floo				strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	gic		sustainable strategic
	impact of		or incorporate through des				ocated into		the market requires it		sites (including the	_		sites can only be
	flooding to		nable urban drainage system	_			6-10 year and		may mean that sites		that could have a			released only once a SPD
	people and	(SuDs)	· · ·	13		-	ear brackets.		that could have a		negative effect on	the		is in place should help to
	property in	(3003)					s case sites		negative effect on the		floodplain) are rel			mitigate for any
	York					have als			floodplain come		only if required sh			negative effect.
						allocate			forward for		have a positive eff			However, effects
							ment in 15		development in the		the short to medic			depend on the timing of
							us. Effects		short to medium term,		term.			the SPD since less
							on when sites		even if allocated for		term.			sustainable sites that are
							ıld have a		development in 15					allocated for
							e effect on the		years plus.					development in 15 years
						_	in are phased.		, ca. o p. ao.					plus could still come
						поочрю	iiii are priasca.							forward in the short to
														medium term if an SPD
														is adopted.
14	Conserve or	• Conser	ve or enhance the special		1	Phasing	development	_	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ent so	1	Phasing development
	enhance		ter and setting of the histor	ic city:	•		ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic		that the least	10110 30	•	such that the least
	York's historic		te or enhance local culture;	-			strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	gic		sustainable strategic
	environment,		ve or enhance designated a				ocated into		the market requires it		sites (including the	_		sites can only be
	cultural		esignated heritage assets an				6-10 year and		may mean that sites		that could have a	550		released only once a SPD
	heritage,	setting	· ·	u trieii		-	ear brackets.		that could have a		negative effect on	the		is in place should help to
	character and	U	ve or enhance those eleme	ntc		•	s case sites		negative effect on the		historic environme			mitigate for any
	setting		contribute to the 6 Principle			have als			historic environment		are released only i	,		negative effect.
	361111.6		teristics of the City as ident			allocate			come forward for		required should ha			However, effects
			ritage Topic Paper.	illeu III			ment in 15		development in the		positive effect in t			depend on the timing of
		therie	illage Topic Faper.				us. Effects		short to medium term,		short to medium t			the SPD since less
							on when sites		even if allocated for					sustainable sites that are
							ıld have a		development in 15					allocated for
							e effect on the		years plus.					development in 15 years
							environment		, p.wo.					plus could still come
						are pha								forward in the short to
						a.c pila.								medium term if an SPD
														is adopted.
15	Conserve or	• Conser	ve or enhance the landscap	ie.	1	Phasing	development	_	Phasing development	+	Phasing developm	ent so		Phasing development
13	enhance		ng areas of landscape value			_	ng on the NPPF		by allowing strategic		that the least			such that the least
	York's natural		t or enhance geologically				strategic sites		sites to be released if		sustainable strate	gic		sustainable strategic
	and built		ant sites;				ocated into		the market requires it		sites (including the	_		sites can only be
	landscape	-	· ·	tovt			6-10 year and		may mean that sites		that could have a			released only once a SPD
	anascape		te high quality design in cor			-	ear brackets.		that could have a		negative effect on	the		is in place should help to
		with it	s urban and rural landscape	and in		11-13 y	במו טומנאכני.		tilat toulu llave a		negative enection	uic		is in place should help to

$\top$	++	+	0		?		-			Ι		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	_	icant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	-	negative impact		or negative impac	t depending on
	likely		no clear li	ink	insufficient info	ormation		likely		how it is	s implemented	
		h the "landscape and Settir he Heritage Topic Paper.	ng"	have al allocate develop years p depend that co negativ built or	is case sites so been ed for ment in 15 lus. Effects I on when sites uld have a e effect on the natural pe are phased.		negative effect on the built or natural landscape come forward for development in the short to medium term, even if allocated for development in 15 years plus.		built or natural landscape) are re only if required shave a positive ef the short to mediterm.	nould fect in	the SPD sustainal allocated developr plus coul forward	effect. r, effects on the timing of since less ble sites that are d for ment in 15 years ld still come in the short to term if an SPD
Gene	i di			case sites have a nousing develop- cites are phased option 2. By enabling the this may also me development in social objectives. Option 3. There may be an equired may reprise may be a required may reprise popen space provencourage the reprise option 4. Phasing developer fect on allowing an still come for the space provence of the space of the space option and s	market to response to the local terms of the least 15 years plus residentially to access a uncertain effect strict housing devices in the spision, any hierarcheuse of previously ment such that the housing develorward in the short	ed for devical needs and to local sustainal ulting in a sibility an anon the h velopmen hort to m chy of sus y develop the least so pment to tt term. E	PF requires strategic sites to elopment in 15 years plus. To be delivered. Effects on the behavior of the beha	This option ther social a very positive and for control and development of the positive sites at only be vered, si levant e	on has a positive efficial and relevant environmental objectives.	housing a short to m There will least susta positive e social obje o accessib an SPD is located fo tives depe	e housing objective cal objectives deper and equality objection did equality objectives are relatives relating to a control of the equality of public transplants of development in 1 and on the timing of end on the timing of the equality of th	e since it enables and on when live. However, if allocated for ffects on the leased only if and coessibility and sport and la very positive 15 years plus of any SPD since
Prefe	erred Approach			Negative e term	ffect if Greenfield	d sites or	sitive effect on housing and those impacting on open spa tives since site that have gre	ace provi	ision come forward		•	

	++	+	0		?		-				I
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative	e impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear	ink	insufficient informati	n		likely			how it is implemented
				-	int effect on economic	bje	ctives				
				Environmental							
				•	fect on environmental	obje	ctives if least sustainable st	rategic	sites com	e forward	for development in the short to medium
				term							
Recon	nmendations										et to decide if sites that are allocated for
				•							n its Local Plan policy wording with
					•		•				opted development that could have a
				•	• •				_	•	ted for in order that planning permission is
				granted in advar	ce of other sites comin	g for	ward. In particular sites the	at requ	ire new ir	ıfrastructur	e to support them must only be developed

once this is in-situ.

			Но	using Density	App	oroach	Но	using Density Le	vel	and Location	
SA Objective			dens	on 1: Housing ity target does not by location		on 2: Housing density et varies by location		on 1: Housing density et of <40 dph	•	on 2 Housing density et of 40-50 dph	on 3 Housing density at of >50 dph
To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	•	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population; Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.	-	Requiring the same density of housing in all locations could limit the range of housing types and sizes that can be provided. It may also restrict the economic viability of sites where lower densities are required by the market. This results in a negative effect since local housing needs may not be met.	+	Enabling density to vary by location presents greater opportunity to ensure that a range of housing types and sizes can be provided. Density can vary to reflect known housing need and market requirements which can vary by location. This results in a positive effect as local housing needs are more likely to be met.	?	Housing density target of <40 dph presents greater opportunity for a range of housing types and sizes, including larger family homes to be built. However, if a low density were adopted across the district this option may not help to ensure the provision of a sufficient number of homes. Higher densities are likely to be required in city centre locations to make development economically viable.	?	Housing density target of 40-50 dph should help to ensure the provision of a sufficient number of homes. It should enable the provision of a range of different housing types, for example, terraces, townhouses, semidetached and detached properties. It may make development of rural sites less economically viable since the market is likely to demand larger family homes	\$ Housing density target of >50dph is likely to make development in city centre locations more economically viable. If a high density were adopted across the district it would help ensure the provision of a sufficient number of homes. However, it is likely to restrict the range of housing types and sizes, requiring apartments and smaller family homes to be built.

	Very positive in likely	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	significant e clear link	-		or Negativ formation	e impact	likely Very negativ	re impa	Positive or negative how it is implement		ct depending on
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	sa ho loo lim op en is i the ne Th ne sir co	equiring the ame density of ousing in all ocations could mit the pportunities for nsuring housing in keeping with ne local eighbourhood. his results in a egative effect ince a lack of ommunity ifluences health.	+	Enabling density to vary by location presents greater opportunity to ensure housing is in keeping with the local neighbourhood, particularly in rural and city centre locations. This results in a positive effect, since a sense of community influences health.		Lower density housing target presents greater opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood. Sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents.	?	in these locations. Higher densities are likely to be required in city centre locations to make development economically viable.  Medium density targets should allow housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood in all but rural locations. Sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents.	?	Higher density housing target may limit opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood. Sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>	eff	lo significant ffect / no clear nk	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;</li> <li>Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;</li> </ul>	eff lin	lo significant ffect / no clear nk	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link

0

	Very positive likely	impact		ignifica ear link	nt effect / Unce insuff		or Negative formation	impact	t likely Very negativ likely	e imp	act Positive or negative how it is implement		act depending on
		•	Support existing employment drivers; Promote a low carbon economy.										
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	•	Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city; Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population; Provide affordable housing to meet demand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.		Requiring the same density of housing in all locations could limit the opportunities for ensuring housing is in keeping with the local neighbourhood. It may also restrict the economic viability of providing affordable housing on sites where lower densities are required by the market.	*	Enabling density to vary by location presents greater opportunity to ensure housing is in keeping with the local neighbourhood, particularly in rural and city centre locations. Density can vary to reflect known housing need and market requirements hopefully providing greater opportunity for affordable housing to be accommodated.	?	Lower density housing target presents greater opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood. It also presents greater opportunities to meet the needs of different groups within communities, the elderly or disabled. Sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents.	?	Medium density targets should allow housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood in all but rural locations. Sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents.	?	Higher density housing target may limit opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood. It may also limit opportunities to meet the needs of different groups within communities, the elderly or disabled. Sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where it is accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimise the use of the car; Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable travel options; Promote sustainable forms of travel; Improve congestion.		Requiring the same density of housing in all locations could limit the opportunities to respond to issues of congestion on the local road network, particularly in rural areas where there may be limited access to services and public transport.	+	Enabling density to vary by location presents greater opportunity to ensure housing can respond to issues of congestion in the local neighbourhood, higher density housing more suited to the city centre where there is very good access to services and public transport.	?	Lower density housing may help to limit any effect of new housing upon congestion on the local road network by limiting the number of new residents in an area, particularly in suburban and rural locations.	?	Medium density housing could affect congestion levels on the local road network due to the increase in the number of residents in the area.	?	Higher density housing could increase congestion levels on the local road network due to the increase in the number of new residents in the area, particularly in suburban and rural locations.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that	•	Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources; Plan or implement adaptation	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link

,	++		+	0			?			-					1		
7	Very positive in	mpact	Positive impact likely	No si	gnifica	nt effect /	Uncert	ain	or	Negative	impact	likely	Very negative	e impa	ct Positive or negativ	e impa	act depending on
	likely			no cle	ar link		insuffic	cient in	formation				likely		how it is implemen	nted	
																	<u> </u>
	cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	clir Pro rer tec Pro bu fut clir	easures for the likely effects of mate change; ovide and develop energy from newable, low and zero carbook chnologies; omote sustainable design and ilding materials that manage cure risks and consequences of mate change; here to the principles of the ergy hierarchy.	om n d e the of													
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Property of the property of th	otect and enhance internation of nationally significant priorical	ty Cs, sites	0	No significar effect / no c link		0	No signific effect / no link			No sign no clea	ificant effect / r link		No significant effect / no clear link		No significant effect / no clear link
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re</li> <li>Pre</li> <li>lan</li> <li>col</li> <li>Sai</li> <li>be</li> <li>lan</li> <li>Pro</li> <li>Sai</li> </ul>	use previously developed la event pollution contaminatin d and remediate any existing ntamination; feguard soil quality, including st and most versatile agricult	nd; ig the g g the tural	0	No significate effect / no colonial link		0	No signific effect / no link		-	ensure effectiv resourc in urba	density g may not the most e use of land es, particularly n and city locations.	1	Medium density housing should help ensure the effective use of land from rural to urban locations.	+	Higher density housing should help ensure the effective use of land resources from rural to city centre locations.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	qu • Im	nserve water resources and ality; prove the quality of rivers an oundwaters.	nd	0	No significate effect / no colink	lear	0	No signific effect / no link	clear	0	no clea		0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of	an	omote reduction, re-use, reco d recycling of waste; omote and increase resource	,	0	No significate effect / no color link		0	No signific effect / no link		0	No sign no clea	ificant effect / r link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link

_	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	reuse and		efficiency.										
	recycling					<u> </u>							
12	recycling Improve air quality		Reduce all emissions to air from current activities; Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels); Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations; Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality; Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	•	use of the car.  Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk; Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link	0	No significant effect / no clear link
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	• • •	Preserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city; Promote or enhance local culture; Preserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting; Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic	•	Requiring the same density of housing in all locations could limit the opportunities for ensuring housing is in keeping with the local character.	+	Enabling density to vary by location presents greater opportunity to ensure housing is in keeping with the local character.	?	Lower density housing target presents greater opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local neighbourhood. However, in urban and city centre locations medium to high density housing	?	Medium density targets should allow housing to be in keeping with the local character n all but rural locations. Sensitive design and layout is required to fit in with the historic environment.	?	Higher density housing target may limit opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local character. For example, high rise housing development could impact on the skyline. Sensitive

	Very positive in	npact	Positive impact likely	_	cant effect /	Uncert		or	Negative i	mpact	likely	Very negative	impa	_		ct depending on
	likely			no clear li	nk	insuffic	cient in	formation				likely		how it is implemen	nted	
		Раг	per.								charact design a					design and layout is required to fit in with the historic environment.
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	incl Cor imp Pro cor lan "lai	esserve or enhance the landsc luding areas of landscape va nserve or enhance geologica cortant sites; mote high quality design in ntext with its urban and rura dscape and in line with the ndscape and Setting" within ritage Topic Paper.	lue illy	Requiring same dens housing in locations or limit the opportunitiensuring his in keepit the local character.	aity of all could ties for ousing	+	Enabling d vary by loo presents g opportuni ensure hoo in keeping local chara	cation reater ty to using is with the	?	Lower of housing present opportune housing keeping neighbor and city location high de is more charact design a require	density g target is greater unities for g to be in g with the local ourhood. er, in urban	?	Medium density targets should allow housing to be in keeping with the local character n all but rural locations. Sensitive design and layout is required to fit in with the built landscape.	?	Higher density housing target may limit opportunities for housing to be in keeping with the local character. For example, high rise housing could impact on the skyline. Sensitive design and layout is required to fit in with the built landscape.
Ge	neral			Re op de Co ne HC Eff	poortunities to onsity target is a niversely enabling ghbourhoods  USING DENSITects on all rele	ne density ensure ho adopted a ing densit and chara Y LEVEL vant socia ition will r	housir using is cross th y to var cter res	in keeping version of the district and t	with the loc d develope n should en ositive impa vironmenta	al neig rs volu able a act.	hbourhoo ntarily ch range of I	od and character oose to adopt m housing type and uncertain since i	resultir edium t sizes to mpacts	pes and sizes that can be ng in a negative impact. to high density housing to meet local needs that will vary depending on thre sites in particular, n	This is in non- is in ke	unless a lower crural areas. eeping with local cation in question.
Pre	ferred Approach			HOUSING DENSITY APPROACH The preferred approach is to enable density to vary by location, in this case by rural, suburban, urban and city centre location. This should enable a range of housing type and sizes to meet local needs that is in keeping with local neighbourhoods and character resulting in a positive impact assuming an appropriate density target is selected for each location.  HOUSING DENSITY LEVEL The preferred approach adopts a range of density targets dependant on location as follows: rural <30 dph, suburban <40 dph, urban/urban extensions 50 dph and city centre 80-100 dph. This should help a sufficient number of homes to be built whilst enabling a greater range of housing types and sizes to be provided. The lower rural target should help keep rural sites economically viable since the market here is likely to demand												

Appendix 1: Local Plan	SA - Policy	Alternatives	Annraisal
Appendix 1. Local Flan	i SA - Fullcy	Aiteinauves	Appraisar

Draft April 2013
------------------

	,		+	0	?	-		1				
	KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on				
	_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented				
								rk. Conversely the higher city centre target				
helps make best use of land resources. In all cases sensitive design and layout is required to ensure safety and security for residents, to enable												
in with the historic environment and built landscape character.												
Re	ecomn	nendations			•			e city centre, high rise housing development				
								0-100 dph does not equate to high rise				
development, the Council should qualify in its Local Plan (either in this section or the historic environment section) that high rise would not be an												
				acceptable type of	f development.							

## **SECTION 11: AIDING CHOICE IN THE HOUSING MARKET**

Н	ousing Mix							
1 1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> </ul>	and en	1: Do not specify any housing mix able the market to determine the grequirement  The evidence base and baseline show that there are specific requirements for housing need in York in terms of size, type and tenure of properties. Allowing the market to determine this would not necessarily address need, particularly for affordable housing, and may lead to under		2: Rely on NPPF to ensure priate housing mix provision  The NPPF requires that provision should be made for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, markets trends and the needs of different community groups.  However, this policy is not locally specific in terms of actual requirements and may be		a 3 : Provide Local level policy to ensure priate housing mix provision  Local policy should incorporate the specific requirements, which the plan should achieve in order to meet the current and arising need of the population. This should ensure that the local requirements are clear.
		Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.		provision of specific types or tenure of properties. There may be a lag time for the market to respond to known trends, particularly in the short term.		interpreted in different ways, particularly given the inclusion for market trends.		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

$\top$	++					*		-				I
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
		<ul> <li>Improves access to healthcare;</li> <li>Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;</li> <li>Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.</li> <li>Provide good education and training</li> </ul>										
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Support</li><li>Support</li><li>educati</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	unities for all; t existing higher and furthe ional establishments for cor	r	0		s no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;     Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;     Deliver and promote stable economic growth;     Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;     Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;     Support existing employment drivers;     Promote a low carbon economy.			differer market econon require workfo it would	on the market to deliver of choices in the housing may not fully support the my should the ments arising from the rce not be met. However, d ensure that oment is viable.	I	wide variety of h a mix of propert allow for various met helping to s	policy doe allow a retation and ch could have	I	A local level policy should help to more specifically deliver the variety of homes in response to the need arising to allow for a mix of properties, which should support the workforce and therefore the overall economy.	
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Address deprivation</li> <li>Provide for the</li> <li>Provide deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promote</li> </ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factoral population; affordable housing to mee	ne city; ilities t		determ require may lea specific propert profital Conseq social e in prop their re also be alterna accomm need. T	ing the market to ine and deliver the iments for housing need ad to under provision of itypes or tenure of ties based upon bility and viability. Identify this could lead to exclusion or people housed erties not suitable for equirements. People may forced to choose an tive location to live where modation does meet their there may also be a lag or the market to respond	+	homes to create mixed communi	ates the delivery of e sustainable and ties though the k of housing based	**	Local level policy should supplement the NPPF to ensure that York specific issues are addressed through housing delivery. To maximise the potential of this policy, the policy approach should also include a focus on the standards of housing to be delivered through the plan period recommending for example Lifetime Homes, to ensure that properties can allow adaption for differing needs.

>	++		+	0			ſ		-				
KEY	Very positive i				nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ar link		insufficient inforn	nation			likely		how it is implemented
						to know	n trends, particular	lv in					
						the sho	• •	,					
6	Reduce the	Deliver	development where it is		_	Under d	elivery of housing t	vnes	1	Delivering the ty	nes of	1	Delivering the types of accommodation
o l	need to travel		ble by public transport, wal	kina			rce people to live o		•		to suit the needs	•	to suit the needs of current and future
	and deliver a			_							iture trends as per		trends as per NPPF should ensure that
			cling to minimise the use of	tne		OI YOR	leading to increased	ı					•
	sustainable	car;					commute to jobs		+		ure that people are	+	people are able to work and live within
	integrated		transport infrastructure wh			provide	a.			able to work and			York enabling a shorter commute.
	transport		supports sustainable travel options;							enabling a shorte	er commute.		
	network	• Promo	te sustainable forms of trav	el;									
		Improve congestion.											
7	To minimise	·		S	0	There is	no clear link betwe	en	0	There is no clear	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	greenhouse						rnative and the obj	_		alternative and t			alternative and the objective
	gases that	<ul> <li>Plan or implement adaptation measure</li> </ul>		sures							· <b>,</b>		
	cause climate		likely effects of climate cha										
	change and		e and develop energy from	gc,									
	deliver a												
	managed		able, low and zero carbon										
	_	techno	<b>-</b>										
	response to		te sustainable design and b	_									
	its effects		als that manage the future i										
			nsequences of climate chan										
		<ul> <li>Adhere</li> </ul>	to the principles of the end	ergy									
		hierard	hy.										
8	Conserve or	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance internationa	l and	0	There is	no clear link between	en	0	There is no clear	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	enhance	nationa	ally significant priority speci	es and		this alte	rnative and the obj	ective		alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective
	green	habitat	s within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA	ARs and		this alte							
	infrastructure	SSSIs;											
	, bio-	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance locally impor	tant									
	diversity,		conservation sites (SINCs);										
	geodiversity,		new areas or site of bio-div	ersity /									
	flora and		ersity value;	2.5.0, /									
	fauna for	U	e connectivity of green										
	accessible	•	ucture and the natural										
	high quality	enviror											
	and		<b>,</b>										
	connected		e opportunities for people t	0									
	natural	access	the natural environment.										
	environment	- 0-	and the standard of the standa			There ':	و و المالية		0	There is an aller	linds beats on an 46 to		There is no along the between this
9	Use land		previously developed land;		0		no clear link betwe		0		link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	resources		t pollution contaminating th	ne land		this alte	rnative and the obj	ective		alternative and t	ne objective		alternative and the objective
	efficiently		mediate any existing										
	and		nination;										
	safeguard	<ul> <li>Safegu</li> </ul>	ard soil quality, including th	e best		<u> </u>							
		Safeguard soil quality, including the be											

$\top$	++				o significant effect /		?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative i likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	their quality	<ul><li>Conser</li><li>Safegua</li></ul>	ost versatile agricultural land ve or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and age their efficient use.	d;								
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	ve water resources and qua te the quality of rivers and waters.	lity;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	Promote reduction, re-use, recovery an recycling of waste;     Promote and increase resource efficiency.      Reduce all emissions to air from current			0		There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  There is no clear link between		,		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	Reduce all emissions to air from current activities; Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels); Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations; Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality; Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of		o air  ugh ls);  vide  it lity; as of buld ealth	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	erisk of flooding; development location and o ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	d risk; sign	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance		ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the histori	ic city;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	44		+	0			2	_				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	U	nificant Ir link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Conser non-de setting.</li> <li>Conser which of Character</li> </ul>	te or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a signated heritage assets an ; ve or enhance those eleme contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as ident ritage Topic Paper.	nd d their nts								
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Protect imports</li> <li>Promote with its line with</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in corstroan and rural landscape; the "landscape and Setting Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Options 2 and 3 would help to support the provision of suitable housing mix for York in terms of the delivery of a mix tenure. Local level policy (Option 3) however, should build upon the requirements of the NPPF (Option 2) to build sus communities and enable less interpretation of trends by ensuring that York specific requirements are clearly set out.  The analysis shows that Option 1 is likely to have negative effects given that the market would not necessarily respor what is more popular now, viable and profitable. It is considered that this could lead to under provision and social ex delivering York's known need, particularly in the short-term as they recognise future trends.										ion 2) to build sustainable and cohesive re clearly set out.		
Prefe	erred Approach				• TI fu	his optior urther the he deliven ne econor	requirements of NPPF to b ry of suitable accommodati	on of hous e York sp on should	sing in line with the ecific. This option ensure that the w	e identified need fron would be the most po rorkforce is supported	ositive ir	idence base and has the potential to n meeting the social sustainable objectives. g within York having benefits in supporting
Recommendations  • To maximise the potential of policy option 3, through the plan period recommending for each of the plan period recommendations.												

Н	Housing Mix Targets												
SA	Objective		Option	1: Provide >70% of development	Option	2: Provide 70% of development to	Option	3 : Provide <70% of development to be					
				ouses (<30% flats)	be hou	ses (30% flats)	houses (>30% flats)						
1	To meet the	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the	+	It is recognised that a mix of	++	It is recognised that a mix of	-	This option would not satisfy the					
	diverse population in terms of quantity, quality;			housing is required. Whilst the		housing is required. Whilst the		required housing type needed in					
	housing	Promote improvements to the existing		SHMA (2006) advocated a 60:40		SHMA (2006) advocated a 60:40		response to York's population given the					

	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigi	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul><li>Locate : need;</li><li>Deliver of the p</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	ure housing stock; sites in areas of known hou community facilities for the copulation; pitches required for Gypsie ers and Showpeople.	e needs		recognishas delivering type subdocume option withis bala delivering flatted oproviding develop	ch, it should also be seed that previously York wered a higher proportion than houses leading to a seed for this property ossequently to the ent's publication. This would satisfy redressing sence, whilst also one a requirement for development. However, ag significantly less flatted ment may not satisfy the ledged requirement		of flats than hou higher need for	previously York nigher proportion uses leading to a this property type the document's coption would g this balance, ering a		need to redress the balance previously created through a high delivery of flatted development. The SHMA evidence (2006) suggested a 60:40 ratio which did not take account of the delivery rates achieved during the economic boom.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	environ negativ Improv function Promot access i cycling) Improv Provide for resi Ensure	es access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and se	d Ith; ulti- ugh alking / ecurity	0	There is	no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide opportu</li> <li>Suppor educati success</li> <li>Provide opportu</li> </ul>	good quality employment unities available to all.	r ntinued	0	this alte	no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	alternative and	·	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive	<ul><li>success</li><li>Deliver for the</li></ul>	and promote stable econor	rkforce	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-				I		
KEY	Very positive likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigr no clear	nificant e r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented		
	economy	<ul><li> Provide for eco</li><li> Suppor</li></ul>	te the city centre and its unities for business and leist the appropriate infrastruct nomic growth; t existing employment drive te a low carbon economy.	ture										
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>depriva</li><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide demand</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promot</li></ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and facilocal population; affordable housing to meed; duce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	ne city; ilities t	+	housing SHMA (approad recognithas delified flats in type sulformation of the formation of t	ognised that a mix of it is required. Whilst the 2006) advocated a 60:40 ch, it should also be sed that previously York wered a higher proportion than houses leading to a need for this property osequently to the ent's publication. The HMA (2011) recognises are is and will be a need erent accommodation o satisfy need. This option atisfy redressing this , whilst also delivering a ment for flatted oment. However, and significantly less flatted other may not satisfy the ledged requirement for perty type in the future.	**	of flats than hou higher need for subsequently to publication. The (2011) recognise will be a need for accommodation need. This optice	red. Whilst the vocated a 60:40 uld also be previously York higher proportion uses leading to a this property type the document's latest SHMA as that there is and or different types to satisfy on would satisfy palance, whilst also uirement for	-	This option would not satisfy the required housing type needed in response to York's population given the need to redress the balance previously created through a high delivery of flatted development. The SHMA evidence (2006) suggested a 60:40 ratio, which did not take account of the delivery rates achieved following the documents publication. Delivery of this option would continue to cause an imbalance of provision within York and could contradict the known future need in the latest SHMA (2008)		
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessil and cyc car; • Deliver suppor • Promot	development where it is ble by public transport, walk ling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure whats sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of travel congestion.	the nich	0	this alte	no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	alternative and	·	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a	<ul><li>Plan or for the</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	or mitigate greenhouse gas ons from all sources; implement adaptation mea likely effects of climate char e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon	isures	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		

$\top$	++		+	0			3	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely		nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	managed response to its effects	materia and co	te sustainable design and bu als that manage the future r nsequences of climate chan to the principles of the ene	risks ge;								
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	national habitat SSSIs; Conser nature Create geodive Improvinfrastr enviror Provide access	e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	es and ARs and Hant ersity /	0	this alte	no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	alternative and	·	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rer contam</li> <li>Safegua and mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; ard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best	-	houses to incur mo to have amount deliver g would d and mas	elopment of >70% to <30% flats is likely to ore land take. This is likely a an impact of the of land required to growth. However, this lepend upon the scale ssing and available land	I	The high houses likely to incur le option 1 but will effect land take	ss land take than still have an	+	The higher flats to houses ratio (<70%:>30%) houses is likely to incur less land-take than options 1 and 2 by requiring less land within the city. However, this would depend upon the scale and massing and available land for development.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	<ul><li>Conser</li><li>Improv</li></ul>	ve water resources and qua- re the quality of rivers and waters.	ility;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resource ncy.	ry and	0	this alte	no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie	e all emissions to air from cu es; se and mitigate emissions to		0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

Τ.	++		+	0			?	-				ı
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative ii likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
		reducii low en Suppoi low en Improv preven Avoid l could r Avoid l existin, result i of futu Promo transp		s); ide it ity; as of ould ealth								
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does n</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	e risk of flooding; development location and c ot negatively impact on flood or incorporate through desi able urban drainage system:	d risk; ign	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charac</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Conser non-de setting</li> <li>Conser which Charac</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the historic te or enhance local culture; we or enhance designated are signated heritage assets and; we or enhance those element contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identificage Topic Paper.	nd d their nts	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>includi</li><li>Protectimport</li><li>Promotion with its line with</li></ul>	ve or enhance the landscapeing areas of landscape value to renhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in control areas and rural landscape at the "landscape and Settingthe Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	Ĺ	develop importa would d	sity, scale and massing of ment would be an nt consideration. This epend upon the amount available for development	1	development wi	deration. This ipon the amount of	I	The scale and massing of development would be an important consideration, particularly given that this option may put pressure upon building heights and density requirements. This would depend upon the amount of land available for development

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

General	Option 1 should have a positive effect in providing housing mix,. However, the analysis is cautious about under delivering required need for
	flatted development. Furthermore, this option is likely to incur more land due the footprint required by housing in comparison to flats. Due to
	this it may also have implications upon natural and built landscape.
	Option 2 is shown to a significantly positive impact on delivering housing mix in response to current and future need as it redresses the imbalance caused through a high delivery of flatted development. This option is likely have an effect on land take-up, the impact of which is dependent upon the density, scale and massing of development.
	Option 3 is likely to have a negative impact for meeting the needs of the population given that it would over allocate and exacerbate the
	imbalance of flatted development to housing within the city. This would supply less housing, which is known to be needed in the future. Whilst
	this is likely to require less land, there may be pressures from the density and scale of development on the built and natural environment.
Preferred Approach	Option 2: Provide 70% of development to be houses (30% flats)
	This ratio will deliver a mix of property types and re-dress the balance of flats to housing development previously recorded. This is likely
	to have a significantly positive impact on social sustainability objectives.
	Meeting the demand in York should have a positive impact on house sales/demand which is positive in terms of supporting the overall
	economy.
	The environmental impacts are dependent upon the implementation of the scheme in terms of land-take, density, scale and massing.
Recommendations	None

SA	Objective			Do not specify gypsy, traveller and showpeople modation provision requirements over the Local Plan period	Option 2: Specify gypsy, traveller and showpeople accommodatic provision requirements over the Local Plan period		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>		The evidence base shows that there is a shortfall of accommodation for gypsies, travellers and showpeople. Not recognising this provision would therefore be significantly negative as this would not set out an approach to meet this group's needs. The 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' also dictates that local authorities should demonstrate a 5 year supply of sites to meet the identified need. Not including this provision would therefore go against the government's aim for local planning authorities to work collaboratively with these groups to develop a fair strategy for the identification of sites and to plan for sites over the Development Plan period.	++	The evidence base shows that there is a shortfall of accommodation for gypsies, travellers and showpeople. Thi option would therefore be positive in recognising and delivering their accomodation need. This approach is also ir line with the Government's 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites'.	
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	

_	++		+	0			?	-			T
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
		access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)  Improves access to healthcare; Provides or promotes safety and security for residents; Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.  Provide good education and training									
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Support</li><li>Support</li><li>educati</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li><li>opport</li></ul>	unities for all; t existing higher and further ional establishments for cor s; e good quality employment unities available to all.	r ntinued	0	objectiv			0	objective	ink between this alternative and the
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success  Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhance opport Provide for eco Support	eliver conditions for busines and investment; a flexible and relevant wor future; and promote stable econor; te the city centre and its unities for business and leis the appropriate infrastructionic growth; texisting employment drive te a low carbon economy.	rkforce mic ure; ture	0	There is	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear I objective	ink between this alternative and the
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Address deprivation</li> <li>Provide for the</li> <li>Provide deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promotion</li> </ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and fac- local population; e affordable housing to mee	ne city; ilities	-	and futu showpe plan wo margina treating	te base recognises that ther ure requirement for gypsies cople accommodation. Not ould exacerbate social exclualising this group from York these groups unequally in cognised accommodation n	s, travellers and specifying this within the sion and work towards . It would also be comparison to others	++	within national Co recognises their s This would theref	groups are a recognised ethnic group ensus data, by including their provision it pecific requirements over the plan period. fore have a significantly positive impact on and ensuring equality through delivery of
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated	<ul> <li>Deliver accessi and cyc car;</li> </ul>	development where it is ble by public transport, wall cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure wh	the	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear I objective	ink between this alternative and the

_	<del>++</del>		+	0			?	-			
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	gnificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely			no cle	ar link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
				•							<u> </u>
	transport	suppor	ts sustainable travel option	ıs:							
	network		te sustainable forms of trav								
	HELWOIK			CI,							
_		•	re congestion.		-				-		
7	To minimise		e or mitigate greenhouse ga	is	0		no clear link between this	alternative and the	0		ink between this alternative and the
	greenhouse		ons from all sources;			objectiv	e			objective	
	gases that	<ul> <li>Plan or</li> </ul>	implement adaptation me	asures							
	cause climate										
	change and	Provide	e and develop energy from								
	deliver a		able, low and zero carbon								
	managed	techno	•								
	response to		te sustainable design and b	uilding							
	its effects										
	its criccis		als that manage the future							1	
			nsequences of climate char	•						1	
			to the principles of the en	ergy						1	
		hierarc	hy.								
8	Conserve or	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance internationa	l and	0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l	ink between this alternative and the
	enhance	nationa	ally significant priority spec	ies and		objectiv	e			objective	
	green	habitat	s within SACs, SPAs, RAMS	ARs and							
	infrastructure	SSSIs;									
	, bio-		ve or enhance locally impo	rtant							
	diversity,		conservation sites (SINCs);								
	geodiversity,		new areas or site of bio-div								
	flora and		ersity value;	reisity /							
	fauna for	U	, ,								
	accessible	•	re connectivity of green								
	high quality		ucture and the natural								
	and	enviror									
			e opportunities for people t	.0							
	connected	access	the natural environment.								
	natural									1	
	environment	_							<u> </u>		
9	Use land		previously developed land		0		no clear link between this	alternative and the	0		ink between this alternative and the
	resources		t pollution contaminating t	he land		objectiv	e			objective	
	efficiently	and rer	mediate any existing								
	and	contam	nination;							1	
	safeguard	Safegua	ard soil quality, including th	ne best							
	their quality		ost versatile agricultural lan							1	
			ve or enhance allotments;								
			ard mineral resources and							1	
			age their efficient use.								
10	Improve			- li+	0	There :-	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	Thoroic no ele	link between this alternative and the
10	Improve		ve water resources and qua	anty;	ľ			anemative and the	١٠		mik between this alternative and the
	water	•	e the quality of rivers and			objectiv	е			objective	
	efficiency and	ground	waters.								

	++	+	0	?	-		1
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	quality					
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;     Promote and increase resource efficiency.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	Reduce all emissions to air from current activities; Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels); Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations; Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality; Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>Reduce risk of flooding;</li> <li>Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk;</li> <li>Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Conserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;</li> <li>Promote or enhance local culture;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

## Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

	++		+	0			?	-			I	
KEY	Very positive likely	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea	nificant ( r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
									1	1		
		the He	ritage Topic Paper.									
15	enhance York's natural and built landscape  Protect or enhance geologically important sites; Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and i line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.				0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this e	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	link between this alternative and the	
Gen	within the Heritage Topic Paper.					Option 1 is likely to have a significantly negative impact due to not recognising the need of gypsies, travellers and showpeople as set of the evidence base. Ignoring their accommodation requirements would exacerbate social exclusion and be treating these groups unequestion to other recognised groups with specialist accommodation needs.  Option 2 is likely to have a significantly positive effect as it would recognise these groups' specialist requirements and lead to provison plan.						
Pref	Preferred Approach					Option 2: Specify gypsy, traveller and showpeople accommodation provision requirements over the Local Plan period.  Recognising the requirements of gypsy, traveller and showpeople will enable their needs to be accommodated over the plan period in response to their identified need. This would have positive social implications for meeting both meeting the needs of the population as well social inclusion.  There are no economic impacts identified.  There are no environmental impacts identified.						

Sites for Gypsy, Traveller and Showpeople			
SA Objective	Option 1: Rely on NPPF to guide gypsy and	Option 2: Provide generic local criteria to	Option 3: Provide detailed local criteria to guide
	traveller pitch provision	guide gypsy and traveller pitch provision	gypsy and traveller pitch provision

None

Recommendations

Τ.	Very positive impact Positive impact likely likely						Ş	-				
KEY	, ,	npact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant e r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
1	diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	populat Promot and fut Locate: need; Deliver of the p Deliver	homes to meet the needs of cion in terms of quantity, que improvements to the exisure housing stock; sites in areas of known house community facilities for the copulation; pitches required for Gypsie ers and Showpeople.	uality; sting sing e needs	+ 1	Sites' (F Govern dealing states in Plannin develop evidence location sustainar respond Howeve guiding plannin that the interpre out. Sin locating stateme interpre respond the resu	anning Policy for Traveller (PTS) sets out the ment's requirements for with this ethnic group. It is some detail that Local grauthorities should and respond to local the base and that the state of traveller sites are able as well as how to do planning permissions. For the PPTS approach is Local Authorities into grow the properties of the policies set initiarly, the criteria for the state of the policies set initiarly, the criteria for the state of the policies set initiarly, the criteria for the policies is fairly generic the policies and may be ded to in different ways, alts of which could be ent upon application.	+	to the 'Planning Sites' (PPTS) gui a broad underst needs of travelle showpeople. Th add to the PPTS enabling the for local principles, to be met in ord pitches.  This option wou number of sites for this use allow of other environ	ers and is option would approach by mulation of broad which would have er to locate  Id allow a greater to be considered ving consideration mental and social sidered within the	+	This policy would need to conform with the 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' (PPTS) and would require an in-depth understanding of the needs of travellers and showpeople. The policy would supplement the approach taken by PPTS by enabling the formulation of specific principles, which would have to be met in order to locate pitches. This would have positive implications for meeting the specific needs of this ethnic group.  By having detailed criteria however, it may limit the amount of sites for consideration or found suitable which could limit pitch provision and the allowance for any mitigation in response to identified issues. Conflict may be encountered where criteria overlap or the same sites are identified for other types of accommodation.
2	health and well-being of York's population	environ negativ Improve function Promot access t cycling) Improve Provide for reside Ensure does not health.	es access to healthcare; is or promotes safety and sed dents; that land contamination/po of pose unacceptable risks t	d lth; ulti- ugh ulking / ecurity co	+	accomn people' In addit local au sites, w local en health a travelle fully de should I new tra	ual provision of suitable modation has benefits for shealth and well-being. ion, the PPTS states that thorities should provide hich consider the effect of vironmental quality on and well-being of rs. This however is not fined but in-combination have a positive effect on veller sites.	++	the PPTS in ensu- location of sites negatively on pe- well-being and of for York in great national policy. combination sho significantly pos- traveller sites.	has an overall for people's being. A local ed to comply with uring that the does not impact eoples health and could specify this er detail than the This in-	++	Provision of suitable accommodation has an overall positive benefit for people's health and well-being. A local policy would need to comply with the PPTS in ensuring that the location of sites does not impact negatively on peoples health and well-being and could specify this for York in greater detail than the national policy. This incombination should have a significantly positive effect on new traveller sites.  There is no clear link between this
3	education,	opportu • Support	good education and training unities for all; t existing higher and further onal establishments for cor	r	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-					
Ę	Very positive	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negat	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npac	t	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ar link		insufficient information			likely			how it is implemented
4		succes Provid oppor Help d succes Delive for the Delive growtl Enhan oppor Provid for ecc Suppo Promo Addre depriv Provid for the Provid deman Help re	de good quality employment tunities available to all. deliver conditions for busines and investment; ar a flexible and relevant wore future; ar and promote stable econo h; ace the city centre and its tunities for business and leis de the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; art existing employment driviote a low carbon economy. It exists the investment of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and face local population; de affordable housing to meet	no clear no clear rkforce mic cure; ture ers; uality, he city; cilities	nificant ar link	There is this alter th	insufficient information  is no clear link between ernative and the objective  personal policy for Traveller personal policy f	O I +	There is no clea alternative and  This policy requivalent and stravellers and stravellers and stravellers approach formulation of principles, which met in order to	ires a broad of the needs of howpeople within n would add to the by enabling the broad local h would have to be	O		This would require an in-depth understanding of the needs of travellers and showpeople within York. The policy would supplement the approach taken by PPTS by enabling the formulation of specific principles, which would have to be met in order to locate pitches. This would have positive implications for meeting the specific needs of this ethnic group.
6	Reduce the need to travel		er development where it is sible by public transport, wal	king	0	for loca generic interpreterespond the rest positive upon th	ting sites are fairly statements open to etation and may be ded to in different ways, alts of which could be or negative dependent eir application.	0	number of sites for this use allo of other enviror issues within the generic criteria. maximise the properties of current shortfal Conflict may be where criteria of accommodat	to be considered wing consideration mental and social e locally derived This would otential for l addressing the I of sites.  encountered overlap or the same ied for other types ion. r link between this	0		By having more detailed criteria however, it may limit the amount of sites for consideration or found suitable which could limit pitch provision and the allowance for any mitigation in response to identified issues.  Conflict may be encountered where criteria overlap or the same sites are identified for other types of accommodation.  There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
	and deliver a sustainable		cling to minimise the use of			that red	luce the need for long- e travelling.		aiternative and	the objective			alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEV	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
7	integrated transport network  To minimise greenhouse gases that	<ul><li>suppor</li><li>Promot</li><li>Improv</li><li>Reduce</li><li>emissic</li><li>Plan or</li></ul>	transport infrastructure what sustainable travel options to sustainable forms of travel e congestion.  To mitigate greenhouse gasons from all sources; implement adaptation mea	s; el; s asures	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
	cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>Provide renewal techno</li> <li>Promote material and core hierarce</li> </ul>	te sustainable design and buals that manage the future rasequences of climate change to the principles of the enemby.	uilding risks ge; ergy					There is no clear link between this			
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , biodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	national habitat SSSIs; Conser nature Create geodive Improvinfrastrenviror Provide	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA ve or enhance locally import conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; e connectivity of green ructure and the natural imment; e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	es and ARs and tant ersity /	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rer contam</li> <li>Safegua and mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing mination; and soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water		ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and	lity;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

>	TT		т	0			ŗ	-				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ar link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	efficiency and	ground	waters.									
	quality											
11	Reduce waste		te reduction, re-use, recove	ry and	0		no clear link between	0		link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	generation		ng of waste;			this alte	rnative and the objective		alternative and t	the objective		alternative and the objective
	and increase		te and increase resource									
	level of reuse	efficien	icy.									
12	and recycling	a Dadwaa			0	There is	no clear link between	0	Thous is no slean	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
12	Improve air quality	Reduce     activitie	e all emissions to air from cu	arrent	0		rnative and the objective	U	alternative and t		U	alternative and the objective
	quanty		es; se and mitigate emissions t	o air		tilis aite	inative and the objective		alternative and	ille objective		alternative and the objective
			se and miligate emissions to ew development (including									
			ng transport emissions thro									
			ission technologies and fue	_								
			t the development of city w									
			ission infrastructure;									
		<ul><li>Improv</li></ul>	e air quality in AQMAs and									
		prevent	t new designations;									
		<ul> <li>Avoid lo</li> </ul>	ocating development where	e it								
		could n	egatively impact on air qua	lity;								
			ocating development in are									
		_	g poor air quality where it c									
			n negative impacts on the h	ealth								
			re occupants/users;									
			te sustainable and integrate									
		•	ort network to minimise the	e use of								
12	N. Alimina in a	the car.				The Co.			Land a diamena	14		Lead reliance de read to consultation
13	Minimise flood risk and		risk of flooding;		++		vernment's guidance s that local planning	++	with the PPTS in	ld need to comply	++	Local policy would need to comply with the PPTS in ensuring that sites were not
	reduce the		development location and ot negatively impact on floo			•	ies should avoid locating		sites were not in	•		in located within areas of high flood risk
	impact of		or incorporate through des				areas of high flood risk,			od risk and enable		and enable this to be locally defined.
	flooding to		able urban drainage system	_			g functional floodplain,		this to be locally			and chable this to be locally defined.
	people and	(SuDs).	able urban uraniage system	13			e particular vulnerability		tino to be locally	ucinicu.		
	property in	(3053).					ans. This is significantly					
	York						in ensuring minimising					
							act to people and					
						propert	y from flood risk.					
14	Conserve or	• Conserv	ve or enhance the special		0		no clear link between	0		link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	enhance		er and setting of the histor			this alte	rnative and the objective		alternative and t	the objective		alternative and the objective
	York's historic		te or enhance local culture;									
	environment,		ve or enhance designated a									
	cultural		signated heritage assets an	d their								
	heritage,	setting;										

	++	+	0			?	-				I		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	_	ificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented	
	character and	• Concorr	ve or enhance those elemer	nts	1			l			1	1	
	setting		contribute to the 6 Principle										
	Secting		teristics of the City as identi										
			itage Topic Paper.										
15	Conserve or	• Conser	ve or enhance the landscape	е	I	PPTS sta	ates that travellers	+	The formulation	of locally derived	++	The formulation of detailed criteria	
	enhance		ng areas of landscape value				nodation is inappropriate		generic criteria			could include constraints to	
	York's natural		or enhance geologically				he greenbelt. It also			evelopment as well		development as well as suitability	
	and built		ant sites;				hat local authorities		<u>-</u>	licators. This would		indicators. This would ensure that areas	
	landscape		te high quality design in con				orovide sites which r the effect of local		ensure that area	nd built landscape,		important to York's natural and built landscape, over and above the Green	
			urban and rural landscape h the "landscape and Settin				mental quality on health		over and above			Belt designation (as per the PPTS), could	
	within the Heritage Topic Paper.			'5	environmental quality of medicin					per the PPTS) could		be incorporated and conserved.	
	neral				be incorporated and conserved.  All of the options should have a positive impact on the health and well-being of the Gypsy, Traveller and Showpeople communities through								
	erred Approach			The Government of the provided impact of the	vision of vernment statement arising from 2 should This won on should 3 would I can be apper allowa 2: Provid nis would comoting	suitable accommodation wint's approach to planning for ints as criteria to determine om it are likely to depend unallow the maximum number allow the maximum number allow the maximum number allow the positive in finding situation in the significantly positive in poplied. However, it may consider for mitigation to be take a generic local criteria to gustave a positive impact on significantly impact on significantly positive.	Gypsy, T the locati pon the a r of sites es to mee roviding f strain sup en into a ide gypsy ocial obje	ravellers and Show on of pitches. Wh pplication which is to be considered to the evidenced not or the specific need pply and pitch pro- count.	regative environmen  vpeople (Option 1) a  ilst there would be so s open to interpretati  for pitch provision give ed whilst also consided  eds of travellers and i  vision given that it may  owpeople pitch provise e delivery of sites to r	tal impa cknowle cial ben ion. ren that dering lo n ensuri ay limit i			
						<ul> <li>Local criteria can include an consideration for York specific environmental criteria to minimise the impact of pitch provision on the wider environment.</li> <li>There are no economic impacts identified.</li> </ul>							
Reco	mmendations				None	•		•					

Student Accommodation			
SA Objective	Option 1: Restrict all new student	Option 2: Rely on NPPF to guide location of	Option 3: Local policy to guide development of
	accommodation development	student accommodation	student accommodation towards campus
			locations

$\Box$	++	Very positive impact		0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	пкету			no clear	IIIIK		insufficient information	<u> </u>		пкету		now it is implemented
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	popula Promoi and fut Locate need; Deliver of the p Travelle	homes to meet the needs of the terms of quantity, que improvements to the exister improvements to the exister in areas of known hou community facilities for the copulation; pitches required for Gypsie ers and Showpeople.	uality; sting sing e needs es and		accomm the grov and furt establisi accomm would h meeting student market requirer compet accomm	uirement for student nodation is in response to with of the universities ther educational hments. Restricting nodation development have serious impact on the sand the wider housing by exacerbating the ment and existing ition for private rented nodation.		this to be incorp the consideratio mixed and inclus is likely that app would have a po provision of acc the requirement "size, type, tenu accommodation particular locatic identify the need provision and all consent. Whilst this policy is likely student accommodation proven, it is open and may not delaccommodation suitable location	nt including nodation but allows orated as part of in for creating a live community. It lying this policy sitive impact in the formodation given to identify the re and range of required in sins." This would if for future ow this to gain the application of the application of the to interpretation in the most in the most sfor York students.	+	This option would provide the most robust approach to ensuring that the needs of students and the requirements of further educational establishments are met. Furthermore, directing development towards campus locations should help to minimise the wider impact on the housing market within the city.  To maximise the potential this policy would need to specify that all newly arising need accommodated and be sequentially located on campus first prior to the consideration for other locations.
3	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	enviror negativ Improv functio Promot access cycling Improv Provide for resi Ensure does no health.	es access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and se	d lth; ulti- igh alking / ecurity co	0	this alter	no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	alternative and t	ot specify the how	+	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  Local level policy is likely to have a
J	education, skills development and training for an effective	<ul><li>opport</li><li>Support</li><li>educati</li><li>success</li></ul>	unities for all; t existing higher and furthe onal establishments for cor	r ntinued		accomm with sup	nodation would conflict opporting the need arising lucational establishments.	·	the delivery of st would support e but given its imp and the wider po	ducational need act on students opulation as well as etermination would		positive impact given that it will support existing Universities for continued success, particularly in supporting the needs of students in close proximity to the campus.

	++		+	0			?					I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
				•							•	
	workforce	opport	unities available to all.						of housing mix a business sector, building a strong	npacts of this are		
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success  Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhanc opport Provide for eco Suppor	and promote stable econo	rkforce mic ure; ture		student could als econom would b accomm approac negative econom exacerb affordat accomm	ing the location of accommodation in total so restrict growth in the ic sector as the city e difficult to nodate growth. This is likely to have a e impact on the wider y and workforce by ating house price and bility/availability of nodation, particularly in ate rented sector.		on interpretatio understanding o student accomm wider economy sector given lack	PPF approach would rely etation and ding of the impacts of commodation on the nomy and educational en lack of a direct policy. The success of this end upon tation.		This option would enable continued success of the educational sector in York through ensuring the future provision of accommodation for students. In allowing this development it would provide the social infrastructure to enable successful growth in this sector should it be required. This is also likely to minimise additional impacts on the wider housing market.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>deprivation</li><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide deman</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promote</li></ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factlocal population; affordable housing to meed; duce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	ne city; cilities		either n conflict that it w exclusio unequal populati This app negative econom exacerb affordat accomm	ing the needs of students ow or in the future would with this objective given would promote social in and treat this group lly to other identified ion groups.  For oach is likely to have a elimpact on the wider y and workforce by ating house price and collity/availability of modation, particularly in atterented sector.		The NPPF does not contain a specific statement including student accommodation but allows this to be incorporated as part of the consideration for creating a mixed and inclusive community. It is likely that applying this policy would have a positive impact in the provision of accommodation given the requirement to identify the "size, type, tenure and range of accommodation required in particular locations". This would identify the need for future provision and allow this to gain consent. Whilst the application of this policy is likely to deliver student accommodation if need is proven, it is open to interpretation and may not deliver accommodation in the most		+	This option would provide the most robust approach to ensuring that the needs of students and the requirements of further educational establishments are met. Furthermore, directing development towards campus locations should help to minimise the wider impact on the housing market within the city.
6	Reduce the	Deliver	development where it is		?	This imp	pacts of this policy option	+		s for York students. decision making of	++	This option actively promotes

Τ.	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive in likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigi no clea	nificant e r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	and cyc car; • Deliver suppor • Promot	ble by public transport, walk ling to minimise the use of t transport infrastructure wh ts sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of trave e congestion.	the ich		to have	own.  ed development is likely a limited impact on the transport network.		accessibility and development in services and faci reliance on the caccess to alternatransport.  Consideration m negative impacts transport infrast	ne aspect of this is the location of close proximity to lities reducing the ar and increasing tive modes of  ust be given to any s on existing ructure through umbers of people		development towards campus locations, which is likely to have a positive impact. This should help to promote accessibility to the educational facilities primarily reducing the need to use the car.  In locating development off campus, wider accessibility and social infrastructure considerations should also be considered.  Consideration must be given to any negative impacts on existing transport infrastructure through increasing the numbers of people wishing to access the services.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Plan or for the     Provide renewatechno     Promote materia and con	te sustainable design and bu als that manage the future ri nsequences of climate chang to the principles of the ene	sures nge; iilding isks ge;	?	This im <sub>l</sub> is unkno	pacts of this policy option own.	_	development to sustainability. It through the appl policy accessibili consideration. H impacts would d	e NPPF allows decision making of velopment to be based upon stainability. It is likely that rough the application of this licy accessibility is taken into nsideration. However, the pacts would depend upon erpretation and implementation.		Locating development near campus location would help to reduce the need to travel and likely to have an overall positive effect for minimising additional emissions and therefore impacts from future development.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural	Conser national habitat SSSIs; Conser nature Create geodive Improvinfrastr enviror Provide	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA ve or enhance locally import conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; e connectivity of green ructure and the natural	es and Rs and tant ersity /	?	This imp	pacts of this policy option own.	+	Conserving and e biodiversity show key considerational although the ext	et and enhance es as well as s on biodiversity. enhancing uld therefore be a n for development,	+	Using local level policy to guide development would allow for the incorporation any significant local areas important for nature conservation. It also local interest to feature in the determination of sites to ensure minimal impacts occur to recognised sites.

$\top$	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	environment											
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rer contam</li> <li>Safegua and mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; and soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	e best	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	ve water resources and qua te the quality of rivers and waters.	lity;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resource ncy.	ry and	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimi from nereducir low em Suppor low em Improv preven Avoid le could n Avoid le existing result ie of futue Promoti	se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ing transport emissions throus hission technologies and fue to the development of city was hission infrastructure; he air quality in AQMAs and to new designations; hocating development where he agatively impact on air qual hocating development in are goor air quality where it con negative impacts on the hore occupants/users; the sustainable and integrate out network to minimise the	o air  ugh is); ride  it lity; as of buld ealth	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in	mpact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	e risk of flooding; development location and ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	od risk; sign	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charact</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Conser non-de setting</li> <li>Conser which of Charact</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the histor te or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a signated heritage assets an; ve or enhance those element on tribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identifitage Topic Paper.	and ad their nts	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Protect import</li> <li>Promote with its line with</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the landscap ng areas of landscape value t or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in con s urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settir the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Appraisal option 1 shows that there are significant negative social and economic effects arising through t development given that this wouldn't meet the housing needs for this group and or support the education accommodation needs in he future are met.  Whilst the NPPF does support economic growth and housing mix, it allows for interpretation of how this accommodation. Whilst this is likely to have positive effects, this would depend upon implementation.  Option 3 should provide the most robust way in delivering student accommodation to areas which fulfil the Furthermore, this should support the educational sector in the future by supporting growth and the future environmental benefits through the close location of accommodation to the student campuses.								r this should be applied to student on.				
Pref	erred Approach				Option The care	3: Local pris option ampus factocal level nis option	policy to guide development should ensure the require cilities. policy should support the e	t of stude ment for seducations al benefits	nt accommodation student accommodal al growth sector the sthrough locating	n towards campus lo dation is met and tha nrough the provision the accommodation	cations at 5t will of accon	be located within close proximity to nmodation for students.

	++	+	0	?	-		T
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Recommendations	To maximise the potential this policy would need to specify that all newly arising need accommodated and be sequentially located on campus
	first prior to the consideration for other locations.

Н	ouses in Mu	ultiple Occupation						
SA	Objective			1:Rely on NPPF to guide the n and concentration of HMOs		2: Provide generic local criteria to he location and concentration of		3: Provide detailed local criteria to the location and concentration of HMOs
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	I	The NPPF does not contain a specific statement for houses in multiple occupation but allows this to be incorporated as part of the consideration for creating a mixed and inclusive community. It is likely that applying this policy would have a positive impact in the provision of accommodation given the requirement to identify the "size, type, tenure and range of accommodation required in particular locations". This identifies the criteria any decision would be based upon but, whilst it may allow HMOs, it is open to interpretation.  Consequently, HMOs may be allowed based upon a subjective judgement rather than evidence base decisions.		Providing generic local criteria for managing HMOs would require a broader understanding of the concentration of this household type than a more detailed policy. Using this approach is likely that HMOs would be granted.  The impact of this management however, in creating a balanced and mixed community may not be as effective due to the nature of the problem often being at a smaller scale which generic data may not highlight and may therefore exacerbate other social issues.	+	A detailed policy for HMOs would require a more in-depth understanding of the concentration of this house type and its influence on the community. This option should enable small scale trends to be picked up and therefore managed at a lower level more effectively.  This policy would control the delivery of HMOs more definitely and may therefore help to create a mixed and balanced community more effectively. It should also minimise other social concerns regarding high concentrations of this household type.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			5	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
			that land contamination/poot pose unacceptable risks t									
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>opport</li><li>Support</li><li>educat</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e good education and training unities for all; texisting higher and furthe ional establishments for core; good quality employment unities available to all.	r ntinued	0		s no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhance opport Provide for eco Support Promoti	te the city centre and its unities for business and leis the appropriate infrastruction growth; the existing employment drive the allow carbon economy.	rkforce mic sure; ture ers;	0	this alte	s no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	alternative and t	,	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide deman</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promotion</li></ul>	is existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factoral population; affordable housing to meed; d; duce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	he city; cilities		upon su undersi surrour managi to the e concen This ide decision but, wh is open Conseq allowed	PF approach would rely ubjective judgement to tand the effect on the ading community in ng HMOs, both in relation existing community and tration of existing HMOs. entifies the criteria any in would be based upon uilst it may allow HMOs, it to interpretation. uently, HMOs may be a based upon a subjective ent rather than evidence ecisions.		a broad underst- location of HMC communities. Ho understanding is highlight high le may dilute the s at a smaller scal- therefore exace- problems due th	os and surrounding owever a broad sonly likely to vel issues which ituation occurring e. This option may rbate small scale	+	Knowledge about HMOs and the wider community in neighbourhood areas is likely to be the most effective way to understand how to manage the location and concentration of this household type. Achievement of a balanced and mixed community is more likely to occur with more detailed knowledge to help shape the community and minimise any concentrations which may cause an imbalance in the community.  The policy approach to would need to be cautious in its method to ensure that this household type are not penalised or excluded within their neighbourhood through their identification. This would have a

>	++		+	0			٠.	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No si	gnificant	effect /	Uncertain	or Negat	ive impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no cle	ar link		insufficient information	n		likely		how it is implemented
	,							•		,		
												detrimental impact on social equality.
6	Dadusa tha	a Dalius			0	Thoro is	no clear link between	0	Thorois no sloor	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
О	Reduce the		r development where it is		0			_			U	
	need to travel		ible by public transport, wal	_		this alte	rnative and the objectiv	e	alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective
	and deliver a	and cy	cling to minimise the use of	the								
	sustainable	car;										
	integrated	Deliver	r transport infrastructure wh	nich								
	transport		rts sustainable travel option									
	network		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-								
	HELWOIK		te sustainable forms of trav	eı;								
		Improv	ve congestion.									
7	To minimise	Reduce	e or mitigate greenhouse ga	S	0	There is	no clear link between	0	There is no clear	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	greenhouse	emissi	ons from all sources;			this alte	rnative and the objectiv	e	alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective
	gases that		r implement adaptation mea	sures			•			•		_
	cause climate		likely effects of climate cha									
	change and			iige,					1			
	•		e and develop energy from									
	deliver a		able, low and zero carbon									
	managed	techno	ologies;									
	response to	<ul><li>Promo</li></ul>	te sustainable design and b	uilding								
	its effects	materi	als that manage the future i	risks								
			nsequences of climate chan									
			e to the principles of the end	•								
		hierard		-157								
_									<del> </del>		_	
8	Conserve or		rve or enhance internationa		0		no clear link between	0		link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	enhance	nation	ally significant priority speci	es and		this alte	rnative and the objectiv	e	alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective
	green	habita	ts within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA	ARs and								
	infrastructure	SSSIs;										
	, bio-	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	rve or enhance locally impor	tant								
	diversity,		conservation sites (SINCs);									
	geodiversity,		new areas or site of bio-div	orcity /								
	flora and			Cisity /								
	fauna for		ersity value;									
		•	ve connectivity of green									
	accessible		ructure and the natural						1			
	high quality	enviro	nment;									
	and	Provide	e opportunities for people t	0								
	connected	access	the natural environment.									
	natural											
	environment											
9	Use land	Re-use	previously developed land;		0	There is	no clear link between	0	There is no clear	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	resources		nt pollution contaminating the		ľ		rnative and the objective	_	alternative and t			alternative and the objective
				ie iand		tilis alle	mative and the objectiv		antermative and t	ne objective		arternative and the objective
	efficiently		mediate any existing									
	and		nination;									
	safeguard	<ul> <li>Safegu</li> </ul>	ard soil quality, including th	e best								
	their quality	and m	ost versatile agricultural lan	d;								
					_				•		•	

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in	mpact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
10	Improve water efficiency and	<ul><li>Safegua encour</li><li>Conser</li><li>Improv</li></ul>	ve or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and age their efficient use. ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	ılity;	0		s no clear link between rrnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	· link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resourceincy.	ry and	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimi from nereducir low em Suppor low em Improv preven Avoid le could n Avoid le existing result ie of futue Promot	se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ing transport emissions throu hission technologies and fue it the development of city was hission infrastructure; he air quality in AQMAs and it new designations; hocating development where hegatively impact on air qual hocating development in area goor air quality where it con negative impacts on the here occupants/users; the sustainable and integrate bort network to minimise the	o air  ugh ls); vide  it lity; as of buld liealth	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Reduce</li><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	erisk of flooding; development location and o ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	d risk; sign	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic	charact	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the histori te or enhance local culture;	ic city;	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			3		-				I
KEY		impact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	rmation			likely		how it is implemented
15	environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Conserve or enhance York's natural	non-de setting • Conser which of Charact the Her • Conser includir	ve or enhance designated a signated heritage assets and contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identificated Topic Paper. The or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value for enhance geologically	d their  nts  fied in	0		no clear link betv		0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Gene Prefe	and built landscape eral erred Approach	Promote with its line with	ant sites; te high quality design in con urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	and in									
Reco	commendations												

## **Section 12: Affordable Housing**

Af	fordable Ho	ousing Target										
SA	Dbjective		affor enab	on 1: Do not specify any dable housing target and le the market to rmine provision	app			po tar	licy		policy	4: Provide local level with annual dynamic able housing targets
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	-	Relying on the market for provision is likely to provide housing where there is a need/demand but may have an impact on delivery given that it would be discretionary or not deliver as much profit for developers, particularly at a time	_	-	The approach is likely to deliver affordable housing within York. The NPPF puts the onus on local authorities to deliver a wide choice of quality homes that meets the full need for housing within the housing market area based upon evidence	+		A locally derived policy would enable a target to be established based upon local evidence. This would have a positive effect on delivering affordable housing across different sized schemes.  However, setting out	++	Progressing an annual dynamic target would help to respond to the wider economic conditions. This would help to maximise viability of the sites and set locally defined site size thresholds ensuring a continuous delivery of housing from

	++	+	0		Ş		-			l	
KEY	Very positive imp	pact Positive impact likely	No signi no clear		effect / Uncertain insufficient i	or oformation	Negative impact likely	Very likely		Positive or neg how it is imple	rative impact depending on mented
	likely		no clear	link	when there is an economic downturn.  This approach would implement the NPPF approach which is open to interpretation.	nformation	within the Strategic Housing Market Assessment. It also guides which locations would be suitable for this housing type to go but relies on Local Policy to sets thresholds and targets.  However, given it would not set locally derived targets or thresholds for provision and specifies that delivery should not compromise viability of the development, the application of this approach is likely to see a reduced amount of affordable housing being delivered due to it being managed in a in a subjective and negotiable way.	likely	overall housing targer for the city would not respond to changing economic circumstation the long-term and therefore may prove viable or unviable in with the changing economy. In turn this may impact on deliver of sites.  In addition, the police would need to be been upon current eviden and as the economy picks up from its current downturn, setting a static policy would lithe amount of viable housing which could provided in times of higher economic growth.	ets bt 3 nces d e c is eery  Cy assed ace frent imit e d be	a variety of schemes.  However, given that a dynamic target would respond to market conditions, delivery of affordable housing would reduce or increase as the economy changes. This would therefore not deliver a consistent amount of affordable housing throughout the plan period but should maximise delivery in times of economic boom.
2	health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's heal Improve access to openspace / mu functional openspace Promotes a healthier lifestyle thougacess to leisure opportunities (wacycling) Improves access to healthcare; Provides or promotes safety and sefor residents; Ensure that land contamination/podoes not pose unacceptable risks to health.	d th; lti- gh lking /	?	The outcomes of this policy approach are unknown at this time	•	The NPPF secures the strategic approach for ensuring the delivery of affordable housing which is positive for increasing security for people in the future although this will be dependent upon implementation of the policy.	+	This policy approach likely to deliver affordable homes ar therefore create accessibility to the market for residents. This could prove to increase security in terms of housing opi in the future althoug this will be depende upon implementation the policy.	nd s. tions gh nt	This policy approach is likely to deliver affordable homes and therefore create accessibility to the market for residents. This could prove to increase security in terms of housing options in the future although this will be dependent upon implementation of the policy.
3	education,	Provide good education and trainin opportunities for all; Support existing higher and further		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alterna and the objective	_	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

and for effective wo 4 Creand ground sus low and	Very positive in likely  Ind training or an ffective vorkforce reate jobs and deliver rowth of a sustainable, ow carbon and inclusive conomy	educational establishments for co success;  Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Help deliver conditions for busines success and investment; Deliver a flexible and relevant wo for the future; Deliver and promote stable econo growth; Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leis Provide the appropriate infrastructor economic growth; Support existing employment driv	rkforce omic sure;		This approach is likely to be positive for the market as it would allow developers to negotiate the strategic position of the NPPF in favour of the viability		Relying on the NPPF would allow negotiation of affordable housing in favour of the viability of housing development	Very likely		e or nega is implen	This policy would respond to changes in the wider economy to
and for effective wo 4 Creaming and ground sustantial low and	nd training or an ffective vorkforce freate jobs nd deliver rowth of a ustainable, ow carbon nd inclusive	success;  Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Help deliver conditions for busines success and investment;  Deliver a flexible and relevant wo for the future;  Deliver and promote stable econogrowth;  Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leis Provide the appropriate infrastructor economic growth;	ntinued ss rkforce omic sure;	+ -	This approach is likely to be positive for the market as it would allow developers to negotiate the strategic position of the NPPF in		Relying on the NPPF would allow negotiation of affordable housing in favour of the viability of	likely	Setting out an overall housing target for the duration of the plan	·	This policy would respond to changes in the wider economy to
for effer wo  4 Creance grosus low and	or an  ffective vorkforce  reate jobs and deliver rowth of a ustainable, by carbon and inclusive	success;  Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Help deliver conditions for busines success and investment;  Deliver a flexible and relevant wo for the future;  Deliver and promote stable econogrowth;  Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leis Provide the appropriate infrastructor economic growth;	rkforce omic sure;	+ -	to be positive for the market as it would allow developers to negotiate the strategic position of the NPPF in	+ -	would allow negotiation of affordable housing in favour of the viability of	-	housing target for the duration of the plan	++	respond to changes in the wider economy to
		Promote a low carbon economy.	ers,		of housing development overall. This is likely to continue housing development but may not deliver required numbers of affordable homes.  It is likely that this approach would cause uncertainty for both the development industry for delivering		overall. This is likely to continue housing development but may not deliver required numbers of affordable homes.  Delivering fewer affordable home may impact on the availability of the workforce who may not be able to afford to live in York if affordable housing options are not available.		changing economic circumstances in the long-term and therefore may prove viable or unviable in line with the changing economy. In turn this may impact on determining applications and the delivery of sites.  It is likely that this would cause some uncertainty for the development industry for delivering affordable housing.		enable housing sites to remain viable and therefore deliverable. This would provide the most robust way of ensuring the development industry understand the Council's position for development. However, it is likely to reduce profit margins for in the industry, particularly incombination with other contributions to be made.
5 Hel			uali <b>ty</b> ,		affordable housing and Council in delivering housing need.  Delivering fewer affordable home may impact on the availability of the workforce who may not be able to afford to live in York if affordable housing options are not available.		It is likely that this approach would cause uncertainty for both the development industry for delivering affordable housing and Council in delivering housing need.		This policy may lead to a	+	should be discouraged but in order to make this more flexible, an allowance could be made for developers to prove why a site is unviable through open book assessment.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive	mpact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely			- '	_	ative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormation		lik	ely	hov	v it is imple	mented
	equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Provide for the</li> <li>Provide deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promo</li> </ul>	ation and exclusion across the accessible services and fac- local population; e affordable housing to meed; d; educe homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	ilities		develop continue agenda market I Howeve that this exacerb need an housing affordat prices re it is not option v	e to their of delivering housing. r, It is likely option would ate housing d barriers to		strategic approach to delivering affordable housing to benefit the community subject to viability. It should be positive in allowing developers to continue to deliver market housing. However, it is likely that this option would exacerbate housing need and barriers to housing due to affordability given the ability to negotiate without the implementation of local level thresholds and targets.			fluctuating supply of affordable housing based upon the viability of the sites and the threshold set. This approach would either set the policy so low the it would not maximise affordable housing provision, particularly at time of economic growth, or set a target so high to take account of the future, that it is likely to be undeliverable for parts of the plan.	at t	a fluctuating supply of affordable housing based upon the viability of the sites and the threshold set. However, making the target responsive to economic change should enable a constant delivery of housing, maximising the supply and ensuring some certainty about the amount of dwellings to be delivered.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessi and cyc car; • Deliver suppor • Promo	development where it is ble by public transport, wall cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure wh ts sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of traver ee congestion.	the nich	0	between	ive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0		There is no clear link between this alternativ and the objective	е 0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce emissic     Plan or for the     Provide renewatechno     Promo materia and co	e or mitigate greenhouse gas ons from all sources; implement adaptation mea likely effects of climate cha e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon logies; te sustainable design and bu als that manage the future r nsequences of climate change to the principles of the ene	asures nge; uilding risks ge;	0	between	ive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0		There is no clear link between this alternativ and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
8	Conserve or enhance green	nationa	ve or enhance international ally significant priority specie s within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA	es and	0	between	no clear link n this ive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0		There is no clear link between this alternativ and the objective	<b>0</b>	There is no clear link between this alternative and the

	++		+	0			?		-				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	01	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact Positiv	e or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient inf	ormation		likely	how it	is implen	nented
	infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and	nature • Create	ve or enhance locally impor conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-div ersity value;			objectiv	re						objective
	fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	<ul><li>Improving infrastrement</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e connectivity of green ucture and the natural	o									
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevention</li> <li>and rerection</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Consertion</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Safeguate</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; and soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	he land he best	0	betwee	tive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Conserve • Improv	ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	ality;	0	betwee	ive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclin	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resource tey.	ery and	0	betwee	tive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimi from ne reducir low em Suppor low em Improv preven Avoid le	e all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ag transport emissions throusission technologies and fue to the development of city was in the development of city in the development of city in the development where degatively impact on air quality.	o air ugh els); vide	0	betwee	tive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

T,	++		+	0			?		-			l		
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigi no clea	nificant r link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient info	oi ormation	-0	Very likely			or nega s implem	tive impact depending on nented
		existin result of futu • Promo	ocating development in area g poor air quality where it co in negative impacts on the ha re occupants/users; te sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the	ould ealth d	0 There is									
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>k and</li> <li>Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk.</li> <li>Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).</li> </ul>				betwee	ive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0 There is no clear between this altra and the objective		rnative		There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Conserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;</li> <li>Promote or enhance local culture;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.</li> </ul>			0	betwee	ive and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alterna and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>includi</li><li>Protectimport</li><li>Promote with it line with</li></ul>	ve or enhance the landscape ng areas of landscape value t or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in con s urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	0	betwee alternat objectiv	ive and the e	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alterna and the objective	ative	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Gen	eral				Option 1 is unlikely deliver affordable housing to meet the anticipated need now and in the future due to this being a discretionary apply and there being a lack of policy direction and allowance for negotiation in the national guidance. It is likely that this approach cause so uncertainty for both the building industry and Council in terms of expectations for delivery. However, it is likely to have positive effects building industry in maximising the viability of sites.  Relying on the NPPF would also create uncertainty in expectation for development allows a degree of interpretation given the lack of level policy and specific thresholds/targets. This option is also likely to deliver affordable housing but may not to meet the overall need required.								pproach cause some we positive effects for the given the lack of local	

	++	+	0	?	-		
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	Option 3 would enable a local level policy to be implemented. However, setting one target for the duration of the plan would cause fluctuating supply and further negotiation as viability and the wider economic impacts change. This approach would either set the policy so low that it would not maximise affordable housing provision, particularly at time of economic growth, or set a target so high to take account of the future, that it is likely to be undeliverable for parts of the plan.
	Option 4 is likely to deliver the maximum number of affordable housing through responding to changes in the wider economy to enable housing sites to remain viable and therefore deliverable. This would provide the most robust way of ensuring the development industry understand the Council's position for development. However, it is likely to reduce profit margins for in the industry, particularly incombination with other contributions to be made.
Preferred Approach	Option 4: Provide local level policy with annual dynamic affordable housing targets
	<ul> <li>This option is likely to maximise the delivery of affordable housing through creating more certainty in terms of York's affordable housing requirements and ensuring that delivery would be higher in response to better economic circumstances.</li> <li>This would be positive for the economy by ensuring the targets respond to the changing economy to ensure viability of sites.</li> <li>There are no environmental impacts identified at this stage.</li> </ul>
Recommendations	Deviance from the policy approach of option 4 should be discouraged but in order to make this more flexible, an allowance could be made for
	developers to prove why a site is unviable through open book assessment.

SA	Objective			1: Affordable housing target does not vary by n/development type	Option 2: Affordable housing target varies by location/ development type			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population; Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.	-	Not varying affordable housing development by size and location is likely to under deliver due to viability issues. Not all locations would be able to sustain the same target based upon the type of site (brownfield/greenfield) or size of the development (large or small). Development of a greenfield site would expect to be more viable given an anticipated reduction in remediation costs applicable to brownfield sites for example. Furthermore, it may not be viable to apply the same target to smaller sites as large. This would be particularly applicable in ensuring provision in villages which generally have smaller sites for development.	++	Delivering a target which is location and size based should maximise the number of affordable housing coming forward. This target should support delivery through ensuring the size and location of development is matched against a a threshold which is viable for that size and location of development.		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		

Τ.	++		+	0			Ş	-			I
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea	nificant ( r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
		<ul><li>cycling)</li><li>Improv</li><li>Provide for resi</li><li>Ensure</li></ul>	es access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and se	ecurity ollution							
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Support</li><li>Support</li><li>educati</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e good education and training unities for all; texisting higher and further tonal establishments for cort; good quality employment unities available to all.	r ntinued	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this e	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	link between this alternative and the
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success  Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhance opporte Provide econon Support	and promote stable econor	rkforce mic ure; ture for		and neg homes to uncerta for both This app market	k of a specific policy would gotiation of the applicable to be delivered per develo inty in the application and nather council and developm proach is likely to support homes in smaller locations eloper profit.	number of affordable pment. This would cause determination process nent industry.	+	and size of develor council's expectar less uncertainty for the size and location threshold which in development.  Deviance from the order to make this council's expectation of the short of the size and location threshold which in the size and location the size and size an	ould set a specific threshold for the location opment which would setting out the tions of affordable housing clearly causing or the development industry.  d support delivery through ensuring the of development is matched against a s viable for that size and location of is approach should be discouraged but in is more flexible, an allowance could be pers to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove where the series to prove why a site is unviable through the series to prove where the series to prove the series to prove where the series to prove the series to prove the serie
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide demand</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promote</li></ul>	s existing imbalances of equition and exclusion across the accessible services and factoral population; affordable housing to meed; duce homelessness; the the safety and security for and/or property.	ne city; ilities it		for affor thresho or that i target w this wor	proach is likely to lead to a rdable housing. Not deternolds could mean that develonmenter that develon / negotiation would go against supply. It uld maximise affordable hoer, this would depend upor	mining size and location opment is not co-located n formed from the overall is unlikely therefore that ousing to meet demand.	++	A policy delineate of the overall dev creating a balance	ed by location /size should enable viability relopment which would prove positive for ed and mixed community through coet and affordable housing.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a	Deliver accessi	development where it is ble by public transport, wall ling to minimise the use of		0	There is objective	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	link between this alternative and the

	++		+	0			?	-			I	
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
7	sustainable integrated transport network  To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to	suppor Promot Improv Reduce emissic Plan or for the Provide renewa technol Promot	te sustainable design and bu	nich s; el; s asures inge;	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
Ω	its effects	<ul><li>and cor</li><li>Adhere</li><li>hierard</li></ul>	•	ge; ergy	0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the	
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	national habitat SSSIs; Consernature Create geodive Improvinfrastrenviror Provide access	e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	es and ARs and tant ersity /	0	objectiv			0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preventand rer contam</li> <li>Safegua and mc</li> <li>Conserting</li> <li>Safegua encourt</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; ard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best d;	-	issues o deliverii contam this app forward develop		its overall capability for arance and ating between land type iability of bringing tial of previously		an allowance wo to mitigate other site clearance.	nise the redevelopment of brownfield sites uld need to be made in the location criteria potential issues such as contamination or	
10	Improve	• Conser	ve water resources and qua	lity;	0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear	link between this alternative and the	

KEV	Very positive i likely	mpact Positive impact likely No sig no clea	nificant r link	effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely insufficient information	Very likely	negative impact Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	water efficiency and quality	Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.		objective		objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;</li> <li>Promote and increase resource efficiency.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>Reduce all emissions to air from current activities;</li> <li>Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);</li> <li>Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure;</li> <li>Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;</li> <li>Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality;</li> <li>Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users;</li> <li>Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>Reduce risk of flooding;</li> <li>Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk;</li> <li>Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment,	character and setting of the historic city; ronment,  character and setting of the historic city;  ronment,  Conserve or enhance designated and		There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

0

cultural

heritage, character and non-designated heritage assets and their

• Conserve or enhance those elements

$\top$	++		+	0			?	-				I		
KE	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	. ,		No significant effect / no clear link		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	setting	Charac the He	contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identi ritage Topic Paper.	fied in										
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	includii Protect import Promo with its	ve or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value to enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in consurban and rural landscape the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this e	alternative and the	0	There is objective		link between this alternative and the		
Gen	General			Option 1 is likely to have negative effects caused through uncertainty for viability between different locations and size of schemes. This approach would allow more negotiation given that not all sites would be able to achieve the targets.										

terms of expected delivery. Option 2: Affordable housing target varies by location/development type This option should enable the maximum amount of affordable housing to be delivered on the development site which has positive

implications for meeting need as well as social inclusion. An allowance is made the viability of the site through this option which would enable the thresholds to tailor the numbers of houses required. Furthermore, this would ensure that development industry were clear of the Council's position for delivering homes, removing any uncertainty in the application process.

In contrast, Option 2 is likely to maximise the amount of affordable homes through development as it would tailor the provision to location and site size. This would better reflect viability of bringing different types of site forward and set out the Council's position more clearly in

There are no environmental impacts identified.

None

Preferred Approach

Recommendations

SA	A Objective			1: Require all new development to oute to affordable housing targets		2: Require all new developments to oute to affordable housing off-site	Option 3: Require only major development to contribute to affordable housing target on-site		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	on-site 0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	?	This option would lead to smaller sites contributing off site or by alternative methods. This would lead to alternative sites needing to be found for affordable housing provision. The impacts of this on delivery are uncertain	

	++		+	0			2	_				1
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant 6	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	rlink		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	enviro negati Improvidunctio Promo access cycling Improvid For res Ensure	ves access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and se idents; that land contamination/po ot pose unacceptable risks to	d th; lti- gh lking / ecurity	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Support</li><li>Suppo educate</li><li>succes</li><li>Provid</li></ul>	e good education and trainir nunities for all; rt existing higher and further cional establishments for con s; e good quality employment nunities available to all.		0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	succes Delive for the Delive growth Enhan opport Provid for ecc Suppo	eliver conditions for busines s and investment; r a flexible and relevant wor future; r and promote stable econorn; ce the city centre and its cunities for business and leist e the appropriate infrastruct onomic growth; rt existing employment drive ite a low carbon economy.	kforce mic ure; ure		on or of need to housing and mai reduce the need sites.  A policy homes of may caus small homitigate mechan to ensure	r or not development is f-site, developers would contribute to affordable. Co-locating affordable retet housing would the number of market on site but would negate d to find alternatives  to deliver affordable on all development sites use viability issues for busing schemes. To be this additional isms would be required the a contribution to be provision would be		or off-site, devel to contribute to Off-site provision maximising the r homes but also r alternative sites housing provisio	number of market needing to find for affordable n. d support smaller ility issues may	?	Major developments should be able to incorporate homes on site in terms of viability. Co-locating affordable and market housing would reduce the number of market homes on site but would increase the need to find alternatives sites.  This option would lead to smaller site contributing off site or by alternative methods. This would lead to alternative sites needing to be found for affordable housing provision. The impacts of this on delivery are uncertain.
5	Help deliver	Addres	ss existing imbalances of equ	ality,	++	Requirir	ng all affordable housing	-	Requiring all affo	ordable housing off-	+	Requiring all affordable housing on

$\top$	++		+	0			?	-				I .
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely		nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	equality and access to all	<ul><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide deman</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promotion</li></ul>	ation and exclusion across the accessible services and fac- local population; e affordable housing to mee- id; educe homelessness; te the safety and security fo- and/or property.	ilities t		implicate and the inclusive of major particular develop potted a ensure to the same formula and the same formula a	would have positive cions for social inclusion creation of mixed and e communities.  or sites it would be arly important for the ment to be pepperaround the site and that the houses were of the standard to ensure arm integration.		will not create m communities. Th would be particu larger developm However, this op more viable for s	social inclusion and nixed and balanced the impact of this ularly severe on ents.		major development sites would have positive implications for social inclusion and the creation of mixed and inclusive communities. However, it would require smaller sites to find or contribute towards alternatives sites which may lead to a delay in provision and meeting affordable housing demand.  On major sites it would be particularly important for the development to be pepper-potted around the site and ensure that the houses were of the same standard to ensure maximum integration.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessi and cyc car; • Deliver suppor	development where it is ible by public transport, walk cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure what sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of travere congestion.	the nich	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce emissic     Plan or for the     Provide renewa techno     Promormateria and con	e or mitigate greenhouse gas ons from all sources; implement adaptation mea likely effects of climate char e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon logies; te sustainable design and buals that manage the future r nsequences of climate change to the principles of the ene	usures nge; uilding isks ge;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity,	<ul> <li>Conser national habitat</li> <li>SSSIs;</li> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA ve or enhance locally importanservation sites (SINCs);	es and ARs and	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++	+	0			?	-				1	
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea	nificant Ir link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	<ul> <li>Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value;</li> <li>Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment;</li> <li>Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.</li> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> </ul>										
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevention</li> <li>and rerection</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Consertion</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Safeguate</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing nination; and soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	e best	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water efficiency and quality		ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	lity;	0							
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resource tecy.	ry and	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimi from ne reducin low em Suppor low em Improv preven Avoid le could n Avoid le existing	e all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ag transport emissions throu- ission technologies and fue t the development of city w- ission infrastructure; e air quality in AQMAs and t new designations; ocating development where legatively impact on air qual ocating development in are- ig poor air quality where it con n negative impacts on the h	o air  ugh ls);  vide  it  lity;  as of  ould	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-				l
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
		• Promo	ire occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the r.									
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk;</li> <li>Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).</li> </ul>		od risk; sign	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;  Promote or enhance local culture;  Conserve or enhance local culture;  Conserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;  Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.			and od their nts	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Protectimport</li> <li>Promotion</li> <li>Promotion</li> <li>With it line with</li> </ul>	rve or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value it or enhance geologically tant sites; ote high quality design in cors s urban and rural landscape ith the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Gen	General				option may be	wever, the ibution to 2 is likely would made suitable 3 would I pment bu	nis may cause viability issue o affordable provision would to have a negative impact of eximise the number of mark for very small housing schein nave mixed impacts for soci	s for smad d be mad on creating tet home mes whe al inclusion o deliver	Il housing scheme: e. ng a mixed and bais s on site but would re on site provision on and creating a l	s. To mitigate this ad lanced community, p d require large alterna n proves unviable. balanced and mixed o	ditional i articularl ative site	elopment include affordable provision on mechanisms would be required to ensure y in larger scale developments. This s to be found for provision. This option ty as the large sites would incorporate nal sites and may cause delay in provision.

	++	+	0		<u> </u>	-		1
KE	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No sigr no clear	nificant effect / r link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
Prefe	rred Approach			<ul> <li>Option 1 sh balanced a would max</li> <li>In promotion need to fin</li> </ul>	nould applied to all develop and mixed neighbourhoods. imise the delivery of afford ag co-location of affordable d alternatives sites.	ments as the preferred app In combination with a dyna able housing provision.	amic viability target relating reduce the number of mark	elopments ) acts for social inclusion and the creation of to location and type of development, this ket homes on site but would negate the
Recor	nmendations			<ul> <li>site contrib</li> <li>Deviance for could be m</li> <li>On major s</li> </ul>	utions contribution to afforom the combined approactions ade for developers to prov	rdable provision would be n h of option 1 and 2 should be e why a site is unviable thro r important for the developr	nade as per Option 2. be discouraged but in order bugh open book assessment.	nall housing schemes. To mitigate this, off- to make this more flexible, an allowance round the site and ensure that the houses

## **Section 13: Community Facilities**

Re	equired con	tributions						
SA Objective				on 1: Require all new developments to contribute to munity facilities/health provision, on or off site	Option 2: Require only major developments to contribute to community facilities/health provision, on or off site			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	++	The increase of population arising from new developments need to be catered for to ensure that new communities have adequate local access to facilities and that existing facilities can be supported where applicable. This approach is likely to support this by capturing contributions from all new developments and maximising the ability to mitigate their cumulative effects.	I	The most significant concentration and contribution to population will be from large scale (major) developments. This approach would therefore ensure that contributions to facilities and services are met from these developments./  However, the cumulative impacts of other developments can impact on the availability or capacity for local provision of facilities. This cumulative impact, particularly in the longer term, is likely to exacerbate need and may have a detrimental effect on capacity of the facilities.		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)	++	Receiving contributions from all type of development should have a positive impact in ensuring that the health and well-being of both the existing and new population is continued to be catered for.  To maximise this provision, large sites where there will be a significant new community, should allocate provision on	+	Receiving contributions from just major types of development should have a positive impact in supporting health and wellbeing. However, the provision may not be as extensive as option 1 given that the contributions would be spread over a wider area and would need to support the new community.  To maximise this provision, large sites where there will be a		

	(	++		+	0			?	-			1	
	KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signif		effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
L		likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
			<ul><li>Provide for resident</li><li>Ensure</li></ul>	es access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and se dents; that land contamination/po of pose unacceptable risks to	llution		site.				significant new co	mmunity, should allocate provision on site.	
3		Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Support educati success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e good education and trainin unities for all; t existing higher and further onal establishments for con ;; e good quality employment unities available to all.	_	0	There is	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	ink between this alternative and the	
4		Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	and inv Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhanc opporte Provide econom	and promote stable econon	kforce nic ure; ure for	#	healtho sectors, support	ting the delivery of commu are should enable growth i , supporting existing jobs ar ts the evidence for growth i lithcare sector.	n these businesses nd job growth. This also	+	The option would obtain contributions but not for all development as per option 1. This means that the cumu impact of all of the new development may support exis facilities for healthcare but it may also put pressure on through less support from fewer contributions.		
5		Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>Provide the local</li><li>Provide demand</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promotion</li></ul>	s existing imbalances of equition and exclusion across the accessible services and facial population; affordable housing to meet d; duce homelessness; the the safety and security for property.	e city; lities for	++	develop commu that exi Requiri maximi To max new sit significa on site. given to	rease of population arising oments need to be catered inities have adequate local sisting facilities can be supporting all new development to see the provision for this.  Imise accessibility on large es within a particular area want new community, provis Where this is not possible, or the facilities within close particulars area want new community, provis where this is not possible, or the facilities within close particulars.	for to ensure that new access to facilities and orted where applicable. contribute will sites or cumulatively of where there will be a ion should be allocated support should be proximity.	+	The option would obtain contributions but not for all development as per option 1. This means that the cumuli impact of all of the new development may support existing facilities for healthcare but it may also put pressure on the through less support from fewer contributions.  To maximise accessibility on large sites provision should allocated on site. It is anticipated that this approach may be able to support all new facilities and therefore cumulatively of new sites within a particular area where will be a significant new community facilities within close proximity.		
6		Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated	by publ minimis • Deliver	development where it is accident transport, walking and cylose the use of the car; transport infrastructure what sustainable travel options	cling to	_	new sit significa on site.	imise accessibility on large es within a particular area v ant new community, provis Where this is not possible, o the facilities within close p	where there will be a ion should be allocated support should be	_	To maximise accessibility on large sites provision should allocated on site. It is anticipated that this approach may be able to support all new facilities and therefore cumulatively of new sites within a particular area where will be a significant new community facilities within close		

,	++	+	0	?	-		1
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented
 •	-					-	

	transport	<ul> <li>Promote sustainable forms of travel;</li> </ul>				proximity.
	network	Improve congestion.				
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources;  Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;  Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;  Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;  Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Conserve or enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Conserve or enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	<ul> <li>Conserve water resources and quality;</li> <li>Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the

KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signif		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	generation and increase level of reuse	•	g of waste; e and increase resource eff			objectiv			inc.y	objective	
12	and recycling Improve air quality	activitie Minimis new de transpo technol Suppor emissio Improve new de Avoid lo negativ Avoid lo existing result in future o Promot	se and mitigate emissions to velopment (including reduce of temissions through low en ogies and fuels); the development of city we in infrastructure; e air quality in AQMAs and a signations; ocating development where ely impact on air quality; ocating development in area in poor air quality where it con in negative impacts on the hoccupants/users; e sustainable and integrate out network to minimise the	o air from cing mission vide low prevent e it could as of could lealth of odd	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	risk of flooding; development location and o ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	d risk; sign	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this re	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	nk between this alternative and the
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>and set</li> <li>Promot</li> <li>Conserved designal setting;</li> <li>Conserved contribution of the Control of the C</li></ul>	ve or enhance those elemer ute to the 6 Principle Chara City as identified in the Herit aper.	nd non- eir nts which cteristics tage	0	objectiv			0	objective	nk between this alternative and the
15	Conserve or	• Conserv	ve or enhance the landscape	е	0	There is	no clear link between this	alternative and the	0	There is no clear li	nk between this alternative and the

$\top$	++		+	0		?	-				I
KE	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	_	icant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		O	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear l	nk	insufficient information		likely			how it is implemented
	enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>Protect sites;</li><li>Promot its urba with th</li></ul>	ng areas of landscape value or enhance geologically in the high quality design in con an and rural landscape and i e "landscape and Setting" w the Topic Paper.	nportant text with n line	objectiv	ve			objective		
Gen	eral	_			need for comr		es. However, option 1 is app				ing social objectives in terms supporting y positive contribution given that it will
Pref	erred Approach				This opti more ad	on is likely to maximise the equately.		rising fro	om new devel	opmen	on or of site It allowing newly arising need to be met Ipport growth and jobs within these sectors.
Reco	Recommendations				To maximise accessibility on large sites or cumulatively of new sites within a particular area where there will be a significant new community, provision should be allocated on site. Where this is not possible, support should be given to the facilities within close proximity						re there will be a significant new

SA	Ohiective		Ontion	1: Rely on NPPF to guide	Ontion	2: Provide generic local criteria to	Ontion	1 3: Provide detailed local criteria to	
SA Objective				unity facilities/health provision and	-	community facilities/health provision	guide community facilities/health provision and		
			accessi	ibility in relation to new	and ac	cessibility in relation to new	access	ibility in relation to new development	
			develo	pment	develo	pment			
1 To meet the • Deliver homes to meet the needs of the				The NPPF supports the provision	+	Providing a locally defined generic	++	This would require a detailed	
	diverse	population in terms of quantity, quality;		and retention of community		approach would require a broad		understanding of provision and would	
	housing	Promote improvements to the existing		facilities. It states that rural		understanding of community		enable provision planning on sites to	
	needs of the	and future housing stock;		development should retain		facilities within York and their		be more accurate. This ensure the righ	
	population in	Locate sites in areas of known housing		existing facilities and new		overall provision. This would		level of facilities are developed	
	a sustainable	need;		facilities to be planned positively		provide a more detailed approach		alongside any new development.	
	way.	Deliver community facilities for the needs		to enhance the sustainability of		than the NPPF in determining			
		of the population;		communities. The NPPF also		location of the facilities but may		However, a detailed understanding	
		Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and		supports an integrated approach		not target facilities in an area of		would not necessarily capture the	
		Travellers and Showpeople.		to considering the location of		need if this is not identified in the		bigger picture in relation to communit	
				facilities with other uses, which is		criteria. This would encapsulate		facilities and healthcare provision.	
				positive in meeting the needs of		the wider requirements of			
				the population.		communities facilities around York			
						however.			
2	Improve the   • Avoid locating development where			The NPPF supports provision but	1	This would provide a more	+	It is anticipated that a detailed	
	health and environmental circumstances could			lacks definition for accessibility.		detailed approach than the NPPF		approach would enable the provision	

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	well-being of York's population	Improve function     Promo access cycling     Improve Provide for resident for the second f	res access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and so dents; that land contamination/po ot pose unacceptable risks t	ulti- ugh ulking / ecurity		interpre	uld be open to etation and may not be ed in the most needed		supply. Howeve facilities in an a			of healthcare to be delivered in response to identified need. Healthcare provision is particularly important to maintain the health and well-being of the existing and new communities in the long-term.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>opport</li><li>Support</li><li>educat</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e good education and training unities for all; texisting higher and furthe ional establishments for cors; egood quality employment unities available to all.	r ntinued	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	succes:  Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhance opport Provide for eco Support	eliver conditions for business and investment; a flexible and relevant workfuture; and promote stable economic; te the city centre and its unities for business and leise the appropriate infrastructionic growth; te existing employment drive te a low carbon economy.	rkforce mic ure; ture	+	and reto facilities areas. T jobs in a	PF supports the provision ention of community s, including within rural this will help to support associated sectors, ag an element within the onomy.	#	in these busines supporting exist growth. Locally	ities and Id enable growth ses sectors, ing jobs and job derived generic is would enable pport than the Ity in relation to growth in the the health and	++	Supporting the delivery of community facilities and healthcare should enable growth in these businesses sectors, supporting existing jobs and job growth. Locally derived specific indicators for this would enable more specific support option 2, which may beneficial for particular section.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	Address depriva     Provide for the     Provide deman     Help re     Promo	is existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factorial local population; e affordable housing to mee	he city; cilities et	I	and inte is positi Howeve lacks de would k and doe would r	PF supports the inclusion egration of facilities which we for enabling access.  er, the NPPF approach finition in terms of what be regarded as accessible as not specify what this mean in terms of ty. The approach is likely	+	for this would e accessibility con considered in th facilities. However, there facilities which i	may be certain require different to ensure they are	+	The use of detailed criteria would help to ensure that different facilities could be assessed for their location more accurately and placed where they would be address the highest demand or need. This would be particularly effective where they are required within certain distances for accessibility reasons.

	++		+	0			?	-				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
						to be o	pen to interpretation.		potential.			Detailed criteria may not capture the overall level of demand and considerations for facilities however.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessi and cyc car; • Deliver suppor	development where it is ble by public transport, wal cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure whats sustainable travel option te sustainable forms of travel congestion.	the nich s;	_	definition be regated does not mean in	PF approach lacks on in terms of what would rded as accessible and of specify what this would neems of proximity. The chis likely to be open to etation.	+	Locally derived generic indicators for this would enable more specific accessibility considerations to be used in influencing the location of facilities. This should allow provision to be connected to the wider transport network to make use of existing routes  However, there may be certain facilities which require different location criteria to ensure they are maximising their demand potential.		+	The use of detailed criteria would help to ensure that different facilities could be assessed for their location more accurately and placed where they would be address the highest demand or need. It could also ensure facilities connect directly with the existing, or any newly provided, transport network  Detailed criteria may not capture the overall level of demand and considerations for facilities however.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Plan or for the Provide renewa techno Promor materia and con	te sustainable design and boals that manage the future on the sequences of climate change to the principles of the end	asures inge; uilding risks ge;	_	location could the contribution	PF supports the co- n of different uses and nerefore positively ute to minimise the need I to access facilities.	_	potential.  Benefits will be gained in the long-term through co-locating facilities where they are in highest demand to minimise the need to travel.		I	Benefits will be gained in the long-term through co-locating facilities where they are in highest demand to minimise the need to travel.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , biodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected	national habitat SSSIs; Conser nature Create geodivi Improvinfrastrienviror	ve or enhance internationally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMS/ve or enhance locally import conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; re connectivity of green ructure and the natural ment; e opportunities for people to	es and ARs and tant ersity /	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clea alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

Very positive impact  Positive impact likely  No significant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely  Very negative impact Positive or negative impact likely  No significant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact		T			-	?	0	+	++	
likely no clear link insufficient information likely how it is implemented	e impact depending on	Positive or negative impact dep	ative impact	Very negativ	Negative impact likely	Uncertain or	No significant effect /	Positive impact likely	Very positive impact	(e)
incery inducted into the information interpretation in the incertainty in the interpretation in the information in the informat	ited	how it is implemented		likely		insufficient information	no clear link		likely	_

	•	<b>'</b>				,	-	•
	natural environment	access the natural environment.						
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	Conserve water resources and quality;     Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;     Promote and increase resource efficiency.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>Reduce all emissions to air from current activities;</li> <li>Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);</li> <li>Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure;</li> <li>Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;</li> <li>Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality;</li> <li>Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users;</li> <li>Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the	Reduce risk of flooding;     Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk;	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signoclea	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	, ,				1		mountaine mountain	1	Ι	incry	1	now it is implemented
	impact of flooding to people and property in York	sustain (SuDs).	or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	•							0	
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Character</li> </ul>	we or enhance the special er and setting of the historicle or enhance local culture; we or enhance designated a signated heritage assets and we or enhance those element ontribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identifitage Topic Paper.	nd d their nts	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0		re is no clear link between this rnative and the objective		There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>includir</li><li>Protect importa</li><li>Promot with its line wit</li></ul>	ve or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value or enhance geologically ant sites; the high quality design in concurban and rural landscape the "landscape and Setting the Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clea alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Gen	eral				existing maximum option general commulocation option access to	g commur um benefi 2 is likely I provision unity facili n principle 3 would a to be with	aity facilities. This approach it. to have a positive impact to a and location of communit ty provision but would not es in this way would also be also benefit from using loca in a certain distance or wh	hrough the suitable positive ally derived ich need	o have a positive in the determination of sacross the city. The for some facilities in minimising traved criteria and shout to be located in a	mpact but does not do	eric crite this appi re specif facilities nefit in le	on with housing, employment uses and nat would be acceptable distances to have ria. In particular this would benefit the roach to deliver general principles to fic location criteria to be applied. Guiding proving environmental benefits.  Ocating certain facilities which require This policy would not be suitable for
Pref	Preferred Approach					<ul> <li>directing the management of general community facility policy and overall requirement for the city.</li> <li>Combination of option 2 and 3:         <ul> <li>A combination of approaches would be the best way forward to maximise the social benefits of locating facilities and their maximising their accessibility. Option 2 would be beneficial in delivering in a general approach to ensure that all of the city's facilities are considered whereas option 3 would be beneficial to ensuring that any facilities with specific requirements for their location can be accessed and located in the right place.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Continuing access and development of community facilities and healthcare would support the economy and associated workforce in the long-term/</li> </ul>						

			•	•			I
y Ver	ery positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
likel	cely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	Locating facilities and healthcare in close proximity to the populations who use them will have environmental benefits through
	encouraging shorter trips and minimising travel.
Recommendations	The preferred approach is amended to a combined approach to identify and incorporate separate policies for those facilities which need
	detailed criteria to inform their location.

		existing community facilities						
SA C	Objective		Option 1: Do not protect existing community facilities from non-community uses if the market requires them			2: Rely on NPPF policies to protect g community facilities and access to	-	3: Provide local level policy to protect g community facilities and access to
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>		This would conflict with meeting the needs of the population, particularly given that York is expected to grow in terms of population over the plan period. This approach could lead to a lack of services or excess pressure on others.  This approach would also go against the NPPF.	+	The NPPF states that "established shops, facilities and services are able to modernise and guard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services". This is positive in ensuring that facilities are continued to be supported and not lost.  This approach lacks a local understanding of what established services are valued and why to ensure a robust argument is made against unnecessary loss.	**	Local level policy would enable a baseline position to be established to understand the value of services in York and enable a robust stance to protecting existing community facilities
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	-	This may have detrimental impacts to accessible healthcare	I	This policy should protect those facilities of value, of which healthcare could be include,. Given the lack of definition of "value", it may depend subjective decision making.	+	This policy could define locally facilities of value and should ensure that no important facilities are lost. This should help support the wider health and well-being of the population.
3	Improve	Provide good education and training	0	There is no clear link between	0	There is no clear link between this	0	There is no clear link between this

$\top$	++		+	0			?				I	
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant ef	ffect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Suppor educati success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	unities for all; t existing higher and further ional establishments for cor s; e good quality employment unities available to all.	ntinued		this alte	rnative and the objective		alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success  Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhanc opport Provide for eco Suppor	eliver conditions for business and investment; a flexible and relevant wor future; and promote stable econor; the ethe city centre and its unities for business and leist ethe appropriate infrastruct nomic growth; t existing employment drive te a low carbon economy.	rkforce mic ure; ture		continua provider facilities Market also be t employi that any replaced This ma- impacts increasi	interest in the sites could for alternative uses than ment which would mean y jobs lost would not be	+	The NPPF states that "established shops, facilities and services are able to modernise and guard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services". This is positive in ensuring that facilities are continued to be supported and not lost.		+	Local level policy would enable a baseline position to be established to understand the value of services in York and enable a robust stance to protecting existing community facilities. This would be positive in helping to maintain existing jobs and the increasing workforce population.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>deprivation</li><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide deman</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promote</li></ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factocal population; a affordable housing to meed; diduce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	he city; cilities		This wor to delive all, parti expecte populati This app of servic others. I accessib be nega This app against	uld conflict with helping er equality and access for icularly given that York is d to grow in terms of ion over the plan period. Or oach could lead to a lack ces or excess pressure on Local provision and coulity are also likely to to tively effected.  Deroach would also go the NPPF to "guard the loss of facilities".		shops, facilities of able to modernis against the unner valued facilities of is positive in ensure are continued to not lost.  However, this agspecify which see for ensuring a romade against un may have an imp	lowever, this approach does not pecify which services are of value or ensuring a robust argument is nade against unnecessary loss. This hay have an impact on accessibility prough interpretation of the		Local level policy would enable a baseline position to be established to understand the value of services in York and enable a robust stance to protecting existing community facilities. This would have the most significant positive impact in maintaining accessibility to services.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated	accessi and cyc car;	development where it is ble by public transport, wall cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure wh	the			no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	. ,	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?			I				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented		
	transport network	• Promot	ts sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of trave e congestion.											
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emissic Plan or for the Provide renewa technol Promot materia and cor Adhere hierarc	te sustainable design and build that manage the future ransequences of climate change to the principles of the enemby.	uilding risks ge;	0	this alte	no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  There is no clear link between this		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , biodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	national habitat SSSIs; Consernature Create geodive Improvinfrastrenviror Provide	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA ve or enhance locally impor conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; e connectivity of green ucture and the natural ament; e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	es and ARs and tant ersity /	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevention</li> <li>and rerection</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Consertion</li> <li>Safeguate</li> <li>Safeguate</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; and soil quality, including the set versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
10	Improve water efficiency and	• Conser	ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and	lity;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		

KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	Negative impact likely  Very negative likely		-	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	пкегу			no ciea	II IIIIK		insufficient information			пкету		now it is implemented
	quality											
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recycling of waste;  recycling of waste;  Promote and increase resource efficiency.		ery and	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimi from noreducir low em Suppor low em Improv preven Avoid localitie existing result i of futu Promot	mise and mitigate emissions to air new development (including cing transport emissions through emission technologies and fuels); ort the development of city wide emission infrastructure; ove air quality in AQMAs and ent new designations; delocating development where it I negatively impact on air quality; delocating development in areas of ng poor air quality where it could at in negative impacts on the health cure occupants/users; note sustainable and integrated port network to minimise the use of		0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	e risk of flooding; development location and ot negatively impact on floc or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	od risk; sign	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Promo</li> <li>Conser non-de setting</li> <li>Conser which o</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the histor te or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a signated heritage assets an; ve or enhance those eleme contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as ident	ind d their nts	0		no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely		o significant effect / o clear link		Uncertain coinsufficient information	_	ive impact likely	Very negative in likely	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
		the He	ritaga Tania Danar						T			T
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Conser includi</li> <li>Protec import</li> <li>Promo with its line with</li> </ul>	•	text and in	-		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	
Gend	line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.				provision NPPF. Relying of against the Provision	on of facil on the N heir unn	ities, particularly in the n PPF would afford some p secessary loss. However t cal policy to protect servi	rotection of he NPPF d	ong-term when Yo of existing facilities oes not define 'valu ensure a robust sta	ark's population has gr as it states support found as ded services' and leav ance on which to prot	or estab es open	conflict with social objectives for local urthermore this approach conflicts with the dished and valued facilities and guarding in the interpretation of the policy.
Pref	erred Approach				<ul> <li>approach and baseline of understanding of the value of various facilities within the York context.</li> <li>Option 3: Provide local level policy to protect existing community facilities and access to them</li> <li>This approach should maximise the protection of existing facilities which has positive social impacts for the existing and future population.</li> <li>The approach supports local level provision of facilities and safeguards against the loss of jobs. It also has wider benefits in supporting the health and well-being of the over all workforce population.</li> </ul>							
Recommendations					Prior to the formulation of policy an understanding of the value of different community and health facilities is required to fully inform policy development and enable protection of locally defined valued facilities.							

## **Section 14: Education, Skills and Training**

Education Facilities													
A Objective		Option facilities	1: Rely on NPPF to guide development of educational es	Option 2: Local policy to guide development of education facilities									
To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective								

,	++		+	0			?	-			1	
KFY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely		nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
	a sustainable way.	need; • Deliver of the p • Deliver Travelle	sites in areas of known hou community facilities for the copulation; pitches required for Gypsie ers and Showpeople.	e needs es and								
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	enviror negativ Improv functio Promot access cycling Improv Provide for resi Ensure does no health.	es access to healthcare; es or promotes safety and s dents; that land contamination/po ot pose unacceptable risks t	ld olth; ulti- ugh alking / eccurity ollution to	0	objectiv			0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>opport</li><li>Support</li><li>educati</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e good education and traini unities for all; t existing higher and furthe ional establishments for cor s; e good quality employment unities available to all.	er ntinued	+	educati there sh to meet states th collabor develop [giving] schools' This app schools, regardin regardin could bo	PF would positively support on and skills development a nould be sufficient choice of the needs of existing and neat LA's should take "a procrative approach to meeting ment that will widen the chweight to the need to creat".  Proach responds directly to however there is more scong "choice in education". This guider skills and other education are addressed.	cross York. It states that school places available ew communities. It also active, positive and this requirement and to oice in education e, expand or alter education within pe for interpretation is may reveal a gap ucational facilities which	**	locally defined prenable a help to lin various location existing capacity at the local training outside of groups within the or demand.	ted that this approach could tailor skills and of school provision to appeal to all age community where there is a requirement this approach would have a	
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive	success  Deliver for the	eliver conditions for busines and investment; a flexible and relevant wo future; and promote stable econo ;	rkforce	+	Evidence attainm minimis Positive all level	e base shows that the higher ent and skills within York have the the impacts of the econor ly supporting education and s therefore should support the overall economy.	as helped to support and mic downturn. I skills development at	++	and skills within \ the impacts of the Positively suppor	ows that the higher educational attainment /ork has helped to support and minimise e economic downturn. ting education and skills development at all hould support the overall workforce as Il economy.	

	++		+ 0				?	-			I
KEY		mpact	Positive impact likely	No significant effe		effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely no			no clea	no clear link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	economy	<ul> <li>opportunities for business and leisure;</li> <li>Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;</li> <li>Support existing employment drivers;</li> <li>Promote a low carbon economy.</li> </ul>									
5	equality and access to all  Provide acce for the local Provide affor demand; Help reduce Promote the		s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factoral population; affordable housing to meed; d; duce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	he city; cilities	+	Enabling the population to gain skills provides a for for ensuring the population are not excluded from opportunities which require basic skill sets ormay a through the job market.		excluded from kill sets ormay arise	++	Enabling the population to gain skills provides a foundation for ensuring the population are not excluded from opportunities which require basic skill sets or may arise through the job market. A local policy approach could tailor this towards the York job market to maximise social inclusion and equality in this way	
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	0			0	There is objective	s no clear link between this a	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	link between this alternative and the
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	e emissions from all sources; • Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change; • Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective			
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and	nationa habitat SSSIs; • Conser nature • Create	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA we or enhance locally impor conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value;	es and ARs and tant	0	There is objective	s no clear link between this i	alternative and the	0	There is no clear objective	ink between this alternative and the

Т.	++		+	0			Ş	-			I	
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea	nificant r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
	fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	infrastructure and the natural environment;  Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.  ented al onment										
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevent and ren contam</li> <li>Safegua and mo</li> <li>Consert</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the nediate any existing sination; and soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective				O There is no clear link between this alternativ objective		
10	Improve water efficiency and quality		ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	lity;	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and objective		
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclin	te reduction, re-use, recovering of waste; the and increase resource toy.	ry and	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the	
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimis from ne reducin low em Suppor low em Improv prevent Avoid le could n Avoid le existing result in of futur	all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including g transport emissions throus ission technologies and fuet the development of city wission infrastructure; e air quality in AQMAs and to new designations; ocating development where egatively impact on air qual ocating development in area; poor air quality where it con negative impacts on the here occupants/users; its sustainable and integrate	o air  ugh ls);  ride  it lity; as of buld ealth	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this ve	alternative and the	0	There is no clear I objective	ink between this alternative and the	

	++	+	0	?	-		T
Ē	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

		transport network to minimise the use of the car.								
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	Reduce risk of flooding;     Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk;     Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective				
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Conserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;</li> <li>Promote or enhance local culture;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective				
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value</li> <li>Protect or enhance geologically important sites;</li> <li>Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective				
Gen	eral		existing could t per the	g and new communities. However, it is more likely the local lev tailor education, skills and training provision towards York base e NPPF.	el policy d require	cational facilities through recognition of its importance for both will have a significantly positive impact given that this approach ments and widen the scope from just school education as				
Pref	erred Approach		<ul> <li>Option 2: Local level policy to guide development of education facilities.</li> <li>This approach is likely to deliver the most benefit for social objectives given that it would be able to support a wide range of education, skills and training development and contribute towards social inclusion and equality.</li> <li>The approach would support York's workforce and economy through the ensuring that the skills and training needs of the population could be met.</li> <li>There are no environmental impacts identified.</li> </ul>							
Rec	ommendations		In defining local level policy the wider needs of the workforce are considered and that policy aims to tailor this to support the overall workforce as well as school based education.							

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

SA	Objective		provisi	1: Rely on NPPF to guide education on and accessibility in relation to evelopment	guide e	2: Provide generic local criteria to ducation provision and accessibility tion to new development	educat	3: Provide detailed criteria to guide ion provision and accessibility in relation development
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;     Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;     Locate sites in areas of known housing need;     Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;     Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.	+	The NPPF supports the provision educational facilities. It states that a proactive and positive approach to planning should be undertaken to meet the need of population.	+	Providing a locally defined generic approach would require a broad understanding of education facilities within York and their overall provision. This would provide a more detailed approach than the NPPF in determining location of the facilities but may not target facilities in an area of need if this is not identified in the criteria. This would encapsulate the wider requirements of communities facilities around York and would be efficient in capturing the requirements from major developments	+	This would require a detailed understanding of provision and education capacity. It would require provision on sites to be more accurate to ensure the right level of facilities are developed alongside any new development. Accessibility would only be determined through the creation of a masterplan for the site.  However, a detailed understanding would not necessarily capture the bigger picture in relation to education provision.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
3	Improve education, skills development and training	Provide good education and training opportunities for all;     Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;	I	The NPPF supports provision but lacks definition for accessibility. This would be open to interpretation and may not be delivered in the most needed	+	This would provide a more detailed approach than the NPPF in determining location of the facilities and deliver a broad understanding of overall need and	I	It is anticipated that a detailed approach would require a more indepth understanding of individual school requirements to enable the right location and level of provision to be

	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sigi	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	for an effective workforce		e good quality employment unities available to all.			areas.			complement th to education pro	eneric criteria may e approach taken ovision in terms of s to still enable an		delivered.  Understanding the cumulative impacts of development may be more suited to
									impacts from de addressed.			option 2 given that this approach is likely to require masterplan detail for knowledge of likely population numbers.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhanc opport Provide for eco Suppor	the city centre and its unities for business and leis the appropriate infrastruction growth; the city existing employment drive the allow carbon economy.	rkforce mic ure; ture ers;	+	and exp facilities jobs in a	PF supports the provision ansion of educational sThis will help to support associated sectors.	#	Supporting the e educational faci enable growth i supporting exist growth. Locally indicators for th more specific su NPPF, particular the evidence for economy.	lities should in this sector, ing jobs and job derived generic is would enable pport than the ly in relation to growth in the	++	Supporting the delivery of educational facilities should enable growth in this sector, supporting existing jobs and job growth. Locally derived generic indicators for this would enable more specific support than the NPPF, particularly in relation to the evidence for growth in the economy.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>deprivation</li> <li>Provide for the</li> <li>Provide deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promotion</li> </ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factoral population; affordable housing to meed; d; duce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	ne city; ilities		and inte facilities great in that a su places a needs o communian overa deliverin However lacks de would b and doe would n proximit	PF supports the inclusion egration of community is overall and attaches apportance to ensuring sufficient choice of school are available to meet the fexisting and new inities. This should have all positive impacting access to all.  Per, the NPPF approach finition in terms of what he regarded as accessible are not specify what this mean in terms of ty. The approach is likely ben to interpretation.	+	for this would e	generic indicators nable more specific siderations to be e location of	+	The use of detailed criteria would help to ensure that different facilities could be assessed for their location more accurately and placed where they would address the highest demand or need. This would be particularly effective where they are required within certain distances for accessibility reasons.  Detailed criteria may not capture the overall level of demand and considerations for facilities however. It would also require a more detailed understanding of the masterplanning process to determine the definite impact.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable	accessi	development where it is ble by public transport, wal cling to minimise the use of		I	definition	PF approach lacks on in terms of what would rded as accessible and t specify what this would	+	for this would e accessibility con	generic indicators nable more specific siderations to be ing the location of	+	The use of detailed criteria would help to ensure that different facilities could be assessed for their location more accurately and placed where they

	++		+	0			?	_				1
KFV	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative im	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on
>	likely		. common mpage interv	no clear		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	insufficient information	. reguere	e impace intery	likely		how it is implemented
<u> </u>	ery		l l	110 01001						e.,		now it is implemented
	integrated transport network	suppor • Promot	transport infrastructure wh ts sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of trave re congestion.	5;			terms of proximity. The th is likely to be open to tation.		facilities. This should allow provision to be connected to the wider transport network to make use of existing routes			would be address the highest demand or need. It could also ensure facilities connect directly with the existing, or any newly provided, transport network  Detailed criteria may not capture the overall level of demand and considerations for facilities however It would also require a more detailed understanding of the masterplanning process to determine the definite impact.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emissic Plan or for the Provide renewa technol Promot materia and cor	te sustainable design and bu als that manage the future ri nsequences of climate chang to the principles of the ene	isures nge; uilding isks ge;	-	location could th contribu	PF supports the co- of different uses and erefore positively tte to minimise the need I to access facilities.	_	term through co	gained in the long- -locating facilities n highest demand need to travel.		Benefits will be gained in the long-term through co-locating facilities where they are in highest demand to minimise the need to travel.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , biodiversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	national habitat SSSIs; Consernature Create geodive Improvinfrastrenviror Provide	ve or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA we or enhance locally import conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; re connectivity of green fucture and the natural imment; e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	es and Rs and tant ersity /	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
9	Use land	Re-use	previously developed land;		0	There is	no clear link between	0	There is no clear	link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	resources					this alte	rnative and the objective		alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective

_												
	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigr no clear	nificant e r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul><li>and ren contam</li><li>Safegua and mo</li><li>Consert</li><li>Safegua</li></ul>	t pollution contaminating the nediate any existing ination; ard soil quality, including the ist versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	e best								
10	Improve water efficiency and quality		ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	lity;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclin • Promot efficien	•		0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	· link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimis from ne reducin low em Suppor low em Improv prevent Avoid le could n Avoid le existing result in of futur Promot transpor	se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including g transport emissions throu- ission technologies and fue t the development of city w ission infrastructure; e air quality in AQMAs and t new designations; ocating development where egatively impact on air qual ocating development in area; poor air quality where it con negative impacts on the hare occupants/users; se sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the	o air  lgh ls); ide  it lity; as of ould ealth	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and	<ul><li>Reduce</li><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	risk of flooding; development location and out negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	d risk; ign	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective

KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	Negative impact likely  Very negative likely			Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	пкету			110 clea	I IIIIK		IIISUITICIETI IIITOTTITALIOIT			пкету		now it is implemented
	property in York											
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charact</li> <li>Promot</li> <li>Consernon-desetting</li> <li>Consernwhich of Charact</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ser and setting of the histor se or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a signated heritage assets an every enhance those elementontribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identritage Topic Paper.	nd d their nts	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective				There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Conserrincludir</li> <li>Protect importation</li> <li>Promote with its line with</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the landscap ng areas of landscape value or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in cor urban and rural landscape h the "landscape and Settir the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Prefe	erred Approach				Option 2 to unde local acc Similarly populati require Option 2 Th mo on Su Lo	to meeting to meeting to meeting the control of the	ng the existing and future n a generic approach to prove the impacts of cumulative r indicators. on 2, Option 3 is likely to har individual school capacity /p d understanding of sites' m e generic local criteria to gu support the provision and l y also enable a wider under on provision. the delivery of educational	eeds of control of con	enable existing eduction elopment. Providir elopment. Providir elopment but wood in order to determining. The elopment in the	ucation capacity to be ng a local level appro- uld require a detailed nine this, local level a d accessibility supply ensuring tha impacts from develo- wth in this economic	e underst ach also d underst accessibil t the nee pments t	tood and likely to respond more positively allows the policy to incorporate known tanding of provision and knowledge of lity criteria can be used but it would also add of the existing and new populations are to be addressed and their overall impacts supporting existing jobs and job growth.
Reco	ecommendations					ici e ai e ii	o chimolinientai impacts it	chineu	at this time.			
				<u> </u>								

	++	+	0	;	-		I
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Pr	ovision of S	Skills and Training Opportunitie	es			
SA	Objective			1: Require all new developments to contribute to skills and g provision, on or off site		n 2: Require only development with construction costs of over r more to provide skills and training, on or off site
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	Provide good education and training opportunities for all; Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.	+	Receiving contributions from all types of development should have a positive impact in ensuring that skills and training provision is available to both the existing and new populations.  To maximise provision through this approach, a sliding scale of provision is likely to be required where more contributions are required from larger schemes, to enable maximum provision.	**	Provision from development with high construction costs would make a positive contribution to supporting skills and training opportunities.  Whilst it may seem that provision may not be as extensive as option 1 given that the contributions would only be sought from higher costs development, it is likely that this would be the most viable way and therefore successful in delivery.  To maximise this provision, high cost sites should make some training opportunities available on site.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable,	Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;     Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;	+ -	Evidence base shows that the higher educational attainment and skills within York has helped to support and minimise the impacts of the economic downturn. Positively supporting skills and training development at	+	Pursing provision of skills and training opportunities from the large-scale high value schemes should enable a positive contribution towards ensuring skills development within York. It has already been approved that the city has been

	++		+	0			?	-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No signif	icant eff	fect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented		
	low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul><li>growth</li><li>Enhance</li><li>opport</li><li>Provide</li><li>econor</li><li>Support</li></ul>	and promote stable econo; ce the city centre and its unities for business and leis e the appropriate infrastruc nic growth; t existing employment drivite a low carbon economy.	ure; ture for		should overa Requi training sites in need training sliding	els with contributions from d therefore have a positive II workforce as well as the cring all development to conng provision may cause viabn terms of the sum of controus to be made or making availing. To maximise provision to g scale of provision is likely to contributions are required.	effect in supporting the overall economy.  tribute to skills and oility issues on smaller ibutions that would able opportunities for hrough this approach, a to be required where		resilient to the economic downturn due to this and therefore it should be positive over for the economy. It is anticipated that this approach would enable opportunitie to be delivered both on and off site  Requiring only high value schemes to provide opportunitis should enable the costs of implementation to be absorbe within the overall development for site to minimise viabilissues.			
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>depriva</li> <li>Provide the local</li> <li>Provide deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promotion</li> </ul>	is existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and factal population; affordable housing to meet d; additionally the acceptance of the safety and security for property.	he city; cilities for	0		is no clear link between thi		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective			
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	<ul> <li>Deliver by pub minimi</li> <li>Deliver suppor</li> <li>Promo</li> </ul>	development where it is ac lic transport, walking and cy se the use of the car; transport infrastructure wi ts sustainable travel option te sustainable forms of trav re congestion.	ycling to nich s;	0	There object	is no clear link between thi tive	s alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the		
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce emissic     Plan or the like     Provide renewatechno     Promormateria conseq	e or mitigate greenhouse ga ons from all sources; implement adaptation mea- ely effects of climate change e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon logies; te sustainable design and brais that manage the future re- quences of climate change; e to the principles of the energians.	asures for e; uilding risks and	0	There objec	is no clear link between thi tive	is alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the		
8	Conserve or enhance green	nationa	ve or enhance internationa ally significant priority speci s within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA	es and	0	There objec	is no clear link between thi tive	s alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the		

	++		+	0			?	-			
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signif no clear li		ect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very likely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	nature     Create geodive     Improv infrastr     Provide	ve or enhance locally impor conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-dive ersity value; e connectivity of green ructure and the natural envi e opportunities for people to ural environment.	ersity /							
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul><li>Prevention</li><li>Safeguation</li><li>Consertion</li><li>Safeguation</li></ul>	Re-use previously developed land; Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination; Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land; Conserve or enhance allotments; Safeguard mineral resources and encourag their efficient use. Conserve water resources and quality;			There object	is no clear link between th tive	is alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	ink between this alternative and the
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	eir efficient use.			There object	is no clear link between th tive	is alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	ink between this alternative and the
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclin	te reduction, re-use, recove ng of waste; te and increase resource eff	0	There object	is no clear link between th tive	is alternative and the	0	There is no clear li objective	ink between this alternative and the	
12	Improve air quality	activitie Minimi new de transpo technol Suppor emissio Improv new de Avoid le negativ	e all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to evelopment (including reduce) ort emissions through low en logies and fuels); t the development of city won infrastructure; e air quality in AQMAs and possignations; ocating development where evely impact on air quality; ocating development in area	o air from cing mission vide low prevent	0	There object	is no clear link between th tive	is alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective	ink between this alternative and the

existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York  13 Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  14 Conserve or enhance the special character and setting  15 Conserve or enhance  16 Conserve or enhance elsaignated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting:  17 Conserve or enhance the special character setting:  18 Conserve or enhance the special character and esignated and non-designated heritage, character and setting of the historic city; Promote or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting:  18 Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  19 Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  19 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	_	++		+	0			?	-			1			
Sikely   Now it is implemented   Sikely   Now it is implemented	KĒ	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant eff	fect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on			
result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.  13 Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York  14 Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting of the City as identified in the Heritage of Indicate Plans		likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented			
result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.  13 Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York  14 Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  15 Conserve or enhance  16 Conserve or enhance  17 Conserve or enhance  18 Conserve or enhance the special character and setting  18 Conserve or enhance the property in the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the property in the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the property in the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the property in the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the property in the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the property in the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the Industry of the City as identified in the Heritage  19 Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value  19 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  19 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective							•								
flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York  14 Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  15 Conserve or enhance  16 Conserve or enhance  17 Conserve or enhance the first of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  18 Conserve or enhance the special character and setting  19 Conserve or enhance the first of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  19 Conserve or enhance the landscape enhance  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  10 There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  11 Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value			result in future of Promote transport	n negative impacts on the hoccupants/users; te sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the	ealth of										
enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting  Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  15 Conserve or enhance  enhance  and setting of the historic city;  Promote or enhance local culture;  Conserve or enhance designated and non- designated heritage assets and their setting;  Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.  O There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective  objective	13	flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in	<ul><li>Reduce</li><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	erisk of flooding; development location and ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des	d risk; sign	0			his alternative and the	0		ink between this alternative and the			
enhance including areas of landscape value objective objective	14	enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and	ting of the historic city; te or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a ated heritage assets and the ; ve or enhance those eleme ute to the 6 Principle Chara City as identified in the Heri	nd non- eir nts which cteristics	0			ink between this alternative and the							
and built landscape  • Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.	15	enhance York's natural and built	Conserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value  York's natural and built landscape  Protect or enhance geologically importan sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the "landscape				objecti	ive			objective				
General  Both option 1 and 2 are likely to have a positive impact on the provision of skills and training. However, it is more likely that option 2 w deliver this more consistently given that it is likely to impact less on the viability of development given that costs could be subsumed w the high construction costs.	Gen						deliver this more consistently given that it is likely to impact less on the viability of development given that costs co								
Preferred Approach  Option 2: Require only development with construction costs of over £1m or more to provide skills and training, on or off site  This approach is likely to deliver the most benefit for consistent provision from sites due to viability and costs being able to substantial within the high construction costs.  The approach would support York's workforce through the provision of skills and training. By doing this, it will also contribute to economy through the ensuring that the skills and training needs of the population are met.  There are no environmental impacts identified.							<ul> <li>This approach is likely to deliver the most benefit for consistent provision from sites due to viability and costs being able to subsume within the high construction costs.</li> <li>The approach would support York's workforce through the provision of skills and training. By doing this, it will also contribute to the economy through the ensuring that the skills and training needs of the population are met.</li> </ul>								
Recommendations	Rec	ommendations													

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

## **Section 15: Universities**

	evelopment Objective		Option 1: Rely on NPPF to guide form and location of new development			2: Provide generic local criteria to orm and location of university pment	guide form and location of university development			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
3	Improve education, skills development and training	Provide good education and training opportunities for all;     Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;	+	The NPPF states that a "proactive, positive and collaborative approach should be taken to development that will widen choice in education". This	++	This approach is likely to have a positive impact on delivery of university development supporting the overall educational needs of students and the university. A	++	This approach is likely to have a positive impact on delivery of university development supporting the overall educational needs of students and the university. It would allow the		

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	for an effective workforce		e good quality employment unities available to all.			develop and wo	ch would support oment of the universities rking together with them olish need.		more locations f but this may be specific requirer	ments to be met as		specific needs of the university to be considered in a detailed way and enable a proactive and collaborative approach to be undertaken in working
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;     Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;     Support existing employment drivers;     Promote a low carbon economy.      Address existing imbalances of equality,		rkforce mic sure; ture	+	Supporting the provision of education supports the overall economy for York as this is a large employment sector for the city. The universities within York make a significant contribution to this and so supporting this would be of benefit.  The NPPF does not specify criteria for universities directly, which may open negotiation and cause uncertainty for both the establishments and York in determining provision.			employment sec The universities significant contr so supporting th benefit.	provision of ports the overall rk as this is a large ctor for the city. within York make a libution to this and his would be of appendix through would enable York to general	+	Supporting the provision of education supports the overall economy for York as this is a large employment sector for the city. The universities within York make a significant contribution to this and so supporting this would be of benefit.  Specifying locations or criteria for university expansion would set out the council's policy clearly reducing negotiations of what would and would not be acceptable location for development.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>deprivation</li><li>Provide for the</li><li>Provide deman</li><li>Help re</li><li>Promote</li></ul>	Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city; Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population; Provide affordable housing to meet demand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.		O There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective			0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimise the use of the car;  Deliver transport infrastructure which		the nich s;	?	location	PF does not designate I criteria and therefore uld have an unknown	+	guide developm suitable to their taking account of criteria. This won transport criteriand influence the development.	ould enable York to ent to places requirements of wider location ould enable a to be included be location of	++	Directing development through specific policy would enable York to focus development in places suitable to their requirements taking account of wider location criteria. This would enable transport criteria to be included and influence the location of development.

	Very positive impact Positive impact likely No			0			?	-				I
KEY		impact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
									transport, it may have a more det understanding o route which gen not include.	ailed		
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	e emissions from all sources;  Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;  Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;  Promote sustainable design and buildin materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;  Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.  Conserve or enhance international and nationally significant priority species an		asures inge; uilding risks ge;	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Conserve or enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Conserve or enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.		es and ARs and tant ersity /	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>		ne land e best d;	0	this alte	no clear link between rnative and the objective			There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve		ve water resources and qua	ality;	0	There is	no clear link between	0	There is no clear	link between this	0	There is no clear link betwee

>	++					or Negative impact likely Very negative impac				nact Positive or negative impact depending on				
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely		_	effect /			ve impact likely	Very negative in		Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no cle	ar link		insufficient informatio	1		likely		how it is implemented		
												1		
	water efficiency and	•	e the quality of rivers and waters.			this alte	rnative and the objective	!	alternative and t	he objective		alternative and the objective		
	quality													
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	<ul><li>recyclin</li><li>Promotefficier</li></ul>	•		0	this alte	no clear link between rnative and the objective		alternative and t	•	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>Reduce all emissions to air from curren activities;</li> <li>Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);</li> <li>Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure;</li> <li>Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;</li> <li>Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality;</li> <li>Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users;</li> <li>Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use the car.</li> <li>Reduce risk of flooding;</li> </ul>					no clear link between rnative and the objective		There is no clear alternative and t	link between this he objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does not be doe</li></ul>	development location and ot negatively impact on floc or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	d risk; sign	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective			There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and	hance rk's historic vironment, ltural ritage,  character and setting of the historic city end or enhance local culture;  Conserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their			0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective			O There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective			There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective		

$\overline{}$				0			2					
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	Ŭ	nificant r link	effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Nega	itive impact likely	Very negative in likely	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	setting	Charac	contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identification of the City as identification.									
15	enhance York's natural and built landscape  including areas of landscape value  Protect or enhance geologically important sites; Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.				-	specify combination permission the l avoided	PF approach does not location criteria which in- ation with the existing lions, may have an impact andscape that would be through have a locally criteria approach.	+	factors to be con location of new The more gener however, the m	I allow landscape insidered in the development.  Ic the criteria ore likely it is to impact through not	+	Using a locally derived detailed criteria approach would allow landscape factors to be carefully considered in the location of new development.
	General Preferred Approach					Option 1 would support the development of the Universities expansion through an overall support for educational facilities. How not be detailed enough to ensure that the environmental impacts of location would be mitigated.  Option 2 and 3 are both likely to have a positive impact for the development of universities. However Option 3 would allow the softhe university to be considered in a detailed way and enable a proactive and collaborative approach to be undertaken in their helps to remove uncertainty for future growth.  Option 3: Provide detailed local criteria to guide form an location of university development  This approach is likely to have a positive impact on delivery of university development supporting the overall educational nature students and the university.  It would allow the specific needs of the university to be considered in a detailed way and enable a proactive and collaborated Specifying locations or criteria for university expansion would set out the council's policy clearly reducing negotiations of wand would not be acceptable location for development.						
Reco	mmendations				This approach is likely to have a positive environmental through allowing specific principles to be included.							be included.

## **SECTION 16 DESIGN AND THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT**

Design and the Historic Environment					
SA Objective	Option 1: Restrict all	Option 2: Restrict all new	Option 3: Rely on National	Option 4: Provide local	Option 5: Provide local
	new development	development affecting	Planning Policy Framework	policy to guide new	policy to guide new

_	++	+	0	;	-		I
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

				iting heritage gnations	,	gnated and non- gnated heritage urce	relat	ide development in ion to heritage gnations/resources		elopment in relation to heritage designations	to de	elopment in relation esignated and non- gnated heritage urces
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	?	Given the concentration of designated heritage assets in York restricting all new development would reduce the amount of land available for new housing and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective.	?	Given the concentration of designated and non-designated heritage assets in York restricting all new development would reduce the amount of land available for new housing and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective.	?	The NPPF seeks to restrict development that would cause material harm to a designated heritage asset or its setting that is not outweighed by economic, social and environmental benefit. In weighing up applications that affect non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset. Given the concentration of designated and non-designated heritage asset in York this could potentially reduce the amount of land available for housing development, which would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective.	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated heritage assets, which could reduce the amount of land available for new housing and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that provide for housing need.	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated and non-designated heritage assets, which could reduce the amount of land available for new housing and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that provide for housing need.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;</li> <li>Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifestyle</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider	0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider the safety	0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider the safety and security of	0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider the safety	0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider the safety

>	++		+	0			3			-					I		
KEY	Very positive imp	oact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncerta		or	Negative in	ipact li	kely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative	impac	t depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insuffici	ent info	ormation				likely		how it is implement	ed	
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	• III • PP ss • EE cc pp • P cc • SS • ee cc	opportunities for all; Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Help deliver conditions for business		the safety and security of residents.  O No significant effect/clear link, although there are educational opportunities associated with the historic environment.		0	No significant effect/clear link, although there are educational opportunities associated with the historic environment.		0	O No significant effect/clear link, although there are educational opportunities associated with the historic environment.		0	O No significant effect/clear link, although there are educational opportunities associated with the historic environment.		and security of residents.  No significant effect/clear link, although there are educational opportunities associated with the historic environment.	
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	S S C C V V C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	<ul> <li>success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;</li> <li>Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;</li> <li>Support existing employment drivers;</li> </ul>		?	Given the concentrar designated heritage at York restri new devel would red amount of available f economic developme thus restri growth, w would medimpacts or objective a uncertain. Protection historic environme important tourism reit is an econdriver for Young the restrict of the control o	ssets in cting all opment uce the land or ent and ct hich an that in this are of the ent is as lated to whomic	?	designate assets in \(^1\) restricting developm reduce th of land av economic developm thus restr which wo that impa objective uncertain Protection historic environm important	ation of d and non- d heritage fork g all new hent would e amount railable for hent and hict growth, huld mean cts on this are h of the ent is t as elated to it homic	?	restrict that w materi design asset of that is by eco and en benefit up app affect the ritage balance will be having scale of loss an signification design design assets could i	PPF seeks to at development yould cause ial harm to a lated heritage or its setting not outweighed onomic, social environmental it. In weighing olications that non designated ge assets, a lated judgement e required gregard to the of any harm or end the cance of the ge asset. Given incentration of lated and non-lated heritage in York this potentially ethe amount of	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated heritage assets, which could reduce the amount of land available for new economic development and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that help deliver economic growth. Protection of the historic	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects and non-designated heritage assets, which could reduce the amount of land available for new economic development and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that help deliver economic growth. Protection of the historic

>	++	+	0			?			-					I		
KEY	Very positive imp	npact Positive impact likely	No sig	gnifican	t effect /	Uncertai			Negative im	ipact lil	kely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative	impact	depending on
	likely		no clea	ar link		insufficie	ent info	ormation				likely		how it is implement	ed	
											econor develo restrict would uncert this ob	pment and thus t growth, which therefore have ain impacts on ijective.		environment is important as tourism related to it is an economic driver for York.		environment is important as tourism related to it is an economic driver for York.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Address existing imbalance equality, deprivation and across the city;</li> <li>Provide accessible service facilities for the local popular provide affordable housin demand;</li> <li>Help reduce homelessnes</li> <li>Promote the safety and sepeople and/or property.</li> </ul>	exclusion s and ulation; g to meet s; ccurity for	0	No significal effect/clea although direlation to historic environme should conthe safety security of residents.	r link, esign in the nt sider and	0	No signific effect/clea although d relation to historic environme consider the and securi- residents.	er link, lesign in the ent should the safety	0	effect/ althou relatio enviror consid- and se resider		0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider the safety and security of residents.	0	No significant effect/clear link, although design in relation to the historic environment should consider the safety and security of residents.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	Deliver development whe accessible by public transpublic walking and cycling to minuse of the car;     Deliver transport infrastruwhich supports sustainable options;     Promote sustainable form     Improve congestion.	oort, nimise the cture e travel	?	Given the concentrat designated heritage as York restrictions delivery of transport infrastruction which wou mean that impacts on objective a uncertain.	ssets in cting all oppment ict	ů.	Given the concentral designated designated assets in Y restricting developme restrict det transport infrastruct which wou, that impact objective a uncertain.	d and non- d heritage ork all new ent could livery of ure, ald mean cts on this	į	restrict that we materi design asset of that is by eco and en benefit up app affect in heritage balance will be having scale of loss an signification design design assets could it.	PFF seeks to t development ould cause fal harm to a ated heritage or its setting not outweighed nomic, social nvironmental t. In weighing olications that non designated age assets, a ed judgement required regard to the of any harm or of the cance of the age asset. Given necentration of ated and non- ated heritage in York this potentially t delivery of	Ş	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated heritage assets, which could restrict delivery of transport infrastructure and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that help deliver sustainable transport infrastructure.	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects and non-designated heritage assets, which could restrict delivery of transport infrastructure and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that help deliver sustainable transport infrastructure.

	++		+	0			?			-					1		
1	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertai	in	or	Negative in	ipact li	ikely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative	impact	depending on
	likely			no clear	·link		insufficie	ent info	rmation				likely		how it is implement	ed	
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	er Pland River Pr	educe or mitigate greenhous missions from all sources; an or implement adaptation leasures for the likely effects imate change; rovide and develop energy from the substantial end of the chologies; romote sustainable design audiding materials that managiture risks and consequences imate change; dhere to the principles of the nergy hierarchy.	orom on and ge the so of	?	Given the concentra designated heritage a York restrinew devel could restrine use of sust design and building mor renewal energy sou which wou mean that impacts on objective a uncertain.	d ssets in sets in set	?	Given the concentra non-desig heritage a York restr new deve could rest use of sus design an materials renewable sources, v would me impacts o objective uncertain	ation of gnated assets in ricting all elopment crict the stainable d building or e energy which each that are	?	would uncer this ol restrict that w mater design asset that is by ecc and end benefup app affect herita balanc will be having scale of loss and signification of the code sign design assets could restrict sustail building renew source thereit uncer this ol	tructure, which of therefore have tain impacts on bjective.  IPPF seeks to ct development would cause rial harm to a nated heritage or its setting s not outweighed commic, social nvironmental rit. In weighing plications that con designated age assets, a ced judgement e required g regard to the of any harm or not the icance of the age asset. Given concentration of nated and nonnated heritage is in York this potentially ct the use of inable design and ng materials or wable energy es, which would fore have tain impacts on bjective.	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated heritage assets, which could restrict the use of sustainable design and building materials or renewable energy sources and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that help deliver environmental benefit.	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects and non-designated heritage assets, which could restrict the use of sustainable design and building materials or renewable energy sources and would therefore, have uncertain impacts on this objective. However, identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that help deliver environmental benefit.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity,	ar sp SF	rotect and enhance internation nationally significant prior pecies and habitats within SAPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; rotect and enhance locally	rity	0	No signific effect/clea		0	No signific effect/cle		0	_	gnificant :/clear link.	0	No significant effect/clear link.	0	No significant effect/clear link.

Τ.	++		+	0			?		-					T		
KEY	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nifican	t effect /	Uncerta	in	or Negativ	e impact l	likely	Very negative	impac	t Positive or negative	impac	t depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insuffici	ent info	ormation			likely		how it is implemen	ted	
						1							_	T		T
	flora and fauna for accessible high quality and	(	important nature conservation (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio													
	connected		diversity / geodiversity value;													
	natural 		Improve connectivity of green													
	environment		infrastructure and the natural environment;													
		•	Provide opportunities for peop access the natural environmen													
9	Use land	•	Re-use previously developed I	and;	0	No signific		0	No significant	0	_	nificant	0	No significant	0	No significant
	resources efficiently and safeguard their	1	Prevent pollution contaminati land and remediate any existir contamination;	_		effect/clea	ır link.		effect/clear link.		effect/	clear link.		effect/clear link.		effect/clear link.
	quality	• :	Safeguard soil quality, includir best and most versatile agricu	_												
			land; Protect or enhance allotments	ς.												
			Safeguard mineral resources a	-												
			encourage their efficient use.								ļ					
10	Improve water efficiency and		Conserve water resources and quality;	1	0	No signific effect/clea		0	No significant effect/clear link.	0	_	nificant <sup>/</sup> clear link.	0	No significant effect/clear link.	0	No significant effect/clear link.
	quality		Improve the quality of rivers a	ınd												
11	D. d		groundwaters.		0	No disciplina		0	Nie die die die		No. of a			Nie deutsch		Nie de d'écont
11	Reduce waste generation and		Promote reduction, re-use, re- and recycling of waste;	covery	0	No signific effect/clea		0	No significant effect/clear link	0	_	nificant <sup>/</sup> clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link.
	increase level of	•	Promote and increase resourc	e		-			·					·		
	reuse and recycling	(	efficiency.													
12	Improve air	•	Reduce all emissions to air fro	m	0	No signific		0	No significant	0		nificant	0	No significant	0	No significant
	quality		current activities;	225 40		effect/clea	ır link.		effect/clear link.		effect/	clear link.		effect/clear link.		effect/clear link.
			Minimise and mitigate emission air from new development	ons to												
		(	(including reducing transport													
			emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);	on												
			Support the development of c	ity												
		,	wide low emission infrastructu	ure;												
			Improve air quality in AQMAs prevent new designations;	and												
			Avoid locating development w	here												
		i	it could negatively impact on a													
			quality;								1					

KEY	Very positive imp	pact	T	0		?		-				1		
	likely		Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect / Und	ertain	or Negative i	npact l	ikely Very negative	impac	t Positive or negative	impac	depending on
				no clea	r link	insu	fficient in	formation		likely		how it is implement	ed	
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York  Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	•	Avoid locating development i of existing poor air quality wh could result in negative impart the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and intetransport network to minimisuse of the car.  Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development location design does not negatively imon flood risk; Deliver or incorporate throug design sustainable urban draisystems (SuDs).  Preserve or enhance the spec character and setting of the hicity; Promote or enhance local cul Preserve or enhance designation-designated heritage assetheir setting; Preserve or enhance those el which contribute to the 6 Prin Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Top Paper.	No signo clear nareas n			fficient in	_	o o	, , ,	o +	_		No significant effect/clear link.  It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated and non-designated heritage assets. Identifying those assets that contribute most to York's historic environment could help in weighing up applications that provide for development need whilst ensuring that

Very positive impact likely   No significant effect / Including areas of landscape and built landscape important sites;  Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the    Very positive impact likely   No significant effect / Including or insufficient information   Negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   No significant effect / Including areas of landscape   Very negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   No significant effect / Including areas of landscape   Very negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   No significant effect / Including areas of landscape   Very negative impact likely   No significant effect / Including areas of landscape   Very negative impact likely   Very negative impact likely   No significant effect / Including areas of landscape   Very negative impact likely   Very negative including areas of landscape   Very negative impact likely   Very	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated and non-designated heritage assets.
15   Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape important sites;   Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the   Siven the concentration of development would ensure that the built   Siven the concentration of designated that would need to be determined at application stage.   Honge determined at application stage.   Honge designated that a policious promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the   Siven the concentration of designated and non-designated heritage assets in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Honge determined at application stage.   Honge designated that a local policy would seek to restrict development that would cause material harm to a designated heritage asset or its setting that is not outweighed by economic, social   Identifying those assets that   Identifying those are the Identifying those assets that   Identifying those are the Identifying those are the Identifying	local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated and non-designated heritage assets.
15   Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape important sites;   Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the   Conserve and enhance york's natural and built landscape and Setting" within the   Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape   Preserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value enhance geologically important sites;   Fromote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the   Conserve or enhance geologically important sites;   Fromote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the   Conserve or enhance the landscape and enhance york's natural and built landscape   Fromote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and Setting" within the   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage assets in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage assets in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage assets in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage asset in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage asset in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage asset in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated and non-designated heritage asset in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated and non-designated heritage asset in York, restricting all new development would ensure that the built   Concentration of designated and non-designated heritage asset in York, rest	local policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated and non-designated heritage assets.
Heritage Topic Paper.    Desitive impact on the built landscape. However, nondesignated heritage assets also contribute to York's historic environment and would not be   Desitive impact on the built landscape was preserved.   In weighing up applications that affect non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the landscape was preserved.   In weighing up applications that affect non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the ensuring the built	Identifying those assets that contribute most to York's built landscape could help in weighing up applications that provide for development need whilst ensuring that the built landscape
For all of the alternative options there are positive effects in relation to protection of the historic environment and built landscape that also need to be conserved to ensure the special character and setting of the city is preserved.  Freferred Approach  Significance of the heritage asset. Given the heritage assets in York there could be conflicts between the need for development and the built landscape that would need to be determined at application stage.  For all of the alternative options there are positive effects in relation to protection of the historic environment and built landscape that also need to be conserved to ensure the special character and setting of the city is preserved. For all the alternative options the uncertainties in relation to the economic and housing objectives, which is due to the tensions between having enough new land development and conserving York's historic environment. It is also noted that protection of the historic environment is import tourism related to it is a key economic driver for York.  Preferred Approach  It is assumed that a local level policy would seek to restrict development that affects designated and non-designated heritage. The same and the protection of the historic environment and built landscape of York, particularly given the concentration of designated and non-designated heritage.	that is unique to York is preserved.  Tape of York. Those on-designated assets here are some ad available for tant to the economy as assets. This would

>		++	+	0		?	-		1	
Ä		Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
		likely		no clea	r link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented	
					in the city that n	eed to be conserved to ensi	are the special character and	d setting of the city is prese	rved whilst also allowing for development that	
					meets the needs	of York's population. Unce	rtainties in relation to the h	ousing and economic objec	tives are inevitable given the tension between	
					having enough la	and available for developme	nt and conserving York's his	storic environment. There a	are also tensions between the use of sustainable	e
					design and build	ing materials or renewable	energy sources which would	I necessarily be in keeping v	with the conservation of heritage assets but wou	uld
					help deliver a lor	ng term environmental bene	efit.			
Rec	omi	mendations			Since no adverse	impacts were identified in	respect of the preferred opt	tion, no mitigation is require	ed. However, design and historic environment	
					policies should co	onsider how the use of sust	ainable design and building	materials or renewable ene	ergy sources to deliver environmental benefit	
					could relate to th	ne conservation of heritage	assets in York. They should	also consider the safety and	d security of residents in promoting high quality	y
					design.		·			

## **Section 17: Green Infrastructure**

SA	Objective		guide o	1: Rely on the NPPF to development in relation liversity/ geodiversity/ ape resources	guide i relatio biodive	2: Provide local policy to new development in n to only statutory ersity/ geodiversity / ape designations	policy to in relate non-sta	3: Provide local level to guide new development cion to only statutory and atutory biodiversity/ ersity/ landscape ations	guide r relatio	4: Provide local policy to new development in n to all biodiversity/ ersity/ landscape ces
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link

	++		+	0			?		-			ı		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sigr no clear	nificant ( r link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient in	oı formation	-0	Very likely	negative impact		e or nega is implen	ative impact depending on nented
		<ul><li>Provide for resi</li><li>Ensure</li></ul>	that land contamination/poot pose unacceptable risks to	ollution										
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Support</li><li>Support</li><li>educati</li><li>success</li><li>Provide</li></ul>	e good education and trainin unities for all; t existing higher and further ional establishments for con 5; e good quality employment unities available to all.	r	0	No signi effect/c	ificant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success  Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhance opporte Provide for eco Support	and promote stable econor	rkforce mic ure; ture	0	No signi effect/c	ificant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	depriva Provide for the Provide deman Help re Promot people	duce homelessness; te the safety and security for and/or property.	ne city; ilities it	0		lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessil and cyc car; • Deliver suppor	development where it is ble by public transport, walk cling to minimise the use of t transport infrastructure wh ts sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of trave	the nich s;	0	No signi effect/c	ificant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	10	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or nega	ative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormation		likely		how it	is implen	nented
									•					_
		<ul> <li>Improv</li> </ul>	ve congestion.											
7	To minimise	Reduce	e or mitigate greenhouse ga	S	0	No sign	ificant	0	No significant	0	No significant		0	No significant
	greenhouse	emissio	ons from all sources;			effect/d	lear link		effect/clear link		effect/clear link			effect/clear link
	gases that		· implement adaptation mea	sures		-					·			
	cause climate		likely effects of climate cha											
	change and		e and develop energy from	0-7										
	deliver a		able, low and zero carbon											
	managed	techno	•											
	response to		te sustainable design and bu	uilding										
	its effects		als that manage the future r	_										
			nsequences of climate chan											
			to the principles of the ene											
		hierard		., 91										
8	Conserve or		ve or enhance international	and	+	The NP	F supports the		This approach would	-	Whilst this approa	ch	++	A policy approach
	enhance		ally significant priority specie				ation and		ignore all locally		would protect bot			would allow
	green		ts within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA				ement of the		designated Sites of		statutory and non			consideration for all
	infrastructure	SSSIs ;	,,,,				environment.		Interest for Nature		statutory designat			known sites and species
	, bio-		ve or enhance locally impor	tant		It states	that: "The		Conservation (SINCs) or		which is positive, i			of nature conservation
	diversity,		conservation sites (SINCs);			plannin	g system		Sites of Local Interest		would not protect			value whether or not
	geodiversity,		new areas or site of bio-div	ersity /		should	contribute to		(SLRs) for biodiversity.		nature conservation			they are designated.
	flora and		ersity value;	,,		and ent	nance the		The approach would		sites of local inter	est or		This would have the
	fauna for		e connectivity of green			natural	and local		also nor protect non-		the species which	are		most direct benefit in
	accessible		ructure and the natural			environ	ment by:		protected species which		not designation bt			the long-term to
	high quality	enviror				• prote	ecting and		although have no formal		nationally importa	nt.		managing conservation.
	and		e opportunities for people to	n		enha	ncing valued		designation, are still					
	connected		the natural environment.			lands	scapes,		nationally important.		This approach wo	uld		
	natural					geolo	ogical				rely on significant			
	environment					cons	ervation		This approach would		work to understar	d the		
						inter	ests and soils;		also lack a way of		location understar	nding.		
						• minii	mising impacts		ensuring and building					
						on bi	odiversity and		connectivity between					
						prov	iding net gains		sites given its lack of a					
						in bio	odiversity		wider policy approach.					
						whei	e possible,							
						conti	ributing to the							
						Gove	rnment's							
						comi	mitment to halt							
						the c	verall decline							
						in bio	odiversity,							
						inclu	ding by							
							olishing							
						cohe	rent ecological							
											l .			

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY		mpact	Positive impact likely	_	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain	10			negative impact			tive impact depending on
	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient info	ormation		likely		how it is in	nplem	nented
						more current press.  Whilst the would consider the considering the reformance potentials and the current press.	his approach over the main r this e, it is open to tation and re there is otential for s to evolve in							
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rer contam</li> <li>Safegua and mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; ard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	he land he best	0	its applion No signing effect/cl	ficant	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0		No significant effect/clear link
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	<ul><li>Conser</li><li>Improv</li></ul>	ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	ality;	0	No signi effect/cl		0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0		No significant effect/clear link
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resourceincy.	ry and	0	No signi effect/cl	lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0		No significant effect/clear link
12	Improve air quality	activitie     Minimi from no reducir low em     Suppor low em     Improv	e all emissions to air from cues; see and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ag transport emissions throusission technologies and fuet the development of city whission infrastructure; re air quality in AQMAs and t new designations;	o air ugh els);	0	No signi effect/cl		0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0		No significant effect/clear link

T	++		+	0			Ş		-		1		
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signoclea	nificant ( r link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient info	ormation	-0	Very likely		e or nega is impler	ative impact depending on nented
		<ul> <li>could n</li> <li>Avoid log existing result in of future</li> <li>Promote</li> </ul>	ocating development where legatively impact on air qual ocating development in areas poor air quality where it con negative impacts on the hore occupants/users; the sustainable and integrate out network to minimise the sustainable.	lity; as of ould ealth d									
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	risk of flooding; development location and o ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	d risk; ign	0	No signi effect/c	ficant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charact</li> <li>Promot</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Charact</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the historite or enhance local culture; we or enhance designated and signated heritage assets and the contribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identification.	nd d their nts	0	No signi effect/c	ficant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Conserrincludir</li> <li>Protect importation</li> <li>Promote with its line with</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the landscape ng areas of landscape value or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in con- surban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	+	to have impact of Natural guiding away fro	oroach is likely a positive on York's Landscape by development om area of r nature ation.		Nature conservation sites form part of the overall natural landscape of York. Only protecting the nationally designated sites could therefore negatively impact of the wider natural landscape of York in a negative way.	-	Nature conservation sites form part of the overall natural landscape of York. Only protecting the nationally and locally designated sites could therefore negatively impact of the wider natural landscape of York in a negative way by ignoring other sites which are of value.	+	This approach is likely to have a positive impact on York's Natural Landscape by ensuring all areas / species of nature conservation value are used to guide development.
Gen	eral				and pro	vision for	net gains should	d be obta		decline a	t and dictates that impacts o and improve ecological netwo		

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented
· ·							

	Option 2 is likely to have significant negative effects for York's biodiversity given that it only protects statutory designations and ignores any locally designated sites, sites of local interest (SLRs) or Sites important for nature conservations (SINCs). It would also ignore any local species which are not protected but of national interest.
	Option 3, similarly to option 2 is likely to have long-term negative effects givens that this lacks any protection for sites of local interest (SLRs). It would also ignore any local species which are not protected but of national interest.
	A locally derived approach for protection will be the most positive in the long-term as this will capture all areas of nature conservation interest whether or not they are designated or protected. This would enable compliance with the NPPF but at a more specific local scale to have a positive approach to biodiversity management and implementation.
Preferred Approach	Option 4: Provide local level policy to guide new development in relation to all biodiversity/geodiversity/landscape features  This approach is likely to have the most positive long-term benefits for conserving and enhancing biodiversity with the city of York. This approach will allow all areas, sites and species of nature conversation value to be recognised and potected
Recommendations	none

SA Objective				n 1: Protect all Green Infrastructure to the same level ective of its functions	Option 2: Give greater protection to functional Green Infrastructure (recreational space / allotments / green corridors)			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	++	This would be positive in ensuring that there was a high level of green space retained around York. Inevitably some of this space would function more for recreation and leisure, whilst others would be maintained as green space. Evidence suggests that access to green space as well as being able to see it has a benefit on health overall.  Furthermore, access to green infrastructure helps to promote healthier lifestyle by allowing alternative travel routes for leisure and to connect	++	In order to maximise people's health, it is important to have access to areas for recreation and leisure. Ensuring this is protected where it in being used for this purpose, would be particularly positive for health and well-being. Furthermore, access to green infrastructure helps to promote healthier lifestyle by allowing alternative travel routes for leisure and to connect to he wider city.		

	++	+	0	?	-		1
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

				to the wider city		
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education and training opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;</li> <li>Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth;</li> <li>Support existing employment drivers;</li> <li>Promote a low carbon economy.</li> </ul>	+	Green Infrastructure can have a positive impact on the economy through making the city visually attractive to live and work. It can also contribute towards gaining investment.	+	Green Infrastructure can have a positive impact on the economy through making the city visually attractive to live and work. It can also contribute towards gaining investment.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Address existing imbalances of equality, deprivation and exclusion across the city;</li> <li>Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population;</li> <li>Provide affordable housing to meet demand;</li> <li>Help reduce homelessness;</li> <li>Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	<ul> <li>Deliver development where it is accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimise the use of the car;</li> <li>Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable travel options;</li> <li>Promote sustainable forms of travel;</li> <li>Improve congestion.</li> </ul>	+	Green Infrastructure can be used positively as part of the overall transport network. The routes can form attractive cycle and pedestrian routes and promote alternative forms of transport to the car by linking across the city.  However, not all Green Infrastructure had this capability and it would be more beneficial to prioritise the infrastructure which had this capability and used this to linked better with new sites.	+	Green Infrastructure can be used positively as part of the overall transport network. The routes can form attractive cycle and pedestrian routes and promote alternative forms of transport to the car by linking across the city.  Prioritising the GI /routes which are most functional would ensure that this network and connectivity is conserved and has the capability for be increased
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed	<ul> <li>Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources;</li> <li>Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;</li> <li>Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;</li> <li>Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;</li> </ul>	+	One of the main values associated with Green Infrastructure is its multifunctionality, which has the capability to have value for mitigating and adapting to the impacts of climate change. In York, this is particularly important for the mitigation of flood risk.	+	One of the main values associated with Green Infrastructure is its multifunctionality, which has the capability to have value for mitigating and adapting to the impacts of climate change. In York, this is particularly important for the mitigation of flood risk.

KEY	Very positive i			Uncertain	Or	Negative impact likely	Very nega	ative im		
	likely			no clear link	insufficien	t information		likely		how it is implemented
	response to its effects	• Adhere	to the principles of the ene	ergy hierarchy.		carbon sink a and can contr However, not same capabili	green infrastructure can be s plants and vegetation sto ibute to cleaner air. all Green Infrastructure he ty for this and therefore m r this purpose.	ore carbon as the		The areas in York currently which are used as flood water storage have a multifunctional purpose and it would be important that that is maintained as a priority.  Ensuring that functional GI is protected is also important to ensure further benefits for climate change adaptation through minimising habitat fragmentation.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Conserve or enhance international and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Conserve or enhance locally important nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.				objective as it different space and biodivers However, wh be of value, the and only consinetwork. Pote	ere sites are designated or neir significance may be ov idered as part of the wide ential conflicts may arise fr nctionality within areas of	t for linking g wildlife known to verlooked r GI	+	Green Infrastructure is exceptionally important for biodiversity to support wildlife corridors and linking different spaces together.  Including these areas within the protected GI would ensure that the areas with most value are protected.  Potential conflicts may arise from too much multifunctionality within areas of biodiversity value.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>				gren infrastru	ive a positive impact by procenticure. However, by not prictional space it may leave the change.	oritising	++	This would have a significantly positive impact on ensuring the best use of land by protecting the functional Green Infrastructure.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and g	roundwaters.	+	integral part of Protecting all effect on this However, by space it may	not prioritising the most fu eave this more vulnerable	ure. a positive inctional	+	This would have a significantly positive impact on understanding the value of York's water assets, including the Rivers, and should enable the most protection and enhancement in the future.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;</li> <li>Promote and increase resource efficiency.</li> </ul>				No significant	effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link

	++		+	0	?		-			1
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain		Negative impact likely	Very negat	ive imp	0 1 1 0
	likely			no clear link	insufficien	t information		likely		how it is implemented
12	Improve air quality	Minimi (includi technol Suppor infrastr Improv Avoid le on air c Avoid le where if tuture e Promot	ng reducing transport emis ogies and fuels); the development of city wucture; e air quality in AQMAs and ocating development where uality;	o air from new development sions through low emission vide low emission prevent new designations; e it could negatively impact as of existing poor air quality npacts on the health of		contribution as a buffer to concentration  Furthermore carbon sink a	ructure can make a positive to improving air quality by be roads and areas of high n of air pollutants.  green infrastructure can be splants and vegetation storibute to cleaner air.	e used as a		Green Infrastructure can make a positive contribution to improving air quality by being used as a buffer to roads and areas of high concentration of air pollutants.  Furthermore green infrastructure can be used as a carbon sink as plants and vegetation store carbon and can contribute to cleaner air.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Reduce</li><li>Ensure impact</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	risk of flooding;	design does not negatively sign sustainable urban	+	Green Infrastructure in York has an important has a multi-functional role to play, particularly for the flood water storage.  However, not all Green Infrastructure has the same capability for this and therefore may not be as valuable for this purpose				Green Infrastructure in York has an important has a multi-functional role to play, particularly for the flood water storage. Protecting these sites as a priority would enable these to be maintained for maximum future value.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Conserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city;</li> <li>Promote or enhance local culture;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting;</li> <li>Conserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.</li> </ul>				Green Infrastructure is an integral part of York's character and setting. Ensuring that all of this protected may put pressure on the natural environmental for development and would not recognise the key features necessarily that are functional and provide the most benefit for the city.				It is likely that this approach would offer the most protection for Green Infrastructure ias it would be able to recognise its integral value as part of York's character and setting.
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>Conservation</li><li>Indsca</li><li>Protect</li><li>Promote</li><li>Indsca</li></ul>	ve or enhance the landscap pe value or enhance geologically in e high quality design in con		+	character and protected ma environment recognise the functional an city.	ructure is an integral part of setting. Ensuring that all of sput pressure on the natural for development and would key features necessarily the disprovide the most benefit	f this ral uld not nat are for the		It is likely that this approach would offer the most protection for Green Infrastructure ias it would be able to recognise its integral value as part of York's character and setting.
Gen	eral				a recog	gnition for its sp		character and		reen Infrastructure. However, this is likely to lack of the and the ways in which it connects
					Option 2 is likely to have a significantly positive effect on the long-term protection of York's Green Infrastruct					

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	that it would recognise the intrinsic value its adds to the character and setting of the city as well as its multifunctional value.
Preferred Approach	<ul> <li>Option 2: Give greater protection to functional Green Infrastructure (recreational space, allotments, green corridor)</li> <li>This option is likely to have positive social benefits by ensuring that spaces which have identified functions will be protected. This would have positive effects for health and well-being as well as connectivity and accessibility to the wider city.</li> <li>Green Infrastructure can have positive benefits for the economy by making the city an attractive place to live and work as well as invest.</li> <li>Green Infrastructure has a multitude of benefits for the environment and general protection of the ones with identified functions should have a positive impact on flood risk, climate change mitigation and adaptation, biodiversity and the overall character and setting of the city.</li> </ul>
Recommendations	None.

Op	oen Space								
SA	Objective		Option 1: Do not protect existing recreational open space			on NPPF policies to protect existing eational open space/green structure and access to it	Provide local level policy to protect existing recreational open space/ green infrastructure and access to it		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;		This would be potentially negative, particularly in the long-term for health and well-being as it would not protect spaces which supply important functions for health and well-being. This could leave the open space and green infrastructure open to development.  This approach goes against the NPPF	+	The NPPF supports the implementation of green infrastructure and open space. It states that "access to high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation can make an important contribution to the health and well-being of communities". This approach is therefore likely to have an overall positive effects on ensuring the health and well-being of the population.	++	In order to maximise people's health, it is important to have access to areas for recreation and leisure. Ensuring this is protected where it in being used for this purpose, would be particularly positive for health and well-being. Furthermore, access to green infrastructure helps to promote healthier lifestyle by allowing alternative travel routes for leisure and to connect to the wider city.	

	++		+		?		-					I	
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain	or N	legativ	e impact likely	Very negative im	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informa	ition			likely		how it is implemented
3	Improve education, skills				0	No signific	cant effect/clear link		0			0	This approach would allow a local approach to protection to be undertaken to enable the delineation of differentiation of different openspace.  No significant effect/clear link
	developme nt and training for an effective workforce	educa conti • Provi oppo	ort existing higher and furthe ational establishments for nued success; de good quality employment rtunities available to all.										
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	succe Delivifor the Delivigrow Enha oppo Provifor ec	deliver conditions for busine ess and investment; er a flexible and relevant wo be future; er and promote stable econoth; noce the city centre and its rtunities for business and leis de the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; ort existing employment driviote a low carbon economy.	erkforce omic sure; cture		effectives Infrastruct This appro	pach goes against the	reen NPPF.	+	Green Infrastruct positive impact of through making attractive to live contribute towar investment.	on the economy the city visually and work. It can also	+	Green Infrastructure can have a positive impact on the economy through making the city visually attractive to live and work. It can also contribute towards gaining investment.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	depricity; Provifor the Providema Help Prom	ess existing imbalances of eq vation and exclusion across t de accessible services and fa- ne local population; de affordable housing to me- and; reduce homelessness; lote the safety and security fo le and/or property.	he cilities		to opensp it for the f	n could help reduce a ace through not prote uture. pach goes against the	ecting	+	• •	ach is likely to have a on ensuring prvison d green	**	This will have the maximum long-term benefits for accessibility to openspace and connectivity using green infrastructure.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport	acces and c car; • Delive suppo	er development where it is sible by public transport, wa cycling to minimise the use of er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel option to the sustainable forms of travel sustainable forms of travels sustainable susta	the hich is;	-	can impac sustainabl both part network a	cting green infrastruct it the connectivity of e transport routes that of the strategic transp s well as being part of een infrastructure net	at are port f the	+	openspace and G can form attracti pedestrian route	and protection of Green. The routes ive cycle and es and promote s of transport to the	+	Green Infrastructure can be used positively as part of the overall transport network. The routes can form attractive cycle and pedestrian routes and promote alternative forms of transport to the car by linking across the city.

_	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative imp	act	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul><li>land a conta</li><li>Safeg and n</li><li>Prote</li><li>Safeg</li></ul>	nt pollution contaminating to and remediate any existing mination; uard soil quality, including th nost versatile agricultural lan ct or enhance allotments; uard mineral resources and urage their efficient use.	ne best		recognised	ecognised to have important land esources		use of land by p	on ensuring the best rotecting the n Infrastructure.		impact on ensuring the best use of land by protecting the functional Green Infrastructure.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Conse	erve water resources and qua ove the quality of rivers and idwaters.	ality;	0	No clear link/no significant effect		+	value of York's w the Rivers, and s most protection the future.	on understanding the vater assets, including should enable the and enhancement in	+	This would have a significantly positive impact on understanding the value of York's water assets, including the Rivers, and should enable the most protection and enhancement in the future.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recycl	ote reduction, re-use, recovering of waste; ote and increase resource ency.	ery and	0	No signific	cant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
12	Improve air quality	activit Minin from reduc low e Suppo low e Impro preve Avoid could Avoid existii result of fut	nise and mitigate emissions to mew development (including ing transport emissions thromission technologies and fue ort the development of city was air quality in AQMAs and int new designations; locating development where negatively impact on air quality where it come in negative impacts on the large occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the propertical integrate occupants of the minimise the occupants of the minimise occupants	ugh els); vide e it slity; eas of ould nealth	-	approach spaces wh developm	d go against the NPPF and not protect important nich may buffer ent which could cause mpacts on air quality for	+	positive contribution quality by being roads and areas of air pollutants.  Furthermore gree be used as a carl vegetation store contribute to cle  The protection of therefore should positive impact, approach lacks at therefore is open	een infrastructure can bon sink as plants and carbon and can eaner air. of these resources d have an overall However, this	**	Green Infrastructure can make a positive contribution to improving air quality by being used as a buffer to roads and areas of high concentration of air pollutants.  Furthermore green infrastructure can be used as a carbon sink as plants and vegetation store carbon and can contribute to cleaner air.
13	Minimise	• Reduc	ce risk of flooding;			This goes	against the NPPF approach	+	The NPPF fully s	upports minimising	++	Green Infrastructure in York has an

	++	+ 0 ? -					l					
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain or	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative imp		Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in	• Deli	ure development location and s not negatively impact on flo- ver or incorporate through de ainable urban drainage syster os).	od risk; esign		areas for debate an	potentially make importan flood mitigation open for d could have potentially c negative effect over the 	t	play a key role ir	aximising flood n infrastructure can n this and it is likely to mpact over the long-		important has a multi-functional role to play, particularly for the flood water storage. Protecting these sites as a priority would enable these to be maintained for maximum future value.
14	York Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>char</li> <li>Pror</li> <li>Pres</li> <li>non-setti</li> <li>Pres</li> <li>which</li> <li>Char</li> </ul>	erve or enhance the special racter and setting of the histor mote or enhance local culture erve or enhance designated addings assets aring; herve or enhance those elements contribute to the 6 Principlicateristics of the City as identification.	; and nd their nts e		impact on of the city	ely to have a negative the character and setting given that they form a and distinguishable	+	This approach is indirect benefit protection of op infrastructure		++	It is likely that this approach would offer the most protection for Green Infrastructure ias it would be able to recognise its integral value as part of York's character and setting.
15		<ul><li>Consimple</li><li>Pror with line</li></ul>	erve or enhance the landscapuding areas of landscape value serve or enhance geologically ortant sites; mote high quality design in coats urban and rural landscape with the "landscape and Setti in the Heritage Topic Paper.	e / ntext e and in	-	impact on of the city	ely to have a negative the character and setting given that they form a and distinguishable	+	This approach is indirect benefit protection of op infrastructure		++	It is likely that this approach would offer the most protection for Green Infrastructure ias it would be able to recognise its integral value as part of York's character and setting.
Gend	eral				The N it is re interp	PPF approaccognised for retation with 3 is likely	nd economic objectives. It a ach is likely to have positive or its ability to improve hea hich may cause tensions up	ilso goes impacts Ith and w on the ap	against the NPPF.  on the provision o vell-being and deliv oplication of it for p	f openspace and green ver connectivity. Howev policy.	infrast ver, it l	structure causing harm to social, tructure. The guidance should ensure that acks a local focus and therefore is open to
Prefe	erred Approach				<ul> <li>Option 3: Provide local level policy to protect existing recreational openspace/green infrastructure</li> <li>This option is likely to have positive social benefits by ensuring that spaces which have identified functions will be protected. This would have positive effects for health and well-being as well as connectivity and accessibility to the wider city.</li> <li>Green Infrastructure can have positive benefits for the economy by making the city an attractive place to live and work as well as invest.</li> <li>/Openspace.Green Infrastructure has a multitude of benefits for the environment and general protection of the ones with identified functions should have a positive impact on flood risk, climate change mitigation and adaptation, biodiversity and the overall character and setting of the city.</li> </ul>							

Appendix 1: Loca	l Plan SA - Poli	cy Alternatives	Annraical
Appendix 1. Luca	i Fiaii SA - Fuii	Ly Aiteinauves	Appraisar

	++	+	0	?	-		1
KE)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Recommendations	None
Recommendations	I NOTE

SA Ob	jective		Opti	on 1: Require all new	Opti	on 2: Require only major	On	tion	3: Require all new	Option	4: Require only major	
	•			lopments to incorporate		elopment (strategic sites			pment to contribute to	development (strategic sites		
			on-s	te Open space provision	>5ha	) to incorporate on-site	off	f-site	e Open Space provision	>5ha) to contribute to off-site		
					Open space provision					Open S	space provision	
1 1 3	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	-	Whilst this should be significantly positive, it would not be practical for all sized development to contribute to openspace provision. Smaller sites would need to maximise their potential for housing and should encourage access to existing facilities.	+	Strategic sites would develop a significant amount of new housing. In response this would require new openspace to be delivered to ensure that people had access to recreation and leisure opportunities. Openspace delivery should be proportionate to the amount of population on the new sites and be a range of types to maximise the benefits for	+	-	This would be the best options for small sites to ensure that facilities elsewhere are not over burdened by an increase in development or population. However, larger sites should plan in openspace to maximise accessibility.	-	Strategic sites would develop a significant amount of new housing This option would not necessarily respond to the need generated by the development within accessible distances. This is likely to have negative impacts for local residents in the long-term.	
1	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	+	In order to maximise people's health and well-being, it is important to have access to areas for recreation and leisure. Whilst this should be significantly positive, it would not be practical for all sized development to contribute to openspace provision. Smaller sites would need to maximise	++	the resident population.  In order to maximise people's health and wellbeing, it is important to have access to areas for recreation and leisure.  Given that strategic sites would develop a significant amount of new housing., local openspace would be required to ensure that people had access to recreation and leisure opportunities. In order to maximise this, openspace delivery should			This would be the best options for small sites to ensure that facilities elsewhere are not over burdened by an increase in development or population.  This approach would mean that access to suitable openspace may not be locally accessible which would conflict with accessibility and equality objectives.		Strategic sites would develop a significant amount of new housin. This option would not necessarily respond to the need generated by the development withi accessible distances. This is likely to have negative impacts for local residents in the long-term.  However, openspace may be directed to	

	++	+ 0		Ş	-			1			
KEY			gnificant			or Negative impact likely				ative impact depending on	
	likely	no cl	ar link	insufficient inf	ormatio	on	likely	ho	ow it is imple	mented	
				housing and should encourage access to existing facilities.		amount of population on the new sites and be a range of types.  Further more, visual amenity has an overall positive impact on well- being.		However, openspace may be directed to places which currently have deficiencies and could have a positive impact on existing residential areas.  However, lack of visual amenity of site could cause harm in the lon term for overall wellbeing.  Larger sites should als plan in openspace to maximise accessibility	ly lalal ang-	have deficiencies and could have a positive impact on existing residential areas.  However, lack of visual amenity as well as recreational space on larger sites could cause harm in the long-term for overall health and well-being.	
3	education, skills • Su development and training for an • Pro	ovide good education and training portunities for all; portunities for all; pport existing higher and further ucational establishments for continued ccess; ovide good quality employment portunities available to all.	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy • Professional forms of the control of the c	elp deliver conditions for business cocess and investment; eliver a flexible and relevant workforce the future; eliver and promote stable economic bowth; hance the city centre and its portunities for business and leisure; bovide the appropriate infrastructure reconomic growth; pport existing employment drivers; bomote a low carbon economy.	+ -	Including openspace in all development would make the city an attractive place to live and work due to visual amenity and ensuring the quality of urban space.  However, limiting the developable footprint is likely to have significant impacts on the viability on development, particularly small sites.	+	Including openspace in strategic sites development would form part of the overall green infrastructure/public realm strategy and make the site an attractive place to live due to visual amenity and ensuring the quality of urban space as well as recreational opportunity.	+	Offsite contributions a likely to improve the viability of development for small sites.  However, larger sites likely to require public realm schemes to ensure a high quality environment. This approach may make these visually attractive and desirable place to live having a negative impact on the scheme	ent are ic this e o	Larger sites are likely to require public realm schemes to ensure a high quality environment. This approach may make strategic sites less visually attractive and desirable places to live limiting the viability of the overall scheme.	
5	Help deliver • Ad	dress existing imbalances of equality,	+ -	Whilst this should be	++	Strategic sites would	+ -	This would be the bes	st -	Strategic sites would	

_	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impact likely	Ver	y negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormatio	n	like	ly	how it	is implen	nented
												_		
	equality and	•	ntion and exclusion across th			_	antly positive, it		develop a significant		options for small			develop a significant
	access to all		accessible services and faci	lities			not be practical		amount of new housing.		ensure that facilit			amount of new housing.
			local population;			for all s			In response to this, there		elsewhere are no			This option would not
			e affordable housing to mee	ī.		contrib	ment to		would be new requirements for		burdened by an ir in development o			necessarily respond to the need generated by
		deman	•				ace provision.		openspace to be delivered		population. Howe			the development within
			duce homelessness; te the safety and security for				sites would		to ensure that people had		larger sites should	-		accessible distances.
			and/or property.				maximise		access to recreation and		in openspace to	a piuri		This is likely to have
		people	and/or property.				tential for		leisure opportunities.		maximise accessil	oilitv.		negative impacts for
							and should		Openspace delivery			,		local residents in the
						encour	age access to		should be proportionate					long-term.
						existing	facilities.		to the amount of					
									population on the new					
									sites and be a range of					
									types to maximise the					
									benefits for the resident					
									population.					
									Not all types of openspace					
									may be able to be					
									delivered on site but the					
									larger the site, the more					
									combination of openspace					
									that could be included.					
									Where a strategic site					
									cannot contribute to all					
									types, a contribution					
									could be considered off-					
									site to ensure maximum					
									provision and accessibility in the city.					
6	Reduce the	Deliver	development where it is		+	This wo	uld minimise	++	Strategic sites would		This approach wo	uld		Strategic sites would
	need to travel		ble by public transport, walk	ing			d for longer		develop a significant		mean that access			develop a significant
	and deliver a		cling to minimise the use of				e trips to		amount of new housing.		suitable openspa			amount of new housing.
	sustainable	car;				opensp	•		In response to this, the		not be locally acco	•		This option would not
	integrated	Deliver	transport infrastructure wh	ich					development of on-site		which would conf	lict		necessarily respond to
	transport	suppor	ts sustainable travel options	;		The am	ount of		provision would be		with accessibility	and		the need generated by
	network	• Promo	te sustainable forms of trave	el;			ace and the		positive to minimise the		minimising trip			the development within
		Improv	e congestion.				unities for		need for longer distance		generation.			accessible distances.
							ion that it		trips to openspace/		Full control of the			This is likely to have
							s would be		recreational		Furthermore, this	•		negative impacts
							it depending size of site.		opportunities.		limit opportunitie the site to contrib			accessibility and
						on the	הובפ טו אונפ.		Furthermore, larger		the site to contrib	ule (U		minimising trip

	++		+	O  No significant effect /		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive im likely	npact	Positive impact likely	No signoclea		effect / Uncertain insufficient info		or Negative impact likely	Very likely	-	Positive how it is	_	tive impact depending on nented
						However there is an opportunity to improve physical connections using green infrastructure. This could have positive implication for accessibility		schemes offer the potential to incorporate Green Infrastructure strategies, which can diversify the range of physical connections to existing and new locations.		the city-wide green infrastructure netw reducing its potenti connectivity and alternative routes f travel.	vork ial for		generation.  Furthermore, this may limit opportunities for the site to contribute to the city-wide green infrastructure network reducing its potential for connectivity and alternative routes for travel.
7	greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources;</li> <li>Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;</li> <li>Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;</li> <li>Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;</li> <li>Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.</li> </ul>		usures nge; uilding isks ge;	++	Positive impacts for climate change would be gained through minimising trip generation and contributing to green infrastructure.	+	Positive impacts for climate change would be gained through minimising trip generation and contributing to green infrastructure.		This approach woul mean that access to suitable openspace not be locally acces which would conflice with accessibility arminimising trip generation.  Furthermore, this nolimit opportunities the site to contribut the city-wide green infrastructure networking its potentic connectivity and alternative routes for travel.	o e may ssible ct nd may for ite to n vork ial for		Strategic sites would develop a significant amount of new housing. This option would not necessarily respond to the need generated by the development within accessible distances. This is likely to have negative impacts accessibility and minimising trip generation.  Furthermore, this may limit opportunities for the site to contribute to the city-wide green infrastructure network reducing its potential for connectivity and alternative routes for travel.
8	enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and	national habitats SSSIs; • Conserv nature c • Create n geodive	re or enhance international fly significant priority species is within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA re or enhance locally import conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; e connectivity of green	es and ARs and tant	**	Positive impacts to biodiversity would be gained through improving connectivity to green infrastructure and improving wildlife corridors and making open space	++	Positive impacts to biodiversity would be gained through improving connectivity to green infrastructure and improving wildlife corridors and making open space multifunctional.	I	This would reduce to capability of the developments to improve biodiversit site.  However, it may be to contribute elsew in the city, dependi	ty on e able where	I	This would reduce the capability of the developments to improve biodiversity on site.  However, it may be able to contribute elsewhere in the city, depending on

	++		+	0		?		-				1	I	
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant (	effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormati	on	likely		how it	s implem	nented
	accessible high quality and connected	enviror • Provide	ructure and the natural nment; e opportunities for people to the natural environment.	0		multifu	nctional.				its location.			its location.
	natural environment													
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rer contam</li> <li>Safeguand mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing hination; ard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and age their efficient use.	ne land e best	0	No signi effect/c	ficant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Improv	ve water resources and qua re the quality of rivers and waters.	ality;	0	•	lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclin	te reduction, re-use, recoveing of waste; te and increase resource ncy.	ry and	0		lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link
12	Improve air quality	activitie  Minimi from no reducir low em  Suppor low em  Improve preven  Avoid low existing result in of future.	e all emissions to air from cues; se and mitigate emissions to ew development (including ag transport emissions throus ission technologies and fue to the development of city whission infrastructure; are air quality in AQMAs and to new designations; ocating development where ag poor air quality where it can negative impact on air quality where it can negative impacts on the hore occupants/users; te sustainable and integrate out network to minimise the	o air  ugh uls); vide  it lity; as of ould health	0	No signi effect/c	ficant lear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link

## Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

	++		+	0			?		-					
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea	nificant Ir link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient inf	ormati	or Negative impact likely on	Very likel	negative impact		e or nega is implem	tive impact depending on nented
		the car												
	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does no</li><li>Deliver</li></ul>	e risk of flooding; development location and ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	od risk; sign	1 +	openspa a positive flood mallowing either ballor	ration of ace could have we effect on itigation by g areas to be flooded or ontaining e capacity.	1 +	Incorporation of openspace could have a positive effect on flood mitigation by allowing areas to either be flooded or for maintaining drainage capacity.	1 -	Reducing openspacould put pressure mitigating flood riparticularly on sur water drainage. D this, further investmay be required a could impact on v	e on sk, face ue to tment and this	1 -	Reducing openspace could put pressure on mitigating flood risk, particularly on surface water drainage. Due to this, further investment may be required and this could impact on viability.
	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charact</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Conser non-de setting</li> <li>Conser which of Charact</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the historite or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a signated heritage assets an; ve or enhance those element on the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identificage Topic Paper.	ind d their nts	++	charact Incorpo openspo therefo positive	ace on sites is re likely to be on the overall er and setting	++	Openspace is a key characteristic of York. Incorporating openspace on sites is therefore likely to be positive on the overall character and setting of the city.	-	Openspace is a ke characteristic of Y Not incorporating on all sites may co with the principle characteristics of such as its massin, urban structure at landscape.  The severity of thi impact is likely to depend on the locand size of development.	ork. this onflict the city g, nd		Openspace is a key characteristic of York. Not incorporating this on strategic sites would conflict with the principle characteristics of the city such as its massing, urban structure and landscape. This would have a significantly detrimental impact on the character and setting of the new development.
	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Protect import</li> <li>Promote with its line with</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the landscapn areas of landscape value or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in consurban and rural landscape; the "landscape and Settir the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	++	charact Incorpo openspo therefo positive	ace on sites is re likely to be on the overall er and setting	++	Openspace is a key characteristic of York. Incorporating openspace on sites is therefore likely to be positive on the overall character and setting of the city.	-	Openspace is a ke characteristic of Y Not incorporating on all sites may co with the principle characteristics of such as its massing urban structure at landscape.  The severity of this impact is likely to depend on the locand size of development.	ork. this onflict the city g, nd		Openspace is a key characteristic of York. Not incorporating this on strategic sites would conflict with the principle characteristics of the city such as its massing, urban structure and landscape. This would have a significantly detrimental impact on the character and setting of the new development.
Gene	General				likely t	-	sitive social effe		l onmental effects through the maximising accessibility but in		y of a network of ope	-		-

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	Option 2 would include openspace on all strategic sites. Similarly to option 1, this is likely to have positive environmental effects through the delivery of a network of openspace on all development. This is also likely to have positive social effects by maximising accessibility on the new development ensuring no undue pressure on existing sites. It is acknowledged that not all types of openspace may be able to be delivered on site but the larger the site, the more combination of openspace that could be included. Where a strategic site cannot contribute to all types, a contribution could be considered off-site to ensure maximum provision and accessibility in the city.
	Options 3 and 4 are likely to experience and increase in development viability, particularly for option 3 on smaller schemes. However, this could have impacts on accessibility if the openspace is not provided in a required distance and may put pressure on existing openspace locations. Furthermore, these options could have negative effects on the character and setting of the any new development by not reflecting the existing urban landscape or massing in relation to buildings and spaces as per the principle heritage characteristics unique to the city.
Preferred Approach	<ul> <li>Option 2: Require only major development (strategic sites &gt;5ha) to incorporate on-site Open space provision</li> <li>This is likely to have long-term positive benefits for peoples' health through the incorporation of accessible openspace on site. It also has the potential to contribute to an overall Green Infrastructure scheme with a multifunctional purpose. In order to maximise these benefits, the openspace should be proportional to the site size and the population who will inhabit it.</li> <li>The viability of a scheme over 5ha is likely to be more attractive through the incorporation of openspace even though it reduces the overall developable area. Openspace should contribute towards an overall high quality environment which is an attractive place to live.</li> <li>This option is likely to make a long-term positive contribution to environmental objectives as it could help improve accessibility helping to minimise trip generation, potentially via integrated green infrastructure. It should also enable improvements to biodiversity and wildlife corridors around the city as well as enabling the development to reflect existing key landscape characteristics.</li> </ul>
Recommendations	<ul> <li>In order to maximise these benefits, the openspace should be proportional to the site size and the population who will inhabit it.</li> <li>Where a strategic site cannot contribute to all types, a contribution could be considered off-site to ensure maximum provision and accessibility in the city</li> </ul>

# **SECTION 18:GREEN BELT**

	Approach To Deve Green Belt	elopment In the	Approach to Excep	tions Sites in the G	reen Belt
SA Objective	Option 1: Rely on the	Option 2 Provide local	Option 1 Do not permit	Option 2 Remove existing	Option 3 Provide local
	National Planning Policy	policy to guide new	exception sites for affordable	settlements from the	criteria for infill/exception
	Framework to guide	development or	housing in the Green Belt	Green Belt to enable	sites in the Green Belt
	development in the Green	building reuse in the		infill/exception sites	
	Belt	Green Belt			

,	++	+	0		?		-				1		
KEY	Very positive imp	pact Positive impact likely	No significar		Uncertain		or Negative im	pact li		impact			depending on
	likely		no clear link	i	insufficient	inforn	nation		likely		how it is implemente	d	
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	Deliver homes to meet the not the population in terms of quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing so Locate sites in areas of know housing need; Deliver community facilities in needs of the population; Deliver pitches required for Cand Travellers and Showpeon	eeds of ? uantity, ne tock; n for the	The overall profession of York's Greater of the willst assistion of the NPPF state o	purpose een Belt re the special re York, ing in g the from ent. ates opriate at is, by armful n Belt not be accept in es, nited iousing his could es for nousing at to need and afore ain	?	It is assumed a local policy would seek to restrict inappropriate development in the Green Belt, but provide greater clarity on those very special circumstances under which it would be permitted. Depending on implementation, this could further restrict or enable small-scale housing development to meet local need. It would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective.		Not permitting exception sites for affordable housing in the Green Belt would have a negative impact on this objective, as small-scale housing development to meet local need in Green Belt locations would be restricted.	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to small-scale housing development to meet local need.	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill/exception sites for housing development that meets local need providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would have a positive effect on this objective by enabling suitable small-scale housing development that meets local need to be permitted.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development environmental circumstances negatively impact on people' health;</li> <li>Improve access to openspace multi-functional openspace</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycli Improves access to healthcar</li> <li>Provides or promotes safety security for residents;</li> </ul>	s could s e / e ng)	Preventing inappropria developmer the Green B has a positive effect since countryside recreational resource an continued a to it will contribute to	te nt in Belt ve the is a l d cccess	?	It is assumed a local policy would seek to restrict inappropriate development in the Green Belt, but provide greater clarity on those very special circumstances under which it would be	+	Not permitting exception sites for affordable housing would help to protect openspace that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment. This has a positive effect since the countryside	- 4	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites could have a negative effect since access to formal or informal openspace within the settlements could be compromised. It	+	It is assumed that local criteria would not permit infill/exception sites on openspace that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment. This has a positive effect

>	++		+	0		3		-					I			
KEY	Very positive impa	act	Positive impact likely	No signific	ant effect /	Uncertaiı		_	ive impa	ct likely	y Very negative	impact	Positive or negative in	npact de	epending on	
	likely			no clear lin	<	insufficie	ent informa	tion			likely		how it is implemented	l		
		con	ure that land tamination/pollution does e unacceptable risks to he		well-beir residents special circumstalso apploutdoor facilities. However reduce opportur health developr associate The Retreat/S Hall Hosp would the have uncompacts to objective	ances y to sports  i, it could nities for nent ed with Stockton bital and erefore ertain on this		permitted. The mas a positive effect since the countryside is recreational resource and continued accordinated with the sector accordinated accordin	e a a ess the on her ble for h ton and ore n	re co w	s a recreational esource and ontinued access to it vill contribute to the vell-being of esidents.		would however allow appropriate health development associated with The Retreat/Stockton Hall Hospital to be permitted which could have a positive effect.		since the countryside is recreational resource and continued accit will contrib the well-being residents. It is however allow appropriate hevelopment associated will Retreat/Stock Hall Hospital permitted.	cess to ute to g of would w realth th The
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>opp</li><li>Sup</li><li>edu</li><li>con</li><li>Pro</li></ul>	vide good education and to cortunities for all; port existing higher and for cational establishments for tinued success; vide good quality employr cortunities available to all.	urther or ment	definition to the Gro and shou approved very spec	propriate nent is, by n, harmful een Belt ld not be except in ial ences. This uce ities for n nent d with Bryan	?	It is assumed local policy seek to restrict inappropriate development the Green But provide greater clarithose very scircumstance under which would be permitted. Depending cimplementathis could further than the could further seek to restrict the seek the seek to restrict the seek to restrict the seek t	would ict ice t in elt, ty on pecial es it		No significant effect/clear link	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would allow appropriate education development associated with Askham Bryan College/College of Law and therefore have a positive effect.	+	It is assumed local criteria wallow appropeducation development associated with Askham Bryal College/Colle Law to be permitted and therefore haw positive effects	would riate the negro of degree a

	++		+	0			?		-					1		
KEY	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain		or Negativ	e impa	ct likel	ly Very negative	impact	Positive or negative in	npact d	lepending on
_	likely			no clear	· link		insufficien	t informati	on			likely		how it is implemented	d	
<u> </u>	•			U		•						,		·		
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	sin	lelp deliver conditions for busuccess and investment; leliver a flexible and relevant vorkforce for the future; leliver and promote stable conomic growth; nhance the city centre and it pportunities for business anceisure; rovide the appropriate infrastructure for economic grupport existing employment rivers; romote a low carbon economic	t d rowth;	·	The NPPF state impacts or objective.	states ropriate ent is, by harmful en Belt d not be except in al nees. This nees ites for ent to need and refore rtain	?	restrict or ena education development associated with Askham Bryar College/Colleg of Law. It woutherefore have uncertain impon this objectilt is assumed a local policy we seek to restrict inappropriate development the Green Belbut provide greater clarity those very specircumstances under which is would be permitted. Depending on implementation this could furt restrict or ena small-scale employment development meet local need therefore have uncertain impon this objecti	th in ge ald e e acts ive. I a con ecial it to her ble e acts	0	No significant effect/clear link	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to small-scale employment development to meet local need.	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill/exception sites for employment development that meets local need providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would have a positive effect on this objective by enabling suitable small-scale employment development that meets local need to be permitted.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	e a • P	ddress existing imbalances o quality, deprivation and exclu cross the city; rovide accessible services an	usion d	?	The NPPF s that inappe developme definition,	ropriate ent is, by harmful		It is assumed a local policy we seek to restric inappropriate	ould t	-	Not permitting exception sites for affordable housing in the Green Belt	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill/exception
		fa	acilities for the local population	on;		to the Gree	en Belt		development	in		would have a		infill/exception sites		sites for affordab

>	++		+	0		?		-						
KEY	Very positive imp	act	Positive impact likely	No signif	ficant effect	/ Uncertain		Negative imp	act likel	y Very negative	impact	Positive or negative in	npact d	epending on
	likely			no clear l	ink	insufficier	nt information			likely		how it is implemented	l	
		• H	Provide affordable housing to lemand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and security people and/or property.		approve very specific circums could re opportunt housing services develop meet lo	tances. This duce nities for or /facilities ment to cal need and nerefore certain on this	bu gre the cir- un wc pe De im thi res ho ser de me It v	e Green Belt, t provide tater clarity on the severy special cumstances der which it tuld be rmitted. pending on plementation, to could further trict or enable using or vices/facilities velopment to tet local need. vould terefore have tertain impacts this objective.		negative impact on this objective, as affordable housing development to meet local need in Green Belt locations would be restricted.		would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to housing or services/facilities development to meet local need.		housing or services/facilities development that meets local need providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would have a positive effect on this objective by enabling housing or services/facilities development that meets local need to be permitted.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	• E	Deliver development where it accessible by public transport, valking and cycling to minimis use of the car; Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable transportions; Promote sustainable forms of improve congestion.	se the re	that ina develop definition to the Grand shows approve very specific control transport including transport could here duce opport accessible develop meet lo	tances, g local rt acture. It owever nities for ale ment to cal need and nerefore	loc set ina de the bu gre the circ un wc pe im thi res acc de	s assumed a al policy would ek to restrict ppropriate velopment in e Green Belt, t provide sater clarity on use very special cumstances der which it uld be rmitted. pending on plementation, s could further trict or enable eessible velopment to et local need.	0	No significant effect/clear link	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to enabling accessible development to meet local need.	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill/exception sites for development that meets local need providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would have a positive effect on

KEY	Very positive im likely	positive impact likely	No signific no clear lin	cant effect /	Uncertain insufficien	or t information	Negative impa	act like	ly Very negative likely	impact	Positive or negative in how it is implemented	•	epending on
	<b>T</b>			impacts o objective.		the und on	refore have tertain impacts this objective.	0	All and the second				this objective by enabling accessible development that meets local need to be permitted.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>Reduce or mitigate greenhemissions from all sources;</li> <li>Plan or implement adaptate measures for the likely effectimate change;</li> <li>Provide and develop energing renewable, low and zero catechnologies;</li> <li>Promote sustainable design building materials that man future risks and consequent climate change;</li> <li>Adhere to the principles of energy hierarchy.</li> </ul>	y from arbon n and nage the ces of	very spec circumsta Since this the wider environm benefits c renewabl	oropriate ent is, by , harmful een Belt d not be except in ial nces. includes ental of e energy eent relying PF will ignificant this	loccisee ina device the but gree tho circumo wo per Depimpt this res ren device wo have imp	a assumed a al policy would k to restrict ppropriate relopment in Green Belt, provide ater clarity on se very special rumstances der which it uld be mitted. pending on plementation, se could further trict or enable ewable energy relopment. It uld therefore re uncertain pacts on this ective.	0	No significant effect/clear link	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to renewable energy related development.	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit renewable energy development providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would have a positive effect on this objective by enabling such development to be permitted.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	<ul> <li>Protect and enhance interrand nationally significant p species and habitats within SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs;</li> <li>Protect and enhance locall important nature conserva (SINCs);</li> <li>Create new areas or site of diversity / geodiversity value Improve connectivity of greinfrastructure and the natuenvironment;</li> <li>Provide opportunities for paccess the natural environment</li> </ul>	riority I SACs,  y tion sites bio- ue; een ural	Green Bel	propriate pent is, by pent is, by pent is, by pent in is is is in the pent in is is is is is is is in the pent in	locc see ina dev the but gre tho circ und wo per has	a assumed a al policy would k to restrict ppropriate velopment in Green Belt, provide ater clarity on se very special cumstances der which it uld be mitted. This a positive ect since green	+	Not permitting exception sites for affordable housing would help to protect green infrastructure and so has a positive effect on this objective.	-	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites could have a negative effect since green infrastructure that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment but is located within the	+	It is assumed that local criteria would not permit infill/exception sites on green infrastructure that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment.

### Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

KEY	Very positive im		significar clear link	it effect /	Uncertain insufficien	t inform	or nation	Negative imp	act lik	cely	Very negative i	impact	Positive or negative im how it is implemented	pact de	epending on
9 KEY			the clear link +	will be produced by the service of the	insufficien states ropriate ent is, by harmful en Belt d not be except in al nces. includes reviously I land the NPPF no effect.	? +	infra be po  It is a local seek i inapp devel the G but p great those circur under would perm Depe imple this c	structure will rotected. ssumed a policy would to restrict propriate comment in reen Belt, rovide er clarity on every special mstances r which it d be	+	Not pexcep affor would agriculate allotra a pos	, ,	-	settlements could be compromised.  Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites could have a negative effect since agricultural land or allotments that contribute to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment but are located within the settlements	pact de	It is assumed that local criteria would not permit infill/exception sites on agricultural land or allotments that contribute to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	Conserve water resources and quality;     Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.	0	The NPPF that inapp developm definition, to the Gre and should approved very specicircumstal	ent in the thas a ifect since all land will red.  states ropriate ent is, by harmful en Belt d not be except in al	?	the representation of the reconstruction of	euse of ously oped land. uld fore have rtain impacts is objective. enting oropriate opment in reen Belt positive t since ultural land e protected assumed a policy ld seek to	0	_	nificant /clear link	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill/exception sites for York Sewage Treatment Works and Elvington Water Treatment Works

>	++		+	0			r			-					1			
KEY	Very positive imp	oact	Positive impact likely	_		t effect /	Uncertain			Negative in	npact li	ikely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative in		epending on	
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficien	t informa	ition				likely		how it is implemented			
						Since this engineering operations waste reladevelopm on the NP have no sileffect on tobjective.	includes ng s and ited ent relying PF will gnificant		on the special circum under would permit Depermit or end devel associated treatm works sewagatreatm works would there uncer	nstances r which it d be itted. nding on mentatio s could er restrict able opment iated with r ment s or ge ment s. It d fore have tain cts on this			, many		Green Belt restriction to water treatment works or sewage treatment works related development.		providing the overall purporty overall purporty over the and special character of whilst assisti safeguarding countryside encroachment compron This would h positive effect this objective enabling sucidevelopment permitted.	ose of Belt to setting York, ng in the from nt is nised. ave a ct on e by h
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	• Pro	omote reduction, re-use, red recycling of waste; omote and increase resource iciency.	•	0	The NPPF that inapp developm definition, to the Gre and should approved very speci circumstal Since this waste rela developm on the NP have no si effect on to objective.	ropriate ent is, by harmful en Belt d not be except in al nces. includes ited ent relying PF will gnificant	?	It is a: local   would restrict inapp devel the G but po greate on the special circur under would permi Deper imple n, this	ssumed a policy d seek to ct propriate opment in reen Belt, rovide er clarity ose very al mstances r which it d be	0		gnificant c/clear link	+	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to waste related development.	+	It is assumed local criteria permit infill/excepti sites for was related development providing the overall purporty ork's Green preserve the and special character of whilst assistit safeguarding countryside encroachment compron This would h	would on te  t e ose of Belt to setting York, ng in the from nt is nised.

KEY	Very positive im likely	pact Positive impact likely	No signification no clear link	nt effect /	Uncertain insufficient	or t information	Negative in	npact li	ikely	Very negative likely	impact	Positive or negative in how it is implemented		lepending on
						was dev It w the und imp	enable ste related elopment. rould refore have ertain eacts on this ective.							positive effect on this objective by enabling such development to be permitted.
12	Improve air quality	Reduce all emissions to air from current activities;     Minimise and mitigate emission air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);     Support the development of cwide low emission infrastructure. Improve air quality in AQMAs prevent new designations;     Avoid locating development wit could negatively impact on a quality;     Avoid locating development in of existing poor air quality who could result in negative impact the health of future occupants/users;     Promote sustainable and integrating transport network to minimise use of the car.	ons to  ity ure; and where air a areas ere it ts on	The NPPF st that inappr developme definition, I to the Gree and should approved e very specia circumstant Since this ir local transpinfrastructurelying on twill have no significant ethis objective.	opriate int is, by harmful en Belt not be except in l ces. ncludes oort ure che NPPF oeffect on	? It is local wood rest in a development of the but green on the specific conditions and the specific conditions are specific conditions. The specific conditions are specific conditions are specific conditions. The specific conditions are specific conditions are specific conditions. The specific conditions are specifically conditions are specifically conditions. The specific conditions are specifically conditions are specifically conditions.	assumed a al policy uld seek to trict ppropriate elopment in Green Belt, provide ater clarity those very	0		nificant 'clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>Reduce risk of flooding;</li> <li>Ensure development location and design does not negatively importance on flood risk;</li> <li>Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drain systems (SuDs).</li> </ul>	pact n	No significa effect/clear			significant ect/clear link	0		nificant 'clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link

	++	+ 0		5		-				1		
KEY	Very positive impact	, ,	nificant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative im	pact lik	kely Very negative	impact	Positive or negative in	ipact d	epending on
	likely	no clea	r link	insufficient inf	formation			likely		how it is implemented		
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	Preserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city; Promote or enhance local culture; Preserve or enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting; Preserve or enhance those elements which contribute to the 6 Principle Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topic Paper.	+ The over- of York's is to pres setting an character whilst as: safeguard countrysi encroach The NPPF that inap develope definition to the Gr and shou approved very spec circumsta Relying o	all purpose Green Belt erve the nd special r of York, sisting in ding the de from ment. F states propriate nent is, by n, harmful een Belt ld not be d except in cial ances. n NPPF I therefore	+ It is a local wou restricted would be considered would perm spectored pressetti spectochar york a local would ther	propriate elopment in Green Belt, provide ter clarity nose very ial imstances er which it ld be nitted with ific rence to ereving the ng and ial acter of a Providing tal policy ld efore have ry positive	**	Not permitting exception sites for affordable housing would help to preserve the setting and special character of York and so has a very positive effect on this objective.		Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites could have a very negative effect since land that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York but is located within the settlements could be compromised.	+	It is assumed that local criteria would not permit infill/exception sites on land that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York. Providing a local policy would therefore have a positive effect.
15	enhance York's natural and built landscape	Preserve or enhance the landscape including areas of landscape value Conserve or enhance geologically important sites; Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" within the Heritage Topic Paper.	of York's is to pres setting an character whilst ass safeguard countrysi encroach The NPPF that inap developn	Green Belt erve the nd special of York, sisting in ding the de from ment. Estates propriate nent is, by n, harmful een Belt	local wou restr inap deve the 0 but   grea on ti spec circu unde	propriate elopment in Green Belt, orovide ter clarity nose very	++	Not permitting exception sites for affordable housing would help to preserve the setting and special character of York and so has a very positive effect on this objective.	-	Removing existing settlements from the Green Belt to enable infill/exception sites could have a negative effect since land that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York but is located within	+	It is assumed that local criteria would not permit infill/exception sites on land that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York. Providing a local policy would therefore have a positive effect.

$\top$	++	+	0		?		-				I	
KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significan no clear link	t effect /	Uncertain insufficient info	or rmation	Negative imp	act likely	Very ne likely	egative impact	Positive or negative im how it is implemented	
				approved very speci circumsta Relying or policy will have a po effect.	nces. n NPPF therefore	pres setti spec char York a loo wou ther	rence to serving the sing and sial sacter of sal policy sld efore have ry positive				the settlements could be compromised.	
Gene	ral		For bedeve providepe be lo resul	ooth alterna lopment. Hide enough nding on ho cated within ting in a ver	owever, there are new land for hous ow this is impleme n the Green Belt. y positive effect of XCEPTION SITES II	sitive effer e also unce sing and ented, it could be would a on the hist	cts related to pertainties in rel mployment de puld further res also provide gre oric environme	ation to the velopment that trict or ena vater clarity ont.	e social and e to meet loca able developr y with respec	economic object Il need whilst pro ment related to It to when very s	otecting the Green Belt. If water, waste and renewa pecial circumstances exis	ensions between needing to For the local policy option, able energy that may need to t to permit development,
			since coun Rem posit enab fall w settle settle	affordable tryside and poving existir ive effect or le developn within the Grement bounements fron	housing developing reen infrastruction settlements from the social and entert related to water Belt could had aries could be coul	ment to ma ure from d m the Gre conomic o ater and w ve a negar ompromis ould resul	eet local need levelopment and the Belt to enall bjectives by enalts that may tive effect since ed. Given the tin a very neg.	would be read very positions in the infill/excabiling developmed to be a openspaction of the Control of the Con	estricted. Ho sitive effects in acception sites elopment to be located with the green infra Green Belt to	owever, there are in relation to pre- or providing loc meet local need in the Green Be astructure, allotion opreserve the se	e positive effects related a eserving the setting and spaces al criteria to guide infill/e whilst still protecting the	exception sites will have a e Green Belt. It would also of removing settlements that I that fall within the er of York removing the
Prefe	rred Approach		It is a circu woul	issumed a lo mstances ui d therefore	nder which it wou have a positive e	seek to res Id be perr fect on th	strict inapprop nitted with spe e environment	cific refere al objective	ence to preser es including a	rving the setting a very positive e		York. Providing a local policy onment. Implementation of

Bloke Bloke Brown and the Constitution of the	e impact depending on
likely no clear link insufficient information likely how it is implement	ted

	renewable energy resulting in uncertain impacts on the economic and social objectives.
	APPROACH TO EXCEPTION SITES IN THE GREEN BELT
	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill/exception sites for housing and employment development that meets local need as well as development related to waste and water providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would result in a positive effect in respect of all relevant economic, social and environmental objectives.
Recommendations	Since no adverse impacts were identified in respect of the preferred option, no mitigation is required. However, to address uncertainties and ensure positive effects are fully realised careful consideration should be given to policy wording in respect of balancing the need for housing and employment development to meet local need or development related to water, waste and renewable energy against the need to protect the role of the Green Belt.

SA Objective		Option 1: Do not permit major developed sites in the Green Belt		develo	2: Remove existing major ped sites from the Green enable infill	•	3: Provide local criteria for developed sites in the Green	built devel	on 4: Require the minimum threshold for major loped sites to be less than sq. m
To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	-	Not permitting any major developed sites in the Green Belt would have a negative impact on this objective, as it could reduce opportunities for delivering community facilities to meet the needs of the population.	+	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to delivering community facilities on them and housing to meet local needs could potentially also be accommodated.	+	It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt is to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would have a positive effect by enabling development of community facilities that meet local needs to be permitted.	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the typ of development permitte it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.

Т.	++	+	0	3		-		1			
KEY	Very positive imp likely		No significant e no clear link		or t information		Very negative likely		ositive or negat ow it is implem		npact depending on
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development will environmental circumstances or negatively impact on people's health;</li> <li>Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling</li> <li>Improves access to healthcare;</li> <li>Provides or promotes safety ar security for residents;</li> <li>Ensure that land contamination/pollution does pose unacceptable risks to hear</li> </ul>	ould (s) ad	Not permitting major developed sites woul help to protect openspace that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment. This a positive effect since the countryside is a recreational resource and continued access it will contribute to the well-being of residen However, this would restrict opportunities health development associated with The Retreat/Stockton Hall Hospital, which woul have a negative effect	n e has e e s to hhe hts. I s for	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill could have a negative effect since access to formal or informal openspace within these areas (e.g. York Racecourse) could be compromised. It would however allow appropriate health development associated with The Retreat/Stockton Hall Hospital or recreational related development to be permitted which could have a positive effect.	crinn m co of sa front had the reco co of all de with the reconstruction of the reconstr	is assumed that iteria would not fill on openspace ajor developed sontributes to the ithe Green Belt to the Green Belt t	t permit ce within sites that e purpose to untryside ent. This ect since s a urce and to it will well-being rould also e health ociated /Stockton ecreational	?	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive/negative benefit.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education and tra         opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and fur         educational establishments for         continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality employm         opportunities available to all.</li> </ul>	ther	This could reduce opportunities for education development associated with Askh Bryan College/Colleg Law and would therefore have a negative effect on the objective.	nam ge of	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would allow appropriate education development associated with Askham Bryan College/College of Law and therefore have a positive effect.	cri all de wi Cc	is assumed that iteria would low appropriate evelopment asso ith the Askham Eollege/College of ermitted and the ave a positive eff	e education ociated Bryan of Law to be erefore	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for bus success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relevant workforce for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable economic growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure;</li> </ul>		This could reduce opportunities for sma scale employment development to mee local need and would therefore have uncertain impacts on this objective.	et d	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to employment development within them.	cr pr pu is ar ch as	is assumed that iteria would per roviding the over urpose of York's to preserve the nd special naracter of York, ssisting in safegu puntryside from	rmit infill erall s Green Belt e setting s, whilst uarding the	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive im	pact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant ef	fect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very neg	ative impact	Positive or nega	ative im	pact depending on
_	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient inform	mation		likely		how it is impler	nented	
	,								·					<u>_</u>
5	Help deliver	• !	Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic gr Support existing employment drivers; Promote a low carbon econon Address existing imbalances o	ny.		•	litting any	+	Removing existing major	+	to be permitt It is assumed	d. This would we effect by able development ed. that local	+	The minimum size of site
	equality and access to all	•	equality, deprivation and exclusions the city; Provide accessible services and facilities for the local population Provide affordable housing to demand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and security people and/or property.	d on; meet ity for		the Greer have a ne on this ob could red opportun delivering facilities t needs of	ities for g community to meet the the population.		developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to delivering community facilities on them and housing to meet local needs could potentially also be accommodated.		providing the purpose of You is to preserve and special character of You assisting in saccountryside function end promised have a positive enabling devicement local nepermitted.	ork's Green Belt the setting fork, whilst afeguarding the rom at is not d. This would we effect by elopment of acilities that seeds to be		will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where it accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimis use of the car; Deliver transport infrastructur which supports sustainable trapportions; Promote sustainable forms of Improve congestion.	se the re avel		major dev the Greer reduce op accessible to meet lo would the	veloped sites in a Belt could be portunities for a development ocal need and erefore have a effect on this	+	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to enabling accessible development to meet local need or providing transport related infrastructure.	+	is to preserve and special character of a assisting in sa countryside f encroachmer compromised have a positive enabling access	d permit infill coverall ork's Green Belt the setting  York, whilst afeguarding the rom at is not d. This would we effect by essible to meet local	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a	• !	Reduce or mitigate greenhous emissions from all sources; Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects climate change; Provide and develop energy fr	of		for renew developm		+	Removing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no	+	It is assumed criteria would renewable er development overall purpo	that local d permit nergy providing the	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.

KEY	Very positive im	pact Positive impact likely N	o significant effect	/ Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Vory nog	ative impact	Positivo or poga	tivo im	pact depending on
₹	likely		o clear link	insufficient inform			likely	ative illipact	how it is implen		
	intery		o cicar min	mountelent mon	ideloli		шкету		now it is implen	Terrica	
	managed response to its effects	renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;  Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage t future risks and consequences of climate change;  Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.	no si this o	loped sites will have gnificant effect on objective.		Green Belt restriction to renewable energy related development.		of York, while safeguarding countryside f encroachmen compromised have a positive objective by of development permitted.	the rom It is not I. This would re effect on this enabling such to be		
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Protect and enhance internation and nationally significant priority species and habitats within SACs SPAs, RAMSARs and SSSIs; Protect and enhance locally important nature conservation s (SINCs); Create new areas or site of biodiversity / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people access the natural environment.	deve help infra a po tes obje	permitting major eloped sites would to protect green structure and so has sitive effect on this ctive.		Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill could have a negative effect since green infrastructure that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment but is located within them could be compromised.	+	within major sites that con	I not permit infrastructure developed tributes to the e Green Belt to countryside		The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive/negative benefit.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultur land;</li> <li>Protect or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>	the deve help agric allot ral posit obje	permitting major loped sites could to protect ultural land and ments and so has a cive effect on this ctive.	-	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill could have a negative effect since agricultural land or allotments that contribute to the purpose of the Green Belt to safeguard the countryside from encroachment but are located within them could be compromised.	+	allotments w developed sit contribute to	I not permit ultural land or ithin major es that the purpose of It to safeguard de from	?	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive/negative benefit.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	<ul> <li>Conserve water resources and quality;</li> <li>Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.</li> </ul>	for e oper inclu deve	e the NPPF allows ngineering ations (assumed to de water and waste lopments) in the in Belt not	+	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill (including York Sewage Treatment Works and Elvington Water	+		d permit infill ge Treatment vington Water orks providing	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.

>	++	+	0		3		-			I		
KEY		npact Positive impact likely	No significar	nt effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely		ative impact			npact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient inform	mation		likely		how it is impler	nented	
				develop	ing major ned sites will have ficant effect on ective.		Treatment Works) would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to water treatment works or sewage treatment works related development.		countryside f encroachmer compromised have a positi	York, whilst afeguarding the from at is not d. This would we effect on this enabling such		
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	Promote reduction, re-use, reand recycling of waste;     Promote and increase resour efficiency.		for engi operation include develop Green E permitt develop	oments) in the Belt not ing major ped sites will have ficant effect on	+	Removing existing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill would have a positive effect on this objective since there would be no Green Belt restriction to waste related development within their boundaries.	+	It is assumed criteria would providing the purpose of You is to preserve and special character of assisting in secountryside functional encroachments of the purposition objective by	d permit infill e overall ork's Green Belt e the setting York, whilst afeguarding the	+	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive benefit.
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>Reduce all emissions to air fr current activities;</li> <li>Minimise and mitigate emiss air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emiss technologies and fuels);</li> <li>Support the development of wide low emission infrastruc</li> <li>Improve air quality in AQMA prevent new designations;</li> <li>Avoid locating development it could negatively impact on quality;</li> <li>Avoid locating development of existing poor air quality with the province of the prov</li></ul>	tions to  city ture; s and where air in areas	No sign effect/o	ificant clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significan link	t effect/clear	0	No significant effect/clear link

	++	+	0		?		-	-		I		
KEY		npact Positive impact likely	No significant	effect /	Uncertain	or	, ,	, -		_		npact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient infori	mation	1	ikely		how it is impler	nented	
		could result in negative impact the health of future occupants/users;  Promote sustainable and integransport network to minimise use of the car.	grated									
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	Reduce risk of flooding;     Ensure development location design does not negatively impon flood risk;     Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drain systems (SuDs).	pact	No signi effect/c		0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant e link	effect/clear	0	No significant effect/clear link
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	Preserve or enhance the speci character and setting of the hi city; Promote or enhance local cult Preserve or enhance designate non-designated heritage asset their setting; Preserve or enhance those ele which contribute to the 6 Prin Characteristics of the City as identified in the Heritage Topi Paper.	ure; ed and es and ements ciple	develop help to p setting a characte has a ve	mitting major ed sites would preserve the and special er of York and so ry positive effect objective.	-	Removing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill could have a very negative effect since land that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York but is located within them could be compromised.	+	It is assumed the criteria would infill on land with developed site contributes to of the Green Broserve the sepecial charact Providing a loc would therefor positive effect.	not permit ithin major s that the purpose elt to etting and er of York. al policy re have a	?	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive/negative benefit.
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	Preserve or enhance the lands including areas of landscape v. Conserve or enhance geologic important sites; Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rurlandscape and in line with the "landscape and Setting" withir Heritage Topic Paper.	alue cally n	develop help to p setting a characte has a ve	mitting major ed sites would preserve the and special er of York and so ry positive effect objective.	-	Removing major developed sites from the Green Belt to enable infill could have a negative effect since land that contributes to the purpose of the Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York but is located within them could be compromised.	+	It is assumed the criteria would in infill on land we developed site contributes to of the Green Bureserve the se special charact Providing a locumould therefor positive effect.	not permit ithin major s that the purpose elt to etting and er of York. al policy re have a	?	The minimum size of site will not influence the type of development permitted, it would however influence the degree of positive/negative benefit.
Ger	eral		develo	pment to i	meet the needs of	the popu	d sites in the Green Belt wou lation would be restricted. He evelopment and very positive	owever, t	here are positive	effects related	to prote	ecting access to the
			Remov	ing exiting	major developed	sites fron	n the Green Belt to enable inf	ill or prov	iding local criteria	a to guide infill o	of such	sites will have a positive

KE	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
	inciy		effect on the soc development rei major developm land that fall wit	cial and economic objectives lated to water and waste inf ent sites that fall within the hin the boundaries of these	s by enabling development frastructure that may need Green Belt could have a ne sites could be compromise	to meet local need whilst st to be located within the Gre egative effect since openspa d. Given the role of the Gre	cill protecting the Green Belt. It would also enal een Belt. However, the option of removing existace, green infrastructure, allotments or agricultureen Belt to preserve the setting and special characteristics on its historic environment. A local	iting ural ractei
			The option relation threshold of less	than 3000 sq m would mea	eshold for major developed In more sites could be consi	d sites will not influence the idered as "major developed	type of development permitted. However, a I sites" which would increase the positive social more land would be at risk of inappropriate	and

#### **Preferred Approach** It is assumed that local criteria would permit infill on land within major developed sites providing the overall purpose of York's Green Belt to preserve the setting and special character of York, whilst assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment is not compromised. This would result in a positive effect in respect of all relevant economic, social and environmental objectives.

development.

Recommendations Since no adverse impacts were identified in respect of the preferred option, no mitigation is required. However, to address uncertainties and ensure positive effects are fully realised careful consideration should be given to policy wording in respect of balancing the need for development on existing major developed sites against the need to protect the role of the Green Belt.

### **SECTION 19: FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**

SA Objective	,			on 1: Restrict all new lopment in the flood plain	deve	on 2: Restrict all new lopment on Greenfield sites e floodplain		on 3: Rely on NPPF to guide lopment in the floodplain		on 4 Provide local policy to e development in the flood
1 To meet	•	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the	?	This option could	?	Restricting all new	?	The NPPF seeks to locate	?	It is assumed that a local
the diverse		population in terms of quantity, quality;		potentially reduce the		development on		all new development away		policy would seek to
housing	•	Promote improvements to the existing		amount of land available		Greenfield sites in the		from areas at highest risk		restrict development in
needs of		and future housing stock;		for development for		floodplain would reduce		of flooding. This could		the floodplain, which
the	•	Locate sites in areas of known housing		housing, which would		the amount of land		potentially reduce the		could reduce the amount
population		need;		mean that impacts on		available for new housing		amount of land available		of land available for new
in a		·		this objective are		and would therefore have		for housing, which would		housing. Impacts on this

KEY				No significant effect / Uncertain insufficient info		formati	or Negative impact likely				ositive or negative impact depending or ow it is implemented	
<u> </u>	пкету		110 Clear	IIIIK	IIISUITICIETII II	TOTTIALI	UII	like	ly II	IOW IL IS	Simple	emented
	sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver community facilitie needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required fo Travellers and Showpeople</li> </ul>	r Gypsies and		uncertain.		uncertain impacts this objective.		therefore have uncerta impacts on this objectiv			objective are therefore uncertain.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	<ul> <li>Avoid locating development environmental circumstant negatively impact on people Improve access to openspation functional openspace</li> <li>Promotes a healthier lifest access to leisure opportunicycling)</li> <li>Improves access to health</li> <li>Provides or promotes safet for residents;</li> <li>Ensure that land contamination/pollution de unacceptable risks to health</li> </ul>	at where tes could e's health; tee / multi- yle though ties (walking / are; ty and security  pes not pose	+	There would be positive impacts on this objective since it would prevent development in the floodplain and ensure that human health was not adversely impacted by flooding.	+	There would be positive impacts on this objective since it would prevent development on Greenfield sites in the floodplain and ensure that human health was not adversely impacted by flooding.	+	There would be positive impacts on this objective since it would prevent development in the floodplain and ensure thuman health was not adversely impacted by flooding.	ve that	+	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain, which would impact positively on human health since it would reduce the risk of health problems from flooding.
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education an opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and educational establishments continued success;</li> <li>Provide good quality emploopportunities available to a</li> </ul>	I further s for	0	No significant impact/clear link	0	No significant impact/clear link	0	No significant impact/c link	clear	0	No significant impact/clear link
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions for success and investment;</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and relev for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote stable growth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centre an opportunities for business</li> <li>Provide the appropriate infor economic growth;</li> <li>Support existing employme</li> <li>Promote a low carbon economic growth</li> </ul>	e economic d its and leisure; rastructure ent drivers;	?	This option could potentially reduce the amount of land available for economic development, which would mean that impacts on this objective are uncertain, as it could restrict growth.	?	This option could potentially reduce the amount of land available for economic development, which would mean that impacts on this objective are uncertain, as it could restrict growth.	?	The NPPF seeks to loca all new development a from areas at highest ri of flooding. This could potentially reduce the amount of land availab for economic development, which witherefore have uncertaimpacts on this objectives it could restrict grown.	way isk ile ould ain ve,	?	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain, which could reduce the amount of land available for economic development, as it could restrict growth. Impacts on this objective are therefore uncertain.
5	Help deliver equality and access	<ul> <li>Address existing imbalance deprivation and exclusion a</li> <li>Provide accessible services for the local population;</li> </ul>	es of equality, across the city;	+	Restricting development in the floodplain would promote safety and security for people and	+	Restricting development in the floodplain would promote safety and security for people and or	+	The NPPF seeks to loca all new development a from areas at highest ri of flooding. Such an	way	+	It is assumed that a local policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain, which

	++		+	0	-		?		-					
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea		t effect /	Uncertain insufficient info	ormatio	or Negative impact likely	Ver		Positive of how it is		gative impact depending on emented
6	to all	<ul><li>dema</li><li>Help</li><li>Prom</li><li>peop</li></ul>	de affordable housing to me and; reduce homelessness; note the safety and security fee and/or property.		0	mitigating conseque flooding. have a po	This would sitive and nt long term	0	property through mitigating the adverse consequences of flooding. This would have a positive and permanent long term impact  No significant impact/clear	0	approach would prom safety and security for people and property through mitigating the adverse consequences flooding. This would he a positive and permar long term impact	e s of have nent	0	would promote safety and security for people and or property through mitigating the adverse consequences of flooding. This would have a positive and permanent long term impact  No significant
	need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	acces and c car; Deliv supp Prom	ssible by public transport, was cycling to minimise the use of er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel option tote sustainable forms of travove congestion.	f the which ns; vel;		impact/cl			link		link			impact/clear link
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emis: Plan for th Provi renev techr Prom mate and o	ce or mitigate greenhouse greions from all sources; or implement adaptation ment in the likely effects of climate change and develop energy from wable, low and zero carbon hologies; note sustainable design and be rials that manage the future consequences of climate change to the principles of the entry.	easures ange; puilding risks nge;	+	of floodin the adver- conseque climate ch preventin in the floo help to m response have a po permaner	nces from nange, g development odplain would anage a to this and	+	Since an increased risk of flooding can be one of the adverse consequences from climate change, preventing development on Greenfield land in the floodplain would help to manage a response to this and have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.	+	Since an increased risl flooding can be one of adverse consequences from climate change, that NPPF seeks to loc development away from areas of high risk of flooding, this option whave positive and permanent long term impacts on managing adverse impacts of clichange.	f the s and cate om vould	+	It is assumed that a local level policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain. Since an increased risk of flooding can be one of the adverse consequences from climate change, this option would have positive and permanent long term impacts on managing the adverse impacts of climate change.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, biodiversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected	natio habit and S Prote natur Creat / geo Impr infras envir	ect and enhance internationally significant priority spectats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS (SSIs; ect and enhance locally importe conservation sites (SINCs); ee new areas or site of bio-didiversity value; ove connectivity of green structure and the natural onment; de opportunities for people	ies and ARs rtant versity	+	on land in would pre from bein which wo conserve environm sites and l permaner	nt and positive impact on this	+	Restricting development on Greenfield land in the floodplain would prevent Greenfield land from being developed, which would help to conserve the natural environment on these sites and have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.	+	The NPPF seeks to loc all new development of from areas at highest of flooding. Such an approach would help conserve the natural environment on this la and have a permanen positive long term imp on this objective.	away risk to and t and	+	It is assumed that a local level policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain. Such an approach would help to conserve the natural environment on this land and have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.

_	++		+	0			3		-					
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impact likely	Very	y negative impact	Positive	e or ne	gative impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormatio	on	likel	у	how it	is imple	emented
	•		•	•					•				-	
	natural	acce	ss the natural environment.											
	environme													
	nt													
9	Use land	• Re-u	se previously developed land	;	+	Restricting	g development	+	Restricting development	+	The NPPF seeks to lo	cate	+	It is assumed that a local
	resources		ent pollution contaminating t	-			the floodplain		on Greenfield land in the		all new development			level policy would seek to
	efficiently		remediate any existing			would pre	event		floodplain would prevent		from areas at highest	t risk		restrict development in
	and	cont	amination;			Greenfield	d land from		Greenfield land from being		of flooding. Such an			the floodplain. Such an
	safeguard	<ul> <li>Safes</li> </ul>	guard soil quality, including th	he best		being dev	eloped. This		developed. This would		approach would help	to		approach would help to
	their	and i	most versatile agricultural lan	nd;		would hel	p to protect		help to protect some		protect some agricul	tural		protect some agricultural
	quality		ect or enhance allotments;	•		some agri	cultural land		agricultural land from		land from developme	ent		land from development
		<ul> <li>Safes</li> </ul>	guard mineral resources and			from deve	elopment and		development and		and safeguard other	land		and safeguard other land
			urage their efficient use.			safeguard	other land		safeguard other land such		such as allotments, w	vhich		such as allotments, which
			_			such as al			as allotments, which may		may be in the floodp	•		may be in the floodplain,
							y be in the		be in the floodplain, from		from development.			from development. This
						floodplain	-		development. This would		would have permane			would have permanent
						developm			have permanent and		and positive long ter			and positive long term
							ve permanent		positive long term impacts		impacts on this object	ctive.		impacts on this objective.
						-	ve long term		on this objective.					
						impacts o								
10	Improvo	• C		_ I:a	0	objective. No signific		0	No significant impact/clear	0	No significant impact	t /alaar	0	No significant
10	Improve water		erve water resources and qu	anty;	0	impact/cl		U	link	0	link	L/Clear	U	impact/clear link
	efficiency		ove the quality of rivers and			iiiipact/cii	eai iiiik		IIIK		IIIIK			impact/clear link
	and quality	grou	ndwaters.											
11	Reduce	• Pron	note reduction, re-use, recove	ery and	0	No signific	rant	0	No significant impact/clear	0	No significant impact	t/clear	0	No significant
	waste		cling of waste;	cry aria		impact/cl		Ü	link	0	link	c, cicai		impact/clear link
	generation	•	note and increase resource											pacy crea
	and		ency.											
	increase													
	level of													
	reuse and													
	recycling													
12	Improve air	• Redu	ice all emissions to air from c	urrent	0	No signific	cant	0	No significant impact/clear	0	No significant impact	t/clear	0	No significant
	quality	activ	ities;			impact/cl	ear link		link		link			impact/clear link
			mise and mitigate emissions											
			new development (including	•										
			cing transport emissions thro	_										
			emission technologies and fu											
		• •	ort the development of city v	wide										
		•	•	l										
		prev	ent new designations;											
		low 6	emission infrastructure; ove air quality in AQMAs and											

$\top$	Very positive impact Positive impact likely			)		Ş		-		1		
KEY		e impact		No signif		-	C	or Negative impact likely				gative impact depending on
	likely			no clear li	nĸ	insufficient ir	tormati	on	like	now it	is impi	emented
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in	could Avoid existir result of futi Prome transp the ca Reduce Ensur does i Delive	ce risk of flooding; e development location and de not negatively impact on flood er or incorporate through desig nable urban drainage systems	y; s of ald alth use of	in di ol ha pe	reventing development n the floodplain would irectly mirror this bjective and therefore ave very positive and ermanent long term npacts.	++	Preventing development on Greenfield land in the floodplain would directly mirror this objective and therefore have very positive and permanent long term impacts.	++	The NPPF seeks to locate all new development away from areas at highest risk of flooding. Such an approach would directly mirror and objective and therefore have very positive and permanent	++	It is assumed that a local level policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain. Such an approach would directly mirror this objective and therefore have very positive and permanent
14	York  Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>chara</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Presender</li> <li>setting</li> <li>Presender</li> <li>which Chara</li> </ul>	rve or enhance the special cter and setting of the historic ote or enhance local culture; rve or enhance designated and lesignated heritage assets and g; rve or enhance those elements contribute to the 6 Principle cteristics of the City as identifieritage Topic Paper.	their	in he hi er de flo in flo w	reventing development in the floodplain would elp to protect York's istoric environment by nsuring that evelopment in the oodplain did not increase the risks of ooding elsewhere. This yould have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.	+	Preventing development on Greenfield land in the floodplain would help to protect York's historic environment by ensuring that development in the floodplain did not increase the risks of flooding elsewhere. This would have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.	+	long term impacts.  The NPPF seeks to locate all new development away from areas at highest risk of flooding. Such an approach would help to protect York's historic environment by ensuring that development in the floodplain did not increase the risks of flooding elsewhere. This would have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.	+	long term impacts.  It is assumed that a local level policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain. Such an approach would help to protect York's historic environment by ensuring that development in the floodplain did not increase the risks of flooding elsewhere. This would have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Conse impor</li> <li>Promo with it line w</li> </ul>	rve or enhance the landscape ling areas of landscape value erve or enhance geologically tant sites; ote high quality design in conte ts urban and rural landscape ai ith the "landscape and Setting In the Heritage Topic Paper.	nd in	in he na la th flo in flo w	reventing development in the floodplain would elp to conserve York's atural and built andscape by ensuring that development in the oodplain did not increase the risks of ooding elsewhere. This yould have a permanent and positive long term	+	Preventing development on Greenfield in the floodplain would help to conserve York's natural and built landscape by ensuring that development in the floodplain did not increase the risks of flooding elsewhere. This would have a permanent and	+	The NPPF seeks to locate all new development away from areas at highest risk of flooding. Such an approach would help to protect York's natural and built environment by ensuring that development in the floodplain did not increase the risks of flooding elsewhere. This	+	It is assumed that a local level policy would seek to restrict development in the floodplain. Such an approach would help to protect York's natural and built environment by ensuring that development in the floodplain did not increase the risks of

KEY	Very positive impact	+ Positive impact likely	_	nificant effect /	? Uncertain	C	-0		gative impact		e or negative impact depending on		
	likely		no clea	rlink	insufficient info	ormation	n	likely		how it is	s implemented		
				impact on	this objective.		positive long term impact on this objective.	and	ald have a perma positive long ter act on this objec	rm	flooding elsewhere. This would have a permanent and positive long term impact on this objective.		
Gene	ral			protect the envi	ronment, people ousing objectives	and pro s, which i	perty from the adverse conse is due to the tensions betwee	quences of	flooding. There a	ire some	n the floodplain would help to uncertainties in relation to the or development and		
Prefe	rred Approach			Overall, the performance is similar to the alternatives. It is assumed that a local level policy to guide development would seek to restrict development in the floodplain. This would have a number of positive impacts, particularly for the environment since the adverse consequences of flooding would be mitigated.  In common with other options, uncertainties in relation to the housing and economic objectives are inevitable given the tension between having enough land available for development and preventing/reducing risks of flooding.									
Reco	mmendations			As no adverse in	npacts were iden	tified fro	om the preferred option no m	itigation is c	onsidered neces	sary.			

F	ood Mitiga	ation Measures							
SA	To meet  • Deliver homes to meet the needs of the		mitig	on 1 Relay on NPPF to guide flood ation/surface water drainage/groundwater ection measures	adop wate	on 2 Require all new development to ot specified flood mitigation/surface er drainage/groundwater protection sures	Option 3: Require all new development to contribute to long term climate change adaptation measures.		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of population in terms of quantity, qua</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housineed;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	lity; ing ng	No significant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.	
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health Improve access to openspace / mult functional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle thouge	n; i-	No significant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.	

	Very positive impact			0			?	-				T	
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sigi	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain	r Negati	ve impa	act likely	Very negative impac	Posi	tive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient informatio	n			likely	how	it is implemented
			s to leisure opportunities (wa	lking/									
		cycling											
		•	ves access to healthcare;										
			les or promotes safety and se	ecurity									
			sidents;										
			e that land										
			mination/pollution does not	pose									
	1		eptable risks to health.		0	N11161			_	A11161	and offert for all and Pale	-	No classificant offerst to a should be
3	Improve		le good education and trainir	ng	0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
	education, skills	• •	tunities for all; ort existing higher and further										
	developme	• •	tional establishments for										
	nt and		ued success;										
	training for		le good quality employment										
	an effective		tunities available to all.										
	workforce												
4	Create jobs	Help c	deliver conditions for busines	s	0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No signifi	cant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
	and deliver	succes	ss and investment;										
	growth of a	<ul> <li>Delive</li> </ul>	r a flexible and relevant wor	kforce									
	sustainable		e future;										
	, low		r and promote stable econor	mic									
	carbon and	growt											
	inclusive		ice the city centre and its										
	economy		tunities for business and leis										
			le the appropriate infrastruct	ture									
			onomic growth; ort existing employment drive	orc:									
			ote a low carbon economy.	215,									
5	Help		ss existing imbalances of equ	ıality	0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No signifia	cant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
	deliver		ration and exclusion across th		U	140 SIGITITIO	ant checyno deal lilk.			140 31511111	cant checy no clear lills.		140 Significant effect/110 clear link.
	equality	city;	tion and exclusion actors to										
	and access		le accessible services and fac	ilities									
	to all		e local population;										
			le affordable housing to mee	t									
		demai											
			educe homelessness;										
		• Promo	ote the safety and security fo	r									
			e and/or property.										
6	Reduce the		r development where it is		0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
	need to		sible by public transport, wall	_									
	travel and		cling to minimise the use of	the									
	deliver a	car;							<u> </u>			_1	

	++						?	-				I	
7	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impa	act likely	Very negative impact	Posit	ive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information				likely	how	it is implemented
7	sustainable integrated transport network  To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed	suppo Promo Impro Reduce emissi Plan of for the Provide renew technology	er transport infrastructure wherts sustainable travel options of sustainable forms of travel expected on the sustainable forms of travel expected on the sustainable forms of travel expected on the sustainable forms from all sources; or implement adaptation meate likely effects of climate charder and develop energy from wable, low and zero carbon ologies; ote sustainable design and buitals that manage the future rise.	nich 5; el; s sures nge;	+	from area flooding o conseque help deliv effects of therefore	seeks to direct developmers at highest risk of flooding an be one of the adverse nces of climate change this er a managed response to tolimate change. There would be positive and permanent acts upon this objective.	Since would he	+	adopt spe measures managed of climate therefore	all new development to cified flood mitigation would help to deliver a response to the effects change. There would be positive and at long term impacts	+	Requiring all new development to contribute to long term climate change adaption measures would help to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and deliver a managed response to the effects of climate change. There would therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
8	response to its effects  Conserve	Adhere to the principles of the energy hierarchy.      Protect and enhance international a nationally significant priority species			+	The NPPF seeks to direct development away from areas at highest risk of flooding. This			+	Requiring	all new development to	+	It is assumed that requiring all new
	or enhance green infrastructu re, biodiversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt	,		es and Rs tant ersity		from area will help t flooding, natural er There wo permaner objective.	s at highest risk of flooding o minimise adverse impact: which will help to conserve avironment. uld therefore be positive an this long term impacts on this	This sof the		adopt spe mitigation drainage/ measures adverse in will help t environm There won and permon this ob	cified flood  I/surface water groundwater protection will help to minimise npacts of flooding, which o conserve the natural ent. uld therefore be positive anent long term impacts jective.		development to contribute to long term climate change adaption measures would include minimising flood risk and reducing impact of flooding. Such an approach would help to protect the natural environment from flooding and therefore have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Protect or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>		e best	0		cant effect/no clear link		0		ant effect/no clear link	0	No significant effect/no clear link
10	Improve	<ul> <li>Conse</li> </ul>	rve water resources and qual	lity;	?	Relying or	n the NPPF to guide flood		+	Requiring	all new development to	+	It is assumed that requiring all new

	++		+	0			?		-					1	
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain		Negative	e impa	ict likely	Very nega	ative impact	Posit	tive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	rmation				likely		how	it is implemented
	water efficiency and quality		ove the quality of rivers and ndwaters.			drainage/g would hav objective, anything s	/surface water groundwater prote uncertain impa since the NPPF d pecific in relation and quality.	octs on this oes not incl			measures pollution of ground wa developm help to im groundwa	ve long term	to avoid npacts on w ould also uality of e permanent		development to contribute to long term climate change adaptation measures would include water conservation, giving positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyc • Prom	note reduction, re-use, recove cling of waste; note and increase resource ency.	ery and	0	No signific	ant effect/no cle	ar link.		0	No signific	ant effect/n	o clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
12	Improve air quality	activ Minin from redu low 6 Supp low 6 Impr previ Avoid could exist resul of fur prom	ice all emissions to air from crities; mise and mitigate emissions to new development (including cing transport emissions throemission technologies and fue ort the development of city vernission infrastructure; ove air quality in AQMAs and ent new designations; d locating development when a negatively impact on air quality impact on air quality in properties of the properties of the fuer coccupants/users; note sustainable and integrate port network to minimise the e car.	to air  ugh els); vide  e it ality; eas of could health	0	No signific	ant effect/no cle	ar link.		0	No signific	ant effect/n	o clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people	<ul><li>Redu</li><li>Ensu</li><li>does</li><li>Deliv</li></ul>	ice risk of flooding; re development location and not negatively impact on floo er or incorporate through de inable urban drainage systen	od risk; sign	+	from area that local manage fl on the NP	seeks to direct de s at highest risk o plans should deve ood risk from all s PF to guide flood have positive and	of flooding and all policies of the sources. Remittigation with the sources of the source of the sources of the	nd s to liance would	++	adopt the outlined in mitigate fl minimise	specific mea	ed option to ld help to people and	+	It is assumed that requiring all new development to contribute to long term climate change adaption measures would include minimising flood risk and reducing impact of flooding. There would therefore be

							?		or Negative impact likely			The Decitive or possible impact depending		
KE	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative	e impa	ct likely	Very negative impact	Posit	ive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	rmation				likely	how	it is implemented
14	and property in York Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural	<ul><li>chara</li><li>Promo</li><li>Prese</li></ul>	rve or enhance the special cter and setting of the histor ote or enhance local culture, rve or enhance designated a lesignated heritage assets ar g;	ic city;	+	The NPPF from area requires the policies to sources, we York's hist	seeks to direct de s at highest risk of hat local plans sho manage risks of f which would help t toric environment	evelopmen f flooding a ould develo flooding fro to conserve by reducii	om all	+	Requiring adopt the outlined in mitigate f minimise reduce ris	nd permanent long term In this objective.  all new development to specific measures In the preferred option to looding would help to flood risk and also to ks of flooding elsewhere,	+	positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.  It assumed that requiring all new development to contribute to long term climate change adaption measures would include minimising flood risk and reducing impact of flooding. This would help to reduce
45	heritage, character and setting	which Chara the H	rve or enhance those eleme a contribute to the 6 Principle acteristics of the City as ident eritage Topic Paper.	e ified in	+	risks of adverse impacts from flooding. There would therefore be permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.  The NPPF seeks to direct development away			and	+	York's hist reducing r from flood therefore positive lo this object			risks of flooding elsewhere, which would help to conserve York's historic environment by reducing risks of adverse impacts from flooding. There would therefore be permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>Conse impor</li><li>Promowith i line w</li></ul>	rve or enhance the landscap ding areas of landscape value erve or enhance geologically rtant sites; ote high quality design in conts ts urban and rural landscape with the "landscape and Setti in the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	from areas at highest risk of flooding and that local plans should develop policies to manage risks of flooding from all sources, which would help to conserve York's natural and built landscape by reducing risks of adverse impacts from flooding. There would therefore be permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.  adopt the specific measures outlined in the preferred option to mitigate flooding would help to minimise flood risk and also to reduce risks of flooding elsewhere which would help to conserve York's natural and built landscape. There would therefore be permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.						n the preferred option to looding would help to flood risk and also to ks of flooding elsewhere, uld help to conserve ural and built landscape. uld therefore be It and positive long term	+	It is assumed that requiring all new development to contribute to long term climate change adaption measures would include minimising flood risk and reducing the impact of flooding. This would help to reduce risks of flooding elsewhere, which would help to conserve York's natural and built environment. There would therefore be permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.	
	eral erred Approach	1			For the two alternative options there would be a number of positive environmental impacts since both options would he of flooding and would help to protect people and property, and also the environment from the adverse consequences of no significant effects/clear links in relation to the economic and housing objectives.  The preferred approach would have positive impacts on the environmental objectives since it would help to reduce the control provide mitigation for the adverse consequences from flooding, thereby protecting York's environment. There is no significant in relation to housing and economic objectives. There will though, be some positive social impacts since people and professions the adverse consequences of flooding.						to reduce the chance of flooding and here is no significant effect/clear link			

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

# **SECTION 20: CLIMATE CHANGE**

Re	newable and	Low Carbon Energy Developmen	nt							
SA	Objective		rene	on 1: Restrict wable and low carbon gy development	rene	on 2: Rely on NPPF to guide wable and low carbon gy development	local guid	on 3: Provide generic criteria/locations to e for renewable and low on energy development	crite	on 4: Provide detailed local ria/identify sites to guide wable and low carbon gy development.
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	?	This option could have uncertain impacts on this objective since it may restrict development of energy efficient/low carbon homes.	+	The NPPF requires local planning authorities to 'design their policies to maximise renewable and low carbon energy development'. This will help to provide energy efficient/low carbon homes and have a positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	It is assumed that generic local criteria/locations will include support for low carbon development, which will in turn help provide energy efficient/low carbon homes. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include support for low carbon development, which will in turn help provide energy efficient/low carbon homes. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	-	Restricting renewable energy development could have adverse impacts upon the Health and well- being of York's population since it would not help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. There would therefore be negative and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	The NPPF supports the development of low carbon/low energy developments and renewable energy. This will help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and combat the adverse consequences of climate change, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	It is assumed that generic local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, which in turn will have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, which in turn will have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.

Τ.	++	+	0		?		-		1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant	effect ,	/ Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact Po	itive or	negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likely	ho	v it is im	plemented
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher and educational establishments success;</li> <li>Provide good quality emplo opportunities available to a</li> </ul>	I further s for continued syment sill.		Restricting renewable and low carbon energy development would prevent job opportunities and training in the renewable energy sector. This would have a negative, permanent long term impact on this objective.	+	The NPPF supports the development of low carbon/low energy developments and renewable energy. Such support will help to create training opportunities in the renewable energy sector and have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.  The NPPF supports the	+	It is assumed that generic local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to provide training opportuniti in the renewable energy sector and positive and permanent long ter impacts upon this objective.	es	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to provide training opportunities in the renewable energy sector and positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
4	deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	success and investment; Deliver a flexible and releve for the future; Deliver and promote stable growth; Enhance the city centre and opportunities for business: Provide the appropriate infeconomic growth; Support existing employme	ant workforce economic d its and leisure; rastructure for		renewable and low carbon energy development would prevent the potential creation of job opportunities in the renewable sector. This would have a negative and permanent long term impact on this objective.	+	development of low carbon/low energy developments and renewable energy. Such support will help to create jobs in the renewable energy sector and deliver growth of a sustainable low carbon economy. There would therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.		generic local criteria/identificatio of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to create opportunities in the renewable energy sector and positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective. This would also contribute to delivering growth.	n	local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to create opportunities in the renewable energy sector and positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.  This would also contribute to delivering growth.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>deprivation and exclusion at exclusion at Provide accessible services the local population;</li> <li>Provide affordable housing demand;</li> <li>Help reduce homelessness;</li> </ul>	across the city; and facilities for to meet	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link

T	++		+	0		?		-		I		
KEY	Very positive impa likely	act	Positive impact likely	No significant no clear link	effect	/ Uncertain insufficient informa	or ation		Very n likely			gative impact depending on emented
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where by public transport, walking minimise the use of the car; Deliver transport infrastruct supports sustainable travel Promote sustainable forms Improve congestion.	and cycling to ; ture which options;	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	•	Reduce or mitigate greenhoremissions from all sources; Plan or implement adaptatifor the likely effects of clima Provide and develop energy renewable, low and zero catechnologies; Promote sustainable design materials that manage the faconsequences of climate chadhere to the principles of hierarchy.	on measures ate change; y from rbon a and building future risks and ange;	,	Restricting renewable and low carbon energy development would not help to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and would be directly contrary to this objective, and have negative and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	The NPPF supports the development of low carbon/low energy developments and renewable energy. This support will help to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and combat the adverse effects of climate change, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	It is assumed that generic local criteria/locations will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and combat the adverse effects of climate change, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and combat the adverse effects of climate change, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	•	Protect and enhance intern nationally significant priorit habitats within SACs, SPAs, SSSIs; Protect and enhance locally nature conservation sites (S Create new areas or site of geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of gre infrastructure and the nature environment; Provide opportunities for puthe natural environment.	y species and RAMSARs and rimportant SINCs); bio-diversity / en ral	0	No significant effect/clear link	+	Whilst the NPPF supports renewable and low carbon energy developments, it requires local planning authorities to ensure that adverse impacts are addressed. This will help to protect the natural environment in York and have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	?	It is uncertain whether generic local criteria/locations to guide for renewable and low carbon energy development would include sufficient protection for the natural environment and so impacts on this objective are uncertain.	?	It is assumed that the provision of detailed local criteria/identify sites would include protection for York's natural environment, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	•	Re-use previously develope Prevent pollution contamin and remediate any existing Safeguard soil quality, inclu- and most versatile agricultu Protect or enhance allotme	ating the land contamination; ding the best iral land;	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link

	++		+	0		Ş		-			1				
KEY	Very positive impa	act	Positive impact likely	No significant	effect	/ Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive	e or ne	gative impact depending on		
	likely			no clear link		insufficient informa	ation		likely		how it	is impl	emented		
		•	Safeguard mineral resource encourage their efficient us												
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	•	Conserve water resources a Improve the quality of river groundwaters.	and quality;	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link	0	No significant effect/clear link		0	No significant effect/clear link		
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	•	Promote reduction, re-use, recycling of waste; Promote and increase reso	,		Restricting renewable and low carbon energy development would not promote and increase resource efficiency. This would have negative and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	The NPPF supports the development of low carbon/low energy developments and renewable energy. This support will help to increase resource efficiency, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	It is assumed that generic local criteria/locations of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to promote and increase resource efficiency, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.  It is assumed that generic local		generic local criteria/locations of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to promote and increase resource efficiency, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.  + It is assumed that		+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to promote and increase resource efficiency, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
12	Improve air quality	•	Reduce all emissions to air activities; Minimise and mitigate emisfrom new development (inc transport emissions throug technologies and fuels); Support the development of emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQM new designations; Avoid locating development negatively impact on air quality in AQM avoid locating development existing poor air quality wheresult in negative impacts of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and in transport network to minimal the car.	assions to air cluding reducing h low emission of city wide low As and prevent at where it could ality; t in areas of ere it could on the health of attegrated		Restricting renewable and low carbon energy would not help to improve air quality. This would not help in respect of reducing the existing air quality problems . There would be negative and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	+	The NPPF supports the development of low carbon/low energy developments and renewable energy. This support will help to improve air quality in York which will be particularly welcome given the problems with air quality. There will therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	,	this objective. + It is assumed that		+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to improve air quality in York, through reducing greenhouse gas emissions. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.		
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of	•	Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development location does not negatively impact		-	Since climate change can be one of the adverse	+	Since flooding can be one of the adverse consequences of climate	+	It is assumed the generic local criteria/location		+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria/identification of sites will include		

_	++	+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positive impac	ct Positive impact likely	No significant effe	ect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive	or ne	gative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link		insufficient informa	ition		likely		how it is	s impl	emented
		·										
	flooding to people and property in York	Deliver or incorporate thro sustainable urban drainage	-	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	consequences of climate change, restricting renewable energy development would not help combat climate change. This could have adverse impacts on this objective.		change, reliance on the NPPF, which supports low carbon and renewable energy development, will have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.		sites will include support for renewable ener and low carbon development. T will help to com the adverse consequences of climate change, includes flood r and therefore h positive and permanent long impact on this objective.	gy his bat f which isk, ave a		support for renewable energy and low carbon development. This will help to combat the adverse consequences of climate change, which includes flood risk, and therefore have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
14	environment, cultural heritage, character and	<ul> <li>Preserve or enhance the spand setting of the historic of the Promote or enhance local of the Preserve or enhance design designated heritage assets setting;</li> <li>Preserve or enhance those contribute to the 6 Principl of the City as identified in the Topic Paper.</li> </ul>	city; culture; nated and non- and their elements which e Characteristics		No significant effect/clear link	+	Whilst the NPPF supports renewable and low carbon energy developments, it requires local planning authorities to ensure that adverse impacts are addressed. This will help to protect York's historic environment and have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.	?	objective.  It is uncertain whether generic criteria/location guide for renew and low carbon energy develop would include sufficient prote for the York's hienvironment an impacts on this objective are uncertain.	ns to rable ment ction istoric	+	It is assumed that the provision of detailed local criteria/identify sites would include protection for York's historic environment, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
15	landscape	<ul> <li>Preserve or enhance the la including areas of landscap</li> <li>Conserve or enhance geole important sites;</li> <li>Promote high quality desig with its urban and rural lan line with the "landscape ar within the Heritage Topic P</li> </ul>	e value ogically n in context idscape and in id Setting"		No significant effect/clear link	+	Whilst the NPPF supports renewable and low carbon energy developments, it requires local planning authorities to ensure that adverse impacts are addressed. This will help to protect York's natural and built environment and have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.		It is uncertain whether generic criteria/location guide for renew and low carbon energy develop would include sufficient protefor the York's nand built environment arimpacts on this objective are uncertain.	ns to rable ment ction atural	+	It is assumed that the provision of detailed local criteria/identify sites would include protection for York's natural and built environment, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
Gene	eral		0	Option	1 has a number of ne	gative i	mpacts, which would be exp	ected sir		n renewal	ble an	d low carbon energy

Very positive impact  Positive impact likely  No significant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely  Very negative impact Positive or negative impact dependent of Negative impact likely  No significant effect / Uncertain or Negative impact likely  No significant effect /		++	+	0	?	-		1
likely no clear link insufficient information likely how it is implemented	(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
meny mountained	-	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

	development would not help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions or mitigate the adverse consequences of climate change. This would have adverse impacts upon the environment. There would also be adverse economic impacts since restricting renewable and
	low carbon energy development would restrict job creation and training opportunities in the renewable energy sector, and also adversely impact upon the growth of York.
	Option 2 has a range of positive impacts. There is support in the NPPF for renewable energy and low carbon development; this would
	have positive and permanent long term environmental impacts through helping to mitigate the adverse impacts of greenhouse gas
	emissions and climate change. There are also positive impacts upon the economy since support for renewables and low carbon will
	help to create jobs in the renewable energy sector and increase growth.
	Option 4 also has a range of positive impacts since it is assumed that providing detailed local criteria/identify sites will afford
	protection for the environment and help to avoid the adverse consequences of climate change. There will also be positive economic
	impacts since this option will help to facilitate renewable energy developments, which will help to create jobs in the renewable
	energy sector and increase growth in the economy.
Preferred Approach	There are some positive environmental impacts from this development since this option will help to facilitate renewable energy
	development, which will help to combat the adverse consequences of climate change. There are also some uncertain impacts since it
	is unclear whether generic criteria would include sufficient detail on environmental protection. There would also be positive social
	impacts since human health would be improved through the facilitation of renewable energy and low carbon developments, since
	these would help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and combat the adverse consequences of climate change.
	There will be positive economic impacts since this option will help to facilitate renewable energy development, which will help to
	create jobs in the renewable energy sector and will help to increase growth.
Recommendations	To avoid some of the uncertain impacts from the preferred option it is recommended that the generic local criteria includes
	appropriate safeguards for the environment to ensure that there are no adverse environmental impacts from renewable and low
	carbon energy development.

SA	Objective		Leve	on 1: Set targets at CSH del 1-3 or equivalent (do ling option)	Leve	on 2: Set targets at CSH I 4 or equivalent, higher dards may not be eved unless developer	Leve	on 3: Set targets at CSH I 5-6 or equivalent (zero on option)	Leve	on 4: Set targets at CSH 15-6 or equivalent for major developments
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population; Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and	-	The do nothing option would not help to deliver sustainable homes and would not have positive impacts upon this objective.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes which would help to have a positive and long term permanent impact on this objective.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes which would help to have a positive and long term permanent impact on this objective.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes for larger housing developments, which would help to ensure that people live in good quality homes and have a positive and long term

	++	+	0		?	-				1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant ef	ffect /	Uncertain or	Nega	tive impact likely	Very neg	ative impact	Positive of	r nega	tive impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient information			likely		how it is	implem	nented
									<u> </u>			
		Travellers and Showpe	ople.									permanent impact on this objective.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating develop environmental circums negatively impact on purchased in the following states of the following stat	tances could eople's health; espace / multi- festyle though tunities (walking / elthcare; eafety and security mination/pollution		The do nothing option would not improve the health and well-being of the population of York as it wouyld maintain the current status quo.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes which would help to ensure that good quality homes are built and help to avoid people living poor quality homes This would have positive and permanent long terimpacts on this objective.	in	This option won help to deliver sustainable hor which would he ensure that good quality homes built and help to people living in quality homes. Would have posand permanent term impacts of objective.	mes elp to od are to avoid poor This sitive t long	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes for larger housing developments, which would help to ensure that people live in good quality homes and have a positive and long term permanent impact on this objective.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	<ul> <li>Provide good education opportunities for all;</li> <li>Support existing higher educational establishm success;</li> <li>Provide good quality error opportunities available</li> </ul>	and further ents for continued		The do nothing option would not create new training opportunities through new sustainable homes and therefore would not impact positively on this objective.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes which would help to provide training opportunities in respect of building sustainable homes, which would have a positive and permanent long ter impact upon this objective.		This option worhelp to deliver sustainable hor which would he provide training opportunities in respect of build sustainable hor which would he positive and permanent long impact upon the objective.	mes elp to g n ding mes, ave a	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes for larger housing developments, and thus provide training opportunities in respect of building sustainable homes, which would have a positive and permanent long term impact upon this objective.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Help deliver conditions success and investmer</li> <li>Deliver a flexible and if for the future;</li> <li>Deliver and promote signowth;</li> <li>Enhance the city centropportunities for busin</li> <li>Provide the appropriate economic growth;</li> <li>Support existing employer</li> <li>Promote a low carbon</li> </ul>	t; elevant workforce able economic e and its ess and leisure; e infrastructure for syment drivers;	-	The do nothing option would not create new job opportunities and not help the economy to grow. There would therefore not be positive impacts on this objective.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes which would help to opportunities in respect of building new sustainable homes. This would help to grow the economy and have positive and permanent long ter		This option workelp to deliver sustainable hor which would he provide job opportunities in respect of build new sustainable homes. This whelp to grow the economy and he positive and permanent long	mes elp to n ding le ould ne nave	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes which would help to provide job opportunities in respect of building new sustainable homes, as part of larger housing developments. This would help to grow the economy and have

>	TT	T	0			-	-		I		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant ef	fect /	Uncertain or	Nega	tive impact likely \	ery neg	ative impact Positive	or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely		no clear link		insufficient information		li	kely	how it is	implen	nented
							impacts on this objective.		impacts on this objective.		positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Address existing imbalar deprivation and exclusio</li> <li>Provide accessible service the local population;</li> <li>Provide affordable house demand;</li> <li>Help reduce homelessne</li> <li>Promote the safety and and/or property.</li> </ul>	en across the city; ces and facilities for ing to meet	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	Deliver development wh by public transport, walk minimise the use of the Deliver transport infrast supports sustainable tra     Promote sustainable for Improve congestion.	king and cycling to car; ructure which vel options;	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce or mitigate gree emissions from all source     Plan or implement adapt for the likely effects of concentration of the likely effects of the likel	es; tation measures limate change; ergy from o carbon sign and building he future risks and	-	The do nothing option would not help to promote sustainable design and building materials that manage future risks and consequences of climate change, and so would not have a positive impact on this objective.	+	There would be positive impacts from this objective since it would help to deliver sustainable homes, which would involve the use of sustainable design and building materials that manage the risks and consequences of climate change.		There would be positive impacts from this objective since it would help to deliver sustainable homes, which would involve the use of sustainable design and building materials that manage the risks and consequences of climate change.	+	There would be positive impacts from this objective since it would help to deliver sustainable homes, which would involve the use of sustainable design and building materials that manage the risks and consequences of climate change.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	<ul> <li>Protect and enhance intractionally significant price habitats within SACs, SP, SSSIs;</li> <li>Protect and enhance loce nature conservation site</li> <li>Create new areas or site geodiversity value;</li> <li>Improve connectivity of infrastructure and the national process.</li> </ul>	ority species and As, RAMSARs and ally important is (SINCs); of bio-diversity /	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.

_	++		+	0		?	-					1		
KEY	Very positive impact		Positive impact likely	No significant ef	ffect /	Uncertain or	Nega	tive impact likely	Very	neg	ative impact	Positive of	or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clear link		insufficient information			likely			how it is	implen	nented
		•	environment; Provide opportunities for the natural environment	•										
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	•	Re-use previously develo Prevent pollution contant and remediate any existi Safeguard soil quality, inc and most versatile agricu Protect or enhance allotr Safeguard mineral resoul encourage their efficient	ninating the land ng contamination; cluding the best ultural land; ments; rces and	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear linl	ζ.	)	No significant impact/no clea	ar link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	•	Conserve water resource Improve the quality of riv groundwaters.		0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear linl	<b>c.</b>	)	No significant impact/no clea	ar link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	•	Promote reduction, re-us recycling of waste; Promote and increase re	•	-	The do nothing option would not help to reduce waste generation and so would not have positive impacts on this objective.	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes, which would help to reduce waste generation and have positive and permanent long ter impacts upon this objective.	e	٠	This option wo help to deliver sustainable ho which would h reduce waste generation and positive and permanent lor impacts upon to objective.	mes, elp to d have	+	This option would help to deliver sustainable homes for larger housing developments, which would help to reduce waste generation and have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
12	Improve air quality	•	Reduce all emissions to a activities; Minimise and mitigate er from new development (transport emissions throtechnologies and fuels); Support the developmen emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQ new designations; Avoid locating developm negatively impact on air Avoid locating developm existing poor air quality versult in negative impact future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and	missions to air (including reducing ugh low emission at of city wide low QMAs and prevent eent where it could quality; eent in areas of where it could es on the health of	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	0	No significant impact/no clear linl	τ.	)	No significant impact/no clea	ar link.	0	No significant impact/no clear link.

	++		+	0		?	-					1		
KEY	Very positive impact		Positive impact likely	No significant ef	fect /	Uncertain or	Nega	tive impact likely	Very	neg	ative impact	Positive	or nega	ative impact depending on
	likely			no clear link		insufficient information			likely	У		how it is	impler	nented
														,
			transport network to mir	nimise the use of										
			the car.											
13	Minimise flood risk	•	Reduce risk of flooding;		0	No significant impact/no	0	No significant		0	No significant		0	No significant
	and reduce the	•	Ensure development loca	_		clear link.		impact/no clear lir	nk.		impact/no cle	ar link.		impact/no clear link.
	impact of flooding		does not negatively impa											
	to people and	•	Deliver or incorporate th											
	property in York		sustainable urban draina	· · · · ·			A Destruition of the desirence of the de							
14	Conserve or	•	Preserve or enhance the	•	0									No significant
	enhance York's		and setting of the historic		clear link.   impact/no clear link.   impact/no clear link.   impact/no clear link.								impact/no clear link.	
	historic	•	Promote or enhance loca	•										
	environment,	•	Preserve or enhance desi	_										
	cultural heritage,		designated heritage asse	ts and their										
	character and		setting;											
	setting	•	Preserve or enhance thos											
			contribute to the 6 Princi	•										
			of the City as identified in	the Heritage										
15	Conserve and		Topic Paper.	la calacaca	0	No significant impost/s s	0	No simuificant		0	Na sianifiaant		0	No significant
15	enhance York's	•	Preserve or enhance the including areas of landsca	•	U	No significant impact/no clear link.	U	No significant impact/no clear lir	a le	U	No significant impact/no cle		U	No significant impact/no clear link.
	natural and built	•	Conserve or enhance get	•		clear lillk.		iiiipact/iio clear iii	IK.		impact/no cie	ai iiik.		impact/no clear link.
	landscape		important sites;	Diogically										
	шпизсирс		Promote high quality des	ian in contact										
			with its urban and rural la											
			line with the "landscape											
			within the Heritage Topic											
Gen	eral			. [	Optio	on 1 has a number of negativ	e impa	acts since it would no	ot resu	lt in ar	ny improvemen	ts as the c	urrent :	status quo would
						nin, and so potential benefits								
						ons 3 and 4 would have posit						ives since	they w	ould help to deliver
					sustainable homes and provide training and job opportunities in respect of building these homes. There would also be positive									
					environmental impacts through reducing the amount of waste produced in respect of building new homes.									
Pref	erred Approach				The	oreferred option would have	positiv	e impacts upon the	housin	g and	economic object	ctives sinc	e it wo	uld help to deliver more
						inable homes, which would		•					•	
						d help to provide job opport								
						grow. There will also be pos	itive e	nvironmental impact	ts since	this o	option will help	to reduce	the am	ount of waste produced
						art of building new homes.								
Reco	mmendations				As no	negative impacts were ider	tified	from the preferred o	ption,	it is no	ot considered th	at any mi	igation	is necessary.

	++	+	0	?	-		I
- E	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

		ons an	nd Carbon Savings								
SA	Objective			for o	on 1 Rely on 2010 Building Regulations carbon savings (until proposed 2013 ing Regulations are implemented)	achie	on 2 Require that major development eves an additional 10% reduction in ess of Building Regulations	acl	hieve	n 3 Require that all developmen res an additional 10% reduction in exces Iding Regulations	
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	nee ter Pro exi sto Loo hoi De the	liver homes to meet the eds of the population in rms of quantity, quality; comote improvements to the isting and future housing ock; cate sites in areas of known using need; liver community facilities for e needs of the population; liver pitches required for psies and Travellers and owpeople.	+	Reliance upon the 2010 Building Regulations for carbon savings will help to deliver sustainable homes and will help promote improvements to future housing stock in terms of ensuring low carbon development. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	Requiring that major development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in excess of Building Regulations will help to deliver sustainable homes and will help promote improvements to future housing stock in terms of ensuring low carbon development. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	+	?	Requiring that all development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in excess of Building Regulations will help to deliver sustainable homes and will help promote improvements to future housing stock in terms of ensuring low carbon development. This will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective. However, requiring this for all development could affect the viability of delivering housing sites to meet local needs.	
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	who circ important importa	oid locating development here environmental cumstances could negatively pact on people's health; prove access to openspace / ulti-functional openspace comotes a healthier lifestyle bugh access to leisure portunities (walking / cycling) proves access to healthcare; povides or promotes safety d security for residents; sure that land intamination/pollution does t pose unacceptable risks to alth.	+	Reliance upon the 2010 Building Regulations for carbon savings will help to reduce harmful emissions, which will have positive and permanent long-term impacts upon the health and well-being of York's population.	+	Requiring that major development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in excess of Building Regulations will help to reduce harmful emissions, which will have positive and permanent long-term impacts upon the health and well-being of York's population.	+		Requiring that all development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in excess of Building Regulations will help to reduce harmful emissions, which will have positive and permanent longterm impacts upon the health and well-being of York's population.	
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an	tra	ovide good education and ining opportunities for all; poort existing higher and	+	Reliance on 2010 Building Regulations for carbon savings will help to create training and education	+	Requiring that major development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in	+		Requiring that all development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in excess of	

	++		+	)			?	-					I
KEY	Very positive impact	:	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant	effect /	Uncertain o	Negat	ive impact likely	Very negative in	mpac	t	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		1	no clear	link		insufficient information			likely			how it is implemented
4	effective workforce  Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	•	further educational establishments for continue success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Help deliver conditions for business success and investment; Deliver a flexible and releva workforce for the future; Deliver and promote stable economic growth; Enhance the city centre and opportunities for business a leisure; Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic	ed I its	+	Reliance of Regulation help to crocarbon teepositive as	insufficient information ities in respect of low chnologies and will have nd permanent long term pon this objective.  on 2010 Building ns for carbon savings will eate jobs in respect of low chnologies and will have nd permanent long term pon this objective.	+	help to create tr education opporespect to low cand low carbon have positive and term impacts up Requiring that machieves an add reduction in carl excess of Buildir help to create joc carbon technolog	ig Regulations will aining and tunities with arbon technologies building and will d permanent longton this objective. In a propertional 10% from emissions in the gregulations will the in respect of low gies and positive long-term impacts	+		Building Regulations will help to create training and education opportunities with respect to low carbon technologies and low carbon building and will have positive and have permanent long-term impacts upon this objective.  Requiring that all development achieves an additional 10% reduction in carbon emissions in excess of Building Regulations will help to create jobs in respect of low carbon technologies and will have positive and permanent long-term impacts upon this objective. However, requiring this for all development could affect the viability of delivering small-scale employment development to meet local needs.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	•	growth; Support existing employme drivers; Promote a low carbon economy.  Address existing imbalance: equality, deprivation and	nt	0	No signific	significant effects/no clear link.		No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0		No significant effects/no clear link.
		•	exclusion across the city; Provide accessible services facilities for the local population; Provide affordable housing meet demand; Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and sec for people and/or property.	to									
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where accessible by public transpo walking and cycling to mining the use of the car; Deliver transport infrastruct which supports sustainable travel options;	ort, mise ture	0	No signifio	cant effects/no clear link	0	No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0		No significant effects/no clear link.

	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive impact		Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain or	Negat	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
												<del>,</del>
		•	Promote sustainable for	ms of								
			travel;									
		•	Improve congestion.									
7	To minimise	•	Reduce or mitigate green		+		on 2010 Building	+		najor development	+	Requiring that all development
	greenhouse gases		gas emissions from all so			_	ns for carbon savings will		achieves an add			achieves an additional 10% reduction
	that cause climate	•	Plan or implement adapt			•	inimise greenhouse gas			bon emissions in		in carbon emissions in excess of
	change and deliver a		measures for the likely e	ffects			and have positive and			ng Regulations will		Building Regulations will help to
	managed response to its effects		of climate change;			this object	nt long term impacts upon		•	e greenhouse gas ave positive and		minimise greenhouse gas emissions and have positive and permanent
	to its effects	•	Provide and develop ene	· .		tilis objet	.tive.			-term impacts upon		long-term impacts upon this
			carbon technologies;	rom renewable, low and zero				this objective.	-term impacts upon		objective.	
			Promote sustainable des	ian and					tills objective.			objective.
			building materials that m	_								
			the future risks and	ianage								
			consequences of climate									
			change;									
		•	Adhere to the principles	of the								
			energy hierarchy.									
8	Conserve or	•	Protect and enhance		0	No signifi	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	enhance green		international and nation	ally								
	infrastructure, bio-		significant priority specie									
	diversity,		habitats within SACs, SP/	As,								
	geodiversity, flora		RAMSARs and SSSIs;									
	and fauna for	•	Protect and enhance local	•								
	accessible high quality and		important nature conser	vation								
	connected natural		sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site	of hio								
	environment		diversity / geodiversity v									
			Improve connectivity of									
			infrastructure and the na	_								
			environment;									
		•	Provide opportunities fo	r								
			people to access the nat									
			environment.									
9	Use land resources	•	Re-use previously develo	ped	0	No signifi	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	efficiently and		land;									
	safeguard their	•	Prevent pollution									
	quality		contaminating the land a	and								
			remediate any existing									
			contamination;									
		•	Safeguard soil quality, in									
			the best and most versal	ille								

	++		+	Э			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive impact		Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain or	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		r	no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
											_	
			agricultural land;									
		•	Protect or enhance allotme	nts;								
		•	Safeguard mineral resource									
			and encourage their efficier	nt								
			use.									
10	Improve water	•	Conserve water resources a	ınd	0	No signifi	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	efficiency and		quality;									
	quality	•	Improve the quality of river	S								
			and groundwaters.									
11	Reduce waste	•	Promote reduction, re-use,		0	No signifi	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	generation and		recovery and recycling of wa	aste;								
	increase level of	•	Promote and increase resou	ırce								
	reuse and recycling		efficiency.									
12	Improve air quality	•	Reduce all emissions to air f	from	+	Reliance o	on 2010 Building	+	Requiring that n	najor development	+	Requiring that all development
			current activities;			Regulatio	ns for carbon savings will		achieves an add	itional 10%		achieves an additional 10% reduction
		•	Minimise and mitigate			help to m	inimise greenhouse gas		reduction in car	bon emissions in		in carbon emissions in excess of
			emissions to air from new			emissions	and have positive and		excess of Buildir	ng Regulations will		Building Regulations will help to
			development (including			permaner	nt long-term impacts upon		help to minimise	e greenhouse gas		minimise greenhouse gas emissions
			reducing transport emission	าร		improving	g air quality.		emissions and h	ave positive and		and have positive and permanent
			through low emission						permanent long	-term impacts upon		long-term impacts upon improving air
			technologies and fuels);						improving air qu	ıality.		quality.
		•	Support the development o	f								
			city wide low emission									
			infrastructure;									
		•	Improve air quality in AQMA	As								
			and prevent new designation	ns;								
		•	Avoid locating development	t								
			where it could negatively									
			impact on air quality;									
		•	Avoid locating development									
			areas of existing poor air qu	ıality								
			where it could result in neg									
			impacts on the health of fut	ture								
			occupants/users;									
		•	Promote sustainable and									
			integrated transport netwo									
			minimise the use of the car.									
13	Minimise flood risk	•	Reduce risk of flooding;		0	No signifi	cant effects/no clear link.		No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	and reduce the	•	Ensure development location									
	impact of flooding		and design does not negative	ely								
	to people and		impact on flood risk;									
	property in York	•	Deliver or incorporate throu	ugh								

### Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

>			0			?	-							
KEY	Very positive impact		Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	effect /	Uncertain or	Negat	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented		
			design sustainable urban											
			drainage systems (SuDs).											
14	Conserve or	•	Preserve or enhance the	special	0	No signific	cant effects/no clear link.		No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.		
	enhance York's		character and setting of t	he										
	historic		historic city;											
	environment,	•	Promote or enhance loca	I										
	cultural heritage,		culture;											
	character and	•	Preserve or enhance desi	gnated										
	setting		and non-designated herit	age										
			assets and their setting;	J										
		•	Preserve or enhance thos	e										
			elements which contribute	te to										
			the 6 Principle Characteri											
			the City as identified in th	ne										
			Heritage Topic Paper.											
15	Conserve and	•	Preserve or enhance the		0	No signific	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant ef	fects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.		
	enhance York's		landscape including areas	of										
	natural and built		landscape value											
	landscape	•	Conserve or enhance											
			geologically important sit	es;										
		•	Promote high quality des	ign in										
			context with its urban an	d rural										
			landscape and in line witl	n the										
			"landscape and Setting" v	within										
			the Heritage Topic Paper											
Gen	eral				Optio	ns 2 and 3 v	will have positive impacts o	n a num	ber of the SA objec	tives. These options	will help	to create sustainable low carbon		
					home	es, provide t	raining and job opportuniti	es with i	espect to low carb	on technologies, whic	ch in turr	n will help to grow the economy. They		
			will also have environmental benefits for York through a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. This will also have positive impacts on the											
							peing of York's population.							
Pref	erred Approach										•	ves, since it will help to reduce harmful		
												carbon technologies, which in turn will		
						-		e positi	e social impacts si	nce this approach wil	help to	deliver improvements to the existing		
						uture housi	•							
Reco	commendations					As no adverse impacts have been identified for the preferred option, no mitigation is considered necessary, although it is noted that the								
						onmental b	enefits would be higher wit	h additio	onal reductions in c	arbon savings.				
										<u> </u>				

	++	+	0	?	-		I
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

## **SECTION 21: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

SA (	Objective		Option 1: Rely on NNPF to provide environmental quality  0 No significant effects/no clear link.			on 2: Provide city-wide generic ria to guide in relation to ronmental protection	crite	on 3: Provide detailed locationally specific ria (such as AQMAs) in relation to ronmental quality
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> <li>Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;</li> </ul>		No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	environmental circumstances could	+	The NPPF would support health through ensuring that adverse impacts from development do not adversely impact on health and wll-being. The NPPF requires consideration "environmental criteria, in line with the policies in this Framework, against which planning applications will be assessed so as to ensure that permitted operations do not have unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural and historic environment or human health, including from noise, dust, visual intrusion, traffic, tip- and quarry-slope stability, differential settlement of quarry backfill, mining subsidence, increased flood risk, impacts on the flow and quantity of surface and groundwater and migration of contamination from the site; and	**	This would require a broad understanding of the environmental protection issues within York. Overall it should have a positive impact on human health as it will be able to respond to changing circumstances in the future to ensure that development is located/managed where it will not negatively impact on peoples health. The flexibility of this approach would ensure that up-to-date baseline information and monitoring informed decision-making.	-	A policy approach specifying detailed environmental protection criteria would be inflexible and not be able to respond to the up-to-date information. This would be a positive approach for ensuring human health and well-being in the short-term but get progressively more negative as the plan went on and the information/circumstances changed. It is likely therefore to have an overall negative impact on human health.

Support existing helper and first would regulire a broad understanding of the environmental policy growth;	$\top$	++		+	0			?	-					1
toke into account the cumulative effects of multiple impacts from individual sites and/or an ampter of site in a locality".  This policy approach would be open interpretation and many therefore be less likely to be reflect tool a requirements and remediation techniques.  Sulls development and training for an effective workforce or an effective growth of a sustainable plant of the future;  Provide good education and training opportunities vailable to all.  No significant effects/no clear link.  Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.  Provide good education and training opportunities for continued success;  Provide good education and training opportunities opportunities and further education less thank training for an effective opportunities and training to a link.  The NPPF would support an approach which addressed environmental quality and made an attractive and accessible environmental protection issues within York. Overall its should have a positive impact as the flow opportunities of business and leisure;  Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth:  Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth:  Provide good education and training opportunities and release of the evironmental quality and management will be dependent upon the education and training the parameters for mink.  The NPPF would support an approach which addressed environmental quality of the environmental quality of the environmental quality.  Provide the fects/no clear link.  No significant effe	KE		e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /		Negati	ive i	impact likely	, ,	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
of multiple impacts from individual sites and/or a number of sites in a locality*.  This policy approach would be open interpretation and may therefore be less likely to be reflect tool interpretation and may therefore be less likely to be reflect tool in techniques.  No significant effects/no clear link.  Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success, and investment; opportunities available to all.  Workforce  Help deliver conditions for business success and investment; opportunities and relevant workforce for the future;  Deliver and first business and leisure; Provide good education and training opportunities available to all.  Workforce  Help deliver conditions for business success and investment; Deliver and first business and elisure; Deliver and premote stable economic growth; Ehanace the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure; Provide good education and training opportunities (and the propriet and premote stable) and the propriet and premote stable economic growth; Deliver and first business and leisure; Provide good education and training opportunities (and the propriet and premote stable) and the propriet and stables and relevant workforce for the future; Deliver and first business and elisure; Provide good education and training opportunities (and the propriet and premote stable economic growth; Deliver and first business and elisure; Provide good education and training opportunities (and the propriet and premote stable economic growth; Deliver and first business and elisure; Deliver and first business and elisure; Provide good education and training opportunities (and the propriet and premote stable economic growth; Deliver and first business and elisure; Deliver and first business and elisure; Provide good education and training opportunities (and training development in relation environmental quality and manage development in relation environmental quality and manage development in relation environmental growth; Deliver and training the pr		likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information				likely		how it is implemented
an effective workforce workforce for the future;  Deliver and promote stable economic growth; Enhance the city centre and its opportunities for business and leisure; Provide the appropriate infrastructure for economic growth; Support existing employment drivers; Promote a low carbon economy.  The NPPF would support an approach which addressed environmental quality and made an attractive and accessible environment. This would help to contribute to the investment potential of the city  This would require a broad understanding of the environmental protection issues within York. Overall it should have a positive impact as the flexible approach will be able to respond to changing circumstances in the future.  Promote a low carbon economy.  The NPPF would support an approach which addressed environmental quality and manage an attractive and accessible environment. This would require a broad understanding of the environmental protection issues within York. Overall it should have a positive impact as the flexible approach will be able to respond to changing circumstances in the future.  However, given that this approach will respond to changing environmental quality of York who consequently impact on the city's attractiveness and investment potential circumstances, it may lead to tensions in planning due to uncertainty in terms of mitigation requirements to minimise any potential adverse effects.  The impact of this policy on future development viability and management will be dependent upon York's overall	3	education, skills developme nt and	<ul><li>oppor</li><li>Suppo</li><li>educa</li><li>contin</li></ul>	tunities for all; rt existing higher and furthe tional establishments for ued success;	,	0	of multiple impacts from individual site and/or a number of sites in a locality".  This policy approach would be open interpretation and may therefore be less likely to be reflect local requirements and remediation techniques.		tes '.		_	effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy  Promote a low carbon a low carbon economy.  In the impact of the future:  The impact of this policy on future development viability and management will be dependent upon York's overall  which addressed environmental quality and management will be dependent upon York's overall  which addressed environmental quality and management will be dependent upon York's overall  more certainty for developers in a understanding of the environmental quality and management in relation of the environmental quality and management will be dependent understanding of the environmental quality and management will be dependent understanding of the environmental quality and management will be dependent understanding of the environmental quality and management will be dependent understanding of the environmental quality and management will be dependent understanding of the environmental quality and management will be dependent understanding of the environmental quality.  Which addressed environmental quality and and accessible within York. Overall it should have a positive impact as the flexible approach will be able to respond to changing circumstances in the future.  However, given that this approach will respond to the chity of the city of the	1	an effective workforce	oppor	tunities available to all.			The NIDDE	would support an approach	2		This would re	nuire a broad	2	Specifying a detailed policy would create
	5	and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	succes Delive for the Delive growti Enhan oppor Provid for ecc Suppo Promo	s and investment; r a flexible and relevant work future; r and promote stable econon; ce the city centre and its tunities for business and leis e the appropriate infrastruc promic growth; rt existing employment drivite a low carbon economy.	rkforce mic ure; ture ers;	0	which add and made environm contribute of the city	dressed environmental qual e an attractive and accessiblent. This would help to e to the investment potential	e al		understanding environmenta within York. Chave a positiv flexible approrespond to checircumstances.  However, give approach will changing environmenta environment	g of the all protection issues overall it should be impact as the ach will be able to anging s in the future.  In that this respond to ronmental s, it may lead to anning due to be terms of quirements to potential adverse this policy on pment viability and will be dependent overall all quality.		more certainty for developers in terms of understanding the parameters for managing development in relation to environmental quality.  However, as the information becomes more out of date, this could have a
Address existing imbalances of equality, U No significant effects/no clear link.	5	•		•	• •	U	NO SIGNITIO	cant effects/no clear link.	0		-	errects/no clear	U	ino significant effects/no clear link.

	+ + Very positive impact Positive impact likely						?	-				ı
KEY	Very positive likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sigr no clear		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in likely	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	equality and access to all	for the Provid demail Help re	educe homelessness; ote the safety and security fo	et								
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	access and cy car; Delive suppo	people and/or property.  Deliver development where it is accessible by public transport, walking and cycling to minimise the use of the car;  Deliver transport infrastructure which supports sustainable travel options;  Promote sustainable forms of travel; Improve congestion.  Reduce or mitigate greenhouse gas emissions from all sources;  Plan or implement adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change;			No signific	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant link.	t effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	Reduce emissi     Plan of for the Provide renew technology mater and color.	e or mitigate greenhouse ga ons from all sources; r implement adaptation me- e likely effects of climate cha e and develop energy from able, low and zero carbon ologies; ote sustainable design and b ials that manage the future onsequences of climate char e to the principles of the end	asures ange; uilding risks nge;	+	of those fitowards of quality. The positive in contribute emissions policies shand contribute or national taking into Quality M cumulativi individual decisions developm Managem	would allow for consideration actors which contribute limate change such as airnis is likely to have overall impact which will psotively the the minimising greenhouse. The NPPF states "Planning tould sustain compliance with industrial objectives for pollutants, to account the presence of All anagement Areas and the te impacts on air quality from sites in local areas. Planning should ensure that any new tent in Air Quality the presence of all anagement Areas is consistent to air quality action plan".	e th es ir n	contribute to be identified. comply with t minimising ar quality to have positive impachange. This also be able t long-term giv	al aspects which climate change to This is likely to the NPPF through and improving air we an overall	- +	This would have short-term benefits on climate change through the management of air quality. However, as this approach becomes outdated, the effectiveness of this policy will decline. Considering this, the policy overall is likely to have a negative impact over he long-term
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and	nation habita and SS Protec nature Create	et and enhance international cally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMS, SSIs; and enhance locally impore conservation sites (SINCs); anew areas or site of bio-diviliversity value;	ies and ARs rtant	0		cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant link.	t effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.

	++			+	0			?	-					I
KEY	Very positiv	e imp	act	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	effect /	Uncertain or	Negat	tive i	impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely				no clea			insufficient information				likely		how it is implemented
					•									
	fauna for	•	Improv	e connectivity of green										
	accessible		infrasti	ructure and the natural										
	high quality		enviro	nment;										
	and	•	Provide	e opportunities for people t	:0									
	connected		access	the natural environment.										
	natural													
	environme													
	nt													
9	Use land	•		previously developed land				would require developme		++		able land to be	+ -	This would have short to medium-term
	resources	•		it pollution contaminating t	he			se its overall use as well as				ontaminated and		benefits for contaminated land through
	efficiently			nd remediate any existing				tat contamination on the s				per the latest		the detailed identification of sites/policies
	and			nination;			•	d and does not migrate fro			information /	• •		to remediate the land. However, as this
	safeguard their	•		ard soil quality, including th				his is likely to have a positi	ve		available thro	ugn a more approach. This		approach becomes outdated, the
	quality			ost versatile agricultural lan	d;			safeguarding land and its quality.			• •	have a positive		effectiveness of this policy will decline.  Considering this, the policy overall is
	quality	•		t or enhance allotments;			iiipioviiig	its quality.			impact on the	•		likely to have a negative impact over he
		•	_	ard mineral resources and			Howovert	this would be open to			•	of contaminated		long-term
			encour	rage their efficient use.				tion and therefore			laand.	or contaminated		long-term
10	Improve	•	Conser	ve water resources and qua	ality:	0		cant effects/no clear link.	0	)		effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	water	•		ve the quality of rivers and	,						link.	,		
	efficiency		•	lwaters.										
	and quality		0											
11	Reduce	•	Promo	te reduction, re-use, recove	ery and	0	No signific	cant effects/no clear link.	0	)	No significant	effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
	waste		recycli	ng of waste;							link.			
	generation	•	Promo	te and increase resource										
	and		efficier	ncy.										
	increase													
	level of													
	reuse and													
4.2	recycling						Th				<b>-</b> 1.1. 11.11			This would confide a district
12	Improve air	•		e all emissions to air from c	urrent	+		would allow for considerate	ion +	++		ow all aspects o air	-	This would enable a detailed
	quality		activiti		o ole			actors which contribute limate change such as air			quality to be o			understanding of air quality and how to deal with it in the short-term. However,
		•		ise and mitigate emissions t				nis is likely to have overall			Ensuring that			given that the situation can change, this
				ew development (including				npact which will psotively			strategies are			offers only short-term benefits.
				ng transport emissions thro nission technologies and fue	_		•	the minimising greenhou			-	in place to iality will have an		oners only short-term benefits.
		•			**			. The NPPF states "Plannin			overall positiv	•		As this approach becomes outdated, the
				rt the development of city was increased in the comment of city was infrastructure;	viue			ould sustain compliance w			•	e. Given that air		effectiveness of this policy will decline.
		•		re air quality in AQMAs and			•	ibute towards EU limit valu			_	ange, this would		Considering this, the policy overall is
			•	it new designations;				al objectives for pollutants,				pproach able to		likely to have a negative impact over he
			-	ocating development wher	e it			o account the presence of A	\ir			the long-term as it		long-term.
				negatively impact on air qua				anagement Areas and the				-to-date evidence.		- 0
			codiu I	regulively impact on all que	inty,			e impacts on air quality fro	m		,			
								, , ,,, ,,						

	++		+	0			?	-				1	
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		t effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clear	· link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented	
		existin result of futu • Promo	locating development in are ng poor air quality where it or in negative impacts on the lure occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrate port network to minimise the car.	could nealth ed		decisions developm Managem	sites in local areas. Planning should ensure that any new ent in Air Quality nent Areas is consistent ocal air quality action plan".						
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	Ensure development location and design does not negatively impact on flood risk     Deliver or incorporate through design sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDs).  Ty in  Preserve or enhance the special character and setting of the historic city		od risk; sign	0	No signific	ant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant link.	t effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	charac Promo Preser non-d setting Preser which Chara	cter and setting of the histor ote or enhance local culture rve or enhance designated a esignated heritage assets ar	nd nd their nts e	1 +	can have environm would all although interpretal long-tern depend u	overall environmental qualit positive benefit on the historient. The NPPF approach ow this issue to be recognise may be open to ation. This could have overan benefits but would probab pon implementation as the is open to interpretation	pric ed	quality can h on the histor generic appr this issue to update as the circumstance long-term th	rall environmental ave positive benefit ic environment. The oach would allow be recognised and e environmental es change. Over the is approach is likely sitive impact.	+ -	This would enable a detailed understanding of the impacts of environmental quality on the historic environment and how to deal with it in the short-term. However, given that the conditions can change, this offers only short-term benefits.  As this approach becomes outdated, the effectiveness of this policy will decline. Considering this, the policy overall is likely to have a negative impact over he long-term.	
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	includ Protectimpor Promotion with it	erve or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value ct or enhance geologically tant sites; ote high quality design in costs ts urban and rural landscape ith the "landscape and Setti the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0	No signifid	cant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant link.	t effects/no clear	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	
Gen	eneral					Relying on the NPPF is likely to have an overall positive approach given that it contains guidance to ensure that human health is not adversely effected by development. Furthermore, it supports the need to improve air quality and contain/improve contaminated land to ensure environment. However this approach would rely on interpretation and thereore may not reflect local circumstances to maximise environmental quality in the long-term.							

	++	+	0	3	-		l I
KEY	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect no clear link	/ Uncertain insufficient inform	or Negative imparation	act likely Very negative in likely	mpact Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	rred Approach – detailed l	local criteria	positive long-t date informat  Option 3 is like current enviror the effectiven  Option 2: Prov  This app environr  Tackling workford remedia This wou of air qu current a	erm approach given the contobe used to inform the left to have positive environmental quality issues ess of the policy diministrice city-wide generic coroach is likely to have prenental situation. environmental quality is as well as making the tion implications on vialld have significant long ality as well as minimisi	at it is able to respond in development and main development devel	to changing environmental circular agement of environmental issumption only in the short-term. This would approach for updating the infoliod.  vironmental protection oples' health in the long-term giverall positive impact on the econotractive for development. In dealoo be considered as part of the overenvironment through supporting	g the remediation of contamination, improvement a would be valuable to allow an understaning of the
Reco	nmendations		None				

## **SECTION 22: WASTE AND MINERALS**

Wa	Waste and Minerals												
SA	SA Objective				on 1: Restrict waste elopment and/or mineral action		on 2: Rely on NPPF to guide e and Minerals development	local mine deta Mine	on 3: Provide high-level I criteria to guide waste and erals development (defer iils to Joint Waste and eral Local Plan with North sshire)	criteria	4: Provide detailed local /identify sites to guide and minerals development		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable	•	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.		

	++			+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e imp	act	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impact likely	Ver	y negative impact	Positive	or neg	ative impact depending on
	likely				no clea	ır link		insufficient info	ormatio	on	like	ly	how it i	s imple	mented
	wav		noods	of the population;											
	way.			or the population; r pitches required for Gypsi	es and										
				ers and Showpeople.	C3 and										
2	Improve the health	•		ocating development wher		0	No signific	ant effects/no	+	The NPPF is likely to have a positive impact on this	++	This approach would allow a more in-dep		- +	This approach would allow a more in-depth
	and well-			vely impact on people's hea			0.00			objective and it makes		approach through se			approach through
	being of	•	Improv	ve access to openspace / m	ulti-					clear that any		general principles th	rough		setting detailed
	York's			onal openspace						development would need		the Local Plan follov			principles through the
	population	•		tes a healthier lifestyle thou	_					to be in line with environmental criteria "to		a more in-depth app in the joint plan. Thi			Local Plan to help minimise adverse
			cycling	to leisure opportunities (w	aiking /					ensure that permitted		would include sub-	3		impacts to human
			, .	ves access to healthcare;						operations do not have		regional environme	ntal		health.
		•		es or promotes safety and s	security					unacceptable adverse		criteria bespoke to t	he		
			for resi							impacts onhuman		area to ensure that			However, this approach
		•		that land						health, including from noise, dust, visual		impacts on human h were identified and			would fail to reflect any adverse cross-boundary
				nination/pollution does not ptable risks to health.	pose					intrusion, traffic, tip and		be mitigated as far a			issues which may be
			unacce	eptable risks to fleattif.						quarry slope stability,		possible.			caused through waste
										differential settlement of					and minerals planning/
										quarry backfill, mining		The Joint waste and			processing / extraction
										subsidence, increased flood risk, impacts on the		Minerals Plan will al subject to separate	so be		within York. This is likely to have negative effects,
										flow and quantity of		SA/SEA and Health I	mnact		particularly for
										surface or groundwater		Assessments to ensu			neighbouring
										and migration of		that the approach			authorities.
										contamination from the		considered the over			
										site".		sustainability and he related impacts in	ealth		
										However, this is open to		planning for waste a	ınd		
										interpretation and would		minerals.			
										need a local perspective to					
										ensure that adverse					
										impacts on human health were minimised.					
3	Improve	•	Provide	e good education and traini	ing	0	No signific	ant effects/no	0	No significant effects/no	0	No significant effect	s/no	0	No significant effects/no
3	education,			cunities for all;	ıııg		clear link.	ant enects/110	U	clear link.		clear link.	3, 110	J	clear link.
	skills	•		rt existing higher and furthe	er										
	developme			ional establishments for											
	nt and			ued success;											
	training for an effective	•		e good quality employment	t										
	workforce		opport	unities available to all.											
4	Create jobs	•	Help de	eliver conditions for busine	SS	-	The effect	on the	+	The NPPF recognises the	++	This approach would	t	-	Option 4 would also
	,												1		<u> </u>

	++		+	0		?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	ve impact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impact likely	Ver	y negative impact	Positive	e or nega	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clear l	ink	insufficient info	ormatic	on	like	ly	how it i	s implem	ented
	and deliver growth of a sustainable	• Delive	ss and investment; r a flexible and relevant wo e future;	orkforce	based up	would be on the ities minerals		importance of minerals in supporting sustainable economic growth as well		comply with the NP co-ordinating a sub- regional understand	-		allow a more in-depth approach to waste and minerals planning,
	, low carbon and inclusive	growt • Enhan	ce the city centre and its		managen were rest	n and waste nent. If these rict it would		as being a finite resource and the importance of taking account of the		for Minerals and Wa planning reflecting t wider horizon and	the		similarly to option 3.  However, this approach
	economy	Provide for eccentric for	tunities for business and lei le the appropriate infrastructors onomic growth; ort existing employment driv	cture	elsewhere	e production e. as buildings		contribution that substitute or recycled material would make to the supply, prior to		opportunities for cro boundary economic linkages.			would fail to reflect the regional and sub- regional dimensions of cross-boundary waste
			ote a low carbon economy.		for infrast building a	•		considering further extraction of primary materials. It states that "Minerals planning		This approach would allow a more in-dep approach through so general principles th	oth etting		and minerals use, processing and extraction.
					production to have a	n this is likely negative effect sectors of the		authorities should work with other relevant organisations to use the best available		the Local Plan follow a more in-depth app in the joint plan.	ved by		This approach would not be adequate in meeting the challenge of dealing with waste processing in
					This approals also conti	oach would radict the NPPF ng for minerals		information to:  • develop and maintain an understanding of the extent and location of		Option 3 provides a opportunity to set n direction for waste development and/o	nore		the authority given the size of existing facilities.  On balance, this
					and work neighbou to co-ord	ing with ring authorities inate the		mineral resource in their areas; and  assess the projected		minerals extraction allowing an alignme detail so that	whilst ent of		approach is likely to hinder economic growth in connection with
					minerals.	of industrial		demand for their use, taking full account of opportunities to use materials from		development can be tested for potential well as environmen impacts.	as		waste, minerals and their associated businesses.
								secondary and other sources which could provide suitable alternatives to primary materials".					
								A policy approach using the NPPF however, would be more speculative as no					
								local level extraction policies would be set or areas identified. Furthermore, whilst the					
								NPPF states that					

	++		+	0			3		-			I		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impact likely	Ver	y negative impact	Positive	or neg	ative impact depending on
_	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient info	ormatio	on	like	У	how it is	implei	mented
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>deprive</li><li>Provide</li><li>for the</li><li>Provide</li><li>deman</li></ul>	for the local population;  Provide affordable housing to meet demand;  Help reduce homelessness;  Promote the safety and security for people and/or property.  Deliver development where it is				ant effects/no	0	applications would need to be assessed against environmental criteria to ensure that permitted operations do not have unacceptable adverse impacts, this is open to interpretation and therefore may cause some tension upon its application.  No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects clear link.	:/no	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
		• Promo	te the safety and security for	or										
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	access and cy car; Deliver support	r development where it is ible by public transport, wa cling to minimise the use of r transport infrastructure wrts sustainable travel option te sustainable forms of trave congestion.	f the hich ns;		and waste externalise and it is like effects of assessed of locally. It is increase the processing minerals. Cause crossissues and negative it as well as region fro	ent minerals , it will e the supply kely that this will not be or mitigated s also likely to ne length of	<u>6.</u>	The NPPF supports a subregional approach to dealing with waste and minerals which would allow consideration for cross-boundary movement of waste and minerals.  However, the NPPF states this should not cause harm to traffic but does not state anything further with regards to transportation. This leaves the policy approach open to interpretation and could cause tension in determining any applications for processing or extraction.	+	A sub-regional approwould allow a comprehensive trans strategy for moving vand mineral around between authorities most sustainable way. This is likely to rely or oad transportation would increase congestion. However looking at this in modetail through the Jo Waste and Minerals would allow a number alternatives to be assessed in detail an accompanying SA/SE could set out specific mitigation measures minimise adverse im	in the y.  n which rre int Plan er of d the EA	+ -	Similarly to option 3, this would allow a detailed understanding of the local transportation of waste and minerals and would need to address the locations of suitable sites as part of the overall alternatives testing.  However, this approach would fail to recognise the corss boundary nature of minerals extraction/waste processing and be able to assess the crossboundary effects adequately.  On balance, this approach is likely to

# Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

_	++		+	0			?		-				1			
KFV	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ifican	t effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impa	act likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or i	negat	tive impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient info	rmati	on		likely	/	how it	is im	plem	ented
																have a positives locally
																but in the long-term,
																also have negative cross-
																boundary impacts.
7	То	Reduce	e or mitigate greenhouse ga	ıs	_	Restricting	development	+ -	The NPPF recognis	ses	+	The approach shou	ld	+	-	A detailed local
	minimise		ons from all sources;			_	er the use and		minerals are a finit			comply and take fu				approach may have
	greenhouse	Plan o	r implement adaptation me	asures		installation	of renewable		resource and can o	only be		the NPPF. A joint W	/aste			similar benefits in option
	gases that	for the	e likely effects of climate cha	ange;		energy tecl	nnologies and		worked where the	ey are		and Minerals plan	would			3 but would lack a cross-
	cause	<ul> <li>Provid</li> </ul>	e and develop energy from			low carbon			found. It states that	at "it is		be able to identify	the			boundary recognition.
	climate	renew	able, low and zero carbon			infrastructi			important to make			key impacts on the				This could have
	change and		ologies;				d to recover		use of them to sec			area in order to mi	_			implications on climate
	deliver a		te sustainable design and b	_		_	te energy as		long-term conserve	vation".		any impacts on clin				change which is not
	managed		ials that manage the future			well as be i	•		Furthermore, the I	NIDDE		change within or or of York.	utside			bound by the district
	response to its effects		nsequences of climate char				This may also capacity for		states that "so far			OI TUIK.				boundary but affected through cumulative
	its effects		e to the principles of the en	ergy			ycle minerals		practicable, take a							actions.
		hierard	cny.			and aggreg	•		of the contribution							detions.
						increase th			substitute or secon							
						primary ag	gregate		and recycled mate	erials and						
						extraction	locally.		minerals waste wo	ould						
									make to the suppl	ly of						
						This approa			materials, before							
						likely to inc			considering extrac	-						
						length of jo	-		primary materials,							
						waste proc acquiring n	_		aiming to source n							
						having a de			supplies indigenou	usiy .						
						impact on i			Depending on the	type of						
						greenhous	•		mineral extracted,							
						-	. This would		also indirectly conf							
						cause cross	-boundary		to climate change	through						
						issues and	could have a		promoting the use							
						•	npact on York		fuels in energy pro	oduction.						
						as well as a										
						-	n the point of		Relying on the NPF							
						term.	into the long-		not reflect the local agendas for climat							
						term.			change and may th							
									also cause negative							
									impacts or tension							
									through its applica							
									This Framework do	loes not						

T	++		+	0		?		-			I		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact		No signif no clear li	cant effect / nk	Uncertain insufficient inf		or Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive of how it is i	_	ative impact depending on mented
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio-diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt	nation habita and SS Protec nature Create / geod Impro infrast enviro Provid	at and enhance international a lally significant priority specie ts within SACs, SPAs, RAMSAF SSIs; at and enhance locally importa e conservation sites (SINCs); e new areas or site of bio-dive liversity value; we connectivity of green cructure and the natural inment; le opportunities for people to the natural environment.	s and ds ant	No significlear link	cant effects/no	+ -	contain specific waste policies, since national waste planning policy will be published as part of the National Waste Management Plan for England. However, it does states that local authorities preparing waste plans and taking decisions on waste applications should have regard to policies in this Framework so far as relevant.  The NPPF states that any Minerals development should "ensure, in granting planning permission for mineral development, that there are no unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural and historic environment" and "not grant planning permission for peat extraction from new or extended sites". This is likely to ensure that any development would consider the impacts on green infrastructure and biodiversity,  The NPPF also advocate a that policies are put in place "to ensure worked land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare of mineral sites takes place, including for	+	A locally derived ap to guiding developm followed by a Joint and Minerals Plan is to have the most loo term benefits for na conservation sites. would enable a loca understanding of biodiversity issues to considered in the loand assessment pro as well as impacts or regional green infrastructure corrid	nent Waste s likely ng- ture This I o be cation cess n sub-	+ -	A detailed local approach may have similar benefits in option 3 but would lack a cross-boundary recognition. This could have implications on biodiversity and green infrastructure, particularly wildlife corridors, which are not bound by the district boundary and can affected through cross-boundary and cumulative actions.

$\top$	++			)		?		-		I		
KEY	Very positive	e impact		No significant no clear link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient info	ormati	or Negative impact likely	Very			egative impact depending on lemented
	пкету			TO CIEdi IIIIK		III3dilicient iiii	Jiiiati	511	liker	, now it	13 11111	emented
								agriculture (safeguarding the long term potential of best and most versatile agricultural land and conserving soil resources), geodiversity, holdiversity, native woodland, the historic environment and recreation".  However, this approach would lack a local perspective and the potential cumulative impacts that could harm sensitive nature conservation sites and species. Furthermore the approach allows for interpretation which may cause tension upon the application and understanding of the policy.				
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prever and recontart</li> <li>Safeguand m</li> <li>Protect</li> <li>Safeguard</li> </ul>	e previously developed land; nt pollution contaminating the mediate any existing mination; lard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land; et or enhance allotments; lard mineral resources and rage their efficient use.	best	can only they are Should the case, it in positive land.  However contain uminerals enable thrused in a way.  Also, by developing this will process.	nis be the nay be a use of this r, should York	+	The NPPF recognises minerals are a finite resource and can only be worked where they are found. It states that "it is important to make best use of them to secure their long-term conservation".  Furthermore, the NPPF states that "so far as practicable, take account of the contribution that substitute or secondary and recycled materials and minerals waste would make to the supply of materials, before	+	A locally derived approach to guiding development followed by a Joint Waste and Minerals Plan is likely to have the most long-term benefits for maximising the use of the land both for extraction and remediation post development to a high quality natural environment. This would comply with the NPPF approach but would enable a local understanding of resource issues to be considered in the	?	A detailed local approach may have similar benefits to option 3.  However, it would lack a cross-boundary understanding on the cumulative effects of using these land resources.

	++		+	0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant e	effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positiv	e or ne	gative impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	ır link		insufficient info	rmation		likely		how it	is impl	emented
			•						·					
						effects o	es outside of		considering extraction of primary materials, whilst aiming to source minerals supplies indigenously". This should ensure that minerals are used efficiently and not extracted unnecessarily.  The NPPF also advocates that policies are put in place "to ensure worked land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare of mineral sites takes place, including for agriculture (safeguarding the long term potential of best and most versatile agricultural land and conserving soil resources),  Whilst the NPPF would ensure consideration for the resources, relying on the NPPF would not reflect the local agendas for climate change and may therefore also cause negative impacts or		location and assess process as well as impacts on sub-reg basis.			
									tensions through its					
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Impro	erve water resources and qua ove the quality of rivers and adwaters.	lity;	0	No signi effects/	ficant no clear link.	0	application.  No significant effects/no clear link.	0	No significant effects/no clear li	nk.	0	No significant effects/no clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase	recycl	ote reduction, re-use, recove ling of waste; ote and increase resource ency.	ry and	-	the amo	uld minimise ount of waste k could in the long- eaning that it	?	This Framework does not contain specific waste policies, since national waste planning policy will be published as part of the	+	The provision of hevel policies folloby a Joint Waste a Mineral s Plan wo	owed and ould	+	The provision of detailed local criteria/sites would be positive in processing waste locally.

	++			0			?		-			1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ificant ef	ffect /	Uncertain	0	r Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive	e or ne	gative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient info	rmation	l	likely		how it	is imple	emented
	level of reuse and recycling			no clear		processi Whilst the positive for York have a d	insufficient info	rmation	National Waste Management Plan for England. However, it does states that local authorities preparing waste plans and taking decisions on waste applications should have regard to policies in this Framework so far as relevant.	likely	considered on a s regional level. This should help to im processing facilitiensure that it is processed efficier. However, it may leave processing facilitiensure that it is processed efficier. However, it may leave processing facilities with the processing facilities which we mean that cross-boundary effects cumulative impact between neighbout authorities would to be considered. The Joint waste a Minerals Plan wo subject to a separ	ub- is prove es to ntly. cocate acilities rk would and ats uring need	is imple	York already has waste processing centres but this would allow new waste processing centres to identified locally.
12	Improve air quality	activit Minim from r reduci low er Suppo low er Impro prever Avoid could Avoid existir result of futu Promo	nise and mitigate emissions to new development (including ing transport emissions throug mission technologies and fuels of the development of city win mission infrastructure; we air quality in AQMAs and not new designations; locating development where negatively impact on air qualiful locating development in area in poor air quality where it con in negative impacts on the he are occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrated port network to minimise the u	air gh s); de it ty; s of uld alth		No signi effects/	ficant no clear link.	? 1	This may consider the implication s of air quality through considerations for environmental impacts.  However, this approach would lack a local perspective and the potential cumulative impacts that could harm air quality. Furthermore the approach allows for interpretation which may cause tension upon the application and understanding of the policy.	+	SA/SEA, which shaddress any concertification of help policies follow a Joint Waste a Mineral s Plan we enable air quality considered on a leand sub-regional  The Joint waste a Minerals Plan wo subject to a separ SA/SEA, which shaddress any concertification is subjected to a separ say subjected t	erns.  nigh  niwed  and  ould  to be  ocal  level.  and  uid be  cate  ould	?	A detailed local approach may have similar benefits to option 3.  However, it would lack a cross-boundary understanding on the cumulative effects of using these land resources.

T.					0			Ş		-		1	-	
KEY	Very positiv	e impac	ct	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant r link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient info	or ormation	Negative impact likely	Very likely			gative impact depending on emented
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	• E	Ensure does no Deliver	risk of flooding; development location and it negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	od risk; sign	0	No signi effects/	ficant no clear link.	?	This may consider the implication s of air quality through considerations for environmental impacts.  However, this approach would lack a local perspective and the potential cumulative impacts that could harm air quality. Furthermore the approach allows for interpretation which may cause tension upon the application and understanding of the policy.	+	The provision of high level policies followed by a Joint Waste and Mineral s Plan would enable flood risk and mitigation to be considered on a local and sub-regional level.  The Joint waste and Minerals Plan would be subject to a separate SA/SEA, which should address any concerns.	?	A detailed local approach may have similar impacts to option 3.  However, it would lack a cross-boundary understanding on the cumulative effects of using waste processing and mineral extraction locations.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	• F r s	charactor Promot Preserv non-des setting; Preserv which c Charact	e or enhance the special er and setting of the histor e or enhance local culture; e or enhance designated assignated heritage assets an e or enhance those elemerontribute to the 6 Principle eristics of the City as identitage Topic Paper.	nd d their nts	+ ?	positive York by addition extractic processi the auth  By restri develop this will on othe may hav effects of	icting ment in York, put pressure r areas and ve adverse on the er ansd setting r locations of the		The NPPF states that any Minerals development should "ensure, in granting planning permission for mineral development, that there are no unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural and historic environment". The NPPF also advocate a that policies are put in place "to ensure worked land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare of mineral sites takes place, including for the historic environment". This is likely to ensure that any development would consider the impacts on York's historic character and setting as well as the sub-region.	•	The provision of high level policies followed by a Joint Waste and Mineral s Plan would enable all aspects of the historic environment, including the character and setting of York, to be identified and considered on a local and sub-regional level. The Joint waste and Minerals Plan would be subject to a separate SA/SEA, which should help to identify and address any concerns as well as mitigation techniques where impacts are identified.  However, minerals extraction in particular could have significantly detrimental effects on York's landscape given		A detailed local approach may have similar impacts to option 3.  However, it would lack a cross-boundary and subregional understanding on the cumulative effects of using waste processing and mineral extraction locations on York. Impacts would be particularly severe should neighbouring authorities choose to locate development close to the City of York boundary. This could cause significant harm to the historic environment

Seek	++ +	0	?	-		1
Vork's historic character and setting as well as the sub-region. However, minerals extraction in particular could have significantly detrimental effects on York's landscape given it flat nature and that views from across the sub-region can be made into and out. York's landscape given it flat nature and that views from across the sub-region can be made into and out. On the made into and out of the city of the control count have significantly detrimental effects on York's landscape given it flat nature and that views from across the sub-region can be made into and out of the city of the control count of the con		_		Negative impact likely	, ,	
Option 1 would go against the NPPF and is likely to have an overall significant effect on the sub-region as opposed to York. Not locating mineral extraction within the authority would be positive for York's overall character and setting but would externalise the problem to elsewhere within the sub-region. Many of the effects identified would cause significant cross-boundary effects.  Relying on the NPPF is likely to have an overall positive approach to planning for minerals although waste processing is not covered through the document. This approach advocates a sub-regional approach to determining extraction potential and conservation as well as protection for the natural environment and human health. However, this would lead to more speculative development given that it would lack a local perspective and be open to interpretation which in the long-term could cause tensions between understanding what constitutes suitable locations and extraction levels.  Option 3 is likely to ensure that mineral and waste development are managed in a robust way as it will set more direction for development and align with local and sub-regional level understanding of evidence base, including environmental impacts and transportation. This will also maximise knowledge of cross-boundary effects as well as ensure their mitigation. The Joint Waste and Minerals Plan would also be subject to a separate SA/SEA which could assess the issues in detail.  Setting a detailed approach is likely to have positive impacts in identifying local issues and locations for development but lack a sub-regional	likely	no clear link		and setting as well as the sub-region.  However, minerals extraction in particular could have significantly detrimental effects on York's landscape given its flat nature and that views from across the sub-region can be made into and out of the city centre. This approach would lack a local perspective and the potential cumulative impacts that could cause harm to York's unique setting and landscape. Furthermore the approach allows for interpretation which may cause tension upon the application and understanding of the	extraction in par could have signif detrimental effe York's landscape its flat nature an views from acros sub-region can b into and out of t	rticular ficantly cts on given ld that ss the pe made
perspective. This could have detrimental impacts on mitigating cross-boundary effects both from York and from neighbouring authorities.	General	mineral extracticelsewhere within Relying on the Natheral extraction of the natural extraction o	go against the NPPF and is I on within the authority wound the sub-region. Many of the sub-region. Many of the sub-region was a sub-region advocates a sub-region was a sub-regional levels.  It o ensure that mineral and sub-regional level updage of cross-boundary efforwhich could assess the issuapproach is likely to have	ikely to have an overall sign ald be positive for York's over the effects identified would of the effects identified would of the effects identified would of the effects approach to detail the effects are the long-term could be which in the long-term could be which in the long-term could be what are the long-term are manderstanding of evidence be ects as well as ensure their rules in detail.	erall character and setting because significant cross-bound anning for minerals although termining extraction potent ad to more speculative devil cause tensions between unanaged in a robust way as it ase, including environmental intigation. The Joint Waste	ut would externalise the problem to indary effects.  In waste processing is not covered through ial and conservation as well as protection elopment given that it would lack a local inderstanding what constitutes suitable  It will set more direction for development al impacts and transportation. This will also and Minerals Plan would also be subject to a is for development but lack a sub-regional

>	++	+	0	?	-		I
KE	Very positive impact likely	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact likely	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
			objectives  Waste production should be productived by the control opportunity regional ending should help regional and which should be productive should should be productive should sho	for assessment of developm cessing and minerals extract uld take account of both loce ent. ach should maximise beneficies for joint working with ne onomy. d waste development could to direct development to loud of cumulative impact on enveld be able to identify issues	nent locations. It will also be ion could have a detriment al and sub-regional impacts at to the economy by identiciphouring authorities to not have a significantly negative ocations which cause the levironmental factors. The Joi and suggest mitigation who	e able to suggest mitigation al impact on human health is to peoples health and allow fying aggregates in York who naximise the level of local agree impact on the environment wast harm to the environment Waste and Minerals Local ere necessary.	should it be managed incorrectly. This w for the appropriate location of ich could be extracted. It would also identify gregates which should benefit the overall intal objectives. However, this approach in through consideration of local, sub-il Plan will also be subject to a sepearte SA
Reco	mmendations				•	•	o maximise the capture of significant issues e submitted, the associated Joint SA should

## **SECTION 23: TRANSPORT**

SA	Objective			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			on 2: Provide generic local criteria	Optio	on 3: Provide detailed local criteria to	
							uide accessibility in relation to	guide accessibility in relation to location/layout of new development		
							tion/layout of new development			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	•	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population; Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.	0	No significant impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.	

$\Box$	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea		effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	e impact like	ely Very negative i likely	mpact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	enverse envers	oid locating development wher vironmental circumstances cou gatively impact on people's hea prove access to openspace / mactional openspace protes a healthier lifestyle thouses to leisure opportunities (walling) proves access to healthcare; wides or promotes safety and some residents; sure that land intamination/pollution does not acceptable risks to health.	ld alth; ulti- ugh alking /	+	policies sh uses within can be en- lengths for leisure, ed The NPPF scale deve as schools located w properties have posi- impacts or requirement health of through e cycling. T positive a	requires that 'planning hould aim for a balance of lot in their area so that people couraged to minimise journ remployment, shopping ducation and other activities also requires that for larger elopments, key facilities such and local shops should be ithin walking distance of miss. Such requirements would tive and permanent long tent this objective. Such ents will help to improve the population of York incouragement of walking a here would therefore be and permanent long term in this objective.	ey  i. h h sost d f rrm	criteria c developr where er circumst impact o would pr forms of	rtain whether generic ould ensure that nent avoided locations avironmental ances could negatively n people's health, or if it omote sustainable transport for access to elopments.	+	Detailed local criteria to guide accessibility in relation to location/layout of new development would factor in the need to ensure that new development could be accessed by sustainable modes of transport, including walking and cycling, and also to avoid development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on the population of York.
æ	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	opp Sup edu cor Pro	ovide good education and train portunities for all; poport existing higher and further ucational establishments for allinued success; poide good quality employment portunities available to all.	er	0	No signific	cant impact/clear link.	0	No signif	icant impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	suc  Del for  Del gro  Enh opp Pro for  Sup	p deliver conditions for busine cess and investment; liver a flexible and relevant wo the future; liver and promote stable econowth; nance the city centre and its portunities for business and leicote the appropriate infrastructure economic growth; port existing employment drivenote a low carbon economy.	orkforce omic sure; cture	+	accessibili location/l would hel populatio access to Such an a a flexible growth. To positive a impacts o	n the NPPF to guide ty in relation to the ayout of new development p t ensure that as much of an of York as possible has employment opportunities, pproach would help to deliworkforce and contribute to there would therefore be not permanent long term in this objective.	ver er	accessibil location/developm sufficient factors si ensure the workford to emplo	neric criteria to guide lity in relation to layout of new nent may not include t detail to consider uch as the need to nat as much of the e as possible has access yment opportunities. are therefore uncertain.	+	Detailed local criteria would seek to ensure, in relation to guiding accessibility for location/layout of new development, that as many people as possible had access to employment opportunities. This would help to deliver a flexible workforce, contribute to growth and have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
5	Help deliver		dress existing imbalances of eq privation and exclusion across t		+		the NPPF to guide ty in relation to	?		neric criteria to guide lity in relation to	+	Detailed local criteria to guide accessibility in relation to location/layout

	++		+	0		,	-				I
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative	impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link	insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	equality and access to all	for the Providence Help Prom	ide accessible services and fac ne local population; ide affordable housing to mee and; reduce homelessness; note the safety and security fo lle and/or property.	et	would h objective develop designed the need all mode and that for a bal area so to mini employr educatic The NPP scale de as school located properti These re permand	quirements would have ent and positive long term	d	sufficient deta factors such a inequality and and facilities a	may not include iil to consider s addressing l ensuring services are easily accessible s on this objective		of new development would include consideration of the need to ensure good access to services and to help reduce inequality across York, thereby having a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	acces and c car; Deliv supp Prom	rer development where it is sible by public transport, wal cycling to minimise the use of the rer transport infrastructure whorts sustainable travel options note sustainable forms of travelove congestion.	the hich s;	+ Relying accessible location, would he long term The NPP develop signification and decimate transport The NPP develop designed priority is movement.	on this objective on the NPPF to guide lility in relation to layout of new development are positive and permanent in impacts on this objective. Frequires that for all ments which generate in amounts of movement, plassions should take account of layout or opportunities for sustainable to modes have been taken up'. Falso requires that ments should be located and where practical to 'give opedestrian and cycle ints, and have access to high sublic transport facilities'.	:	include the sa as for detailed assumed that still seek to re travel in relati location/layou development, permanent an		+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria to guide accessibility in relation to location/layout of new development would consider the need to reduce travel and promote sustainable forms of transport, and thereby have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause	emis • Plan for th	ice or mitigate greenhouse ga sions from all sources; or implement adaptation mea ne likely effects of climate cha ide and develop energy from	asures	+ Relying ( accessib impacts states the	on the NPPF to guide ility would have positive on this objective. The NPPF at 'encouragement should be solutions which support	+	to guide acces to location/lay development		+	It is assumed that detailed criteria to guide accessibility in relation to location/layout of new development would seek to reduce the need to travel. In turn this would help to reduce vehicle

	++		+	0		?		-				
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant effect	/ Uncertain	or	Negative	impact likely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link	insufficient ir	nformation			likely		how it is implemented
			•	•		•				•		
	climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	technologies; eliver a Promote sustainable design and building materials that manage the future risks and consequences of climate change;			emission measu greent promo travel,	ons in greenhouse ons'. Together wit res in the NPPF to ouse gas emission tion of sustainable there would be po nent long term im ve.	ch various other combat ns, including the forms of cositive and	er	would in turn I vehicle emissic positive and po term impacts o	ons and have		emissions and have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt	nation habita and Standard Protein nature  Create / geod Improinfrast environ	ct and enhance internationally significant priority spects within SACs, SPAs, RAMS SSIs; ct and enhance locally impose conservation sites (SINCs); e new areas or site of bio-didiversity value; eve connectivity of green tructure and the natural pament; de opportunities for people is the natural environment.	cies and SARs ortant ; versity	0 No sig	ificant impact/cle	ar link.	0	No significant	impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preve land a conta</li> <li>Safegrand m</li> <li>Proter</li> <li>Safegrand conta</li> </ul>	e previously developed land nt pollution contaminating t ind remediate any existing mination; uard soil quality, including the iost versatile agricultural lar ct or enhance allotments; uard mineral resources and irage their efficient use.	the he best nd;		ificant impact/cle		0	-	impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Impro	rve water resources and qu we the quality of rivers and dwaters.	iality;	0 No sig	ificant impact/cle	ar link.	0	No significant	impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase	recycl	ote reduction, re-use, recovering of waste; ote and increase resource ency.	ery and	0 No sig	ificant impact/cle	ar link.	0	No significant	impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.

	++			0			? - 1			1			
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		t effect /	Uncertain or	Ne	gative	impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient information				likely		how it is implemented
12	level of reuse and recycling Improve air quality	Reduce all emissions to air from current activities;     Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through).		+	relation to developm permaner	NPPF to guide accessibility o location/layout of new lent would have positive an at long term impacts on this The NPPF seeks to ensure	d	+	would include travel, which v to reduce veh	that generic criteria the need to reduce would in turn help icle emissions, nave positive and	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria would include consideration of the need to reduce travel and promote sustainable forms of transport, and that consideration would be given to impacts	
		reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);  Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations; Avoid locating development where it could negatively impact on air quality; Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.				transport The NPPF developm designed priority to movemen quality pu	rtunities for sustainable modes have been taken up also requires that ents should be located and where practical to 'give pedestrian and cycle its, and have access to high blic transport facilities'.			this objective.			on air quality. On this basis there would be a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective. This would be particularly welcome given that there are problems with air quality in certain parts of York.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does r</li><li>Delive</li></ul>	e risk of flooding; e development location and not negatively impact on floc r or incorporate through de nable urban drainage system	od risk; sign	0	No signifi	cant impact/clear link.		0	No significant	impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charace</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Preser non-do setting</li> <li>Preser which Charace</li> </ul>	rve or enhance the special cter and setting of the histor of e or enhance local culture; rve or enhance designated all esignated heritage assets an 3; rve or enhance those element contribute to the 6 Principle cteristics of the City as identeritage Topic Paper.	nd id their nts	0		cant impact/clear link.		0		impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
15	Conserve	• Conse	rve or enhance the landscap	e	0	No signifi	cant impact/clear link.		0	No significant	impact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.

	++		+	0		2	_						
KEY	Very positive impact Positive imp		Positive impact likely	No signific no clear lin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely		Very negative impact likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented		
	and enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>Protecting</li><li>Promowith it line with</li></ul>	ng areas of landscape value t or enhance geologically cant sites; the high quality design in consistent s urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Setti the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext e and in									
NPPI	iled/generic c	riteria		the pe	Relying on the NPPF to guide accessibility in relation to location/layout of new development would have a number of positive impacts., including  those on the environment since the NPPF seeks to locate development where it is accessible by public transport and to give priority to pedestrian and cycle movements where possible. These would benefit the environment.  Using generic criteria would have some positive environmental impacts but also some uncertain impacts as it is uncertain whether generic criteria could include sufficient detail to address some location-specific factors such as inequality.								
Prefe	Preferred Approach – detailed local criteria					<ul> <li>The preferred approach has a number of positive impacts.</li> <li>There would be positive impacts on the environment since it is assumed that local policies for new development would encourage use of sustainable modes of transport, help to reduce reliance on the car, with subsequent environmental benefits.</li> <li>Economic benefits would occur on the assumption that local criteria would encourage use of sustainable forms of transport and thereby help to give the public of York as much access as possible to employment opportunities, which would help to create growth.</li> <li>There would also be positive social impacts with local criteria ensuring that the location/layout of new development contributes to maximising accessibility to key services.</li> </ul>							
Reco	Recommendations					The preferred approach has significantly greater sustainability benefits, with no adverse impacts, compared to the alternatives and is therefore recommended							

Su	Sustainable modes of transport												
SA	SA Objective			deve	on 1: Local policies for new elopment to give priority to car-based sport	Option 2: Local policies for new development to give equal priority to carbased and more sustainable forms of transport, such as public transport, walking and cycling			Option 3 Local policies for new development to give priority to more sustainable forms of transport, such as public transport, walking and cycling				
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population  Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need;		0	No clear link		0 No clear link		0 No clear link					

	++			+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impa	act	Positive impact likely	No sig		t effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative likely	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	in a sustainable way.	•	needs of Deliver	community facilities for the of the population; pitches required for Gypsiers and Showpeople.									
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	environmental circumstances of negatively impact on people's hold improve access to openspace / functional openspace  Promotes a healthier lifestyle the access to leisure opportunities ocycling)  Improves access to healthcare; Provides or promotes safety and for residents; Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not unacceptable risks to health.  Prove Provide good education and tradeportunities for all; Support existing higher and furtices of the provides of the prov			ld ulti- ugh alking / eccurity  pose		transport emissions negatively and well-I York. Suc be perma		+	negative, long to permanent impa objective. Givin to car-based trai public transport cycling will enco use of the car, w negative impact health from veh However, walkir would improve I being of populat	acts on this SA g equal priority nsport alongside , walking and purage continued vith associated s on human icle emissions. ng and cycling health and well tion of York.	+	By giving priority to walking and cycling, there will be long term and permanent positive impacts. In addition, this option will help to reduce vehicle use, which will in turn help to lower vehicle emissions
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce		opport Suppor educat continu Provide	unities for all; t existing higher and furthe	er	-	based train exacerbat don't have to ensuring education opportunitherefore	g to give priority to car esport would continue to e problems for those who e use of a car in relation g good access to and training ities. There would be negative and et long term impacts on tive.	+	and public trans	education and would therefore permanent long	+	Giving priority to public transport, walking and cycling will help to ensure equal access to education and training and thereby have permanent and positive long term impacts on this objective.
5	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	•	success Deliver workfo Deliver growth Enhanc opport Provide for eco Suppor	eliver conditions for business and investment; a flexible and relevant rece for the future; and promote stable econo; the the city centre and its unities for business and leise the appropriate infrastruction comic growth; t existing employment drivite a low carbon economy.	mic sure; cture ers;	-	Local poli- giving pric transport don't own employm- therefore workforce negative a impacts o	crites for new development ority to car-based might exclude those who is a car from access to ent opportunities and not deliver a flexible ex. This would have and permanent long term in this objective.	+	Local policies for development gives priority to car be transport, walking would help to expopulation of You access to new endevelopments. To deliver a flexification of the positive and contribute the have positive and long term impaction objective.	ving equal ased and public ang and cycling asure that the ork has good amployment This would help ble workforce as growth, and and permanent	+	Local policies for new development giving priority to public transport, walking and cycling will help to increase access to jobs, which would help to deliver a flexible workforce and contribute to growth. This would have a positive and permanent long term impact upon this objective.  Local policies for new development giving

	++		+	0			?	-					1
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain c	Ne	gativ	e impact likely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient information				likely		how it is implemented
	deliver equality	city;	vation and exclusion across t			because o	may increase inequality f varying access to cars.			cycling will have	•		priority to public transport, as well as walking and cycling would help to ensure
	and access to all	for the Provided dema Help r Promo	le accessible services and fa e local population; de affordable housing to me nd; educe homelessness; ote the safety and security fo e and/or property.	et		negative p impacts o	there would likely to be permanent and long term n this objective.			There would the positive and per term impacts on	es and facilities ssues of equality. refore be some manent long this objective.		that people have equal access to services and thereby have positive and permanent, long term impacts on this objective.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	access and cy car; Delive suppo Promo	er development where it is sible by public transport, wa ycling to minimise the use of er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel option of e sustainable forms of travel congestion.	the hich is;	-	transport contrary t would hav and perm	ority to car based would be directly o this SA objective and so re significant, long term anent negative impacts.	- + Giving priority to the car, a as to public transport, wal and cycling, will have both positive and negative, long and permanent impacts or objective.		sport, walking have both ative, long term impacts on this	++	Significant positive, permanent and long term impacts on this SA objective through giving priority to public transport, walking and cycling.	
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emiss Plan of for the Provice renew techne mater and color Adher hierar	<u>'</u>	asures ange; uilding risks nge; ergy	-	term and impacts fr based trai	uld be significant, long permanent negative om giving priority to car nsport on this objective.	-	+	Giving priority to as to public tran and cycling, will and negative, lo permanent impa objective.	sport, walking have positive ng term and acts on this	**	Significant positive, permanent and long term impacts on this SA objective from giving priority to public transport, walking and cycling.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible	nation habita and St Protec nature Create / geod Impro	ct and enhance internationally significant priority spects within SACs, SPAs, RAMS SSIs; ct and enhance locally impore conservation sites (SINCs); e new areas or site of bio-didiversity value; we connectivity of green tructure and the natural	ies and ARs rtant	0	No signifio	cant impact/clear link.	0		No significant im	npact/clear link.	+	There are likely to be beneficial impacts on the conservation and enhancement of the natural environment through reductions in emissions, for example, as well as encouraging opportunities for interaction with nature through green infrastructure links.

# Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

	1		1.	0			2					
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely			t effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative likely	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	high quality and connected natural environme nt	• Provid	onment; de opportunities for people t s the natural environment.	0								
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the be and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Protect or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> </ul>			0	No signific	ant impact/clear link.	0	No significant im	npact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	<ul><li>Conse</li><li>Impro</li></ul>	erve water resources and qua	ality;	0	No signific	ant impact/clear link.	0	No significant im	npact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.      Promote reduction, re-use, recover recycling of waste;     Promote and increase resource efficiency.		ry and	0	No signific	ant impact/clear link.	0	No significant in	npact/clear link.		The promotion of walking and cycling will assist with the preservation of fossil fuels.

Τ.	++		+	0			?		-				I
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_		effect /	Uncertain		Nega	ive impact likely	Very negative	impact	
	likely			no clea	rlink		insufficient inform	nation			likely		how it is implemented
12	Improve air quality	activities;  Minimise and mitigate emissions from new development (includin reducing transport emissions throwall low emission technologies and further support the development of city low emission infrastructure;  Improve air quality in AQMAs and prevent new designations;  Avoid locating development whe could negatively impact on air questively impact on air questiving poor air quality where it result in negative impacts on the of future occupants/users;  Promote sustainable and integrations transport network to minimise the of the car.  Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development location and does not negatively impact on flooding of the car.  Deliver or incorporate through dissustainable urban drainage syste		ugh els); vide e it ality; eas of ould nealth		term and impacts fr based train. This option contrary to	uld be a significant, l permanent negative om giving priority to asport on this object in would be directly o promoting a susta network to minimis	e o car ctive.	- +	and public trans cycling would ha negative, perma term impacts or quality. Car bas would increase but public trans	nent and long improving air	+	Giving priority to public transport, walking and cycling based transport would have permanent, long term, permanent and positive impacts on air quality across the City.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Redu</li><li>Ensure does</li><li>Deliver susta</li></ul>	ce risk of flooding; re development location and not negatively impact on floc er or incorporate through des inable urban drainage system	od risk; sign	0	No signifid	ant impact/clear lin	nk.	0	No significant in	npact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>chara</li> <li>Prom</li> <li>Prese</li> <li>non-c</li> <li>settir</li> <li>Prese</li> <li>which</li> <li>Chara</li> </ul>	erve or enhance the special acter and setting of the histor ote or enhance local culture; erve or enhance designated and designated heritage assets and g; erve or enhance those element contribute to the 6 Principle acteristics of the City as ident leritage Topic Paper.	nd id their nts	0	No signific	ant impact/clear lin	nk.	0	No significant in	npact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and	• Prote	erve or enhance the landscap ding areas of landscape value ect or enhance geologically rtant sites;		0	No signific	ant impact/clear lin	nk.	0	No significant in	npact/clear link.	0	No significant impact/clear link.

	++			+	0			7	_				1
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impa	act	Positive impact likely	Ŭ		t effect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negativ	ve impact likely	Very negative likely	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	built landscape	•	with its	te high quality design in cor s urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Setti the Heritage Topic Paper.	and in								
Gene	eral					Local and r woul	igh increase is to service policies giv negative, pe d have posit	d vehicle emissions, and his. This option would be directly on the directly of	ence adve ectly conti ed transpo pacts. Car , as would	rse impacts on air rary to some of the ort, and public trar use has associate I walking and cycli	quality and climate e SA objectives, pa nsport and walking d adverse environi ng, including posit	e change rticularly and cycl mental in ive impac	verse impacts upon the environment, as well for congestion and equality of for objectives 6 and 12.  ing for new developments has both positive apacts, whereas prioritising public transport cts on human health. Promoting means of any people as possible have access to
	erred Approach	1				on re There new which There	ducing vehi would be peconomic donwould help would helpewould be pecual	cle emissions and have ass positive economic impacts evelopment this would ens p to deliver growth. positive social impacts thro	ociated er from local ure that a ugh more	nvironmental bene policies for new c s much of the pop equality of access	efits. development giving sulation of York as a and improvement	g priority possible l	to public transport, walking and cycling. For has access to employment opportunities, and health through reductions in emissions.
Reco	iiiiienaations						nmended.	produi ilds signincantly gre	eater susta	amability benefits,	with no adverse if	iipacis, C	ompared to the alternatives and is therefore

Pr	oviding T	rans	sport Capacity to Accommo	date	Growth								
SA	Objective	To meet the diverse housing needs of the Locate sites in areas of known hou			on 1: Increase existing capacity to mmodate traffic rated by proposed level owth	road acco gene	on 2: Provide new capacity to mmodate traffic erated by new elopment (e.g. new s)	capa susta trans	on 3: Increase existing city for more ainable modes of sport (walking cycling public transport) to nort proposed level of oth	infra susta trans and p supp (e.g.	on 4: Provide new structure for hinable modes of sport (walking cycling bublic transport) to ort new development new rail stations, and egic cycle routes).	road ca road ca existing sustain transpo infrasti sustain transpo	5: Increase existing apacity, provide new apacity, increase g capacity for more able modes of ort and provide new ructure for able modes of ort to support new pment.
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population		Locate sites in areas of known housing	-	Reliance upon increasing existing road capacity would have negative and permanent long term impacts. It would	-	Reliance upon new road capacity would have negative and permanent long term impacts. It would exacerbate	?	Impacts on this objective are uncertain as the capacity of existing sustainable modes of transport is not	+	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development would	+	This option will have positive, long term and permanent impacts upon this objective since it will help to

KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea		t effect /	Uncertain insufficient in	nforma	or Negative impac	t likely	Very negative in likely	npact	Positive or negative in how it is implemented		pending on
	in a sustainable way.	need • Deliv	er community facilities for th s of the population; er pitches required for Gypsic ellers and Showpeople.			for those where a car	by to prevent		problems for those who don't have a car by continuing to prevent access to housing choice.		clear, and whether this may impact upon access to housing choice.		have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective. It would help to increase access to housing choice.		increase access to housing choice.
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	envir nega: Imprefunct Promacces cyclir Imprefor reformered.	I locating development when commental circumstances coul tively impact on people's hea ove access to openspace / mi ional openspace otes a healthier lifestyle thou is to leisure opportunities (wing) oves access to healthcare; des or promotes safety and is sidents; re that land imination/pollution does not ceptable risks to health.	ald alth; ulti- ugh ralking /	-	road capa have nega and be dir contrary to objective. approach increase co vehicle en which woo impacts up	the existing city would tive impacts ectly this Such an would ar use and hissions, ald adverse bon the diwell-being	-	Reliance upon new road capacity would have negative and permanent long term impacts. It would see an increase in vehicle emissions, which would have negative impacts on the health and wellbeing of York's population.	+	Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed levels of growth would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective. It would help to minimise vehicle use and in turn emissions, which would impact positively on the health and wellbeing of York's population.	+	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective. It would help to minimise vehicle use and in turn emissions, which would impact positively on the health and wellbeing of York's population.	+	Whilst this option could have both positive and negative long term and permanent impacts on this objective, on balance, this is likely to be positive as it provides a more rounded response to the complex issues of health and well-being through enhancing accessibility generally.
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	oppo Supp educ: conti Provi oppo	de good education and traini rtunities for all; ort existing higher and furthe ational establishments for nued success; de good quality employment rtunities available to all.	er t	-	impacts. It exacerbati problems who don't to a car as transport.	existing city would tive and it long term would e existing for those have access a means of	-	Reliance upon new road capacity would have negative and permanent long term impacts. It would exacerbate existing problems for those who don't have access to a car as a means of transport in terms of accessing education and training opportunities.	ů.	Impacts on this objective are uncertain as the capacity of existing sustainable modes of transport is not clear,, and whether this may impact upon access to training and education opportunities.	+	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective since it would provide better access to education, training and job opportunities.	+	This option would increase access to education and training, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
4	Create jobs and deliver	•	deliver conditions for busines ess and investment;	ess	Š	Reliance u increasing	•	-	Reliance upon new road capacity would	?	Impacts on this objective are	+	Providing new infrastructure for	+	This option would help to increase

	++		+	0		,		-				1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No signifi	cant effect /	Uncertain	0	r Negative impac	t likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative in	npact dep	ending on
_	likely			no clear lir	nk	insufficient i	nformation	1		likely		how it is implemented		
						1		L						
	growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	for th  Delive growt  Enhar oppor  Provid for ed  Suppor	er a flexible and relevant wo e future; er and promote stable econo th; nee the city centre and its rtunities for business and leis de the appropriate infrastruc conomic growth; ort existing employment driv ote a low carbon economy.	omic sure; cture	have unce impacts of objective has a good transport congestion problem uncertain	on this  Whilst York d public network, on in York is a and so it is what the impacts of g road	pe te ob ex pr wl ca ac	ive negative and ermanent long rm impacts on this ojective. It would accrbate oblems for those no don't have a r in relation to cessing job oportunities.		uncertain as the capacity of existing sustainable modes of transport is not clear, and whether this may impact upon growth.		sustainable modes of transport to support new development would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective since it would help York to get moving and help to ensure that economic growth in York was not inhibited by congestion, both on roads and on existing public transport networks.		access to jobs, which would help to deliver growth and have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	deprive Providence Pro	ess existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across to de accessible services and fare local population; de affordable housing to medind; reduce homelessness; ote the safety and security for eand/or property.	che city; cilities et	have neg permane impacts. exacerba problems who don'	g existing acity would ative and at long term at would the existing for those thave access a means of	ro ha pe te wi ex pr wil ac	eliance upon new ad capacity would by enegative and ermanent long rm impacts. It build exacerbate isting access oblems for those no don't have cess to a car as a eans of transport.	+	Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed levels of growth would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective. This approach would help to address inequality and exclusion by giving more people greater access to services/facilities.	+	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective. This approach would help to address inequality and exclusion by giving more people a means of travelling in and around York and the wider area, and give greater access to services/facilities.	+	This option would help to deliver equality and access to all by helping to ensure that the whole of York's population can access housing, jobs, goods and services and leisure facilities etc. There would therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a	acces	er development where it is sible by public transport, wa ycling to minimise the use of	-	road capa	upon g the existing acity would ative impacts	ca ac	oviding new road pacity to commodate affic generated by	+	Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to	+	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to	+	This option would help to reduce the need to travel by delivering an

>	++		+	0		Ş		-				1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant effect	/ Uncertain	or	Negative impac	t likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative in	ipact dep	ending on
	likely			no clear	·link	insufficient	information			likely		how it is implemented		
	sustainable integrated transport network	suppo • Prom	er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel optior ote sustainable forms of travove congestion.	ns;	objectiv approar increase potenti congest already York at There w be very	to this e. Such an th would e car use and ally increase ion, which is a problem for certain times. rould therefore negative and ent long term on this	wou nee wou use whit dire this The ther neg peri	development ald not reduce d to travel and ald not minimise of the car, ch would be ctly contrary to objective. The would refore be ative and manent long in impacts on this ective.		support proposed levels of growth would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective. Such an approach would help to increase use of sustainable modes of transport and reduce reliance upon the car and thereby help to deliver an integrated transport network and also reduce congestion.		support new development would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective. Such an approach would help to increase use of public transport and reduce reliance upon the car and thereby help deliver an integrated transport network and also reduce congestion.		integrated transport network, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emiss Plan of the Proving renework technical materials and control of the Promission of the Province	ce or mitigate greenhouse gasions from all sources; or implement adaptation me are likely effects of climate change and develop energy from wable, low and zero carbon hologies; ote sustainable design and brials that manage the future consequences of climate change to the principles of the enrichy.	easures ange; puilding risks nge;	road ca have ne and be contrar objectiv approad increase the resu	ng the existing pacity would gative impacts	capi accc traft new coul incr with incr emi wou con incc this The ther neg peri tern	vide new road acity to ommodate fic generated by a development ld result in ease dar use, a resultant ease in vehicle ssions which ald be directly trary and simpatible with objective. The would refore be very ative and manent long in impacts upon objective.		Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed levels of growth would help to reduce reliance upon the car and in turn reduce vehicle emissions. This approach would have permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.	+	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development would help to reduce reliance upon the car and in turn reduce vehicle emissions. This approach would have permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.	+ -	This option will have both positive and negative long term and permanent impacts on this objective. On the one hand increasing existing and providing new road capacity would increase vehicle emissions, but provision for more sustainable modes of transport would reduce vehicle emissions and help combat climate change.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio-	natio habit and S	ct and enhance internationa nally significant priority spec ats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS iSSIs; ict and enhance locally impor	ies and ARs	0 No sign impact/	ficant no clear link.	? Imp obje unc wou	acts on this ective would be ertain as it ald depend upon locations of new		Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed	j	Impacts on this objective would be uncertain as it would depend upon the locations of new	?	Impacts on this objective would be uncertain as it would depend upon the locations of

_	++		+	0			?		-				1			
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sigi	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain		or Negative imp	act likely	Very negative i	mpact	Positive or negative in	npact dep	ending on	
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient ir	nformat	ion		likely		how it is implemented			
			•						<u> </u>							
	diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt	<ul> <li>Creat</li> <li>/ geo</li> <li>Impression</li> <li>infrast</li> <li>envir</li> <li>Provi</li> </ul>	re conservation sites (SINCs); te new areas or site of bio-div idiversity value; ove connectivity of green structure and the natural onment; de opportunities for people t ss the natural environment.	versity					road capacity, such as roads, as to what impacts there may be on the natural environment.		levels of growth would help to conserve the natural environment by avoiding the need to develop new transport infrastructure. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.		transport infrastructure as to what impacts there would be on the natural environment.		new road capac and new infrastructure for sustainable most of transport as thow this may impact on the natural environment.	or des
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevent</li> <li>and r</li> <li>Safeg</li> <li>and r</li> <li>Prote</li> <li>Safeg</li> </ul>	se previously developed land; ent pollution contaminating the remediate any existing amination; guard soil quality, including the most versatile agricultural land ect or enhance allotments; guard mineral resources and urage their efficient use.	he land ne best	0	No signific impact/no		?	Impacts upon this objective are uncertain. There may be opportunities to reuse PDL/remediate contaminated land and protect other land such as allotments, but this would depend upor the detail of proposals for new road infrastructure.	+	Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed levels of growth would help to reduce the need for new transport infrastructure and thereby reduce the amount of new land which would need to be developed. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.	?	Impacts upon this objective are uncertain. There may be opportunities to reuse PDL/remediate contaminated land, protect other land such as allotments, but this would depend upon the detail of proposals for new transport infrastructure.	?	Impacts on this objective would uncertain as it would depend u the locations of new road capac and new infrastructure for sustainable more of transport as thow this may impact on land resources.	l be upon ity or des
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Impr	erve water resources and qua ove the quality of rivers and ndwaters.	ality;	0	No signific impact/no	clear link	0	No significant impact/no clear link	0	No significant impact/no clear link	0	No significant impact/no clear link	0	No significant impact/no clear	link
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyc	note reduction, re-use, recove cling of waste; note and increase resource ency.	ery and	0	No signific impact/no		-	Additional road capacity could see an increase in resource use, which would have negative impacts upon this objective	+	Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed levels of growth would help to reduce the need for	-	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development could see an increase in resource use, which	-	Providing new infrastructure for sustainable more of transport and new road capac could result in the increased resouluse and therefore	des d ity he rce

_	++		+	0		?		-				1		
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	_	ificant effect /	Uncertain		or Negative impac	t likely	Very negative in	pact	Positive or negative in		epending on
	likely			no clear	link	insufficient i	nforma	tion		likely		how it is implemented		
12	Improve air quality	activi  Minin from reduc low e Suppo low e Impro preve Avoid Avoid existii result	ce all emissions to air from coties; nise and mitigate emissions the new development (including ing transport emissions thromission technologies and fue ort the development of city versions infrastructure; over air quality in AQMAs and int new designations; locating development when negatively impact on air quallocating development in areing poor air quality where it come in negative impacts on the hure occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrate	urrent to air ugh els); wide e it ality; eas of could nealth	Reliance increasir road cap have ned contrary objective approaci increase the resul in vehicl. This wou adverse air qualit given the already I with Air	upon g the existing acity would gative impacts irrectly to this e. Such an n would car use and tant increase e emissions. ild have impacts upon y, particularly		New road capacity would see an increase in car use which would have very negative, permanent and long term impacts upon air quality.	+	new transport infrastructure and the associated resource use. There will therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.  Increasing existing capacity for more sustainable modes of transport to support proposed levels of growth would help to reduce reliance upon the car and in turn reduce vehicle emissions. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on improving air quality.	+	would have negative impacts upon this objective.  Providing new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport to support new development would help to reduce reliance upon the car and in turn reduce vehicle emissions. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on improving air quality.	+ -	have negative impacts upon this objective.  This option will have both positive and negative long term and permanent impacts on this objective. On the one hand increasing existing and providing new road capacity would increase vehicle emissions, but provision for more sustainable modes of transport would reduce vehicle emissions
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people	Reduce     Ensur does     Delive	ce risk of flooding; e development location and not negatively impact on floc er or incorporate through de nable urban drainage systen	design od risk; sign	be very repermand impacts objective		+	It is assumed that any new road capacity in York would not be in areas at risk of flooding, or that	0	No significant impact/no clear link.	+	It is assumed that new infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport would not be located in areas at	+	and so there would be positive and negative impacts on air quality.  It is assumed that new road capacity and infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport would not be

KEY	Very positiv	e imnact	Positive impact likely	O No sign	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain		or N	Negative impact	t likely	Very negative in	nnact	Positive or negative in	nact der	nending on
×	likely	c impact	1 ositive impace intery	no clea		t chect /	insufficient in	nforma		regative impact	c intery	likely	ipact	how it is implemented		Jenang on
			l I													
	and property in York								provide minimi and so there w positive perman	ise flood risk on this basis would be e and nent long mpacts on this				risk of flooding, or that mitigation would be provided to minimise flood risk and so on this basis there would be positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.		located in areas at risk of flooding, which would have positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charace</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Preser non-d setting</li> <li>Preser which Charace</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special cter and setting of the histor of the or enhance local culture; we or enhance designated all esignated heritage assets an 3; we or enhance those elemer contribute to the 6 Principle cteristics of the City as identification.	nd id their nts	0	No signific impact/no		I	have a negative depended implem New ro infrastr conserve enhance historic enviror cultura charact setting adverse depended	ructure could ve or ce York's c nment, l heritage,	0	No significant effects/no clear link	1	This option could have a positive or negative impact depending upon implementation.  New infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport could conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting or have adverse impacts depending upon the detail of proposals.	1	This option would have a positive or negative impact depending upon implementation. Impacts of new roads capacity and infrastructure could conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting or have adverse impacts depending upon the detail of proposals.
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>includ</li><li>Protectimpor</li><li>Promotion</li><li>with it line w</li></ul>	ve or enhance the landscape ing areas of landscape value it or enhance geologically tant sites; ote high quality design in con is urban and rural landscape ith the "landscape and Settir the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0	No signific impact/no		1	have a negativ depend implem New ro infrastr protect enhanc natural landsca adverse depend	ructure could	0	No significant effects/no clear link	ı	This option could have a positive or negative impact depending upon implementation. New infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport could conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape or have adverse impacts, depending upon the	I	This option would have a positive or negative impact depending upon implementation. Impacts of new roads capacity and infrastructure could conserve or enhance York's natural and built environment.

	++		+	0		?		_			1						
KEY	Very positiv	ve impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impag	t likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending	ng on					
~	likely		, ,	no clea		insufficient ir	nformation	0 1	,	likely	how it is implemented						
											detail of proposals.						
Gene	ral					•		•			reased car use and the adverse environ	•					
					-	•	-	-			car use, which would not be good for the						
					•	•		•	•		uld exacerbate existing problems in rela-	tion to car					
					ownership, in relation to things like inequality and access to training opportunities.												
					Option 3 has some positive impacts, particularly in relation to the environment since it would help to reduce reliance upon the car. However, there are												
					also some uncertainties with this objective, particularly in relation to jobs and growth, since it is uncertain how much extra capacity the existing transport												
					system could accommodate, and thereby whether this might constrain growth, access to new housing etc												
					Option 4 would have a number of positive impacts. New infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport would benefit the environment through												
					reducing reliance upon the car, and thereby reducing vehicle emissions, with resultant environmental benefits. It would help to avoid the need for road infrastructure which could help to protect the environment. This option would help to reduce congestion, which has been highlighted as a problem in												
					infrastructure which could help to protect the environment. This option would help to reduce congestion, which has been highlighted as a problem in												
Drofo	rred Approac	<u> </u>			York at certain times, ensuring that growth was not constrained by this.  The preferred approach will have positive impacts in relation to the housing and economic objectives, since it will help to maximise access to housing and												
Preie	rreu Approac	11			-		-	•		is not restricted by congest		s to nousing and					
					Jobs through the	msport. It will a	iiso neip to e	ilsure that growt	11 III 101K I	is not restricted by congest	ion.						
					There will be a mixture of positive and negative environmental impacts since this preferred approach will encourage greater use of sustainable modes of												
					transport, but will also increase car use, which has associated adverse environmental impacts.												
					•			•	•		ds and services, and recreational facilitie	s. This approach					
_					will also improve the health and well-being of York's population through promotion of sustainable modes of transport.												
Reco	mmendations				It is recommended for the preferred option that the policy includes mitigation to combat the uncertainties with regards to conserving the natural environment, using land resources efficiently and the potential for adverse impacts on the historic environment and the natural and built heritage. The												
												neritage. The					
					policy also needs to mitigate for the negative impacts on resource use resulting from new roads and sustainable transport infrastructure.												

	etermining Objective	Ar	eas for Development and As	Optio	on 1: Rely on NPPF policies to guide port related development (no policy option)	alloc	on 2: Provide generic local criteria/site ations to guide transport related lopment	crite	on 3: Provide detailed local ria/site allocations to guide sport related development
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a	•	Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality; Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock; Locate sites in areas of known housing need; Deliver community facilities for the	0	No significant effect/no clear link.	+	There is an opportunity to ensure that new development is adequately related to and served by, public transport to encourage more sustainable travel patterns.	+	There is an opportunity to ensure that new development is adequately related to and served by, public transport to encourage more sustainable travel patterns.

KEY	Very positiv	o imnact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Nega	tiva im	pact likely	Very negative impact	Positive	e or negative impact depending on
	likely	е шрасс	Positive impact likely	no clea		. enect /	insufficient information	ivega	tive iiii	ipact likely	likely		is implemented
	incery			TIO CICO			misameteric imormacion	_			incery	11044 10	is implemented
	sustainable way.	• Deliv	s of the population; er pitches required for Gypsic ellers and Showpeople.	es and									
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	envir negai Improfunct Promacces cyclir Impro Provi for re Ensur	I locating development wher commental circumstances cou tively impact on people's hea ove access to openspace / mu ional openspace lotes a healthier lifestyle thou is to leisure opportunities (want ig) oves access to healthcare; des or promotes safety and so esidents; re that land amination/pollution does not ceptable risks to health.	ld alth; ulti- ugh alking /					?	criteria/site consideratio	n whether generic allocations would include n of factors such as air acts on this objective are acertain.	+	Detailed local criteria/site allocations to guide transport related development would seek to avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health. This would have a positive and permanent long term impact upon the health/well-being of York's population.
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>oppo</li><li>Supp</li><li>educi</li><li>conti</li><li>Provi</li></ul>	de good education and traini rtunities for all; ort existing higher and furthe ational establishments for nued success; de good quality employment rtunities available to all.	er	0	No signific	ant effect/no clear link.		0	No significar	nt effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	succe Delivifor th Delivifor th Enha Oppo Provi for ec Supp	deliver conditions for busineses and investment; er a flexible and relevant wo be future; er and promote stable econoth; er the city centre and its runities for business and leis de the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; ort existing employment driviote a low carbon economy.	orkforce omic sure; cture	0	No signific	ant effect/no clear link.		0	No significar	nt effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul><li>Addrough</li><li>depring</li><li>city;</li><li>Proving</li></ul>	ess existing imbalances of eq vation and exclusion across t de accessible services and far the local population;	he	+	system ne sustainabl people ch	requires that the transporeds to be balanced in favore transport modes, giving pice about how they traves maximising access to tra	our of I and	?	criteria/site consideratio	n whether generic allocations would include n of the need to ensure rt related development is iible.	+	Detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development would seek to ensure that transport related development was in accessible

	++		+	0			?	-				1	
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig no clea	nificant e r link	effect /	Uncertain insufficient information	_	tive im	pact likely	Very negative impact likely		e or negative impact depending on is implemented
		dema Help Prom	de affordable housing to med and; reduce homelessness; note the safety and security for the and/or property.		8 h	good acce have a pos	I help to ensure that th ss to services and facili sitive and permanent lo this objective.	ties and					locations and thereby have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	acces and o car; Deliv supp Prom	er development where it is solve by public transport, was cycling to minimise the use of er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel option to es sustainable forms of travove congestion.	f the hich ns; vel;	s v v e e f f e e a a a r r r v v v l i	should ain within the encourage for employ education also recog have an infacilitating to 'suppor which faci modes of it would haviong term	ires that 'planning poli in for a balance of land a ir area so that people c at to minimise journey l yment, shopping, leisur and other activities.' Th nises that transport po portant role to play in a sustainable developm at a pattern of developm litates the use of sustai transport'. Such requir ie a positive and perma impact on this objectiv	uses an be lengths e, he NPPF licies ent and nent inable ements inent inent	? It is uncertain whether generic criteria/site allocations in relation to new transport development would hav sufficient detail to ensure fulfilment of this objective.  O No significant effect/no clear link.			+	Detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development will help to ensure that transport related development is easily accessible and helps to reduce the need to travel, and contributes to a sustainable integrated transport network. This would have positive and permanent long term impacts on this objective.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	emis Plan for th Provi renet techn Prom mate and o	ice or mitigate greenhouse gasions from all sources; or implement adaptation mente likely effects of climate chaide and develop energy from wable, low and zero carbon hologies; note sustainable design and berials that manage the future consequences of climate charter to the principles of the enerchy.	asures ange; uilding risks nge;	0   1	No signific	ant effect/no clear link		0	No significan	t effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, bio- diversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality	nation habit and S Prote nature Creat / geo Imprintes	ect and enhance internationally significant priority spectats within SACs, SPAs, RAMS, SSIs; ect and enhance locally impore conservation sites (SINCs); te new areas or site of bio-dividiversity value; ove connectivity of green structure and the natural onment;	ies and ARs rtant	t c e f r	the natura of measur environme for new de requireme	seeks to conserve and all environment through es and that land with the ental value should be all evelopment. These ents will have a positive thong term impact on the ental value and the ental value.	a range he least llocated	?	criteria/site a	n whether generic allocations would include n of factors such as f the natural environment.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development would ensure that the natural environment was not adversely affected. On this basis there would be a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.

	++		+	0			?	-				I	
KEY	Very positive	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	t effect /	Uncertain or	Negat	tive im	pact likely	Very negative impact	Positive	e or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information				likely	how it i	is implemented
	and connected natural environme nt		le opportunities for people s the natural environment.	to									
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Re-use previously developed land;</li> <li>Prevent pollution contaminating the land and remediate any existing contamination;</li> <li>Safeguard soil quality, including the be and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>Protect or enhance allotments;</li> <li>Safeguard mineral resources and encourage their efficient use.</li> <li>Conserve water resources and quality;</li> <li>Improve the quality of rivers and</li> </ul>			+	the natur PDL, remo appropria least envi developm have a po impact or	seeks to conserve and en al environment and to re- ediate derelict land where te and to allocate land wironmental value for sent. These requirements sitive and permanent long this objective.	use th the will	criteria/site allocations would include consideration of factors such as the need to re-use PDL, or to safeguard agricultural land etc			+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development would seek to re-use PDL where possible, prevent any pollution which may arise from such development contaminating the land, and to protect land such as agricultural and allotments. There would therefore be positive and permanent long term impacts upon this objective.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Impro		ality;	0	No signifi	cant effect/no clear link.		0	_		0	No significant effect/no clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recycl	ote reduction, re-use, recoving of waste; ote and increase resource ency.	ery and	0	No signifi	cant effect/no clear link.		+	transport de	ch encourage public evelopment and use would o the preservation of finite	+	Criteria which encourage public transport development and use would contribute to the preservation of finite resources
12	Improve air quality	activit Minim from reduct low er Suppor low er Impro prever Avoid could	te all emissions to air from ories; hise and mitigate emissions new development (including ing transport emissions throm ission technologies and furthe development of city mission infrastructure; we air quality in AQMAs and new designations; locating development when negatively impact on air quallocating development in armodes;	to air g gugh els); wide d re it ality;	+	climate che planning a developm reduce gradil have	seeks to meet the challer nange and requires that lo authorities should 'plan fo ent in locations and ways eenhouse gas emissions'. positive and permanent lo acts on improving air qual	cal r new which This	?	criteria/site considerationeed to loca could negation	in whether generic allocations would include on of factors such as the ate development where it ively impact on air quality or areas of poor air quality.	+	Detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development could seek to locate development where it would not adversely impact air quality, or to be located in areas of existing poor air quality, unless there was mitigation to combat any adverse impacts. On this basis there would be a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.

,	++		+	0			?	-					
KEY	Very positive likely	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign		t effect /	Uncertain insufficient informat		egative in	npact likely	Very negative impact likely		e or negative impact depending on is implemented
		result of futu • Promo	g poor air quality where it c in negative impacts on the h ire occupants/users; ote sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the car.	nealth ed									
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul><li>Ensure does n</li><li>Delive</li></ul>	e risk of flooding; e development location and not negatively impact on floc r or incorporate through de: nable urban drainage systen	od risk; sign	+	that deve away fron flooding, I necessary safe with elsewhere have a po	to flood risk the NPPI opment should be dirn n areas at highest risk out where developmen to ensure that it can bout increasing flood rise. These requirements sitive and permanent this objective.	ected of nt is oe made sk s would		criteria/site consideratio risk and the developmer	in whether generic allocations would include on of factors such as flood need to ensure that at location and design does ely impact on flood risk.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development would seek to locate development away from areas of flood risk, which would have a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environme nt, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>charac</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Preser</li> <li>non-do</li> <li>setting</li> <li>Preser</li> <li>which</li> <li>Charac</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special cter and setting of the histor ote or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated a esignated heritage assets an 3; ve or enhance those element contribute to the 6 Principle cteristics of the City as ident eritage Topic Paper.	; ind ind their ints e	+	the histor mirror the have perm	seeks to conserve and ic environment which e approach of this objenanent and positive lon this objective.	would ective and		criteria/site consideratio	in whether generic allocations would include on of factors such as the id adverse impacts on York's ronment.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development would seek to avoid adverse impacts upon York's historic environment.
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Preser includi</li> <li>Protec import</li> <li>Promo with it line with it line with it in the including i</li></ul>	we or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value it or enhance geologically tant sites; the high quality design in cors surban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settii the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	+	the natura of measur environm for new d avoid dev landscape have a po	seeks to conserve and all environment through the seeks and that land with the ental value should be a sevelopment, and to seek elopment in areas of he value. These requires sitive and permanent this objective.	th a range the least allocated ek to nigh ments wil	]	criteria/site consideration need to prot value, and o	in whether generic allocations would include on of factors including the tect areas of landscape ther land such as important sites.	+	It is assumed that detailed local criteria /site allocations to guide transport related development would seek to locate development away from areas of landscape value, and to avoid geologically important sites. On this basis there would be a positive and permanent long term impact on this objective.
Gen					Option 1 has positive impacts on the SA objectives since the NPPF mirrors the approach of a number of the SA objectives. No adverse impacts were identified from this option, although it is recognised that NPPF does not include the level of detail that the preferred approach does and cannot therefore take account of particular local issues affecting York.  Option 2 has largely uncertain impacts as it is uncertain whether generic local criteria/site allocations would include sufficient detail to factor in considerations such as protection of the environment, minimising flood risk, avoiding development that would adversely impact upon the health and well-being of the population, protection of the landscape etc								
Pref	erred Approach	ed Approach										allocati	ions would include sufficient detail

KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
	1		to ensure that th unavoidable to ir Social impacts fr development wo impacts upon hu	ere were no adverse environclude adequate mitigation om this option are positive ould ensure that there is go	since it is assumed that de od access to new transport	w transport related developr tailed local criteria/site alloc infrastructure and that it is	ment, or if environmental impacts were ations to guide transport related not located where they may be adverse	
Rec	ommendations		The preferred ap recommended.	proach has significantly gre	eater sustainability benefits	s, with no adverse impacts, c	compared to the alternatives and is therefore	

SA	Objective		Opti	on 1: Adopt a maximum level of car parking provision	Option 2 Adopt another appropriate standards for parking provisi and apply them with due regard to the size and nature of t development and local circumstances		
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/no clear link	0	No significant effect/no clear link	
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose	0	No significant effect/no clear link	0	No significant effect/no clear link	

KE	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely			effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		y negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clear	r link		insufficient information		like	У	how it is implemented	
		unac	ceptable risks to health.									
3	Improve education, skills developme nt and training for an effective workforce	<ul><li>Provi oppo</li><li>Supp eduction</li><li>Conti</li><li>Provi</li></ul>	de good education and traini rtunities for all; ort existing higher and furthe ational establishments for nued success; de good quality employment rtunities available to all.	er	0		ant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effect	:/no clear link.	
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable , low carbon and inclusive economy	succe Deliv for th Deliv grow Enha oppo Provi for ee Supp	deliver conditions for busineses and investment; er a flexible and relevant wo be future; er and promote stable econoth; noce the city centre and its rtunities for business and leis de the appropriate infrastructionomic growth; ort existing employment drivate a low carbon economy.	orkforce omic sure; cture	0	No signific	ant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effect/no clear link.  No significant effect/no clear link.		
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	depricity; Provifor the Providema Help Prom	ess existing imbalances of eq vation and exclusion across t de accessible services and far le local population; de affordable housing to med and; reduce homelessness; lote the safety and security for le and/or property.	cilities et	0	No signific	ant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	acces and c car; • Deliv supp	er development where it is sisble by public transport, was cycling to minimise the use of er transport infrastructure worts sustainable travel option to sustainable forms of travove congestion.	f the hich ns;	+	discourage sustainabl to encoura transport positive ar	naximum level of parking pethe use of the car, and in eforms of transport. This age new developments to rather than the car. Therend permanent long-term in	turn encourage use of option would also help be accessible by public would therefore be npacts on this objective.	1	Adopting this approach to car parking provision could see an increase or decrease in car use, depending upon the specifics of the policy and how it was implemented. Impacts could therefore be positive or negative.		
7	To minimise greenhouse	emis	ce or mitigate greenhouse ga sions from all sources; or implement adaptation me		+	discourage	naximum level of parking per use of the car, which wou reduce vehicle emissions.	uld have benefits in	I	increase or decrease	ach to car parking provision could see an e in car use, depending upon the specifics w it was implemented. Impacts could	

	++		+	0			?	-			1
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Ver	y negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likel	ly	how it is implemented
			•								
	gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>Provide renew technology</li> <li>Promo mater and co</li> <li>Adher</li> </ul>	e likely effects of climate char de and develop energy from vable, low and zero carbon ologies; ote sustainable design and b rials that manage the future onsequences of climate char re to the principles of the en	ouilding risks nge;		be positiv objective.	e and permanent long term	impacts on this		therefore be positiv vehicle use and sub	e or negative depending upon levels of sequent emissions.
8	conserve or enhance green infrastructu re, biodiversity, geodiversit y, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environme nt	renhance reen frastructu e, bio- iversity, eodiversit flora and tuna for ccessible igh quality nd onnected atural nvironme t se land  nationally significant priority species habitats within SACs, SPAs, RAMSAR and SSSIs;  Protect and enhance locally importa nature conservation sites (SINCs); Create new areas or site of bio-diver / geodiversity value; Improve connectivity of green infrastructure and the natural environment; Provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment.  Re-use previously developed land;				No significant effect/no clear link			0	No significant effect	i/no clear link.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preve land a contal</li> <li>Safeguand m</li> <li>Proted</li> <li>Safeguencou</li> </ul>	nt pollution contaminating to and remediate any existing mination; uard soil quality, including th nost versatile agricultural lan ct or enhance allotments; uard mineral resources and urage their efficient use.	the he best nd;		ŭ	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effect	
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	water  efficiency and quality  • Improve the quality of rivers and groundwaters.				No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effect	:/no clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and	educe Promote reduction, re-use, recovery an recycling of waste; eneration Promote and increase resource				No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effect	t/no clear link.

Τ.	++		+	0			?	-			I
KEY	Very positiv	e impact	Positive impact likely	No sig	nifican	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information		likel	У	how it is implemented
									1		
	increase										
	level of										
	reuse and										
12	recycling	a Dadu			-	Havinga	maximum level of parking p	rovision would halp to		A doubling this games	pach to parking provision could have
12	Improve air quality	<ul> <li>Reduce activiting</li> </ul>	ce all emissions to air from co	urrent	+	_	e use of the car, which wou	•	•		e impacts on air quality depending upon
	quanty		nise and mitigate emissions t	to air		_	nissions. This would have r	•			s implemented. Car use could either be
			new development (including				nt long term impacts upon a	•		•	ouraged depending on the flexibility within
			ing transport emissions thro				d be of particular benefit gi			the approach to pa	
			mission technologies and fue				uality in certain parts of Yo	•			
			ort the development of city v			1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		• •	mission infrastructure;								
			ve air quality in AQMAs and								
			prevent new designations;  Avoid locating development where it								
		-	Avoid locating development where it								
		could	could negatively impact on air quality;								
		<ul> <li>Avoid</li> </ul>	Avoid locating development in areas of								
			ng poor air quality where it o								
			in negative impacts on the h	health							
			ure occupants/users;								
			ote sustainable and integrate								
			oort network to minimise the	e use							
10		of the			_						
13	Minimise		ce risk of flooding;		0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effec	t/no clear link.
	flood risk and reduce		e development location and								
	the impact		not negatively impact on floo								
	of flooding		er or incorporate through de nable urban drainage systen	•							
	to people	(SuDs	<u> </u>	113							
	and	(3003)	j.								
	property in										
	York										
14	Conserve	• Prese	rve or enhance the special		0	No signific	cant effect/no clear link.		0	No significant effec	t/no clear link.
	or enhance	chara	cter and setting of the histor	ric city;							
	York's	• Promo	ote or enhance local culture;	;							
	historic		rve or enhance designated a								
	environme		esignated heritage assets ar	nd their							
	nt, cultural	settin	<b>-</b> *								
	heritage,		rve or enhance those elemen								
	character	which contribute to the 6 Principle									
	and setting	= Characteristics of the city as identified in									
		the Heritage Topic Paper.									

T	++			+	0			?		-			1	
KEY	Very positiv likely	e impa	act	Positive impact likely	No sigr no clear		effect /	Uncertain insufficient informat	or ion	Negative impact likely	Ver like	y negative impact ly	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
15	Protect and enhance York's natural and built landscape	•	Protect import Promo with its line wit	we or enhance the landscaping areas of landscape value tor enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in cors urban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settir the Heritage Topic Paper.	ntext and in	0	No signific	ant effect/no clear lin	ζ.		0	No significant effec	t/no clear link.	
Gen						Adopting a maximum level of parking provision would have environmental benefits since it would help to discourage car use, which we help to reduce vehicle emissions' having positive impacts on climate change and air quality. Such an option would also encourage use sustainable modes of transport, which would also have some positive environmental impacts. There is no significant effect/no clear lin majority of the other SA objectives.							an option would also encourage use of re is no significant effect/no clear link for the	
Pref	erred Approach					The preferred option has the potential to have both positive and negative impacts depending upon how a flexible approach to parking is implemented. This approach has the potential to encourage or discourage car use, which could either have positive or negative impacts on the environment, depending upon the specifics of implementing a flexible approach to parking.  There are no significant effects/no clear links in relation economic and social impacts.								
Reco	Recommendations						It is recommended that the preferred option includes mitigation to account for potential adverse impacts on the environment through increased car use, if car parking levels were increased.							

SA	Objective			1: Require all new developments to er demand management (e.g. travel	Option 2: Require only major development to consider demand management (e.g. travel plans)			
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant effect/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
2	Improve the health and well- being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multi-functional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)	+	Requiring all new developments to consider demand management will help to reduce the need to travel and the mode of travel, and have positive and permanent long term impacts	+	Requiring major developments to consider demand management will help to reduce the need to travel and the mode of travel and have positivand permanent long term impacts		

KEY	Very positive impact F	ositive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain o insufficient information		gative impact likely	Very negative likely	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented		
	incry		no cicar min	mornidadior			intery		now tells implemented		
		<ul><li>Provides o</li><li>Ensure that unaccepta</li></ul>	access to healthcare; r promotes safety and secur t land contamination/polluti ble risks to health.	ion does not pose		upon the health and York's population.	J		upon the health and well-being of York's population.		
3	Improve education, skills development and training for effective workforce	Support execution     establishm	od education and training op- isting higher and further edu- ents for continued success; od quality employment opp	ucational	0	No significant effect/	no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
4	Create jobs and deliver growth a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	<ul> <li>Deliver and</li> <li>Deliver and</li> <li>Enhance the and leisure</li> <li>Provide the</li> <li>Support ex</li> </ul>	er conditions for business sur lexible and relevant workfor d promote stable economic a ne city centre and its opporto e; e appropriate infrastructure iisting employment drivers; low carbon economy.	ce for the future; growth; unities for business	O No significant effect/no clear link. <b>O</b>				No significant effect/no clear link.		
5	Help deliver equality and acce to all	exclusion a Provide ac population Provide afi Help reduc	isting imbalances of equality across the city; cessible services and facilitie ; fordable housing to meet de te homelessness; ne safety and security for pe	es for the local	0	No significant effect/	'no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrate transport network	transport, car; Deliver tra travel opti	ustainable forms of travel;	nise the use of the	+	Requiring all new dev consider demand ma help to reduce the ne the mode of travel, v positive and perman- impacts upon this ob	anagement will eed to travel and which will have ent long term	+	Requiring major developments to consider demand management will help to reduce the need to travel and the mode of travel, which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon improving air quality in York.		
7	To minimise greenhouse gase: that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to effects	sources;  Plan or importante Provide an carbon tec Promote s manage th change;	d develop energy from rene	es for the likely effects wable, low and zero ng materials that nces of climate	+	Requiring all new deconsider demand mandelp to reduce the new the mode of travel, a reduce greenhouse gwhich will have positive.	anagement will eed to travel and and in turn gas emissions ive and	+	Requiring major developments to consider demand management will help to reduce the need to travel and the mode of travel, and in turn reduce greenhouse gas emissions which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon improving air quality in York.		

0

	++		0	Ş	-				1
KEY		ve impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain		gative impact likely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information	on		likely		how it is implemented
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	priority spe and SSSIs; Protect and sites (SINCs Create new Improve co environmer Provide opp environmer	areas or site of bio-diversit nnectivity of green infrastru nt; portunities for people to acc nt.	Cs, SPAs, RAMSARs  nature conservation  y / geodiversity value;  icture and the natural	0	No significant effect/		0	No significant effect/no clear link.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Prevent polexisting cor</li> <li>Safeguard sagricultural</li> <li>Protect or e</li> <li>Safeguard ruse.</li> </ul>	enhance allotments; nineral resources and encou	st and most versatile urage their efficient	0	No significant effect/		0	No significant effect/no clear link.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality		Conserve water resources and quality; mprove the quality of rivers and groundwaters.			No significant effect/	/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	<ul> <li>Promote reduction, re-use, recovery and recycling of waste;</li> <li>Promote and increase resource efficiency.</li> </ul>				No significant effect/	no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.
12	Improve air quality	Minimise and developme through low     Support the infrastructure.     Improve air     Avoid locat impact on a Avoid locat quality when health of further through the promote sure.	quality in AQMAs and preving development where it co	from new port emissions I fuels); low emission ent new designations; ould negatively existing poor air e impacts on the	+	Requiring all new deconsider demand mahelp to reduce the nother mode of travel, a reduce vehicle emiss have positive and peterm impacts upon in quality in York.	anagement will eed to travel and and in turn sions which will rmanent long	+	Requiring major developments to consider demand management will help to reduce the need to travel and the mode of travel, and in turn reduce vehicle emissions which will have positive and permanent long term impacts upon improving air quality in York.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>Reduce risk</li> <li>Ensure devimpact on f</li> <li>Deliver or in</li> </ul>	of flooding; elopment location and desig		0	No significant effect/	/no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.

_	++	+	0	?	-				1		
KEY	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain o	or Ne	egative impact likely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information	า		likely		how it is implemented		
14	Conserve or enhance York's	Preserve or	enhance the special charact	ter and setting of the	0	No significant effect/	no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
	historic environment, cultur	ral historic city	;								
	heritage, character and sett	ing • Promote or	enhance local culture;								
		Preserve or	enhance designated and no	n-designated							
		heritage ass	sets and their setting;								
		Preserve or	enhance those elements wi	hich contribute to the							
		6 Principle (	Characteristics of the City as	identified in the							
		Heritage To	pic Paper.								
15	Conserve and enhance York	's • Preserve or	enhance the landscape incl	uding areas of	0	No significant effect/	no clear link.	0	No significant effect/no clear link.		
	natural and built landscape	landscape v	ralue								
		Conserve or	r enhance geologically impo	ortant sites;							
		Promote his	gh quality design in context	with its urban and							
		rural landso	ape and in line with the "lar	ndscape and Setting"							
			Heritage Topic Paper.								
Gene	eral				Option	1 would have positive	environmental imp	pacts sinc	e it would help to reduce the need to		
					travel a	and the mode of travel,	this in turn would	I reduce v	rehicle emissions and greenhouse gas		
					emissi	ons. This would also im	pact positively on	the healt	h and well being of York's population as it		
					would	help to improve air qua	ality in York.				
Prefe	erred Approach				The pr	eferred option will have	e positive impacts	upon the	environment since it will help to reduce		
					the ne	ed to travel and the mo	de of travel. This v	will in turr	n help to reduce vehicle and greenhouse		
					gas emissions. There will be positive social impacts from requiring all new developments to						
					consider demand management, since it will help to improve air quality through less reliance upon						
					the car	r, which will have positi	ve impacts on the	health an	d well-being of York's population. There		
					is no si	gnificant effect/clear li	nk in relation to the	e econon	nic objectives.		
Reco	mmendations				As the preferred approach has no negative impacts, no mitigation is considered necessary.						
						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>		·		

Tr	Transport Infrastructure to Mitigate Local Impacts of Development													
SA	Objective		contrib	Require all new developments to oute to off-site transport infrastructure gate the impacts of the development	Option 2: Require only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure to mitigate the impacts of development									
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.								

	++		+	0	?		-			I
KEY	Very positive impa	act	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain	or	Negative impact likely	Very negat	tive impa	ct Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely			no clear link	insufficient infor	mation		likely		how it is implemented
2	Improve the health and well-	•	Avoid locating developmen negatively impact on peopl		umstances could	?	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran		?	Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure
	being of York's population	•	Improve access to openspa Promotes a healthier lifesty (walking / cycling) Improves access to healtho Provides or promotes safet Ensure that land contaminarisks to health.	ce / multi-functional opens rle though access to leisure are; y and security for residents;	opportunities		infrastructure will have un impacts on this objective, depends upon the locatio infrastructure as to what there may be on this obje	as it ns of such impacts		will have uncertain impacts on this objective, as it depends upon the locations of such infrastructure as to what impacts there may be on this objective.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	•	Provide good education and Support existing higher and continued success; Provide good quality emplo	further educational establi	shments for ble to all.	0	No significant impact/no			No significant impact/no clear effect.
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	•	Help deliver conditions for Deliver a flexible and relev Deliver and promote stable Enhance the city centre and Provide the appropriate inf Support existing employme Promote a low carbon econ	ant workforce for the future economic growth; d its opportunities for busin rastructure for economic gr int drivers;	ess and leisure;	0	No significant impact/no o	clear effect.	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	•	Address existing imbalance across the city; Provide accessible services Provide affordable housing Help reduce homelessness; Promote the safety and sec	s of equality, deprivation ar and facilities for the local p to meet demand;	opulation;	0	No significant impact/no (	clear effect.	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	•	Deliver development where and cycling to minimise the Deliver transport infrastruc options; Promote sustainable forms Improve congestion.	use of the car; ture which supports sustair		+	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran infrastructure to mitigate of development will help more sustainable transpo and have permanent and long term impacts upon to objective.	sport the impacts to deliver rt networks positive his		Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will help to deliver more sustainable transport networks, which will have permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its	•	Reduce or mitigate greenhor Plan or implement adaptatichange; Provide and develop energitechnologies; Promote sustainable design	on measures for the likely of from renewable, low and	effects of climate	+	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran infrastructure to mitigate of development will help vehicle emissions, which we permanent and positive to	sport the impacts to reduce will have		Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will help to reduce vehicle emissions, which will have permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.

KEY			Positive impact likely	ly No significant effect / Uncertain o insufficient information		or	Negative impact likely	Very negat	ive imp	
<u> </u>	likely			no clear link	insufficient infor	mation		likely		how it is implemented
	effects	•	future risks and consequent Adhere to the principles of				impacts upon this objective	/e.		
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure, bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	•	Protect and enhance intern species and habitats within Protect and enhance locally (SINCs); Create new areas or site of Improve connectivity of green environment; Provide opportunities for processing the species of t	SACs, SPAs, RAMSARs and important nature conservations bio-diversity / geodiversity ren infrastructure and the nature conservations.	SSSIs ; ation sites value; natural	ŗ	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran- infrastructure will have ur impacts on this objective, depends upon the locatio infrastructure.	sport ncertain as it	?	Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will have uncertain impacts on this objective, as it depends upon the location of such infrastructure.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality		Re-use previously develope Prevent pollution contamin contamination; Safeguard soil quality, inclu land; Protect or enhance allotme Safeguard mineral resource	ating the land and remedia ding the best and most ver-	satile agricultural	?	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran- infrastructure will have ur impacts on this objective, depends upon the locatio infrastructure.	sport ncertain as it	?	Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will have uncertain impacts on this objective, as it depends upon the location of such infrastructure.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	•	Conserve water resources a Improve the quality of river	•		0	No significant impact/no o	clear effect.	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	•	Promote reduction, re-use, Promote and increase resor	•	vaste;	0	No significant impact/no o	clear effect.	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.
12	Improve air quality	:	Reduce all emissions to air in Minimise and mitigate emis (including reducing transpotechnologies and fuels); Support the development of Improve air quality in AQM. Avoid locating development quality; Avoid locating development it could result in negative in occupants/users; Promote sustainable and in use of the car.	ssions to air from new deve rt emissions through low en of city wide low emission in As and prevent new designatively t where it could negatively t in areas of existing poor a npacts on the health of future	mission frastructure; ations; impact on air ir quality where ure	+	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran- infrastructure to mitigate of development will help i more sustainable transporand in turn to reduce vehi emissions, which will have and positive long term im this objective.	sport the impacts to deliver rt networks, icle permanent pacts upon	+	Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will help to deliver more sustainable transport networks, and in turn to reduce vehicle emissions, which will have permanent and positive long term impacts upon this objective.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce	•	Reduce risk of flooding; Ensure development location	on and design does not neg	atively impact on	0	No significant impact/no o	clear effect.	0	No significant impact/no clear effect.

### Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

$\top$	++		+	0	?		-			I	
KEY	Very positive impa likely	ict	Positive impact likely	No significant effect / no clear link	Uncertain insufficient infor	or mation	Negative impact likely	Very negat likely	ive impa	ct Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented	
	the impact of flooding to people and property in York	•	flood risk; Deliver or incorporate throusystems (SuDs).	ugh design sustainable urba	an drainage						
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	•	Preserve or enhance the specity; Promote or enhance local of Preserve or enhance design and their setting; Preserve or enhance those of Characteristics of the City a	ulture; lated and non-designated hele elements which contribute	eritage assets	?	Requiring all new development to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will have uncertain impacts on this objective, as it depends upon the location of such infrastructure.			Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will have uncertain impacts on this objective, as it depends upon the location of such infrastructure.	
15	Conserve and enhance York's natural and built landscape	•	Preserve or enhance the lar Conserve or enhance geolo Promote high quality design landscape and in line with t Heritage Topic Paper.	ogically important sites; In in context with its urban a	and rural	Ş	Requiring all new develop contribute to off-site tran infrastructure will have ui impacts on this objective, depends upon the locatio infrastructure.	sport ncertain . as it	?	Requiring only major developments to contribute to off-site transport infrastructure will have uncertain impacts on this objective, as it depends upon the location of such infrastructure.	
Gene	eral					help re reducir There a locatio	duce the need to travel, whing vehicle emissions. are also some uncertain imp	nich will have poacts in relatiostructure as to	oositive im n to the e what imp	mitigate local impacts of development and to apacts in respect of climate change and nvironment, as it would depend upon the acts there may be on the natural environment, ape.	
Prefe	erred Approach					This option has some positive environmental impacts since it will help to mitigate local impacts of development and to help reduce the need to travel, which will have positive impacts in respect of climate change and reducing vehicle emissions.  There are also some uncertain impacts in relation to the environment, as it would depend upon the location of off-site transport infrastructure as to what impacts there may be on the natural environment the historic environment and the natural and built landscape.  There are no significant effects/no clear links in relation to the economic objectives.  Social impacts will be positive since the preferred option will help to mitigate the local impacts of major development.					
Reco	mmendations					It is recommended that the preferred option includes mitigation to ensure that any off-site transport infrastructure does not adversely impact upon the environment.					

	++	+	0	?	-		T
(e)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
-	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

## **Section 24: Communications Infrastructure**

SA	Objective			ion 1: Rely of NPPF to guide communications astructure	-	on 2: Provide a local policy guide to nunications infrastructure
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	<ul> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of the population in terms of quantity, quality;</li> <li>Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housing need;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	1	Generally the NPPF covers the issue, but can be open to local interpretation. NPPF states that advanced, high quality communications infrastructure is essential for sustainable economic growth. High speed broadband and other communications networks also play a vital role in enhancing the provision of local community services and facilities. NPPF also states that in preparing Local Plans, local planning authorities should aim to keep the numbers of radio and telecommunications masts and the sites for such installations to a minimum, adding that existing masts, buildings and other structures should be used, unless the need for a new site has been justified. If the local interpretation of this is such that providing new sites for communications infrastructure is too easily justified there could be a proliferation of new sites, thereby harming the amenity of residential areas. NPPF does not give any direction with regard to the removal of communications equipment where it ceases to be operational.	+	This option expressly states that proposals for high quality communications infrastructure will be supported where it is located at an existing mast or transmission site, where it is technically and operationally feasible. This should require stronger justification for proving doing so is not feasible and thus requiring new sites to be provided. This should reduce the risk of the proliferation of new sites and therefore, have a positive impact. This option also states that consideration will be given to the removal of equipment that ceases to be operational, particularly where it is visually intrusive
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	I	NPPF states that existing masts, buildings and other structures should be used, unless the need for a new site has been justified. If the local interpretation of this is such that providing new sites for communications infrastructure is too easily justified there could be a proliferation of new sites, which could be visually intrusive and affect people's health and well being. NPPF does not give any direction with regard to the removal of communications equipment where it ceases to be operational.	+	This option should reduce the risk of the proliferation of new sites and therefore, have a positive impact. This optio also states that consideration will be given to the removal equipment that ceases to be operational, particularly when it is visually intrusive.
3	Improve education, skills development	Provide good education and training opportunities for all;     Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued	+	NPPF states that advanced, high quality communications infrastructure is essential for sustainable economic growth. High speed broadband and other communications networks also play a vital role in enhancing the provision of	+	High quality communications infrastructure is essential for sustainable economic growth. High speed broadband and other communications networks also play a vital role in enhancing the provision of local community services and

	++		+	0			?	-			1	
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signif		effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on	
	likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented	
	and training for an effective workforce		s; e good quality employment unities available to all.			quality	mmunity services and facil communications infrastruc vorking and remote access unities	ture can enable more		infrastructure car	on of high quality communications n enable more home-working and remote on and training opportunities	
4	Create jobs and deliver growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	and inv Deliver for the Deliver growth Enhanc opport Provide econon Suppor	and promote stable econor	rkforce mic ure; ture for	+	NPPF st infrastr High sp netword local co quality busines and scie high qu the wor other re	ates that advanced, high queture is essential for sustanced broadband and other of the salso play a vital role in emmunity services and facil communications infrastruct s connectivity, particularly ence sectors of York's econality communications infrakforce catchment by enablemote working.	ninable economic growth. communications nhancing the provision of ities. Provision of high ture can enhance global for the knowledge based omy. The provision of structure can also widen ling home-working and	+	High quality communications infrastructure is essential for sustainable economic growth. High speed broadband and other communications networks also play a vital role in enhancing the provision of local community services and facilities. Provision of high quality communications infrastructure can enhance global business connectivity, particularly for the knowledge based and science sectors York's economy. The provision of high quality communications infrastructure can also widen the workforce catchment by enabling home-working and oth remote working.		
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Provide the local deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promote</li> </ul>	is existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across the accessible services and facal population; eaffordable housing to meed; educe homelessness; te the safety and security fo property.	ne city; iilities for	_	infrastri High sp networl local co high qu workfor remote services building need fo interpre commu could be amenity directio	ates that advanced, high queture is essential for sustaged broadband and other of the salso play a vital role in emmunity services and facilality communications infragree catchment by enabling working. It can also provides and facilities. NPPF also sign and other structures show a new site has been justifications infrastructure is a proliferation of new site a proliferation of new site of residential areas. NPPF in with regard to the removent where it ceases to be constant of the seases to be constant of the seases.	sinable economic growth. communications inhancing the provision of ities. The provision of structure can widen the home-working and other e remote access to local cates that existing masts, uld be used, unless the fied. If the local providing new sites for too easily justified there es, thereby harming the it does not give any val of communications	+	sustainable econd other communicate enhancing the profacilities. The proinfrastructure care enabling home-walso provide remote the communications located at an exist echnically and ostronger justificate thus requiring nethe risk of the profact positive impact will be given to the	munications infrastructure is essential for omic growth. High speed broadband and ations networks also play a vital role in ovision of local community services and vision of high quality communications in widen the workforce catchment by rorking and other remote working. It can ote access to local services and facilities. essly states that proposals for high quality infrastructure will be supported where it is perationally feasible. This should require tion for proving doing so is not feasible and w sites to be provided. This should reduce oliferation of new sites and therefore, have . This option also states that consideration ne removal of equipment that ceases to be icularly where it is visually intrusive	
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	by publiminimi Deliver suppor Promote	development where it is ac lic transport, walking and cy se the use of the car; transport infrastructure what ts sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of trave e congestion.	vcling to nich s; el;	+	The provision of high quality communications infrastructure can enable more home working and other remote working, thereby reducing the need to travel to work  The provision of high quality communications infrastructure				can enable more thereby reducing	high quality communications infrastructure home working and other remote working, the need to travel to work	
7	To minimise	<ul> <li>Reduce</li> </ul>	e or mitigate greenhouse ga	S	I	The pro	vision of high quality comr	nunications infrastructure	ı	The provision of h	high quality communications infrastructure	

	++		+	0			?	-			1		
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signif	ficant e	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on		
	likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented		
	greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	<ul> <li>Plan or the like</li> <li>Provide renewa techno</li> <li>Promote materia conseq</li> </ul>	te sustainable design and but the state of the future ruences of climate change; to the principles of the energial control of	e; uilding risks and		thereby motor v and ren of indiv higher t use, un	ible more home working and reducing the need to trave rehicle carbon dioxide emissinote working is encouraged idual household's carbon dichan those saved by the red less suitable household insult to reduce energy use.	I to work and associated sions. However, if home , the cumulative impacts oxide emissions may be uction in motor vehicle		can enable more home working and other remote wor thereby reducing the need to travel to work and associ motor vehicle carbon dioxide emissions. However, if he and remote working is encouraged, the cumulative important of individual household's carbon dioxide emissions man higher than those saved by the reduction in motor vehouse, unless suitable household insulation measures are in place to reduce energy use.			
8	Conserve or enhance green infrastructure , bio-diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible high quality and connected natural environment	Conser national habitat SSSIs;     Conser nature     Create geodive     Improvinfrastr     Provide	we or enhance international ally significant priority species within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA we or enhance locally import conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-diversity value; e connectivity of green ucture and the natural enview opportunities for people to ural environment.	es and ARs and rtant rersity /	I	has bee that pro is too e sites, th NPPF do remova	rates that existing masts, bures should be used, unless the pustified. If the local interpoviding new sites for communasily justified there could be sereby harming the amenity ones not give any direction will of communications equipmentational.	the need for a new site or etation of this is such unications infrastructure a proliferation of new of residential areas.	+	This option expressly states that proposals for high quality communications infrastructure will be supported where it located at an existing mast or transmission site, where it is technically and operationally feasible. This should require stronger justification for proving doing so is not feasible ar thus requiring new sites to be provided. This should reduce the risk of the proliferation of new sites and therefore, have a positive impact.			
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rer</li> <li>Safeguand mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safeguatheir ef</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing contant and soil quality, including the est versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and e ficient use.	he land nination; ne best d; encourage	0	objectiv			0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective.			
10	Improve water efficiency and quality		ve water resources and qua e the quality of rivers and waters.	ality;	0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this a ve.	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective.	ink between this alternative and the		
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse	recyclir	te reduction, re-use, recove ng of waste; te and increase resource eff		0	There is objectiv	s no clear link between this a	alternative and the	0	There is no clear l objective.	ink between this alternative and the		

	++		+	0			?	-			
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No signi	ficant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
l	- 1		I.					•	- /		p
	and rocycling										
12	and recycling Improve air quality	activities;  Minimise and mitigate emissions to air from new development (including reducing transport emissions through low emission technologies and fuels);  Support the development of city wide low emission infrastructure; Improve air quality in AQMAs and prever new designations; Avoid locating development where it coun negatively impact on air quality; Avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality where it could result in negative impacts on the health of future occupants/users; Promote sustainable and integrated transport network to minimise the use of the car.			I	can ena thereby motor v and rem of indivi higher t use, unl	vision of high quality commodelemore home working and reducing the need to trave enicle carbon dioxide emismote working is encouraged idual household's carbon dihan those saved by the reduction essibility to reduce energy use.	d other remote working, el to work and associated sions. However, if home , the cumulative impacts loxide emissions may be uction in motor vehicle		can enable more thereby reducing motor vehicle car and remote work of individual hous higher than those	high quality communications infrastructure working and other remote working, the need to travel to work and associated bon dioxide emissions. However, if home ing is encouraged, the cumulative impacts sehold's carbon dioxide emissions may be saved by the reduction in motor vehicle le household insulation measures are put e energy use.
13	Minimise flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	<ul> <li>Reduce</li> <li>Ensure</li> <li>does no</li> <li>Deliver</li> </ul>	e risk of flooding; development location and ot negatively impact on floo or incorporate through des able urban drainage system	od risk; sign	0	There is objectiv	no clear link between this re.	alternative and the	0	There is no clear I objective.	ink between this alternative and the
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>and set</li> <li>Promo</li> <li>Conser designal setting</li> <li>Conser contribution</li> </ul>	ve or enhance those eleme ute to the 6 Principle Chara City as identified in the Heri	and non- eir nts which acteristics	ı	structur has bee that pro- is too ea sites. NI they sho where a may be camouf visually	ates that existing masts, butes should be used, unless to pushing masts in justified. If the local interpoiding new sites for community is a site of the performance	he need for a new site pretation of this is such unications infrastructure a proliferation of new new sites are required signed and camouflaged ocal interpretation of this sympathetic design or d, which could be 's historic environment,	+	new sites and the also states that th appropriate scale impact on visual a adverse impacts t particularly in are	ŕ
15	Conserve or enhance		ve or enhance the landscap ng areas of landscape value		I		ates that existing masts, bures should be used, unless t	•	+		d reduce the risk of the proliferation of refore, have a positive impact. This option

### Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal Draft April 2013

$\top$	++		+	0		?	-			1				
KEY	Very positive	impact	Positive impact likely	_	cant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely		negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on				
	likely			no clear lir	ık	insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented				
	York's natural and built landscape	sites; • Promotits urbawith th	or enhance geologically in te high quality design in con in and rural landscape and i e "landscape and Setting" v e Topic Paper.	text with	has been justified. If the local interpretation of this is such that providing new sites for communications infrastructure is too easily justified there could be a proliferation of new sites. NPPF also states that where new sites are required they should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate. However the local interpretation of this may be such that the appropriate sympathetic design or camouflaging may not be employed, which could be visually intrusive damaging to York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting.									
Gen					Appraisal optic open to local in could lead to a implemented.  Appraisal Optic existing mast of doing so is not therefore, have	on 1 shows that although the nterpretation with regard to a proliferation of new sites a proliferation of new sites a proliferation of new sites and 2 expressly states that por transmission site, where the feasible and thus requiring	ne NPPF generally covers the othe robustness of the just. This could result in some no proposals for high quality could it is technically and operating new sites to be provided.	ne issue, p tification egative s ommunica ionally fe This shou	particularly with reg required for new si locial and environm ations infrastructure asible. This should reduce the risk o	o services and reducing the need to travel.  gard to the economic benefits, it can be tes for communications infrastructure and ental impacts, depending on how it is  e will be supported where it is located at an require stronger justification for proving of the proliferation of new sites and equipment once it has ceased to be				
Pref	erred Approach				<ul> <li>operational</li> <li>Option 2: Specify local criteria for the provision and siting of communications infrastructure</li> <li>This would have a positive impact on economic objectives through supporting high quality communications infrastructure to improve York's connectivity to wider markets as well as widening the workforce catchment area through home-working and other remote working.</li> <li>This would also have a positive impact on social and environmental objectives as it would enable more people to access education, training and employment opportunities as well as local services and facilities. Also the risk of proliferation of sites for communications infrastructure is minimised.</li> </ul>									
Reco	mmendations				None									

_	++	+	0	?	-		T
Œ)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

# **Section 25: Infrastructure and Developer Contributions**

Inf	frastructure					
SA	Objective			Do not require physical, social and economic ructure to be in place prior to development		2: Require physical, social and economic infrastructure to lace prior to development
1	To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.	population in terms of quantity, quality;  Promote improvements to the existing and future housing stock;  Locate sites in areas of known housing need;  Deliver community facilities for the needs of the population;  Deliver pitches required for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.		This is likely to have a negative impact on housing delivery because infrastructure is key to enable delivery of some of the housing sites, particularly the strategic sites over 5ha and in terms of transport connectivity. Delivery of some strategic infrastructure may be necessary prior to some of the housing sites coming forward for development and could delay their implementation/construction.  However, an approach could be taken to prioritising infrastructure necessary to enable the efficient and effective delivery of phased development as appropriate.	ı	This may be positive for the development communities on sites and enable housing sites to be delivered.  However, it may be impractical to deliver all infrastructure requirements prior to the full site and may be pursued as part of the overall phasing. An approach could be taken to prioritising infrastructure necessary to enable the efficient and effective delivery of phased development as appropriate.
2	Improve the health and well-being of York's population	Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's health;     Improve access to openspace / multifunctional openspace     Promotes a healthier lifestyle though access to leisure opportunities (walking / cycling)     Improves access to healthcare;     Provides or promotes safety and security for residents;     Ensure that land contamination/pollution does not pose unacceptable risks to health.	0	The impact of this is likely not to be significant given that the main demand for services will be after the development has taken place.	0	The impact of this is likely not to be significant given that the main demand for services will be after the development has taken place.
3	Improve education, skills development and training for an effective workforce	Provide good education and training opportunities for all; Support existing higher and further educational establishments for continued success; Provide good quality employment opportunities available to all.	-	This is likely to enable opportunities through the construction phasing of infrastructure/ development to deliver training opportunities.  However, in order maximise opportunities for education, all infrastructure commensurate with the level of development would need to be in place and ready to function to ensure that adequate facilities are available and undue pressure is not put on other facilities as a result of the development.	+	In order maximise opportunities for education, all infrastructure commensurate with the level of development would need to be in place and ready to function to ensure that adequate facilities are available and undue pressure is not put on other facilities as a result of the development.  This approach is likely to therefore have the most positive long-term gain for improving education, skills and training.
4	Create jobs and deliver	Help deliver conditions for business success and investment;	+ -	This is likely to have a negative impact on employment delivery because infrastructure is key to enable delivery of	+ -	This approach is likely to benefit the economy to ensure that infrastructure is in place to support all forms of

	++		+	0		j		-		-		
KEY	Very positive i likely	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signit no clear l	icant effec ink		n or nt information	Negative impact likel	-	'ery r kely	negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	growth of a sustainable, low carbon and inclusive economy	for the Deliver growth Enhanc opport Provide econor Suppor Promo	the city centre and its unities for business and leis the appropriate infrastruction growth; texisting employment drivite a low carbon economy.	mic sure; ture for ers;	s D p d c r	ites over 5ha ar Delivery of some orior to some of development an construction. Further more, the orms of infrastr riability of a sche vith available in more time to so equired to deliv	d in terms of tr strategic infra- the housing sit d could delay the e costs associa- acture can be heme. However, frastructure con- arce further fur er later phases		d all e vith ire		forms of infrast viability of both areas of the cit place prior to dable to meet the development of requirements.  This approach what the schen developers wit allocate to inframitigate its imprioritising infragrowth/sites developed.	osts associated with bringing forward all tructure can be high and may affect the in individual schemes and development in y to enable suitable infrastructure to be in evelopment. Funding resources may not be its requirement up front prior to other oming forward or to demonstrate need would require a detailed understanding of the needs in order to be delivered providing the certainty of the funding they will need to astructure provision to service the site and pacts. The approach may benefit from astructure critical to support economic evelopment to enable long-term delivery.
5	Help deliver equality and access to all	<ul> <li>Provide the loc</li> <li>Provide deman</li> <li>Help re</li> <li>Promo</li> </ul>	s existing imbalances of equation and exclusion across to accessible services and facal population; affordable housing to mead; additionally accessive the safety and security for property.	he city; cilities for	t	'he impact of th he main deman levelopment ha	d for services w	o be significant given tha vill be after the	t	0		his is likely not to be significant given that nd for services will be after the as taken place.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	<ul> <li>Deliver by pub minimi</li> <li>Deliver suppor</li> <li>Promo</li> </ul>	development where it is an development where it is an dic transport, walking and conset the use of the car; transport infrastructure what is sustainable travel option the sustainable forms of travel congestion.	ycling to hich s;	d o v s c T iir tr lii v	lelivery as trans of sites, particula vould require si ervices and infra apacity.  There are high co infrastructure th to be viable and tine with availab vith more time to infrastructure re curthermore, the	port infrastruct orly the strateging gnificant improperson astructure and posts implication at is critical to edeliverable. Ho e infrastructure o source furthed quired to delive	impact on development ure is key to enable delivic sites over 5ha which vements to public transpincreases in highway as to delivering transport enabling the development wever, phasing of the site could provide developed funding for the er later phases.	port continue to the interest continue to the	1 +	of transport an place as soon a Successful continued accessibil economic objecting after the development of the properties of the properti	cositive to ensure that sustainable modes d ways to minimise using the car are in s the development is commenced. Hections to other locations will be vital to ity as well as social, environmental and ctives. The impact of this is likely not to be in that the main demand for services will be opment has taken place.  Costs implications to delivering transport that is critical to enabling the development deliverable. However, phasing of the site illable infrastructure could provide in more time to source further funding for are required to deliver later phases.

	Vary positive impact   Positive impact likely   No			0		?	-	ct likely Very possible impact. Decitive or possible impact depending					
KEY	Very positive in	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signif	ficant effect	/ Uncertain	or Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on			
	likely			no clear l	ink	insufficient information	n	likely		how it is implemented			
7	To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects	e emissions from all sources; • Plan or implement adaptation me the likely effects of climate chang • Provide and develop energy from renewable, low and zero carbon technologies;		s asures for e; uilding risks and	? T the scan him in d	e after it has built. Using thi far as possible infrastructur istainable travel behaviour ommenced.  The effects on climate change e type of renewable energy hemes to be on the developments of the prior to developre installed prior to developre e installed prior to developre est and power (CHP) or geofrastructure would need to evelopment.	a approach should encourage re to be in place to encourage as soon as the development is objectives would depend on technologies as well as other ment. Renewable energy ould not necessarily need to nent. Where more to incorporate combined thermal schemes this be installed prior to	likely	development g be after it has l as far as possib encourage sust development is The effects on the type of ren other schemes energy scheme need to be inst comprehensive heat and powe infrastructure of development. There are high energy technol affecting viabil the site in line provide develo	he impact will increase in line with iven that the main demand for services will built. Using this approach should encourage ale infrastructure to be in place to ainable travel behaviour as soon as the			
8	Conserve or		ve or enhance internationa		p p in m th in b	npact will increase in line wi ain demand for services wil is approach should encoura	vehicles, should also be scheme. Furthermore, the th development given that the be after it has built. Using ge as far as possible pencourage sustainable trave elopment is commenced.		particularly by planned as par impact will incomment the main dema Using this apprinfrastructure travel behavior commenced.	g to minimise the use of trip generation, private motor vehicles, should also be t of the overall scheme. Furthermore, the rease in line with development given that nd for services will be after it has built. oach should encourage as far as possible to be in place to encourage sustainable ur as soon as the development is			
	enhance green infrastructure , bio- diversity, geodiversity, flora and fauna for accessible	habitat SSSIs; Conservature Create geodive Improv	ally significant priority speci s within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA we or enhance locally impor conservation sites (SINCs); new areas or site of bio-div ersity value; e connectivity of green ructure and the natural env	ARs and rtant rersity /									

١,	++		+	0			?	-			1
71	Very positive	impact	Positive impact likely	No signif		fect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very n	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	high quality and connected natural environment		e opportunities for people to tural environment.	o access							
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preven and rei</li> <li>Safegu and mo</li> <li>Conser</li> <li>Safegu</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the mediate any existing contant ard soil quality, including the ost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; ard mineral resources and efficient use.	ne land nination; e best d;	0	No sig	gnificant effect or clear link.		0		effect or clear link.
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	Improv	ve water resources and qua re the quality of rivers and lwaters.	llity;	,	efficie individ	mentation of infrastructure ency as well as drainage is li dual schemes. The scale or tructure is therefore uncert	kely to be bespoke to the delivery of required	3	efficiency as we individual sche	n of infrastructure for water quality and ell as drainage is likely to be bespoke to the mes. The scale or delivery of required s therefore uncertain.
11	Reduce waste generation and increase level of reuse and recycling	recyclii	te reduction, re-use, recove ng of waste; te and increase resource eff	•	?	the in	e generation needs would n frastructure required to de sary prior to the developme	al with waste may not be ent commencing.	?	the infrastructu necessary prior	ion needs would need to be planned for but ure required to deal with waste may not be to the development commencing .
12	Improve air quality	activiti Minimi new de transpe techno Suppor emissie Improv new de Avoid I negativ Avoid I existing result i future Promo	ise and mitigate emissions to evelopment (including reduce of the emissions through low elogies and fuels); it the development of city were air quality in AQMAs and esignations; ocating development where evely impact on air quality; ocating development in areign poor air quality where it can negative impacts on the hoccupants/users; te sustainable and integrate ort network to minimise the	o air from cing mission vide low prevent e it could as of could lealth of cid		the im quality location more Howe requir	nportant that air quality if p nplementation of infrastruc y is likely to be bespoke to ons for development. The e specific information and ar ever, specific strategic public rements could be established port impacts of developmen	ture to respond to air the individual schemes and effects of therefore require e uncertain. c transport infrastructure ed to minimise the	1	but the implem quality is likely and locations for require more so However, speci requirements of transport impa Implementing to	that air quality if planned for in advance nentation of infrastructure to respond to air to be bespoke to the individual schemes or development. The effects of therefore pecific information and are uncertain.  If it is strategic public transport infrastructure could be established to minimise the cts of development on local air quality, these measures prior to development could a maximum benefit is derived from them.
13	Minimise	Reduce	e risk of flooding;		-	It wou	uld be important that any m	nitigation identified	+	Any infrastruct	ure that has been identified through the

>	TT		T	0		. ,	F	-			
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi		ect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	-	egative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear l	ink		insufficient information		likely		how it is implemented
	flood risk and reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York	odes no Deliver	development location and ot negatively impact on floc or incorporate through de- able urban drainage systen	od risk; sign		incorp	gh planning the developme orated prior to the develop e that no further adverse ir pproach could have negativ	oment of a scheme to npacts are experienced.		should be incor that no further	rigate the potential impacts from flood risk rporated prior to development to ensure adverse impacts are experienced. This ive in minimising flood risk.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul><li>and set</li><li>Promo</li><li>Conser designa setting</li><li>Conser contrib</li></ul>	ve or enhance those eleme ute to the 6 Principle Chara City as identified in the Heri	and non- eir nts which acteristics	0	No sig	nificant effect or clear link.		0	No significant e	effect or clear link.
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul><li>including</li><li>Protect sites;</li><li>Promodits urbawith the</li></ul>	ve or enhance the landscape ng areas of landscape value or enhance geologically in te high quality design in cor an and rural landscape and e "landscape and Setting" value ge Topic Paper.	nportant ntext with in line	0	No sig	nificant effect or clear link.	No significant e	ffect or clear link.		
Gene Prefe	ral rred Approach				the cost in line w to delive option 2 infrastru  Option 2 infrastru  Option 2 infrastru  The de to proper to proper to the cost in line w to proper to the cost in line with the	es assocy vith infrer later 2 is like ucture r t: Requi is is like evelopm is is like ore attr enablir ovide d	riated with this provision contracture requirements of phases.  If to have a more positive in the physical, social an economic by to have benefits on social to have benefits on social to have a economic benefit	ould thereby affect viability a buld provide developers with ong-term impact on the delegate development, mitigate its omic infrastructure be in plantal objectives given that social efits for York as the strategity. There are high costs imprisable and deliverable. Howe to source further funding for	ivery of sidirect local ce prior to al infrastric and local cetter, phaser the infra	tes as this would al impacts and me to development ucture would be in infrastructure reto delivering transing of the site in lastructure require	e is key to enabling the delivery of sites and pment. However, phasing of developments ner funding for the infrastructure required identify the key strategic and specific set the wider infrastructure needs of York.  In place commensurate with the level of sequired would be in place to make sites sport and other infrastructure that is critical ine with available infrastructure could d to deliver later phases. dequately serviced and its impacts are
Recommendations						inguicu	•				
					•						

	++	+	0	?	-		1
(E)	Very positive impact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Developer Contributions							
SA Objective	contril	on 1: Do not require developers to bute to strategic infrastructure opment, use existing obligations od	to stra	n 2: Require developers to contribute tegic infrastructure development on sis of development type city-wide	<b>Option 3:</b> Require developers to contribute to strategic infrastructure development on the basis of development type by location		
<ul> <li>To meet the diverse housing needs of the population in a sustainable way.</li> <li>Deliver homes to meet the needs of population in terms of quantity, quantity, quantity and future housing stock;</li> <li>Locate sites in areas of known housineed;</li> <li>Deliver community facilities for the of the population;</li> <li>Deliver pitches required for Gypsies Travellers and Showpeople.</li> </ul>	ng eeds	This option would generally limit developers to contributing to the infrastructure required to enable the development to take place (e.g. access, drainage and utilities) and mitigate the direct local impacts of the development. This option does not generally take into account the cumulative impacts of development in an area or citywide and the funding of new community facilities that may be required as a result. The exception to this is pooling of contributions secured through obligations, to fund new community facilities. However, recent changes to legislation will limit the number of development sites where contributions can be pooled, thus increasing the risk that sufficient funding for new strategic community facilities may not be secured.	**	This option will provide developers with much more certainty about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing anywhere within York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including community facilities. This option will also enable the council to allocate a 'meaningful contribution' to deliver the infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed.	++	This option will provide the council with the maximum flexibility to set a contribution rate that matches the relative attractiveness of different areas of York for development and the returns that development can generate for developers. It will also give developers much more certainty about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing within different parts (zones) of York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including community facilities. This option will also enable the council to allocate a 'meaningful contribution' to deliver the infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed.	
Improve the health and well-being of York's population      Promotes a healthier lifestyle thoug access to leisure opportunities (wall cycling)      Avoid locating development where environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's healt environmental circumstances could negatively impact on people's healt functional openspace / Promotes a healthier lifestyle thoug access to leisure opportunities (wall cycling)		This option would generally limit developers to contributing to the infrastructure required to enable the development to take place (e.g. access, drainage and utilities) and mitigate the direct local impacts of the development. This option does	1 +	This option will provide developers with much more certainty about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing anywhere within York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will	1 +	This option will provide the council with the maximum flexibility to set a contribution rate that matches the relative attractiveness of different areas of York for development and the returns that development can generate for developers. This option will provide developers with much more certainty	

			0		?	-				I
KEY	Very positive impactively	t Positive impact likel	y No sigr no clear	ificant effe	t / Uncertain or insufficient information	Nega	ative impact likely	Very negative imp likely		Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
	• P fc	mproves access to healthcai rovides or promotes safety or residents; nsure that land contaminat oes not pose unacceptable ealth.	and security on/pollution	the de will cool he recool factors factors and recool factors are considered as the cool factors are considered as the co	r generally take into account cumulative impacts of velopment in an area or city- le and the funding of new munity facilities, such as althcare facilities, that may be uired as a result. However, If evelopment is of a sufficient le the provision of healthcare ilities within the development y be one of the facilities uired as an obligation to igate its direct local impacts.		facilities. This of enable the coun 'meaningful con deliver the infra in the neighbou development is However, the prostrategic healthe either need to bappropriate list meaningful conthe healthcare in needed in the needed in the needed.	cil to allocate a tribution' to structure needed rhoods where proposed. rovision of care facilities will se set out in an or form part of the tribution to deliver infrastructure		about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing within different parts (zones) of York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including community facilities. This option will also enable the council to allocate a 'meaningful contribution' to deliver the infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed. However, the provision of strategic healthcare facilities will either need to be set out in an appropriate list or form part of the meaningful contribution to deliver the healthcare infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed.
3	education, skills development and training for an  o Si ee	rovide good education and pportunities for all; upport existing higher and f ducational establishments fuccess; rovide good quality employ pportunities available to all	urther or continued	de inf the (e. uti loc (e. Th tal im are of as fac or de fac to	s option would generally limit velopers to contributing to the astructure required to enable development to take place g. access, drainage and ities)) and mitigate the direct al impacts of the development g. education 'contribution'. s option does not generally e into account the cumulative pacts of development in an a or city-wide and the funding new community facilities, such education and training lilities, that may be required as esult. However, If a velopment is of a sufficient le the provision of education craining facilities within the relopment may be one of the lilities required as an obligation mitigate its direct local pacts.		with much more the level of funce expected to con type of develop proposing anyw authority area a infrastructure th be used for, incl facilities. This op enable the coun 'meaningful con deliver the infra in the neighbou development is However, the pr strategic health either need to b appropriate list meaningful cont the healthcare in needed in the need	tribute for the ment they are here within York's and what strategic he contribution will uding community otion will also ocil to allocate a tribution' to structure needed rhoods where proposed. For each of the care facilities will he set out in an or form part of the tribution to deliver infrastructure	+	This option will provide the council with the maximum flexibility to set a contribution rate that matches the relative attractiveness of different areas of York for development and the returns that development can generate for developers. This option will provide developers with much more certainty about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing within different parts (zones) of York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including community facilities. This option will also enable the council to allocate a 'meaningful contribution' to deliver the infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed. However, the provision of strategic healthcare facilities will either need to be set out in an appropriate list or form part of the meaningful contribution to deliver the

			+	0 ?										
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificar	it effect /	Uncertain or	Ne	gativ	e impact likely	Very negative im	npac	: F	Positive or negative impact depending on
_	likely			no clea	r link		insufficient information				likely		ŀ	how it is implemented
	•							•					•	
														healthcare infrastructure needed in the
														neighbourhoods where development is
														proposed.
4	Create jobs	Help de	eliver conditions for busines	s	-	This op	tion would generally limit	++		This option will	provide developers	++		This option will provide the council with
	and deliver	success	and investment;			develo	pers to contributing to the			with much more	e certainty about			the maximum flexibility to set a
	growth of a	<ul> <li>Deliver</li> </ul>	a flexible and relevant wor	kforce		infrastr	ucture required to enable			the level of fund	ling they will be			contribution rate that matches the
	sustainable,	for the	future;				elopment to take place			expected to con				relative attractiveness of different areas
	low carbon	<ul> <li>Deliver</li> </ul>	and promote stable econor	nic			cess, drainage and			type of develop	•			of York for development and the
	and inclusive	growth	;				) and mitigate the direct				here within York's			returns that development can generate
	economy	<ul> <li>Enhance</li> </ul>	e the city centre and its				pacts of the			-	and what strategic			for developers. It will also give
		opport	unities for business and leis	ure;			ment. This option does				ne contribution will			developers more certainty about the
		<ul> <li>Provide</li> </ul>	e the appropriate infrastruct	ure			erally take into account			be used for, incl	0			level of funding they will be expected to
			nomic growth;				nulative impacts of				o enable economic			contribute for the type of development
			t existing employment drive	ers;			oment in an area or city-			growth.				they are proposing within different
		<ul> <li>Promot</li> </ul>	te a low carbon economy.				nd the funding of new							parts (zones) of York's authority area
							ic infrastructure that is d to facilitate economic							and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including
							.The exception to this is							strategic infrastructure to enable
						_	of contributions secured							economic growth.
							obligations. However,							cconomic growth.
							changes to legislation will							
							e number of development							
						sites w	nere contributions can be							
							thus increasing the risk							
						that su	fficient funding for new							
						strateg	ic infrastructure to enable							
							nic growth may not be							
						secure								
5	Help deliver		s existing imbalances of equ		1		tion would generally limit	1	+		provide developers	ı	+	This option will provide the council with
	equality and		ation and exclusion across the				pers to contributing to the				e certainty about			the maximum flexibility to set a
	access to all		accessible services and fac	ilities			ucture required to enable				ling they will be			contribution rate that matches the
			local population;				relopment to take place			expected to con				relative attractiveness of different areas
			e affordable housing to mee	t		, ,	cess, drainage and			type of develop	•			of York for development and the
		deman					) and mitigate the direct spacts of the				here within York's and what strategic			returns that development can generate for developers. This option will provide
			duce homelessness;				oment. This option does			•	ne contribution will			developers with much more certainty
			te the safety and security fo				erally take into account				uding services and			about the level of funding they will be
		people	and/or property.				nulative impacts of			-	local population.			expected to contribute for the type of
							oment in an area or city-				also enable the			development they are proposing
							nd the funding of new			•	ite a 'meaningful			anywhere within York's authority area
							s and facilities that may be			contribution' to	_			and what strategic infrastructure the
							d as a result. The			infrastructure n	eeded in the			contribution will be used for, including
						excepti	on to this is pooling of			neighbourhood	s where			services and facilities for the local

Τ.	++		+	0		?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No signi no clear	ffect /	Uncertain or insufficient information	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative in likely	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on how it is implemented
					obligation changes the num where compooled, that sufficiently strategic	utions secured through ons. However, recent is to legislation will limit ober of development sites contributions can be thus increasing the risk ficient funding for new is services and facilities the secured.		either need to b appropriate list meaningful cont	ovision of as and facilities will be set out in an or form part of the tribution to deliver I facilities needed rhoods where		population. This option will also enable the council to allocate a 'meaningful contribution' to deliver the infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed. However, the provision of strategic services and facilities will either need to be set out in an appropriate list or form part of the meaningful contribution to deliver the services and facilities needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed.
6	Reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable integrated transport network	accessi and cyc car; • Deliver suppor • Promo	development where it is ble by public transport, walk cling to minimise the use of transport infrastructure what sustainable travel options te sustainable forms of travel e congestion.	king the nich	develop infrastruthe develop (e.g. accutilities) local im develop could in new pul infrastruthe develop take intributate in the develop take intributate infrastrute exception contribution obligation changes the num	cion would generally limit ers to contributing to the cucture required to enable elopment to take place ess, drainage and and mitigate the direct pacts of the ment. Access obligations clude the provision of blic transport services and acture near to and within elopment, which could reliance on use of the car. ion does not generally to account the cumulative of development in an city-wide and the funding or significantly upgraded to public transport acture that may be do as a result. The ton to this is pooling of utions secured through tons. However, recent is to legislation will limit other of development sites contributions can be	**	with much more the level of func expected to con type of develop proposing anyw authority area a infrastructure th be used for, incl significantly upg public transport Such infrastruct	tribute for the ment they are here within York's nd what strategic ne contribution will uding new or graded strategic	**	This option will provide the council with the maximum flexibility to set a contribution rate that matches the relative attractiveness of different areas of York for development and the returns that development can generate for developers This option will provide developers with much more certainty about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing anywhere within York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including new or significantly upgraded strategic public transport infrastructure. Such infrastructure needs will be set out set out in an appropriate list.
7	To minimise greenhouse		e or mitigate greenhouse gas ons from all sources;	S		ion would generally limit ers to contributing to the	++	•	provide developers e certainty about	++	This option will provide the council with the maximum flexibility to set a

	++		+	0			?	-				1
KEY	Very positive i	impact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative im	pact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
	gases that	<ul> <li>Plan or</li> </ul>	implement adaptation mea	asures		infrastr	ucture required to enable		the level of fund			contribution rate that matches the
	cause climate	for the	likely effects of climate cha	nge;		the dev	elopment to take place		expected to con	tribute for the		relative attractiveness of different areas
	change and	<ul> <li>Provide</li> </ul>	e and develop energy from			, 0	cess, drainage and		type of develop	ment they are		of York for development and the
	deliver a	renewa	able, low and zero carbon			utilities	and mitigate the direct		proposing anyw	here within York's		returns that development can generate
	managed	techno	logies;			local im	pacts of the		authority area a	nd what strategic		for developers This option will provide
	response to	• Promo	te sustainable design and bi	uilding			ment. Access obligations			ne contribution will		developers with much more certainty
	its effects	materia	als that manage the future r	risks			clude the provision of		be used for, incl	U		about the level of funding they will be
		and co	nsequences of climate chan	ge;			blic transport services and		significantly upg			expected to contribute for the type of
		<ul> <li>Adhere</li> </ul>	to the principles of the ene	ergy			ucture near to and within		public transport	•		development they are proposing
		hierarc	hy.				elopment, which could			uce the reliance on		anywhere within York's authority area
							the reliance on private		•	ed transport and		and what strategic infrastructure the
							ed transport and its		its associated er			contribution will be used for, including
							ted emissions. This option			eeds will be set out		new or significantly upgraded strategic
							t generally take into		set out in an app	propriate list.		public transport infrastructure, which
							the cumulative impacts					could reduce the reliance on private
							lopment in an area or le and the funding of new					motorised transport and its associated emissions Such infrastructure needs
						•	and facilities that may be					will be set out set out in an appropriate
							d as a result. The					list.
						•	on to this is pooling of					1131.
							utions secured through					
							ons. However, recent					
							s to legislation will limit					
							nber of development sites					
						where o	contributions can be					
						pooled						
8	Conserve or	<ul> <li>Conser</li> </ul>	ve or enhance internationa	land	1 +		ion would generally limit	1 +	This option will	provide developers	1 +	This option will provide the council with
	enhance	nationa	ally significant priority speci	es and		develop	ers to contributing to the		with much more	certainty about		the maximum flexibility to set a
	green	habitat	s within SACs, SPAs, RAMSA	ARs and		infrastr	ucture required to enable		the level of fund	ling they will be		contribution rate that matches the
	infrastructure	SSSIs;					elopment to take place		expected to con			relative attractiveness of different areas
	, bio-		ve or enhance locally impor	tant			cess, drainage and		type of develop	•		of York for development and the
	diversity,		conservation sites (SINCs);				and mitigate the direct			here within York's		returns that development can generate
	geodiversity,		new areas or site of bio-div	ersity /			pacts of the		•	nd what strategic		for developers. This option will provide
	flora and	_	ersity value;				ment. Obligations could			ne contribution will		developers with much more certainty
	fauna for		e connectivity of green				the provision of new		be used for, incl			about the level of funding they will be
	accessible		ucture and the natural			_	nfrastructure, including			his option will also		expected to contribute for the type of
	high quality and	enviror	•			public 0	pen space.		enable the coun 'meaningful con			development they are proposing anywhere within York's authority area
	connected		e opportunities for people to	0					_	structure needed		and what strategic infrastructure the
	natural	access	the natural environment.						in the neighbou			contribution will be used for, green
	environment								development is			infrastructure. This option will also
	CHANGINIENC								However, the pr	•		enable the council to allocate a
										s and facilities will		'meaningful contribution' to deliver the
						<u> </u>			THE WALE DE LA LEE	S S.IM INCINCICS WILL		samingrai contribution to deliver the

	++		+	0			?	_				1
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	·link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
									meaningful cont	or form part of the tribution to deliver I facilities needed rhoods where		infrastructure needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed. However, the provision of strategic services and facilities will either need to be set out in an appropriate list or form part of the meaningful contribution to deliver the services and facilities needed in the neighbourhoods where development is proposed.
9	Use land resources efficiently and safeguard their quality	<ul> <li>Preventand rer contam</li> <li>Safegua and mo</li> <li>Consert</li> <li>Safegua</li> </ul>	previously developed land; t pollution contaminating the nediate any existing sination; and soil quality, including the lost versatile agricultural land we or enhance allotments; and mineral resources and age their efficient use.	e best	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	r link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
10	Improve water efficiency and quality	• Conser	ve water resources and qual e the quality of rivers and	lity;	1 +	develop infrastrumitigate of the d flood ris and growths optitake intributes of new smay be exceptic contribute obligation changes the numwhere optic contributes optically that sufstrategic may not	ion would generally limit ers to contributing to the acture required to the direct local impacts evelopment, such as ek, surface water runoff undwater contamination. ion does not generally to account the cumulative of development in an city-wide and the funding services and facilities that required as a result. The onto this is pooling of utions secured through the services and facilities that is to legislation will limit to be of development sites ontributions can be thus increasing the risk ficient funding for new of services and facilities the secured. Alternatively may include the provision	++	with much more the level of func expected to con type of develop proposing anyw authority area a infrastructure the used for, inclinfrastructure to quality of rivers waters. Utilities provision of suc	tribute for the ment they are where within York's and what strategic ne contribution will uding strategic improve the and groundmay include the h strategic in their investment d any such e set out in an	++	This option will provide the council with the maximum flexibility to set a contribution rate that matches the relative attractiveness of different areas of York for development and the returns that development can generate for developers This option will provide developers with much more certainty about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing anywhere within York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including strategic infrastructure to improve the quality of rivers and ground-waters. Utilities may include the provision of such strategic infrastructure in their investment programmes and any such proposals will be set out in an appropriate list.

	+ 0 ?		?	-		1						
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	nificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negativ	e impact likely	Very negative in	npact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	·link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
							strategic infrastructure in					
							vestment programmes					
11	Reduce waste		e reduction, re-use, recove	ery and	0		no clear link between	0		r link between this	0	There is no clear link between this
	generation		ng of waste;			this alte	rnative and the objective		alternative and t	the objective		alternative and the objective
	and increase		e and increase resource									
	level of reuse	efficien	cy.									
	and recycling											-1
12	Improve air		all emissions to air from cu	urrent	+		ion would generally limit	++		provide developers	++	This option will provide the council with
	quality	activitie	•			•	ers to contributing to the			certainty about		the maximum flexibility to set a
			se and mitigate emissions t	o air			ucture required to enable		the level of fund			contribution rate that matches the
			ew development (including				elopment to take place		expected to con			relative attractiveness of different areas
			g transport emissions thro	_			cess, drainage and		type of develop	•		of York for development and the
			ission technologies and fue	, .			and mitigate the direct pacts of the			here within York's nd what strategic		returns that development can generate for developers This option will provide
			t the development of city w	vide			ment. Access obligations			ne contribution will		developers with much more certainty
			ission infrastructure;			•	clude the provision of		be used for, incl			about the level of funding they will be
		•	e air quality in AQMAs and				blic transport services and		significantly upg	J		expected to contribute for the type of
		-	t new designations; ocating development where	o :+			ucture near to and within		public transport			development they are proposing
			egatively impact on air qua				elopment, which could		-	uce the reliance on		anywhere within York's authority area
			ocating development in are				the reliance on private		private motorise			and what strategic infrastructure the
			poor air quality where it o				ed transport and its		its associated en	•		contribution will be used for, including
			n negative impacts on the h				ed emissions. This option		infrastructure ne	eeds will be set out		new or significantly upgraded strategic
			e occupants/users;	lealth		does no	t generally take into		set out in an app	propriate list.		public transport infrastructure, which
			e sustainable and integrate	-d		account	the cumulative impacts					could reduce the reliance on private
			ort network to minimise the			of deve	lopment in an area or					motorised transport and its associated
		the car.		. 450 0.		city-wid	e and the funding of new					emissions Such infrastructure needs
						services	and facilities that may be					will be set out set out in an appropriate
							d as a result. The					list.
						•	on to this is pooling of					
							utions secured through					
							ons. However, recent					
							to legislation will limit					
							nber of development sites					
							contributions can be					
13	Minimise	• Doduce	rick of flooding		, T.	pooled This ont	ion would generally limit	++	This option will	provide developers	++	This option will provide the council with
13	flood risk and		risk of flooding;	dosigra	+		ers to contributing to the	++		provide developers certainty about	++	the maximum flexibility to set a
	reduce the		development location and	_		•	ucture required to		the level of fund	•		contribution rate that matches the
	impact of		ot negatively impact on floc or incorporate through des				e the direct local impacts		expected to con	· ,		relative attractiveness of different areas
	flooding to		able urban drainage system			_	evelopment, such as		type of develop			of York for development and the
	people and	(SuDs).	abie urban uraniage system	15			sk , surface water runoff		• •	here within York's		returns that development can generate
	property in	(SuDS).					undwater contamination.			nd what strategic		for developers This option will provide
	York						tion does not generally			ne contribution will		developers with much more certainty
						5						

$\top$	++		+	0			?	-				I
KEY	Very positive i	mpact	Positive impact likely	No sign	ificant	effect /	Uncertain or	Negati	ve impact likely	Very negative	impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
	likely			no clear	link		insufficient information			likely		how it is implemented
						impacts area or of new smay be exception contribution obligation changes the num where contraction obligation is strategis may not utilities of such	o account the cumulative of development in an city-wide and the funding services and facilities that required as a result. The on to this is pooling of utions secured through ons. However, recent is to legislation will limit ober of development sites contributions can be thus increasing the risk ficient funding for new c services and facilities the secured. Alternatively may include the provision strategic infrastructure in		provision of sucl	improve the and ground- may include the h strategic their investment d any such		about the level of funding they will be expected to contribute for the type of development they are proposing anywhere within York's authority area and what strategic infrastructure the contribution will be used for, including strategic infrastructure to improve the quality of rivers and ground-waters. Utilities may include the provision of such strategic infrastructure in their investment programmes and any such proposals will be set out in an appropriate list.
14	Conserve or enhance York's historic environment, cultural heritage, character and setting	<ul> <li>Charact</li> <li>Promot</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>Charact</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the special ter and setting of the historite or enhance local culture; ve or enhance designated assignated heritage assets and; ve or enhance those element ontribute to the 6 Principle teristics of the City as identificage Topic Paper.	nd d their nts	0	There is	vestment programmes no clear link between ernative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
15	Conserve or enhance York's natural and built landscape	<ul> <li>Conserrincludir</li> <li>Protect importation</li> <li>Promote with its line with</li> </ul>	ve or enhance the landscape ng areas of landscape value or enhance geologically ant sites; te high quality design in con- ourban and rural landscape th the "landscape and Settin the Heritage Topic Paper.	text and in	0		no clear link between rnative and the objective	0	There is no clear alternative and	link between this the objective	0	There is no clear link between this alternative and the objective
Gen	eral				to serv	ice the de		s direct lo	cal impacts, it will i	not secure the nece	ssary con	ns will deliver the infrastructure necessary tributions to take into account the ned growth of York.
							each provide a similar app through an appropriate lis					m developers through means other than frastructure.

### Appendix 1: Local Plan SA - Policy Alternatives Appraisal

Draft Apri	12013
------------	-------

Very positive impact P						
The very positive illipact	Positive impact likely	No significant effect /	Uncertain or	Negative impact likely	Very negative impact	Positive or negative impact depending on
likely		no clear link	insufficient information		likely	how it is implemented

Preferred Approach	Either Option 2 or Option 3: Require developers to contribute to strategic infrastructure development
	Both options would generate a significant level of funding toward delivering the strategic infrastructure necessary to deliver the growth
	envisaged in the Local Plan, by seeking contributions from developers on a rate per square metre of development of different development type.
	Option 2 seeks a contribution (rate by development type) wherever the development takes place
	<ul> <li>Option 3 offers more flexibility to the council set a contribution rate that matches the relative attractiveness of different areas of York for development and the returns that development can generate for developers for each development type.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Further viability testing will need to be undertaken to confirm which of Option 2 or Option 3 is the most viable and workable before determining which should be the preferred approach taken forward</li> </ul>
Recommendations	The Second alternative under Developer Contributions in the Local Plan should be amended to 'Require developers to contribute to strategic
	infrastructure development on the basis of development city-wide (this is one of two of our potential preferred approaches to strategic
	infrastructure)' Similarly, the third alternative should be amended to 'Require developers to contribute to strategic infrastructure
	development on the basis of development type by location (this is one of two of our preferred approaches to strategic infrastructure)'. The
	reason for this is that Option 3 is one of two similar alternatives for requiring developers to contribute to strategic infrastructure using
	methods over and above the current obligations method (the other being Option 2). The difference between option 2 and Option 3 is that
	Option 2 would seek the same contribution as a rate per square metre of development type (e.g. residential or employment) wherever that
	development takes place, whereas Option 3 would seek a different contribution rate depending on where development is located (for
	example, on a zonal basis). Further viability testing will need to be undertaken to confirm which of the two options is most viable and
	workable.